



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
OFFICE of the ATTORNEY GENERAL

**BILL LOCKYER**

AUGUST 2003

Forensic laboratories are crucial to our criminal justice system. Forensic scientists in California's crime laboratories provide invaluable information that aids in the investigation and prosecution of crime through the scientific examination of physical evidence. Their efforts, carried out to the highest standards of scientific objectivity, integrity and quality, give voice to the "silent witness" of physical evidence and contribute to the cause of justice.

The criminal justice system increasingly relies on forensic science as new technology emerges at an ever-accelerating rate. The limited resources of our forensic delivery system are under increasing strain as the demand for scientific evidence continues to grow. To the extent that our laboratories are unable to meet the needs of their clients in a timely fashion, the efficiency and effectiveness of the entire criminal justice system can be undermined. We must ensure that pressure on the laboratories for more and faster results never reduces the accuracy and quality of their work, for that could result in injustice.

To address these challenges, I created the California Task Force on Forensic Services. The Task Force broadly represented California's criminal justice and forensic science communities. I asked the Task Force to assess the current status of our state's forensic service delivery system and to identify the steps we must take to ensure that California will continue to receive the highest quality crime laboratory service.

I am grateful for the expertise, commitment and hard work of the task force members. I strongly endorse the findings and recommendations outlined in this 2003 California Task Force on Forensic Services Force Report, which will provide a foundation and framework for future policy and funding decisions. I urge other public policy makers to lend their support as well.

Bill Lockyer  
Attorney General

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<i>i</i>
Acknowledgments .....	<i>xi</i>

## **TASK FORCE REPORT**

### **I. ASSESSING CALIFORNIA'S FORENSIC LABORATORIES**

Introduction .....	1
Objectives of the Task Force Report .....	1
Study Methodology .....	3
A. Surveys .....	3
B. Data Limitations .....	4
C. Task Force Discussions .....	4

### **II. THE BIG PICTURE: NATIONAL TRENDS IN FORENSIC SCIENCE**

Introduction .....	5
Automation and Computerized Databases .....	6
A. Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) .....	7
B. Automated DNA Databases (CODIS) .....	8
C. Automated Firearms Identification Databases (NIBIN) .....	9
Advances in Science and Technology .....	10
A. DNA Analysis of Biological Sample .....	10
B. Instrumental Chemical Analyses: Toxicology, Trace Evidence and Clandestine Laboratory Investigation .....	11
C. New Methods for Latent print Processing .....	12
D. Digital Evidence .....	13
Recognition of the Significance the Crime Scene .....	14
Professionalism: Quality Assurance, Accreditation, Certification, Training and Education .....	17
A. Quality Assurance .....	18
B. Laboratory Accreditation .....	19
C. Certification of Staff .....	21
D. Scientific Standards and Specialization .....	22
E. Training and Education .....	24

### **III. CALIFORNIA FORENSIC LABORATORY OPERATIONS**

Overview and History .....	27
State Level Laboratories .....	30
State Forensic Laboratory Locations – Map .....	32
County and Municipal Forensic Laboratory Locations – Map .....	33
County-Managed Laboratories .....	34
Municipally-Managed Laboratories .....	35
Private Forensic Laboratories .....	36
Federal Forensic Laboratories .....	36

### **IV. ASSESSING CALIFORNIA'S FORENSIC LABORATORY WORKLOAD AND PERFORMANCE**

Introduction .....	37
<b>Forensic Laboratory Operations Within California</b> .....	37
A. Services Provided .....	37
B. Staffing .....	38
C. Workload .....	40
D. Costs of Various Services .....	41
E. Turnaround Times/Timeliness of Results .....	43
F. Desired Turnaround Times: Urgent vs. Routine Requests .....	44
G. Laboratory Backlog .....	45
H. Laboratory Equipment and Facilities .....	47
I. Regionalization of Testing .....	49
<b>Client Feedback: Sheriffs and Police Chiefs</b> .....	50
A. Use of Private Laboratories .....	50
B. Law Enforcement Satisfaction with Public Laboratories .....	53
C. Unmet Needs: Services Not Requested .....	55
<b>Client Feedback: District Attorneys</b> .....	56
A. Use of Public Sector and Private Forensic Laboratories .....	56
B. District Attorney Satisfaction with Public Laboratories .....	58
C. Expert Witness Testimony from Laboratory Personnel .....	59
D. Unmet Needs: Services Not Requested .....	59
E. Prosecution vs. Investigation: Impact on Laboratories .....	60
<b>Comparable State Laboratory Systems</b> .....	61
A. Other States Surveyed .....	61
B. Other States Structure, Practices and Policies .....	61
C. Turnaround Times: California vs. Other States .....	62
D. Workload and Staff per Case Ratio .....	63
<b>Shortfall in DNA Processing Capabilities</b> .....	64
<b>The Impact of Increasing Laboratory Capacity</b> .....	65
<b>Planning for the Future</b> .....	66

**V. TASK FORCE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Broad Trends and Impacts** ..... 67

- A. The pace of technological and scientific change is accelerating ..... 67
- B. Enhanced crime-solving capabilities create expanded workload per case request ..... 67
- C. New tools to identify suspects are viewed as resource-constrained and thus unavailable ..... 68
- D. Accreditation improves product acceptance/ Effectiveness, but reduces staff efficiency ..... 68
- E. Specialization impacts laboratory efficiency and organization ..... 68

**Organization and Performance** ..... 69

**Planning for the Future** ..... 70

**Demand for Service and Improved Turnaround** ..... 71

**Quality Assurance and Accreditation** ..... 72

**Use of Forensic Databases in Investigations** ..... 73

**Education and Training** ..... 74

**Equipment and Facilities Funds** ..... 75

**Collection of Workload Data** ..... 76

**Regionalized Services** ..... 77

**VI. SELECTED REFERENCES AND WEBSITES** ..... 79

**VII. APPENDIX: SURVEYS AND QUESTIONNAIRES**

APPENDIX A

**Forensic Laboratory Survey** ..... 82

APPENDIX B

**Forensic Labs in CA – Supplemental Questionnaire** ..... 90

APPENDIX C

**Survey of Law Enforcement Forensic Lab Needs** ..... 92

APPENDIX D

**Survey for California District Attorneys** ..... 94

APPENDIX E

**Survey of [Other] States Forensic Labs** ..... 96

**VIII. GLOSSARY** ..... 99