ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Justice	Marlon Martinez	marlon.martinez@doj.ca.gov	213-269-6437	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		·	NOTICE FILE NUMBER	
Dealer Verification of Valid and Unexpired Hunting License Z				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS Include calculations and assumption	s in the rulemaking record.		
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate				
$ \mathbf{X} $ a. Impacts business and/or employees	\mathbf{X} e. Imposes reporting require	ements		
 b. Impacts small businesses 	\mathbf{X} f. Imposes prescriptive inst			
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals			
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Expla	in below):		
	through g is checked, complete this checked, complete the Fiscal Impac			
Department of Justice				
2. The	estimates that the economic imp	act of this regulation (which includes th	ne fiscal impact) is:	
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million	4			
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]				
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	1,587			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): firearms dealers				
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses: 86%				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted: 0eliminated:	0		
Explain: The proposed regulations will neither lead to the creation nor elimination of any businesses.				
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X Statewide				
Local or regional (List areas):				
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: The proposed regulations will neither lead to the creation nor elimination of any				
jobs.				
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?				
If YES, explain briefly:				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.			
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individu	uals may incur to comply with this regulation ove	r its lifetime? \$ unquantifiable		
	a. Initial costs for a small business: 0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 3.99	Years: Ongoing		
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ <mark>0</mark>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 3.99	Years: Ongoing		
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ <u>0</u>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ <mark>0</mark>	Years:		
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: The Department	nent estimates firearms dealers will bea	ar the \$1.33 reporting cost		
	3 times a year.				
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for	each industry: <mark>N/A</mark>			
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual c Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, a				
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? \Box YES \times	NO			
	If YES, enter the	annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$			
	Number of units:				
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES X	NO			
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Penal Code sections 28215 and 28220 require				
	firearms dealers to inspect hunting licenses to confirm validity and report hunting license information to the Department.				
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may l	be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0			
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is	s not specifically required by rulemaking law, but	encouraged.		
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the Stat	among others, the ce's environment: The regulation protects	public safety by implementing		
	a statutory requirement that prevents persons under 21 years of age from purchasing a firearm without a valid hunting				
	license.				
2.	Are the benefits the result of: \overline{X} specific statutory requirements, or	r 🔲 goals developed by the agency based on b	proad statutory authority?		
Explain: Penal Code section 28215 requires firearms dealers to validate hunting licenses and report the license information.					
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its life	etime? \$ unquantifiable			
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing busines	s within the State of California that would result f	rom this regulation: <mark>N/A.</mark>		
	The proposed regulations apply to existing firearms	dealers.			
D	• ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimatic	on of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternative	ves were considered, explain why not: No alter	natives were considered.		
	Dealers generally transmit information to the Depart	tment electronically via the DROS Entr	y System.		

PAGE 2

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

				,
2. Summarize the	total statewide c	osts and benefits from	this regulation and each alternative considered:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	N/A Co	ost: \$ <mark>5,923.82</mark>	
Alternative 1:			ost: \$	
			ost: \$	
· ·	<i>·</i> ·	issues that are relevant		
of estimated c	osts and benefit:	s for this regulation or	ralternatives:	
regulation mar	ndates the use of	specific technologies	mance standards as an alternative, if a s or equipment, or prescribes specific considered to lower compliance costs? YES	
actions or proc	edures. Were pe	rformance standards o	considered to lower compliance costs?	
Explain: Deal	ers will transı	mit the required in	nformation to the Department electron	ically via the DROS Entry System.
E. MAJOR REGU	LATIONS Includ	le calculations and as	ssumptions in the rulemaking record.	
			ection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and	
			er Health and Safety Code section 57005). (
1. Will the estimat	ted costs of this re	egulation to California	business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES	NO NO
			If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe	each alternative,	or combination of alte	ernatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis wa	as performed:
Alternative 1:				
Alternative 2:				
(Attach additior	nal pages for othe	alternatives)		
3. For the regulat	ion, and each alte	ernative just described	l, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-eff	ectiveness ratio:
		ennative just described		
Alternative 1:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2:	Total Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
exceeding \$50	million in any 12		en the date the major regulation is estimated to be	l individuals located in or doing business in California filed with the Secretary of State through12 months
	\times NO			
			e <mark>gulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</mark> as specified in SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5. Briefly describe	the following:			
The increase or	r decrease of inve	stment in the State:	A firearms dealer validating a hunti	ing license and reporting the license
information	n to the Depa	rtment will not in	crease or decrease investment in the St	ate.
The incentive f	or innovation in	products, materials or p	processes: A firearms dealer validating a	hunting license and reporting the license
informatior	n to the Depa	rtment will not im	npact the incentive for innovation in pro	oducts, materials or processes.
The benefits of residents, work	f the regulations, ker safety, and the	including, but not limit e state's environment a	ited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of and quality of life, among any other benefits identif	f California fied by the agency: The regulation will protect
public safet	y by preventi	ng persons under	r 21 years of age from purchasing a fire	arm without a valid hunting license.

_

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal			
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor	rnia Constitution and Secti	ions 17500 et seq. of the Gov	/ernment Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's B	Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and		formation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	n 		
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by th	ne		Court.
Case of:		VS	
C. Implements a mandate of the people of this S	tate expressed in their app	proval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request fi	rom affected local entity(s	;).	
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e	etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	ocal government which wi	ill, at a minimum, offset any a	additional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty fo	or a new crime or infractior	n contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
 \$	s only technical non-subst	antive or clarifying changes to	o current law regulations
X 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affe			
_			
6. Other. Explain			

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT India year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	cate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calcu	lations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
$\boxed{\times}$ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fis	cal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 146,198		
It is anticipated that State agencies will:		
\fbox a. Absorb these additional costs within their \circ	existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget l	evel for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approx	(imate)	
\$		
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not a	affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain		
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STA impact for the current year and two subsequent Fis		ugh 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fis	cal Year. (Approximate)	
\$		
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approx	kimate)	
\$		
\boxtimes 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not a	affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain		
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE		DATE
Çhris Ryan	Digitally signed by Chris Ryan Date: 2024.03.15 13:58:15 -07'00'	
The signature attests that the agency has complete the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State book highest ranking official in the organization.		
AGENCY SECRETARY		DATE
Yenus D. Johnson	Digitally signed by Venus D. Johnson Date: 2024.04.02 14:43:35 -07'00'	
Finance approval and signature is required when	a SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion	of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MAN	AGER	DATE
à		

PAGE 5