

FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

UPDATE OF PROPOSED TEXT OF REGULATIONS

Chapter 8. DROS Entry System (DES)

For the title of Chapter 8, the Department inadvertently omitted a period after the number 8. This period had now been added. This change is solely grammatical and is a nonsubstantive change because it does not materially alter the requirements, rights, responsibilities, conditions, or prescriptions contained in the original text. (Cal. Code of Regs., tit. 1, § 40.)

§ 4210. Terms of Use and Required Information.

Subdivisions (b)(1)(D) and (b)(2)(D) have been restored to the existing text of the regulations. In the proposed text noticed to the public the Department had made a change to these subdivisions, but did not use underline and strikeout to show the changes. The Department has elected to keep the existing text without amendment. This is a nonsubstantive change because it does not materially alter the requirements, rights, responsibilities, conditions, or prescriptions contained in the original text. (Cal. Code of Regs., tit. 1, § 40.)

UPDATE OF INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

All information provided in the Initial Statement of Reasons is accurate and current.

LOCAL MANDATE DETERMINATION

The proposed regulations do not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts.

SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE NOTICE PERIOD OF DECEMBER 7, 2018 THROUGH JANUARY 23, 2019.

Pursuant to Government Code 11346.9, a comment is “irrelevant” if it is not specifically directed at the agency’s proposed action or to the procedures followed by the agency in proposing or adopting the action. The Department received six different comments, all of which were irrelevant to the rulemaking. All six comments were from the same individual, who was asking questions about her own firearm records. The Department summarized the irrelevant comments as a group and summarily dismissed them. No changes were made in response to these comments.

ALTERNATIVES DETERMINATIONS

The Department has determined that no alternative it considered, or that it otherwise identified, or was brought to its attention, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

ALTERNATIVES THAT WOULD LESSEN ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES

No alternatives were proposed to the Department that would lessen any adverse economic impact on small business.