

# State of California Department of Justice

---

California Witness Relocation and Assistance Program  
Reimbursements  
San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office

---



Review Period:  
July 1, 2004 to September 30, 2008

Division of Executive Programs  
Office of Program Review and Audits

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON APPLYING  
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

TO: Chief Deputy Attorney General

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed upon by the Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Witness Relocation and Assistance Program (CAL WRAP), and the Office of Program Review and Audits (OPRA), solely to assist the CAL WRAP in evaluating the San Bernardino County District Attorney Office's (SBCDAO) assertions that they have followed the policies and procedures of the CAL WRAP and have claimed only reimbursable costs for the period July 1, 2004 to September 30, 2008.

The following procedures were performed to verify that the SBCDAO:

1. Implemented the program in a manner consistent with the CAL WRAP guidelines.
2. Claimed costs that were reasonable and allowable for reimbursement with the CAL WRAP guidelines.
3. Claimed costs that were supported by source documents (cash receipts, rental receipts, rental agreements, invoices, payroll registers, time sheets and/or other relevant documents).
4. Returned or credited rental and utilities deposit(s) to the CAL WRAP when a case was closed, terminated or the witness moved to a different location.
5. Claimed per diem costs consistent with the rates allowable with the CAL WRAP guidelines.
6. Complied with the 25-percent match required of all expenditures incurred on or after January 1, 2008, unless the CAL WRAP waived the required local match.
7. Returned unused funds to the CAL WRAP for closed or terminated cases.

We have applied the procedures documented above to this report in accordance with attestation standards established by the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described above either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. In performing the agreed-upon procedures, no findings came to our attention that requires CAL WRAP notification.

We were not engaged to nor did we perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for use by the CAL WRAP and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

---

Andrew J. Kraus III, CPA  
Director  
Office of Program Review and Audits  
May 13, 2009

Staff: William Wong, Audit Manager/Auditor