ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Department of Justice	Marlon Martinez	marlon.martinez@doj.ca.gov	213-269-6437		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
Transactions Involving Disposal of Charitable Assets Z					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate		iromonto			
A. Impacts business and/or employees e. Imposes reporting requirements Imposes reporting requirements f. Imposes processing interaction of performance					
 b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations 	f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance				
	🔀 g. Impacts individuals h. None of the above (Explain below):				
d. Impacts California competitiveness		lain below).			
If any box in Items 1 a	through g is checked, complete thi	s Economic Impact Statement			
	checked, complete the Fiscal Impa	-			
Department of Justice					
2. The	estimates that the economic im	pact of this regulation (which includes th	ne fiscal impact) is:		
Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]					
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	<250 per year.				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Charitable corporations and trusts					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted: 0 eliminated	d: <u>0</u>			
Explain: This regulation is not expected to create or eliminate any businesses.					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: $ X $	Statewide				
	Local or regional (List areas):				
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: This regulation is not expected to create or eliminate any jobs or occupations.					
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? YES X NO					
If YES, explain briefly:					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions i	n the rulemaking record.			
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and ir	ndividuals may incur to comply with this regul	ation over its lifetime? $$ \$ $$ $$ 0		
a. Initial costs for a small business: $\$0$	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:		
b. Initial costs for a typical business: 0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0			
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ <mark>0</mark>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:				
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total cos	ts for each industry: <mark>N/A</mark>			
 If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the an Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, repor 				
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? 🗌 YES	X NO			
If YES, ente	er the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $_{-}$			
	Number of units:			
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES	X NO			
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abs "substantially all" assets for purpose of giving n				
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that	may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	0		
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of ben	efits is not specifically required by rulemaking	law, but encouraged.		
1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may ind health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and th trusts as to when the notice threshold is met.	e State's environment: Provides clear g			
trusts.				
2. Are the benefits the result of: Specific statutory requireme	nts, or $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	ased on broad statutory authority?		
Explain: The regulations define "substantially all" a	ssets as 75% of the value of all asset	ts		
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over	its lifetime? \$ unquantifiable			
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing but	usiness within the State of California that wou	ld result from this regulation: <mark>N/A</mark>		
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	ns and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alte	ernatives were considered, explain why not:	Probate Code section 16106 requires		
the Attorney General to promulgate regulations. The Department rejected the alternative of not defining				

"substantially all" assets.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)	
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:	
Regulation: Benefit: \$ unquantifiable Cost: \$ 0	
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ unquantifiable Cost: \$ 0	
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	
 Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: monetize. 	cult to
 Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? XES NO Explain: The regulations define "substantially all" assets as 75% and creates a procedure for the Attorney General to the section of the section of the Attorney General to the section of the section of the Attorney General to the section of t	0
evaluate waiver requests.	
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.	
1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES XO	
If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:	
Alternative 1:	
Alternative 2:	
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)	
3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:	
Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in 6 exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 r after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?	
YES X NO	
If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5. Briefly describe the following:	
The increase or decrease of investment in the State: N/A	
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: N/A	
The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: <u>Provides clear gui</u> to charitable corporations and trusts and enhances Attorney General oversight.	idance

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumption current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	tions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	
a. Funding provided in	
Budget Act of or Chapter , Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of	
Fiscal Year:	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	Court.
Case of: vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:	
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	
Authorized by Section: of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs t	o each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	
\$	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes only technical and the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation makes on the substanti	llations.
S. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other. Explain	

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calc year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ulations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
$\boxed{\times}$ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 thro impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ough 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
X 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Chris Ryan Digitally signed by Chris Ryan Date: 2022.08.02 09:09:44 -07'00'	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instruction, the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agen highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
A	
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion	n of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
A	