

## introduction

Homicide in California, 1996 contains details about the crime of homicide and its victims, information about persons arrested for homicide, and the response of the criminal justice system. Information about the death penalty, the number of peace officers killed in the line of duty, and justifiable homicides is also included.

The major sections of the report are:

- Homicide Crimes
$\square$ Homicide Arrests
- Dispositions of Adults Arrested for Homicide

This publication complements the previously published Crime and Delinquency in California, 1996 in which the number of homicides committed and the types of weapons that were used were reported. Most data presented here were obtained from three data bases maintained by the Department of Justice: the Homicide File for data pertaining to both willful and justifiable homicide crimes; the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register for data pertaining to the demographic characteristics of persons arrested for homicide; and the Offender-Based Transaction Statistics system for information about types of dispositions, sentences, and death penalties. Because of the differences in the types of data collected and the methods used to collect these data, the reader is cautioned against comparing these data bases.
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## CRIMES (See pages 2-23.)

- From 1995 to 1996, homicide crimes decreased 18.2 percent in rate per 100,000 population (11.0 vs. 9.0). In 1996, homicide crimes were 15.9 percent lower in rate than in 1987 ( 9.0 vs . 10.7). (Source: Table 1.)
- In 1996, the black homicide victim rate was almost 10 times that of whites and over two and one-half times that of Hispanics (34.1 vs. 3.5 and 12.8, respectively). (Source: Table 3.)
- When 1987 is compared to 1996, the homicide rate increased 28.6 percent for victims under 18 years of age. The homicide rate for victims 40 years of age and over decreased 32.4 percent. (Source: Table 4.)
- In 1996, the largest proportion of white victims fell into the "40 years of age or older" category (44.4 percent). The largest proportions of Hispanic and black victims fell into the "18-29 years of age" category (48.0 and 45.6 percent, respectively). (Source: Table 9.)
- In 1996, 63.2 percent of homicide victims knew the offender. (Source: Table 11.)
- Proportionately, female victims were 40 times more likely than male victims to be the spouse of the offender ( 24.5 vs. . 6 percent) in 1996. (Source: Table 12.)

■ More victims were killed by firearms in 1996 than by all other types of weapons combined (71.7 percent). (Source: Table 21.)

■ Gang-related homicides as a proportion of total homicides increased from 11.4 percent in 1987 to 24.6 percent in 1996. (Source: Table 24. )

■ In 1996, homicide victims 5-17 years old were most likely to be killed as a result of gang-related activities (51.2 percent). (Source: Table 26.)

ARRESTS (See pages 26-29.)
From 1995 to 1996, homicide arrests decreased 11.6 percent in rate per 100,000 population at risk (11.2 vs. 9.9). In 1996, homicide arrests were 30.3 percent lower in rate than in 1987 (9.9 vs. 14.2). (Source: Table 29.)

DISPOSITIONS (See pages 32-37.)
$\square$ Over 76 percent of adults arrested for homicide for which 1996 dispositions were received were convicted of homicide or some other offense. (Source: Table 35.)
$\square$ Of adults arrested for homicide for which 1996 dispositions were received, proportionately more whites than Hispanics or blacks were convicted (84.1 vs. 74.6 and 73.8 percent, respectively). (Source: Table 36.)

DEATH PENALTIES (See pages 40-41.)
■ By the end of 1996, 461 persons were under sentence of death in California. Of these, 40 were sentenced in 1996. (Source: Table 43.)

## PEACE OFFICERS KILLED (See pages 44-45.)

Since 1987, 63 peace officers have been killed in the line of duty. Five were killed in 1996. (Source: Table N-2.)


Back to the<br>TABLE OF CONTENTS

## crimes

## HOMICIDE CRIMES

Homicide is defined by the FBl's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program as the "willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another." The homicide category comprises murder and nonnegligent manslaughter. Attempted murder, justifiable homicide, manslaughter by negligence, and suicide are excluded.

From 1995 to 1996:

- The homicide rate per 100,000 population decreased 18.2 percent (11.0 to 9.0).

■ The number of homicides decreased 17.6 percent (from 3,530 to 2,910).

Comparing 1987 to 1996:
■ The homicide rate per 100,000 population decreased 15.9 percent (10.7 to 9.0).

■ The number of homicides decreased 6 percent (from 2,929 to 2,910).

Table N-1
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Year(s) | Number | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $1996 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2,910 | 9.0 |
| $1995 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 3,530 | 11.0 |
| $1994 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,699 | 11.5 |
| $1993 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 4,095 | 12.9 |
| $1992 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 3,920 | 12.5 |
| $1991 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3,876 | 12.6 |
| $1990 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 3,562 | 12.1 |
| $1989 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 3,159 | 11.0 |
| $1988 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,947 | 10.5 |
| $1987 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 2,929 | 10.7 |

Chart 1
VIOLENT CRIMES, 1987-1996
Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 1.

Of the four offenses classified as violent crimes by the FBI, homicide maintained the lowest rate per 100,000 population for the years shown. For comparison, changes in the rates of the violent crimes from 1987 to 1996 follow:

■ Homicide - decreased 15.9 percent.
■ Forcible rape - decreased 28.5 percent.

■ Robbery - decreased 4.5 percent.
■ Aggravated assault - decreased 9.1 percent.

Charts 2, 3, and 4 display homicide rates per 100,000 population for victims classified by gender, race/ethnic group, and age.

In 1996,
■ The homicide rate was 9.0 per 100,000 population.

- The male homicide rate was more than four times that of the female homicide rate ( 13.9 vs . 3.2).
- The black homicide rate was almost 10 times that of whites and over two and one-half times that of Hispanics (34.1 vs. 3.5 and 12.8, respectively).

Comparing 1987 to 1996 :

- The male homicide rate decreased 13.7 percent. The female homicide rate decreased 37.3 percent.

■ The white homicide rate decreased 36.4 percent. The Hispanic homicide rate decreased 3.8 percent and the black homicide rate decreased 31.7 percent.

Chart 2
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Gender of Victim
Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 2.

## Chart 3

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Victim Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 3.

Chart 4
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Age of Victim
Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 4.

In 1996,
Homicide victims 18-29 years of age had the highest homicide rate (20.5 per 100,000 population).

Comparing 1987 to 1996 :

- The homicide rate increased 28.6 percent for victims under 18 years of age. The homicide rate decreased .5 percent for victims 18-29 years of age, 23.7 percent for victims 30-39 years of age, and 32.4 percent for victims 40 years of age and over.

In 1996,

- Males represented 81.4 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 50.1 percent of the population.
- Females represented 18.6 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 49.9 percent of the population.

Chart 5
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Gender of Victim


Source: Table 5.

Chart 6
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender of Victim by Percent of Total Victims and Percent of Population


Victims Population
Sources: Table 5 and Table 2.

Chart 7
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Victim


Source: Table 6.

## Chart 8

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Percent of Total Victims and Percent of Population


Sources: Table 6 and Table 3.

In 1996,
■ Whites represented 21.3 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 52.5 percent of the population.

■ Hispanics represented 44.5 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 29.9 percent of the population.

- Blacks represented 27.4 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 6.9 percent of the population.
- The "other" race/ethnic group category represented 6.8 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 10.7 percent of the population.

In 1996,

- Victims under 18 years of age represented 14.7 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 27.9 percent of the population.

■ Victims 18-29 years of age represented 41.2 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 17.0 percent of the population.

■ Victims 30-39 years of age represented 22.0 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 17.6 percent of the population.

- Victims 40 years of age or older represented 22.2 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 37.4 percent of the population.


## Chart 9

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Age of Victim


Source: Table 7.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 10
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Percent of Total Victims and Percent of Population


Sources: Table 7 and Table 4.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

## crimes

Chart 11
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Gender of Victim


Source: Table 8.

Chart 12
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Age of Victim


Source: Table 9.

In 1996,

- More white victims were female than were either Hispanic or black victims (32.4 vs. 11.3 and 18.4 percent, respectively).
- More Hispanic and black victims were 18-29 years of age than were white victims (48.0 and 45.6 vs. 21.9 percent, respectively).
- More white victims were 40 years of age or older than were either Hispanic or black victims (44.4 vs. 13.5 and 17.5 percent, respectively).

When homicide crimes were examined by the relationship of the victim to the offender, it was found that:

In 1996,

- 49.3 percent of victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders.
- 5.3 percent of victims were spouses of offenders.
- 6.7 percent of victims were parents or children of offenders.
- 2.0 percent of the relationships of victim to offender fell in the "other relative" category.
- 36.8 percent of victims were strangers to offenders.

Comparing 1987 to 1996 :

- The proportion of homicides in which the victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders decreased from 55.7 percent in 1987 to 49.3 percent in 1996.
- The proportion of homicides in which the victims were strangers to offenders increased from 29.2 percent in 1987 to 36.8 percent in 1996.

Chart 13
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Relationship of Victim to Offender


Source: Table 11.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

## Chart 14

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Selected Relationships of Victims to Offenders


Source: Table 11.

## crimes

Chart 15
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender


Source: Table 12.

Chart 16
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender


In 1996,

- More female victims were spouses of offenders (24.5 percent) than were male victims (. 6 percent).
- More white victims were spouses, parents, or children of offenders than were either Hispanic or black victims ( 22.5 vs. 8.6 and 7.8 percent, respectively).

In 1996,

- Fewer victims under 18 years of age were strangers to offenders (22.6 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.
- More victims 18-29 years of age were friends or acquaintances of offenders (57.0 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.
- More victims 40 years of age or older were spouses of offenders (10.1 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

Chart 17
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender


Source: Table 13.

Chart 18
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
County by Rate per 100,000 Population

In 1996, eight of California's 58 counties exceeded the 1996 statewide homicide rate of 9.0 per 100,000 population. When grouped:

■ Seven counties had homicide rates of 10.0 and over per 100,000 population.

- Thirteen counties had homicide rates between 5.0 and 9.9 per 100,000 population.

■ Fifteen counties had homicide rates between .0 and 4.9 per 100,000 population.

- Homicide rates were not computed for the remaining 23 counties with populations of less than 100,000.
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When homicide crimes were examined by season of incident, it was found that:

■ In 1996, the incidents that led to death occurred more often in the winter than in any other season (26.9 percent).

■ With the exceptions of 1987 and 1996, the incidents that led to death occurred more often in the summer.

Chart 19
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Season of Incident


Source: Table 15.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 20
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Season of Incident
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Chart 21
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Average Daily Number of Incidents on Weekdays and Weekends


Source: Table 16.
Note: Average daily number of incidents may not add to total because of independent rounding.

Chart 22
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Average Daily Number of Incidents on Weekdays and Weekends


Source: Table 17.

In 1996,

- On average, 7.0 homicide victims were killed each weekday and 10.3 homicide victims were killed each weekend day.
- Hispanic victims had the highest average daily number of incidents on both weekdays and weekends ( 2.8 and 5.3, respectively) of any race/ethnic group shown.
- Victims 18-29 years of age had the highest average daily number of incidents on both weekdays and weekends (2.7 and 4.6, respectively) of any age group shown.
- Regardless of the race/ethnic group or age group shown, the average daily number of incidents on weekends exceeded the average daily number of incidents on weekdays.

When homicide crimes were examined by location of incident, it was found that:

In 1996,

- 27.8 percent of victims were killed at their places of residence.
- 40.1 percent of homicides occurred on streets or sidewalks.
- 32.2 percent of homicides occurred in "all other" locations.
- More males were killed on streets or sidewalks (46.2 percent) than were females (13.3 percent).
- Proportionately, more females were killed at their places of residence ( 56.3 percent) than were males (21.2 percent).

Chart 23
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Location of Homicide


Source: Table 19.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 24
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender of Victim by Location of Homicide


Source: Table 19.

Chart 25
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Location of Homicide


Source: Table 19.

Chart 26
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Location of Homicide


[^2]In 1996,

- More whites than Hispanics or blacks were killed at their places of residence ( 45.8 vs .20 .7 and 25.6 percent, respectively).
- More Hispanics and blacks were killed on streets or sidewalks than were whites (47.4 and 47.6 vs. 20.9 percent, respectively).

■ More victims 18-29 years of age were killed on streets or sidewalks (49.7 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

- More victims 40 years of age or older were killed at their places of residence (43.1 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

When homicide crimes were examined by the type of weapon used, it was found that:

In 1996,

- 71.7 percent resulted from the use of firearms.
- 65.1 percent resulted from the use of handguns.
- 6.6 percent resulted from the use of all other types of firearms.
- 5.4 percent resulted from the use of personal weapons (hands, feet, etc.).
- 5.1 percent resulted from the use of blunt objects (clubs, etc.).
- 5.8 percent resulted from the use of weapons grouped in the "all other" category.

Comparing 1987 to 1996 :

- The proportion of homicides that resulted from the use of handguns increased from 45.9 percent in 1987 to 65.1 percent in 1996.
- The proportion of homicides that resulted from the use of other types of firearms decreased from 13.5 percent in 1987 to 6.6 percent in 1996.

Chart 27
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 21.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 28
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Selected Types of Weapons Used


Source: Table 21.

## crimes

Chart 29
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender of Victim by Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 22.

Chart 30
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 22.

In 1996,
■ More males were killed with firearms (76.5 percent) than were females ( 50.5 percent).

- Proportionately, more females were killed with knives, personal weapons, or blunt objects than were males.
- More Hispanics and blacks were killed with firearms than were whites ( 77.7 and 78.4 vs. 50.5 percent, respectively).

In 1996,

- More victims 18-29 years of age were killed with firearms ( 84.9 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.
- Fewer victims 40 years of age or older were killed with firearms ( 56.4 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

Chart 31
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 23.

## crimes

Chart 32
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
By Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 24.

Chart 33
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Selected Contributing Circumstances


Source: Table 24.

When homicide crimes were examined by contributing circumstance, it was found that:

In 1996,

- 12.7 percent were associated with rape, robbery, or burglary.
- 42.4 percent were associated with arguments.

■ 24.6 percent were gang-related.

- 6.5 percent were drug-related.

■ 13.8 percent were associated with "all other" contributing circumstances.

Comparing 1987 to 1996 :

- The proportion of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was gang-related increased from 11.4 percent in 1987 to 24.6 percent in 1996.
- The proportion of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was drug-related decreased from 8.7 percent in 1987 to 6.5 percent in 1996.

In 1996,

- More males were victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was gang-related ( 28.9 percent) than were females ( 5.6 percent).
- Proportionately, more whites than Hispanics or blacks were victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was an argument ( 57.7 vs. 37.2 and 40.1 percent, respectively).
- More Hispanics and blacks were victims of gang-related homicides than were whites (33.9 and 26.9 vs. 4.1 percent, respectively).

Chart 34
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender of Victim by Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 25.
Note: Charts 32, 35, and 36 include rape with robbery and burglary. However, for a more meaningful comparison between male and female victims, rape is included in the "all other" category in Chart 34. In 1996, 2.4 percent of homicide crimes involving females were rape-related.

Chart 35
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Contributing
Circumstance


Source: Table 25.

## crimes

Chart 36
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 26.

In 1996,

- Most homicide victims under 5 years of age were killed as a result of child abuse (83.5 percent).
- Most homicide victims 5-17 years old were killed as a result of gang- or drug-related activities (53.0 percent).
- More homicide victims $18-59$ years old and 60 years of age or older were killed as a result of an argument (47.1 and 46.3 percent, respectively) than from any other contributing circumstance shown.



## arrests

## HOMICIDE ARRESTS

Unlike crimes, which are classified by nationwide Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards, arrests are reported by California statute definition of the offense. ${ }^{1}$ This may cause some differences in the definitions of certain crimes and the reporting of the arrests for those crimes. For instance, the California definition of homicide arrests includes murder and nonvehicular manslaughter. The federal definition of homicide includes murder and nonnegligent (nonaccidental) manslaughter.

All California law enforcement agencies report arrest and citation information to the Department of Justice on the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR). The MACR lists each arrestee; includes information about age, gender, and race/ethnic group; and specifies the "most serious" arrest offense and law enforcement disposition.

Analysis of the gender of arrestees for homicide found that:

In 1996,
■ 90.2 percent were male.

- 9.8 percent were female.

[^3]Chart 37
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
By Gender of Arrestee


Source: Table 30.

## arrests

Chart 38
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee


Source: Table 31.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 39
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
By Age of Arrestee


Source: Table 32.
Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Analysis of the race/ethnic group and age of arrestees for homicide found that:

In 1996,
■ 21.2 percent were white.
■ 43.8 percent were Hispanic.

- 26.2 percent were black.
- 8.9 percent fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.

And,

- 15.3 percent were under 18 years of age.

■ 56.4 percent were $18-29$ years of age.

- 16.8 percent were $30-39$ years of age.
- 11.4 percent were 40 years of age or older.


## arrests

In 1996,

- Homicide arrestees for all three race/ethnic groups shown were predominately male.


## Chart 40

HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Gender of Arrestee


Source: Table 33.

## arrests

Chart 41
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Age of Arrestee


[^4]In 1996,

- More white arrestees were 40 years of age or older than Hispanic or black arrestees ( 23.8 vs. 6.4 and 9.7 percent, respectively).
- Regardless of race/ethnic group, the majority of homicide arrestees fell into the "18-29 years of age" category.



## DISPOSITIONS OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE

This section of the report describes 1996 dispositions of adults arrested for homicide, regardless of the year of arrest. Data were obtained from California's OffenderBased Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system. This system tracks the processing of adults arrested for felony offenses from arrest through final disposition using data compiled from information collected on fingerprint cards and "Disposition of Arrest and Court Action" (JUS 8715) forms.

Statewide adult felony arrest disposition data normally represent final dispositions for approximately 60 percent of total adult felony arrests made during a calendar year. However, due to budget reductions, the number of adult felony arrest dispositions processed for 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 is smaller than in prior years. Even with these limitations, it is believed that, at the statewide level, percentile data describe statewide dispositional patterns.

## dispositions

Chart 42
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
By Type of Disposition


Source: Table 35.

Chart 43
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Gender of Arrestee by Type of Disposition


Source: Table 36.

Examination of 1996 dispositions of adults arrested for homicide found that:
9.1 percent were released at the law enforcement level or had a complaint denied at the prosecutorial level.

- 14.0 percent were either dismissed or acquitted.

■ 76.9 percent were convicted of homicide or some other offense.

And,

- Proportionately, more females were convicted ( 81.1 percent) than were males ( 76.6 percent).


## dispositions

In 1996,

- Proportionately, more whites than Hispanics or blacks were convicted (84.1 vs. 74.6 and 73.8 percent, respectively).

And,
Proportionately, more arrestees under 18 years of age were convicted ( 90.5 percent) than were arrestees in any other age group shown.

Chart 44
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Type of Disposition


Source: Table 36.

Chart 45
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Age of Arrestee by Type of Disposition


Source: Table 36.
a The "under 18 " age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

Chart 46
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED By Convicted Offense


Source: Table 40.

Chart 47
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Gender of Offender by Convicted Offense


Source: Table 41.

Of those adults arrested for homicide who were convicted in 1996, it was found that:
73.2 percent were convicted of homicide.

- 26.8 percent were convicted of lesser crimes such as robbery or assault.

And,

- More males were convicted of homicide (74.2 percent) than were females ( 62.6 percent).


## dispositions

In 1996,

- All three race/ethnic groups shown had approximately the same proportion of convictions for homicide (whites, 73.4 percent; Hispanics, 73.0 percent; and blacks, 73.9 percent).

And,

Proportionately, more arrestees 40 years of age or older were convicted of homicide (80.3 percent) than were arrestees in any other age group shown.

Chart 48
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Race/Ethnic Group of Offender by Convicted Offense


Source: Table 41.

Chart 49
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Age of Offender by Convicted Offense


Source: Table 41.
a The "under 18 " age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

## dispositions

Chart 50
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Convicted Offense by Sentence


Source: Table 42.

Of those adults arrested for homicide who were convicted in 1996, it was found that:
95.0 percent of those convicted of homicide and 68.1 percent of those convicted of lesser offenses received sentences to prison or the California Department of the Youth Authority. Note: Prison includes 1996 death penalty dispositions for adults arrested for homicide. See Page 40 for additional information about death penalty sentences.


## death penalties

## DEATH PENALTY SENTENCES

This section contains information about persons sentenced to death in California superior courts in 1996. Death penalty information was extracted from the 1996 Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system. For detailed information regarding the death penalty and the criteria by which a person can be sentenced to death, refer to California Penal Code sections 190 through 190.9.

## death penalties

Chart 51
PERSONS UNDER CALIFORNIA
SENTENCE OF DEATH, 1978-1996


Source: Table 43.

During 1996, 40 persons were convicted of first-degree murder and sentenced to death. These were initial death sentences only and do not include persons who were resentenced to death after their death sentences were reversed on appeal. By the end of 1996, 461 persons were under sentence of death in California.

Of the 40 persons newly sentenced to death in 1996:

- All 40 were male.
- Twenty were white.
- Seven were Hispanic.

■ Eleven were black.

- Two fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.
- The mean (average) age at arrest was 28.
- Los Angeles County sentenced the largest number: 10.

Additional information can be found in Tables 43 and 44 in the Data Section of this report.


## peace officers killed

## PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY

Information about peace officers killed in the line of duty was obtained from the Homicide File. Only sworn officers are included. (Non-sworn officers, such as security guards, are excluded.)

Data in Table N -2 show that:

- From 1987-1996, 63 peace officers were killed in the line of duty.
- On average, 6.3 peace officers were killed annually. In 1996, the number was 5.

Table N-2
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED
IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1987-1996

| Year(s) | Number of officers killed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total ........ | 63 |
| 1996 ....... | 5 |
| 1995 ........ | 10 |
| 1994 ....... | 9 |
| 1993 .......... | 8 |
| 1992 .......... | 5 |
| 1991 ...... | 3 |
| 1990 ........ | 5 |
| 1989 ${ }^{\text {a }}$... | 5 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {b }}$. | 9 |
| 1987 | 4 |

[^5]
## peace officers killed

Table N-3
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED
IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Officer by Gender of Officer

| Race/ethnic group | Total | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |
| Total ....................... | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| White ................. | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Hispanic .............. | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Black.................. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Other.................. | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Data in Tables N-3 and N-4 show that:

- In 1996, four peace officers killed in the line of duty were male; one was female. One peace officer was white, two were Hispanic, one was black, and one fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.
- In 1996, four peace officers killed in the line of duty were killed with handguns; one was killed with a knife.

Table N-4
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED
IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1996
By Type of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total | Offender's | Officer's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ................... | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Handgun .......... | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Knife ................ | 1 | 1 | 0 |



## justifiable homicides

## JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES

A justifiable homicide is defined by the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program as the killing of a felon by a private citizen or by a peace officer during the commission of a felony. Justifiable homicides are sometimes referred to as excusable or noncriminal homicides.

When justifiable homicides committed by peace officers or private citizens were examined, it was found that:

In 1996,

- Most felons killed by peace officers were male ( 99 in number or 98.0 percent).
- Most felons killed by private citizens were also male (60 in number or 98.4 percent).

Chart 52
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Gender of Deceased


Source: Table 47.

## justifiable homicides

Chart 53
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Deceased


Source: Table 47.

Chart 54
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Age of Deceased


Source: Table 47.

In 1996,

- Most felons killed by peace officers fell into the " 18 -29 years of age" category ( 42 in number or 41.6 percent).
- Most felons killed by private citizens also fell into the " 18 - 29 years of age" category ( 36 in number or 59.0 percent).


## justifiable homicides

When justifiable homicides committed by peace officers or private citizens were examined by location, it was found that:

In 1996,

- Most felons were killed by peace officers on a street or sidewalk (57 in number or 56.4 percent).
- Most felons killed by private citizens also fell into the "street, sidewalk" category (25 in number or 41.0 percent).

Chart 55
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1996
By Location of Homicide


Source: Table 48.

Chart 56
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Location of Homicide


Source: Table 48.

## justifiable homicides

Chart 57
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1996
By Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 49.

Chart 58
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 49.

When justifiable homicides committed by peace officers or private citizens were examined by contributing circumstance, it was found that:

In 1996,

- Most felons were killed by a peace officer while attacking the officer (81 in number or 80.2 percent).
- Most felons were killed by private citizens during the commission of a crime ( 51 in number or 83.6 percent).


# appendix 

## COMPUTATIONAL FORMULAS

## CRIMES

Crime rate - A crime rate describes the number of crimes reported to law enforcement agencies per 100,000 total population. A crime rate is calculated by dividing the number of reported crimes by the total population; the result is multiplied by 100,000. For example, in 1996 there were 2,910 homicides in California and the population was $32,383,000$. This equals a homicide crime rate of 9.0 per 100,000 general population.

$$
\frac{2,910}{32,383,000}=.0000898 \times 100,000=9.0
$$


#### Abstract

ARRESTS Arrest rate - An arrest rate describes the number of arrests made by law enforcement agencies per 100,000 total population or per 100,000 population considered to be at risk for arrest. Regardless of the population used, both rates are calculated in the same manner. An arrest rate is calculated by dividing the number of reported arrests by the desired population; the result is multiplied by 100,000 . For example, in 1996 there were 2,535 homicide arrests. The total population was $32,383,000$ and the total population at risk ( $10-69$ years of age) was $25,554,242$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2,535}{32,383,000}=.0000782 \times 100,000=7.8 \text { per 100,000 population } \\
& \frac{2,535}{25,554,242}=.0000992 \times 100,000=9.9 \text { per 100,000 population at risk }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Percent change - A percent change describes a change in number or rate from one year to another. A percent change is calculated by subtracting base year data from current year data. The result is divided by base year data and multiplied by 100. For example, in 1996 the homicide crime rate was 9.0 . In 1987 the homicide crime rate was 10.7. The percent change in rate from 1987 to 1996 is a 15.9 percent decrease.

$$
\frac{9.0-10.7}{10.7}=-.1588785 \times 100=-15.9 \text { percent }
$$

Populations at risk - Arrest section data tables include three comparison populations: total (10-69 years of age), adult ( $18-69$ years of age), and juvenile ( $10-17$ years of age).

When a series of rates are calculated using different populations, the rate calculated for the total will not be equal to the sum of the rates calculated for each subtotal. For example, the total arrest rate (calculated using the total at-risk population) will not equal the sum of the adult arrest rate (calculated using the adult at-risk population) and the juvenile arrest rate (calculated using the juvenile at-risk population).

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE GLOSSARY

ACQUITTAL: a judgment of a court, based either on the verdict of a jury or a judicial officer, that the defendant is not guilty of the offense(s) for which he/she was tried.

ADULT: a person 18 years of age or older.
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT: an unlawful attack or attempted attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault usually is accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm (UCR definition).

APPEAL: a petition initiated by a defendant for a rehearing in an appellate court regarding a previous sentence or motion.

ARREST: ". . . taking a person into custody, in a case and in the manner authorized by law. An arrest may be made by a peace officer or by a private person" (834 PC).

ARREST RATE: the number of arrests per 100,000 population. See Computational Formulas following this glossary for further explanation.

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH

 AUTHORITY (CYA): the state agency which has jurisdiction over and maintains institutions as correctional schools for the reception of wards of the juvenile court and other persons committed from lower and superior courts.COMBINED CASES: cases rejected by the prosecutor in favor of other counts/cases.

COMPLAINT: a verified written accusation, filed by a prosecuting attorney with a local criminal court, which charges one or more persons with the commission of one or more offenses.

CONVICTION: a judgment, based either on the verdict of a jury or a judicial officer or on the guilty plea of the defendant, that the defendant is guilty.

CRIME: ". . . an act committed or omitted in violation of a law forbidding or commanding it. . ." (15 PC).

CRIME RATE: the number of reported crimes per 100,000 general population. See Computational Formulas following this glossary for further explanation.

CYA: see California Department of the Youth Authority.
DISMISSAL: a decision by a judicial officer to terminate a case without a determination of guilt or innocence.

DISPOSITION - COURT: an action taken as the result of an appearance in court by a defendant. Examples are: adults - dismissed, acquitted, or convicted; juveniles dismissed, transferred, or remanded to adult court.

DISPOSITION - LAW ENFORCEMENT: an action taken as the result of an arrest. Examples of police dispositions are: adults - released by law enforcement, referred to another jurisdiction, or a misdemeanor or felony complaint sought; juveniles - handled within the department, referred to another agency, or referred to the probation department or juvenile court.

DISPOSITION - PROSECUTOR: an action taken as the result of a complaint requested by an arresting agency. Dispositions include granting a misdemeanor or a felony complaint or denying a complaint for reasons such as lack of sufficient evidence or complainant refuses to testify.

DIVERSION: a disposition of a criminal defendant either before adjudication or following adjudication, but prior to sentencing, in which the court directs the defendant to participate in a work, educational, or rehabilitative program.

DIVERSION DISMISSED: the successful completion of a diversion program.

FELON: one who has committed a felony.
FELONY: a crime which is punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state prison (17 \& 18 PC).

FILING: a document filed with the municipal court clerk or county clerk by a prosecuting attorney alleging that a person committed or attempted to commit a crime.

FORCIBLE RAPE: the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will. Assaults or attempts to commit rape by force or threat of force are included (UCR definition).

HOMICIDE: the willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another. Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter are included (UCR definition).

JAIL: a county or city facility for incarceration of sentenced and unsentenced persons.

JUVENILE: a person under the age of 18 .
LOWER COURT: the court of original or trial jurisdiction for the prosecution of persons accused of misdemeanor or certain felony offenses. Also, lower courts may sentence certain felony offenders as well as conduct preliminary hearings to determine probable cause in cases where felony offenders are subject to the jurisdiction of superior courts.

MISDEMEANOR: a crime punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for up to one year.

## MONTHLY ARREST AND CITATION REGISTER

(MACR): a reporting system used to collect information on adult and juvenile arrests and citations by police and sheriffs' departments. This register contains data on arrest offenses, arrestee characteristics (age, gender, and race/ ethnic group), and law enforcement dispositions.

## OFFENDER-BASED TRANSACTION STATISTICS

(OBTS): a system designed to collect statistical information on the various processes within the criminal justice system that occur between the point of the felony arrest of an adult and the point of final disposition.

OFFENSE: the charged offense is the crime for which the defendant was arrested or filed on by the district attorney. The convicted offense is the offense the defendant was convicted of or pled guilty to in court.

PC (PENAL CODE): the California Penal Code contains statutes that define criminal offenses and specify corresponding punishments. Criminal justice system mandates and procedures are also included.

POPULATION AT RISK: that portion of the total population, who because of like characteristics to the specific study group, are considered "at risk." For example, if one were studying juvenile arrestees, all persons between 10 and 17 years of age would constitute the at-risk population.

PRISON: a state correctional facility where persons are confined following conviction for a felony offense.

PROBATION: a judicial requirement that a person fulfill certain conditions of behavior in lieu of a sentence to confinement. See Straight Probation.

PROBATION WITH JAIL: a type of disposition given upon conviction which imposes a jail term as a condition of probation.

RATE: a comparison of a number of events to a population.

REMAND: to send back (a case) to another court for further action.

ROBBERY: the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force or violence and/or by creating fear in the victim (UCR definition).

SENTENCE: the penalty imposed by a court upon a convicted person.

STRAIGHT PROBATION: probation granted to adults without condition or stipulation that the defendant serve time in jail as a condition of probation.

SUPERIOR COURT: the court of original or trial jurisdiction for felony cases and all juvenile hearings. Also, the first court of appeal for lower court cases.

UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING (UCR): a federal reporting system which compiles crime data based on information submitted by law enforcement agencies throughout the nation. In California, the Department of Justice administers and forwards these law enforcement data to the federal program.

VIOLENT CRIMES: crimes committed against people. This category includes homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.

YOUTH AUTHORITY: see California Department of the Youth Authority.


## Back to the TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1
TABLE 1 , 1987-1996
Number, Rate per 100,000 Population, and Percent Change

| Year(s) | Total | Homicide | Forcible rape | Robbery | Aggravated assault |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996............... | 274,675 | 2,910 | 10,238 | 94,137 | 167,390 |
| 1995............... | 304,998 | 3,530 | 10,550 | 104,581 | 186,337 |
| 1994. | 318,946 | 3,699 | 10,960 | 112,149 | 192,138 |
| 1993............... | 336,100 | 4,095 | 11,754 | 126,347 | 193,904 |
| 1992............... | 345,508 | 3,920 | 12,751 | 130,867 | 197,970 |
| 1991............... | 330,916 | 3,876 | 12,942 | 125,105 | 188,993 |
| 1990. | 311,923 | 3,562 | 12,716 | 112,460 | 183,185 |
| 1989.. | 284,015 | 3,159 | 11,956 | 96,424 | 172,476 |
| 1988. | 261,990 | 2,947 | 11,771 | 86,190 | 161,082 |
| 1987. | 254,137 | 2,929 | 12,114 | 83,373 | 155,721 |
| Percent change in number |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995-1996.. | -9.9 | -17.6 | -3.0 | -10.0 | -10.2 |
| 1994-1995........ | -4.4 | -4.6 | -3.7 | -6.7 | -3.0 |
| 1993-1994......... | -5.1 | -9.7 | -6.8 | -11.2 | -. 9 |
| 1992-1993......... | -2.7 | 4.5 | -7.8 | -3.5 | -2.1 |
| 1991-1992......... | 4.4 | 1.1 | -1.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 1990-1991....... | 6.1 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 3.2 |
| 1989-1990......... | 9.8 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 16.6 | 6.2 |
| 1988-1989......... | 8.4 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 11.9 | 7.1 |
| 1987-1988......... | 3.1 | . 6 | -2.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 1987-1996......... | 8.1 | -. 6 | -15.5 | 12.9 | 7.5 |
| Rate per 100,000 population ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996............... | 848.2 | 9.0 | 31.6 | 290.7 | 516.9 |
| 1995............... | 951.2 | 11.0 | 32.9 | 326.2 | 581.2 |
| 1994............... | 992.4 | 11.5 | 34.1 | 348.9 | 597.8 |
| 1993............... | 1,058.8 | 12.9 | 37.0 | 398.0 | 610.9 |
| 1992............... | 1,103.9 | 12.5 | 40.7 | 418.1 | 632.5 |
| 1991............... | 1,079.8 | 12.6 | 42.2 | 408.2 | 616.7 |
| 1990............... | 1,055.3 | 12.1 | 43.0 | 380.5 | 619.8 |
| 1989. | 987.2 | 11.0 | 41.6 | 335.1 | 599.5 |
| 1988... | 933.7 | 10.5 | 41.9 | 307.2 | 574.0 |
| 1987............... | 927.9 | 10.7 | 44.2 | 304.4 | 568.6 |
| Percent change in rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995-1996......... | -10.8 | -18.2 | -4.0 | -10.9 | -11.1 |
| 1994-1995......... | -4.2 | -4.3 | -3.5 | -6.5 | -2.8 |
| 1993-1994......... | -6.3 | -10.9 | -7.8 | -12.3 | -2.1 |
| 1992-1993......... | -4.1 | 3.2 | -9.1 | -4.8 | -3.4 |
| 1991-1992......... | 2.2 | -. 8 | -3.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 1990-1991........ | 2.3 | 4.1 | -1.9 | 7.3 | -. 5 |
| 1989-1990......... | 6.9 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 13.5 | 3.4 |
| 1988-1989......... | 5.7 | 4.8 | -. 7 | 9.1 | 4.4 |
| 1987-1988......... | . 6 | -1.9 | -5.2 | 9 | . 9 |
| 1987-1996........ | -8.6 | -15.9 | -28.5 | -4.5 | -9.1 |


| Note: Rates may not add to total because of independent rounding |
| :--- | :--- |

Note: Rates may not add to total because of independent rounding.
'Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit,
California Department of Finance.

## TABLE 2

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Gender of Victim
Number, Percent, and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Gender of victim | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims............ | 2,929 | 2,947 | 3,159 | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 |
| Percent of victims........... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Population..................... | 27,388,477 | 28,060,746 | 28,771,207 | 29,557,836 | 30,646,000 | 31,300,000 | 31,742,000 | 32,140,000 | 32,063,000 | 32,383,000 |
| Percent of population....... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Rate............................ | 10.7 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 |
| Male ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 2,223 | 2,258 | 2,495 | 2,881 | 3,140 | 3,220 | 3,338 | 3,090 | 2,901 | 2,368 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 75.9\% | 76.6\% | 79.0\% | 80.9\% | 81.0\% | 82.1\% | 81.5\% | 83.5\% | 82.2\% | 81.4\% |
| Population.................. | 13,815,263 | 14,172,866 | 14,567,709 | 15,005,864 | 15,345,534 | 15,680,019 | 15,826,148 | 16,302,037 | 16,643,729 | 16,979,256 |
| Percent of population..... | 49.8\% | 49.9\% | 50.0\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 49.9\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% |
| Rate......................... | 16.1 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 21.1 | 19.0 | 17.4 | 13.9 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 706 | 689 | 664 | 681 | 736 | 700 | 757 | 609 | 629 | 542 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 24.1\% | 23.4\% | 21.0\% | 19.1\% | 19.0\% | 17.9\% | 18.5\% | 16.5\% | 17.8\% | 18.6\% |
| Population.................. | 13,901,714 | 14,220,282 | 14,574,570 | 14,970,139 | 15,300,542 | 15,620,115 | 15,868,588 | 16,218,103 | 16,545,201 | 16,884,383 |
| Percent of population..... | 50.2\% | 50.1\% | 50.0\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 50.1\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% |
| Rate......................... | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 |

Notes: Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.
Population breakdowns by gender will not add to total because of variations in population source data.
The "percent of population" category for male and female was calculated using the sum of the male and female populations.
The "male" category includes homicide victims whose gender could not be determined: 1987 includes 1,1989 includes 1, 1990 includes 2, 1992 includes 1, 1993 includes 2, 1994 includes 7 , and 1995 includes 6.

TABLE 3
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Victim
Number, Percent, and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Race/ethnic group of victim | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........... | 2,929 | 2,947 | 3,159 | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 |
| Percent of victims........... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Population..................... | 27,388,477 | 28,060,746 | 28,771,207 | 29,557,836 | 30,646,000 | 31,300,000 | 31,742,000 | 32,140,000 | 32,063,000 | 32,383,000 |
| Percent of population....... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Rate........................... | 10.7 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 900 | 948 | 871 | 872 | 971 | 914 | 952 | 771 | 726 | 617 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 30.7\% | 32.2\% | 27.6\% | 24.5\% | 25.1\% | 23.3\% | 23.2\% | 20.8\% | 20.6\% | 21.2\% |
| Population.................... | 16,431,332 | 16,636,033 | 16,887,407 | 17,198,646 | 17,291,782 | 17,362,245 | 17,324,679 | 17,511,489 | 17,593,222 | 17,787,715 |
| Percent of population.... | 59.3\% | 58.6\% | 57.9\% | 57.4\% | 56.4\% | 55.5\% | 54.7\% | 53.8\% | 53.0\% | 52.5\% |
| Rate......................... | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 892 | 917 | 1,115 | 1,495 | 1,542 | 1,686 | 1,631 | 1,572 | 1,615 | 1,291 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 30.5\% | 31.1\% | 35.3\% | 42.0\% | 39.8\% | 43.0\% | 39.8\% | 42.5\% | 45.8\% | 44.4\% |
| Population.................. | 6,728,123 | 7,046,697 | 7,383,779 | 7,740,303 | 8,146,876 | 8,561,349 | 8,906,439 | 9,340,495 | 9,764,691 | 10,114,228 |
| Percent of population.... | 24.3\% | 24.8\% | 25.3\% | 25.8\% | 26.6\% | 27.4\% | 28.1\% | 28.7\% | 29.4\% | 29.9\% |
| Rate......................... | 13.3 | 13.0 | 15.1 | 19.3 | 18.9 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 16.8 | 16.5 | 12.8 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 998 | 917 | 1,011 | 1,017 | 1,101 | 1,073 | 1,249 | 1,111 | 922 | 794 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 34.1\% | 31.1\% | 32.0\% | 28.6\% | 28.4\% | 27.4\% | 30.5\% | 30.0\% | 26.1\% | 27.3\% |
| Population.................. | 1,998,028 | 2,032,643 | 2,072,255 | 2,116,415 | 2,155,334 | 2,191,898 | 2,179,651 | 2,255,738 | 2,293,634 | 2,330,391 |
| Percent of population.... | 7.2\% | 7.2\% | 7.1\% | 7.1\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% |
| Rate......................... | 49.9 | 45.1 | 48.8 | 48.1 | 51.1 | 49.0 | 57.3 | 49.3 | 40.2 | 34.1 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 129 | 151 | 143 | 172 | 247 | 234 | 247 | 226 | 254 | 198 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 4.4\% | 5.1\% | 4.5\% | 4.8\% | 6.4\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.1\% | 7.2\% | 6.8\% |
| Population.................. | 2,559,494 | 2,677,775 | 2,798,838 | 2,920,639 | 3,052,084 | 3,184,642 | 3,283,967 | 3,412,418 | 3,537,383 | 3,631,305 |
| Percent of population.... | 9.2\% | 9.4\% | 9.6\% | 9.7\% | 10.0\% | 10.2\% | 10.4\% | 10.5\% | 10.7\% | 10.7\% |
| Rate......................... | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.5 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 10 | 14 | 19 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 10 |
| Percent of total victims.. | . $3 \%$ | .5\% | .6\% | .2\% | .4\% | . $3 \%$ | . $4 \%$ | .5\% | .4\% | . $3 \%$ |
| Population.................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of population.... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rate......................... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.
Population breakdowns by race/ethnic group will not add to total because of variations in population source data.
Dash indicates that the percent of population and rate for the "unknown" category cannot be calculated because there are no unknown race/ethnic group population data.
The "percent of population" category for race/ethnic group was calculated using the sum of the race/ethnic group populations.

## TABLE 4

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Age of Victim
Number, Percent, and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Age of victim | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........... | 2,929 | 2,947 | 3,159 | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 |
| Percent of victims........... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Population..................... | 27,388,477 | 28,060,746 | 28,771,207 | 29,557,836 | 30,646,000 | 31,300,000 | 31,742,000 | 32,140,000 | 32,063,000 | 32,383,000 |
| Percent of population....... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Rate............................ | 10.7 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 |
| Under 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 260 | 281 | 361 | 428 | 483 | 489 | 512 | 470 | 519 | 421 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 8.9\% | 9.5\% | 11.4\% | 12.0\% | 12.5\% | 12.5\% | 12.5\% | 12.7\% | 14.7\% | 14.5\% |
| Population.................. | 7,412,409 | 7,537,277 | 7,670,041 | 7,869,864 | 8,123,819 | 8,391,266 | 8,651,941 | 8,917,191 | 9,191,662 | 9,456,115 |
| Percent of population.... | 26.7\% | 26.5\% | 26.3\% | 26.3\% | 26.5\% | 26.8\% | 27.3\% | 27.4\% | 27.7\% | 27.9\% |
| Rate......................... | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 4.5 |
| 18-29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 1,263 | 1,266 | 1,382 | 1,640 | 1,717 | 1,719 | 1,763 | 1,603 | 1,510 | 1,183 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 43.1\% | 43.0\% | 43.7\% | 46.0\% | 44.3\% | 43.9\% | 43.1\% | 43.3\% | 42.8\% | 40.7\% |
| Population.................. | 6,142,292 | 6,232,694 | 6,333,004 | 6,360,597 | 6,246,754 | 6,171,771 | 5,863,383 | 5,934,537 | 5,854,943 | 5,770,311 |
| Percent of population.... | 22.2\% | 22.0\% | 21.7\% | 21.2\% | 20.4\% | 19.7\% | 18.5\% | 18.2\% | 17.6\% | 17.0\% |
| Rate......................... | 20.6 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 25.8 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 30.1 | 27.0 | 25.8 | 20.5 |
| 30-39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 660 | 662 | 713 | 765 | 863 | 842 | 934 | 861 | 737 | 630 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 22.5\% | 22.5\% | 22.6\% | 21.5\% | 22.3\% | 21.5\% | 22.8\% | 23.3\% | 20.9\% | 21.6\% |
| Population.................. | 4,755,792 | 4,919,327 | 5,111,913 | 5,337,491 | 5,510,403 | 5,656,892 | 5,747,693 | 5,874,969 | 5,942,572 | 5,968,805 |
| Percent of population.... | 17.2\% | 17.3\% | 17.5\% | 17.8\% | 18.0\% | 18.1\% | 18.1\% | 18.1\% | 17.9\% | 17.6\% |
| Rate......................... | 13.9 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 15.7 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 10.6 |
| 40 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 696 | 697 | 666 | 683 | 754 | 834 | 842 | 698 | 719 | 636 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 23.8\% | 23.7\% | 21.1\% | 19.2\% | 19.5\% | 21.3\% | 20.6\% | 18.9\% | 20.4\% | 21.9\% |
| Population.................. | 9,406,484 | 9,703,850 | 10,027,321 | 10,408,051 | 10,765,100 | 11,080,205 | 11,431,719 | 11,793,443 | 12,199,753 | 12,668,408 |
| Percent of population.... | 33.9\% | 34.2\% | 34.4\% | 34.7\% | 35.1\% | 35.4\% | 36.1\% | 36.3\% | 36.8\% | 37.4\% |
| Rate......................... | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.0 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 50 | 41 | 37 | 46 | 59 | 36 | 44 | 67 | 45 | 40 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | . $9 \%$ | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Population.................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of population.... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rate......................... | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding
Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance
Population breakdowns by age will not add to total because of variations in population source data
Dash indicates that the percent of population and rate for the "unknown" category cannot be calculated because there are no unknown age population data.
The "percent of population" category for age group was calculated using the sum of the age populations.

TABLE 5
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Gender of Victim

| Year(s) | Total |  | Male ${ }^{1}$ |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996............. | 2,910 | 100.0 | 2,368 | 81.4 | 542 | 18.6 |
| 1995.............. | 3,530 | 100.0 | 2,901 | 82.2 | 629 | 17.8 |
| 1994... | 3,699 | 100.0 | 3,090 | 83.5 | 609 | 16.5 |
| 1993. | 4,095 | 100.0 | 3,338 | 81.5 | 757 | 18.5 |
| 1992. | 3,920 | 100.0 | 3,220 | 82.1 | 700 | 17.9 |
| 1991............. | 3,876 | 100.0 | 3,140 | 81.0 | 736 | 19.0 |
| 1990............ | 3,562 | 100.0 | 2,881 | 80.9 | 681 | 19.1 |
| 1989............. | 3,159 | 100.0 | 2,495 | 79.0 | 664 | 21.0 |
| 1988............. | 2,947 | 100.0 | 2,258 | 76.6 | 689 | 23.4 |
| 1987.............. | 2,929 | 100.0 | 2,223 | 75.9 | 706 | 24.1 |

'The "male" category includes homicide victims whose gender could not be determined: 1987 includes 1 ,
1989 includes 1, 1990 includes 2, 1992 includes 1, 1993 includes 2, 1994 includes 7, and 1995
includes 6 .

TABLE 6
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

| Year(s) | Total including unknown | Unknown | Known race/ethnic group of victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996............ | 2,910 | 10 | 2,900 | 100.0 | 617 | 21.3 | 1,291 | 44.5 | 794 | 27.4 | 198 | 6.8 |
| 1995.............. | 3,530 | 13 | 3,517 | 100.0 | 726 | 20.6 | 1,615 | 45.9 | 922 | 26.2 | 254 | 7.2 |
| 1994.............. | 3,699 | 19 | 3,680 | 100.0 | 771 | 21.0 | 1,572 | 42.7 | 1,111 | 30.2 | 226 | 6.1 |
| 1993.............. | 4,095 | 16 | 4,079 | 100.0 | 952 | 23.3 | 1,631 | 40.0 | 1,249 | 30.6 | 247 | 6.1 |
| 1992............. | 3,920 | 13 | 3,907 | 100.0 | 914 | 23.4 | 1,686 | 43.2 | 1,073 | 27.5 | 234 | 6.0 |
| 1991.............. | 3,876 | 15 | 3,861 | 100.0 | 971 | 25.1 | 1,542 | 39.9 | 1,101 | 28.5 | 247 | 6.4 |
| 1990.............. | 3,562 | 6 | 3,556 | 100.0 | 872 | 24.5 | 1,495 | 42.0 | 1,017 | 28.6 | 172 | 4.8 |
| 1989.............. | 3,159 | 19 | 3,140 | 100.0 | 871 | 27.7 | 1,115 | 35.5 | 1,011 | 32.2 | 143 | 4.6 |
| 1988............. | 2,947 | 14 | 2,933 | 100.0 | 948 | 32.3 | 917 | 31.3 | 917 | 31.3 | 151 | 5.1 |
| 1987.............. | 2,929 | 10 | 2,919 | 100.0 | 900 | 30.8 | 892 | 30.6 | 998 | 34.2 | 129 | 4.4 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

IABLE 7
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Age of Victim

| Year(s) | Total including unknown | Unknown | Known age of victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Under 18 |  | 18-29 |  | 30-39 |  | 40 and over |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996............. | 2,910 | 40 | 2,870 | 100.0 | 421 | 14.7 | 1,183 | 41.2 | 630 | 22.0 | 636 | 22.2 |
| 1995............. | 3,530 | 45 | 3,485 | 100.0 | 519 | 14.9 | 1,510 | 43.3 | 737 | 21.1 | 719 | 20.6 |
| 1994............. | 3,699 | 67 | 3,632 | 100.0 | 470 | 12.9 | 1,603 | 44.1 | 861 | 23.7 | 698 | 19.2 |
| 1993............. | 4,095 | 44 | 4,051 | 100.0 | 512 | 12.6 | 1,763 | 43.5 | 934 | 23.1 | 842 | 20.8 |
| 1992............. | 3,920 | 36 | 3,884 | 100.0 | 489 | 12.6 | 1,719 | 44.3 | 842 | 21.7 | 834 | 21.5 |
| 1991............. | 3,876 | 59 | 3,817 | 100.0 | 483 | 12.7 | 1,717 | 45.0 | 863 | 22.6 | 754 | 19.8 |
| 1990............. | 3,562 | 46 | 3,516 | 100.0 | 428 | 12.2 | 1,640 | 46.6 | 765 | 21.8 | 683 | 19.4 |
| 1989............. | 3,159 | 37 | 3,122 | 100.0 | 361 | 11.6 | 1,382 | 44.3 | 713 | 22.8 | 666 | 21.3 |
| 1988............. | 2,947 | 41 | 2,906 | 100.0 | 281 | 9.7 | 1,266 | 43.6 | 662 | 22.8 | 697 | 24.0 |
| 1987............. | 2,929 | 50 | 2,879 | 100.0 | 260 | 9.0 | 1,263 | 43.9 | 660 | 22.9 | 696 | 24.2 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

TABLE 8
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Gender of Victim

| Gender of victim | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total............. | 2,910 | 100.0 | 617 | 100.0 | 1,291 | 100.0 | 794 | 100.0 | 198 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 |
| Male.......... | 2,368 | 81.4 | 417 | 67.6 | 1,145 | 88.7 | 648 | 81.6 | 153 | 77.3 | 5 | - |
| Female........ | 542 | 18.6 | 200 | 32.4 | 146 | 11.3 | 146 | 18.4 | 45 | 22.7 | 5 | - |

Note: Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

TABLE 9
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Age of Victim

| Age of victim | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown. | 2,910 |  | 617 |  | 1,291 |  | 794 |  | 198 |  | 10 |  |
| Unknown................. | 40 |  | 6 |  | 17 |  | 9 |  | 0 |  | 8 |  |
| Total known.............. | 2,870 | 100.0 | 611 | 100.0 | 1,274 | 100.0 | 785 | 100.0 | 198 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| Under 18.............. | 421 | 14.7 | 67 | 11.0 | 243 | 19.1 | 82 | 10.4 | 27 | 13.6 | 2 | - |
| 18-29................... | 1,183 | 41.2 | 134 | 21.9 | 611 | 48.0 | 358 | 45.6 | 80 | 40.4 | 0 | - |
| 30-39................... | 630 | 22.0 | 139 | 22.7 | 248 | 19.5 | 208 | 26.5 | 35 | 17.7 | 0 | - |
| 40 and over........... | 636 | 22.2 | 271 | 44.4 | 172 | 13.5 | 137 | 17.5 | 56 | 28.3 | 0 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

I ABLE 10
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Hace/Ethnıc Group of Victım by Gender and Age of Vıctım

| Gender and age of victim | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total................ | 2,910 | 100.0 | 617 | 100.0 | 1,291 | 100.0 | 794 | 100.0 | 198 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 |
| Under 18......... | 421 | 14.5 | 67 | 10.9 | 243 | 18.8 | 82 | 10.3 | 27 | 13.6 | 2 | - |
| 18-19............ | 259 | 8.9 | 20 | 3.2 | 155 | 12.0 | 72 | 9.1 | 12 | 6.1 | 0 | - |
| 20-24............ | 487 | 16.7 | 51 | 8.3 | 261 | 20.2 | 137 | 17.3 | 38 | 19.2 | 0 | - |
| 25-29............ | 437 | 15.0 | 63 | 10.2 | 195 | 15.1 | 149 | 18.8 | 30 | 15.2 | 0 | - |
| 30-34............ | 355 | 12.2 | 64 | 10.4 | 148 | 11.5 | 120 | 15.1 | 23 | 11.6 | 0 | - |
| 35-39............ | 275 | 9.5 | 75 | 12.2 | 100 | 7.7 | 88 | 11.1 | 12 | 6.1 | 0 | - |
| 40-44............ | 198 | 6.8 | 61 | 9.9 | 71 | 5.5 | 50 | 6.3 | 16 | 8.1 | 0 | - |
| 45-49............ | 150 | 5.2 | 54 | 8.8 | 47 | 3.6 | 40 | 5.0 | 9 | 4.5 | 0 | - |
| 50-54............ | 85 | 2.9 | 35 | 5.7 | 23 | 1.8 | 17 | 2.1 | 10 | 5.1 | 0 | - |
| 55 and over.... | 203 | 7.0 | 121 | 19.6 | 31 | 2.4 | 30 | 3.8 | 21 | 10.6 | 0 | - |
| Unknown........ | 40 | 1.4 | 6 | 1.0 | 17 | 1.3 | 9 | 1.1 | 0 | . 0 | 8 | - |
| Male.............. | 2,368 | 100.0 | 417 | 100.0 | 1,145 | 100.0 | 648 | 100.0 | 153 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 |
| Under 18..... | 317 | 13.4 | 36 | 8.6 | 199 | 17.4 | 64 | 9.9 | 17 | 11.1 | 1 | - |
| 18-19.......... | 240 | 10.1 | 17 | 4.1 | 150 | 13.1 | 62 | 9.6 | 11 | 7.2 | 0 | - |
| 20-24......... | 437 | 18.5 | 37 | 8.9 | 243 | 21.2 | 124 | 19.1 | 33 | 21.6 | 0 | - |
| 25-29......... | 379 | 16.0 | 49 | 11.8 | 180 | 15.7 | 128 | 19.8 | 22 | 14.4 | 0 | - |
| 30-34......... | 298 | 12.6 | 48 | 11.5 | 131 | 11.4 | 102 | 15.7 | 17 | 11.1 | 0 | - |
| 35-39.......... | 213 | 9.0 | 56 | 13.4 | 86 | 7.5 | 62 | 9.6 | 9 | 5.9 | 0 | - |
| 40-44.......... | 150 | 6.3 | 43 | 10.3 | 57 | 5.0 | 35 | 5.4 | 15 | 9.8 | 0 | - |
| 45-49......... | 108 | 4.6 | 38 | 9.1 | 38 | 3.3 | 25 | 3.9 | 7 | 4.6 | 0 | - |
| 50-54.......... | 60 | 2.5 | 20 | 4.8 | 18 | 1.6 | 15 | 2.3 | 7 | 4.6 | 0 | - |
| 55 and over.. | 137 | 5.8 | 70 | 16.8 | 28 | 2.4 | 24 | 3.7 | 15 | 9.8 | 0 | - |
| Unknown..... | 29 | 1.2 | 3 | . 7 | 15 | 1.3 | 7 | 1.1 | 0 | . 0 | 4 | - |
| Female........... | 542 | 100.0 | 200 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 |
| Under 18..... | 104 | 19.2 | 31 | 15.5 | 44 | 30.1 | 18 | 12.3 | 10 | - | 1 | - |
| 18-19......... | 19 | 3.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 5 | 3.4 | 10 | 6.8 | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| 20-24.......... | 50 | 9.2 | 14 | 7.0 | 18 | 12.3 | 13 | 8.9 | 5 | - | 0 | - |
| 25-29......... | 58 | 10.7 | 14 | 7.0 | 15 | 10.3 | 21 | 14.4 | 8 | - | 0 | - |
| 30-34......... | 57 | 10.5 | 16 | 8.0 | 17 | 11.6 | 18 | 12.3 | 6 | - | 0 | - |
| 35-39......... | 62 | 11.4 | 19 | 9.5 | 14 | 9.6 | 26 | 17.8 | 3 | - | 0 | - |
| 40-44......... | 48 | 8.9 | 18 | 9.0 | 14 | 9.6 | 15 | 10.3 | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| 45-49......... | 42 | 7.7 | 16 | 8.0 | 9 | 6.2 | 15 | 10.3 | 2 | - | 0 | - |
| 50-54......... | 25 | 4.6 | 15 | 7.5 | 5 | 3.4 | 2 | 1.4 | 3 | - | 0 | - |
| 55 and over.. | 66 | 12.2 | 51 | 25.5 | 3 | 2.1 | 6 | 4.1 | 6 | - | 0 | - |
| Unknown..... | 11 | 2.0 | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.4 | 0 | - | 4 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
| ABLL 11
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Kelationship of victim to Uitender

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Relationship } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { victim to offender } \end{gathered}$ | 1987 |  | 1988 |  | 1989 |  | 1990 |  | 1991 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown........ | 2,929 |  | 2,947 |  | 3,159 |  | 3,562 |  | 3,876 |  |
| Unknown......................... | 411 |  | 822 |  | 885 |  | 1,020 |  | 1,318 |  |
| Total known..................... | 2,518 | 100.0 | 2,125 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 | 2,542 | 100.0 | 2,558 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . . .$. | 1,403 | 55.7 | 1,189 | 56.0 | 1,163 | 51.1 | 1,328 | 52.2 | 1,285 | 50.2 |
| Spouse, parent, child......... | 309 | 12.3 | 277 | 13.0 | 268 | 11.8 | 267 | 10.5 | 286 | 11.2 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2}$..................... | 180 | 7.1 | 163 | 7.7 | 147 | 6.5 | 156 | 6.1 | 146 | 5.7 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 129 | 5.1 | 114 | 5.4 | 121 | 5.3 | 111 | 4.4 | 140 | 5.5 |
| All other relatives............. | 72 | 2.9 | 79 | 3.7 | 109 | 4.8 | 76 | 3.0 | 90 | 3.5 |
| Stranger........................ | 734 | 29.2 | 580 | 27.3 | 734 | 32.3 | 871 | 34.3 | 897 | 35.1 |


| Relationshipofvictim to offender (cont.) | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1987- \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1995- \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total including unknown........ | 3,920 |  | 4,095 |  | 3,699 |  | 3,530 |  | 2,910 |  |  |  |
| Unknown.......................... | 1,011 |  | 1,166 |  | 994 |  | 947 |  | 728 |  |  |  |
| Total known... | 2,909 | 100.0 | 2,929 | 100.0 | 2,705 | 100.0 | 2,583 | 100.0 | 2,182 | 100.0 | -13.3 | -15.5 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1}$. | 1,677 | 57.6 | 1,706 | 58.2 | 1,680 | 62.1 | 1,359 | 52.6 | 1,075 | 49.3 | -23.4 | -20.9 |
| Spouse, parent, child......... | 291 | 10.0 | 270 | 9.2 | 239 | 8.8 | 260 | 10.1 | 261 | 12.0 | -15.5 | . 4 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2}$..................... | 139 | 4.8 | 130 | 4.4 | 113 | 4.2 | 123 | 4.8 | 115 | 5.3 | -36.1 | -6.5 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 152 | 5.2 | 140 | 4.8 | 126 | 4.7 | 137 | 5.3 | 146 | 6.7 | 13.2 | 6.6 |
| All other relatives............. | 86 | 3.0 | 87 | 3.0 | 77 | 2.8 | 77 | 3.0 | 43 | 2.0 | -40.3 | -44.2 |
| Stranger........................ | 855 | 29.4 | 866 | 29.6 | 709 | 26.2 | 887 | 34.3 | 803 | 36.8 | 9.4 | -9.5 |

Note: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
${ }^{1}$ Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
${ }^{2}$ Includes "common-law" marriage partner
${ }^{3}$ Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender

| ```Relationship of victim to offender``` | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,910 | 2,368 | 542 | 617 | 1,291 | 794 | 198 | 10 |
| Unknown.......................... | 728 | 615 | 113 | 111 | 341 | 219 | 49 | 8 |
| Total known...................... | 2,182 | 1,753 | 429 | 506 | 950 | 575 | 149 | 2 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . .$. | 1,075 | 908 | 167 | 227 | 487 | 298 | 62 | 1 |
| Spouse, parent, child........ | 261 | 84 | 177 | 114 | 82 | 45 | 19 | 1 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 115 | 10 | 105 | 52 | 33 | 21 | 9 | 0 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 146 | 74 | 72 | 62 | 49 | 24 | 10 | 1 |
| All other relatives............. | 43 | 32 | 11 | 23 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Stranger........................ | 803 | 729 | 74 | 142 | 369 | 228 | 64 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known...................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . .$. | 49.3 | 51.8 | 38.9 | 44.9 | 51.3 | 51.8 | 41.6 | - |
| Spouse, parent, child......... | 12.0 | 4.8 | 41.3 | 22.5 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 12.8 | - |
| Spouse ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 5.3 | . 6 | 24.5 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 6.0 | - |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 6.7 | 4.2 | 16.8 | 12.3 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 6.7 | - |
| All other relatives............. | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 1.3 | . 7 | 2.7 | - |
| Stranger......................... | 36.8 | 41.6 | 17.2 | 28.1 | 38.8 | 39.7 | 43.0 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

## TABLE 13

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender

| Relationship of victim to offender | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,910 | 421 | 1,183 | 630 | 636 | 40 |
| Unknown........................ | 728 | 71 | 327 | 173 | 133 | 24 |
| Total known.................... | 2,182 | 350 | 856 | 457 | 503 | 16 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . .$. | 1,075 | 156 | 488 | 222 | 201 | 8 |
| Spouse, parent, child........ | 261 | 105 | 31 | 37 | 87 | 1 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 115 | 1 | 28 | 34 | 51 | 1 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 146 | 104 | 3 | 3 | 36 | 0 |
| All other relatives............ | 43 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
| Stranger....................... | 803 | 79 | 321 | 190 | 206 | 7 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known..................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . .$. | 49.3 | 44.6 | 57.0 | 48.6 | 40.0 | - |
| Spouse, parent, child........ | 12.0 | 30.0 | 3.6 | 8.1 | 17.3 | - |
| Spouse ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 5.3 | . 3 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 10.1 | - |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 6.7 | 29.7 | . 4 | . 7 | 7.2 | - |
| All other relatives............ | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | - |
| Stranger....................... | 36.8 | 22.6 | 37.5 | 41.6 | 41.0 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
${ }^{1}$ Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
${ }^{2}$ Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
${ }^{3}$ Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

I ABLE 14
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statewide total...... | 2,929 | 2,947 | 3,159 | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 |
| Alameda............. | 147 | 159 | 172 | 188 | 199 | 214 | 199 | 187 | 196 | 142 |
| Alpine................ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amador.............. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Butte................. | 16 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 10 |
| Calaveras........... | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Colusa............... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Contra Costa....... | 51 | 75 | 78 | 70 | 107 | 86 | 113 | 120 | 80 | 71 |
| Del Norte........... | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| El Dorado............ | 10 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Fresno............... | 67 | 62 | 67 | 92 | 81 | 126 | 127 | 122 | 105 | 93 |
| Glenn................ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Humboldt........... | 6 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 3 |
| Imperial.............. | 8 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 13 |
| Inyo.................. | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kern................. | 61 | 65 | 46 | 60 | 61 | 49 | 73 | 92 | 71 | 52 |
| Kings................. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| Lake................. | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Lassen.............. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Los Angeles........ | 1,412 | 1,349 | 1,587 | 1,768 | 1,856 | 1,919 | 1,944 | 1,669 | 1,682 | 1,398 |
| Madera.............. | 18 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 11 |
| Marin................. | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| Mariposa............ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mendocino.......... | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Merced.............. | 8 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 8 |
| Modoc............... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mono................. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Monterey........... | 15 | 12 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 33 | 32 | 40 | 26 | 23 |
| Napa................. | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Nevada.............. | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Orange.............. | 90 | 122 | 145 | 149 | 155 | 173 | 196 | 171 | 166 | 111 |

TABLE 14
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Placer............... | 12 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 5 |
| Plumas............. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Riverside........... | 98 | 83 | 115 | 125 | 159 | 128 | 159 | 166 | 133 | 111 |
| Sacramento........ | 109 | 101 | 70 | 89 | 120 | 91 | 145 | 126 | 103 | 93 |
| San Benito......... | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| San Bernardino... | 143 | 145 | 178 | 202 | 218 | 233 | 256 | 243 | 225 | 185 |
| San Diego.......... | 176 | 228 | 191 | 216 | 278 | 245 | 245 | 206 | 198 | 166 |
| San Francisco..... | 104 | 92 | 73 | 101 | 96 | 117 | 129 | 92 | 99 | 82 |
| San Joaquin....... | 51 | 66 | 60 | 78 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 64 | 62 | 66 |
| San Luis Obispo.. | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 5 |
| San Mateo......... | 29 | 30 | 35 | 43 | 45 | 55 | 33 | 26 | 35 | 11 |
| Santa Barbara..... | 13 | 24 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| Santa Clara....... | 49 | 69 | 56 | 57 | 77 | 68 | 61 | 56 | 56 | 48 |
| Santa Cruz......... | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Shasta.............. | 13 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 9 |
| Sierra............... | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siskiyou............ | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Solano.............. | 29 | 25 | 23 | 17 | 30 | 24 | 27 | 44 | 27 | 22 |
| Sonoma............ | 19 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 6 | 24 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| Stanislaus......... | 21 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 39 | 23 | 27 | 32 | 28 |
| Sutter............... | 7 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Tehama............ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Trinity............... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Tulare............... | 34 | 28 | 25 | 27 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 42 | 39 | 22 |
| Tuolumne.......... | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Ventura............. | 18 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 38 | 29 | 42 | 28 | 26 | 33 |
| Yolo................. | 14 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 7 |
| Yuba................ | 4 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Rate per 100,000 population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statewide rate........ | 10.7 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 |
| Alameda............ | 12.0 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 10.4 |
| Alpine................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador.............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

IABLE 14
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By County
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butte................ | 9.6 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 5.1 |
| Calaveras........... | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colusa.............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa....... | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 9.2 | 8.1 |
| Del Norte........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado........... | 9.5 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.4 |
| Fresno............... | 11.1 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 17.4 | 17.1 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 12.1 |
| Glenn................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt........... | 5.3 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 2.4 |
| Imperial............. | 8.0 | 8.8 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 11.3 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 9.2 |
| Inyo.................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern................. | 12.4 | 12.9 | 8.9 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 11.5 | 8.3 |
| Kings................ | - | - | - | 5.0 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 8.6 |
| Lake................. | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Lassen.............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles........ | 16.7 | 15.7 | 18.2 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 18.1 | 18.0 | 14.9 |
| Madera............. | - | - | - | - | - | 11.0 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 10.0 |
| Marin................ | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.7 | . 8 | 2.9 | . 8 |
| Mariposa............ | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mendocino......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced............. | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| Modoc............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mono................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey........... | 4.5 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 7.2 | 6.4 |
| Napa................ | 1.9 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | . 0 |
| Nevada............. |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Orange.............. | 4.0 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 4.2 |
| Placer.............. | 8.1 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Plumas............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside........... | 10.7 | 8.4 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 8.0 |
| Sacramento........ | 11.7 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 8.2 |
| San Benito......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 14
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Bernardino.... | 12.4 | 11.8 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 11.6 |
| San Diego.......... | 7.9 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.2 |
| San Francisco..... | 14.1 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 17.2 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 10.7 |
| San Joaquin....... | 11.5 | 14.5 | 12.9 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 12.4 |
| San Luis Obispo.. | 3.1 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 4.4 | . 0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| San Mateo......... | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 1.6 |
| Santa Barbara..... | 3.7 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Santa Clara......... | 3.4 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| Santa Cruz......... | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
| Shasta.............. | 9.8 | 8.1 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 5.6 |
| Sierra................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano.............. | 9.9 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 5.9 |
| Sonoma............ | 5.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| Stanislaus......... | 6.6 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 6.7 |
| Sutter............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare............... | 11.7 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 6.2 |
| Tuolumne......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura............. | 2.9 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Yolo................. | 11.1 | 7.6 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 4.6 |
| Yuba................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance

TABLE 15
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Season and Month of Incident

| Season and month of incident | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown...... | 2,929 | 2,947 | 3,159 | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 |
| Unknown...................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known.................. | 2,929 | 2,947 | 3,159 | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 |
| Spring....................... | 747 | 705 | 723 | 866 | 872 | 942 | 1,002 | 925 | 806 | 682 |
| March.................... | 254 | 229 | 244 | 286 | 271 | 293 | 328 | 316 | 272 | 201 |
| April...................... | 239 | 223 | 243 | 273 | 284 | 315 | 315 | 307 | 255 | 225 |
| May....................... | 254 | 253 | 236 | 307 | 317 | 334 | 359 | 302 | 279 | 256 |
| Summer.................... | 745 | 792 | 843 | 971 | 1,121 | 1,060 | 1,134 | 942 | 978 | 745 |
| June...................... | 249 | 230 | 244 | 283 | 380 | 312 | 391 | 307 | 270 | 254 |
| July....................... | 237 | 276 | 299 | 339 | 350 | 372 | 383 | 305 | 333 | 272 |
| August................... | 259 | 286 | 300 | 349 | 391 | 376 | 360 | 330 | 375 | 219 |
| Fall.......................... | 709 | 759 | 799 | 910 | 1,034 | 998 | 1,033 | 930 | 956 | 699 |
| September............... | 226 | 267 | 265 | 329 | 352 | 333 | 337 | 310 | 326 | 256 |
| October.................. | 257 | 254 | 283 | 341 | 372 | 319 | 369 | 345 | 345 | 212 |
| November................ | 226 | 238 | 251 | 240 | 310 | 346 | 327 | 275 | 285 | 231 |
| Winter...................... | 728 | 691 | 794 | 815 | 849 | 920 | 926 | 902 | 790 | 784 |
| December................ | 255 | 259 | 273 | 264 | 306 | 307 | 332 | 293 | 281 | 238 |
| January.................. | 224 | 236 | 275 | 292 | 289 | 310 | 304 | 315 | 279 | 296 |
| February.................. | 249 | 196 | 246 | 259 | 254 | 303 | 290 | 294 | 230 | 250 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.................. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Spring...................... | 25.5 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 24.3 | 22.5 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 23.4 |
| March. | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 6.9 |
| April...................... | 8.2 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.7 |
| May....................... | 8.7 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.8 |
| Summer.................... | 25.4 | 26.9 | 26.7 | 27.3 | 28.9 | 27.0 | 27.7 | 25.5 | 27.7 | 25.6 |
| June...................... | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 8.7 |
| July....................... | 8.1 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
| August................... | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 7.5 |
| Fall.......................... | 24.2 | 25.8 | 25.3 | 25.5 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 27.1 | 24.0 |
| September............... | 7.7 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 8.8 |
| October.... | 8.8 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 7.3 |
| November................ | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 7.9 |
| Winter....................... | 24.9 | 23.4 | 25.1 | 22.9 | 21.9 | 23.5 | 22.6 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 26.9 |
| December................ | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| January.................. | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 10.2 |
| February................. | 8.5 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 8.6 |

Note: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.

TABLE 16
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Day of Incident

| Day of incident | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown....... | 2,910 | 2,368 | 542 | 617 | 1,291 | 794 | 198 | 10 |
| Unknown....................... | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total known................... | 2,905 | 2,365 | 540 | 616 | 1,289 | 793 | 197 | 10 |
| Weekday.................... | 1,839 | 1,455 | 384 | 418 | 739 | 537 | 139 | 6 |
| Monday................... | 364 | 297 | 67 | 80 | 144 | 114 | 25 | 1 |
| Tuesday.................. | 354 | 281 | 73 | 92 | 137 | 100 | 25 | 0 |
| Wednesday............... | 316 | 247 | 69 | 69 | 134 | 81 | 32 | 0 |
| Thursday.................. | 402 | 317 | 85 | 98 | 149 | 120 | 31 | 4 |
| Friday...................... | 403 | 313 | 90 | 79 | 175 | 122 | 26 | 1 |
| Weekend.................... | 1,066 | 910 | 156 | 198 | 550 | 256 | 58 | 4 |
| Saturday.................. | 551 | 465 | 86 | 112 | 270 | 137 | 30 | 2 |
| Sunday.................... | 515 | 445 | 70 | 86 | 280 | 119 | 28 | 2 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Weekday.................... | 63.3 | 61.5 | 71.1 | 67.9 | 57.3 | 67.7 | 70.6 | - |
| Monday................... | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 11.2 | 14.4 | 12.7 | - |
| Tuesday.................. | 12.2 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 14.9 | 10.6 | 12.6 | 12.7 | - |
| Wednesday............... | 10.9 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 16.2 | - |
| Thursday................. | 13.8 | 13.4 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 11.6 | 15.1 | 15.7 | - |
| Friday..................... | 13.9 | 13.2 | 16.7 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 13.2 | - |
| Weekend.................... | 36.7 | 38.5 | 28.9 | 32.1 | 42.7 | 32.3 | 29.4 | - |
| Saturday................. | 19.0 | 19.7 | 15.9 | 18.2 | 20.9 | 17.3 | 15.2 | - |
| Sunday................... | 17.7 | 18.8 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 21.7 | 15.0 | 14.2 | - |
| Average daily number of incidents ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday.................... | 7.0 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | . 5 | . 0 |
| Weekend.................... | 10.3 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 2.5 | . 6 | . 0 |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
Average daily number of incidents may not add to totals because of independent rounding
There were 366 days in 1996; 262 weekdays and 104 weekend days. The average daily number of incidents for weekdays was calculated by dividing weekday totals by 262 . The average daily number of incidents for weekends was calculated by dividing weekend totals by 104 .

## TABLE 17

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Day of Inciden

| Day of incident | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40 and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown....... | 2,910 | 421 | 1,183 | 630 | 636 | 40 |
| Unknown....................... | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total known................... | 2,905 | 420 | 1,181 | 630 | 634 | 40 |
| Weekday.................... | 1,839 | 278 | 699 | 405 | 431 | 26 |
| Monday................... | 364 | 55 | 138 | 86 | 78 | 7 |
| Tuesday.................. | 354 | 51 | 124 | 73 | 103 | 3 |
| Wednesday............... | 316 | 47 | 120 | 72 | 74 | 3 |
| Thursday.................. | 402 | 66 | 159 | 85 | 85 | 7 |
| Friday...................... | 403 | 59 | 158 | 89 | 91 | 6 |
| Weekend.................... | 1,066 | 142 | 482 | 225 | 203 | 14 |
| Saturday................... | 551 | 75 | 235 | 121 | 114 | 6 |
| Sunday.................... | 515 | 67 | 247 | 104 | 89 | 8 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Weekday..................... | 63.3 | 66.2 | 59.2 | 64.3 | 68.0 | - |
| Monday................... | 12.5 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 12.3 | - |
| Tuesday................... | 12.2 | 12.1 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 16.2 | - |
| Wednesday............... | 10.9 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 11.7 | - |
| Thursday.................. | 13.8 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.4 | - |
| Friday...................... | 13.9 | 14.0 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 14.4 | - |
| Weekend.................... | 36.7 | 33.8 | 40.8 | 35.7 | 32.0 | - |
| Saturday................... | 19.0 | 17.9 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 18.0 | - |
| Sunday.................... | 17.7 | 16.0 | 20.9 | 16.5 | 14.0 | - |
| Average daily number of incidents ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday.................... | 7.0 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | . 1 |
| Weekend.................... | 10.3 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | . 1 |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
Average daily number of incidents may not add to totals because of independent rounding
There were 366 days in 1996; 262 weekdays and 104 weekend days. The average daily number of incidents for weekday was calculated by dividing weekday totals by 262. The average daily number of incidents for weekends was calculated by dividing weekend totals by 104 .

I ABLE 18
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Location of Homicide


Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that data are unavailable or that a percent change is not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

TABLE 19
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Location of Homicide

| Location of homicide | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown.......... | 2,910 | 2,368 | 542 | 617 | 1,291 | 794 | 198 | 10 |
| Unknown.......................... | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known....................... | 2,908 | 2,366 | 542 | 616 | 1,290 | 794 | 198 | 10 |
| Victim's, shared residence... | 807 | 502 | 305 | 282 | 267 | 203 | 55 | 0 |
| Victim's residence........... | 545 | 397 | 148 | 172 | 187 | 149 | 37 | 0 |
| Shared residence............ | 262 | 105 | 157 | 110 | 80 | 54 | 18 | 0 |
| Street, sidewalk................ | 1,165 | 1,093 | 72 | 129 | 611 | 378 | 46 | 1 |
| All other......................... | 936 | 771 | 165 | 205 | 412 | 213 | 97 | 9 |
| Hotel, motel.................. | 35 | 29 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 0 |
| Other residence.............. | 204 | 171 | 33 | 49 | 100 | 45 | 10 | 0 |
| Liquor store................... | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Bar... | 39 | 34 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| Other business............... | 104 | 86 | 18 | 29 | 31 | 15 | 29 | 0 |
| Parking lot.................... | 101 | 90 | 11 | 18 | 44 | 31 | 7 | 1 |
| Vehicle....................... | 242 | 201 | 41 | 28 | 119 | 70 | 25 | 0 |
| Field, park.................... | 178 | 134 | 44 | 52 | 76 | 31 | 11 | 8 |
| School........................ | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Other.......................... | 24 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known...................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Victim's, shared residence... | 27.8 | 21.2 | 56.3 | 45.8 | 20.7 | 25.6 | 27.8 | - |
| Victim's residence........... | 18.7 | 16.8 | 27.3 | 27.9 | 14.5 | 18.8 | 18.7 | - |
| Shared residence............ | 9.0 | 4.4 | 29.0 | 17.9 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 9.1 | - |
| Street, sidewalk............... | 40.1 | 46.2 | 13.3 | 20.9 | 47.4 | 47.6 | 23.2 | - |
| All other........................ | 32.2 | 32.6 | 30.4 | 33.3 | 31.9 | 26.8 | 49.0 | - |
| Hotel, motel................. | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | . 8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | - |
| Other residence.............. | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 5.1 | - |
| Liquor store.................. | . 1 | . 2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.5 | - |
| Bar............................ | 1.3 | 1.4 | . 9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | . 3 | 4.0 | - |
| Other business............... | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 14.6 | - |
| Parking lot.................... | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | - |
| Vehicle....................... | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 12.6 | - |
| Field, park.................... | 6.1 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 5.6 | - |
| School........................ | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | . 0 | - |
| Other......................... | . 8 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 6 | . 5 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

TABLE 20
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Location of Homicide

| Location of homicide | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown............ | 2,910 | 421 | 1,183 | 630 | 636 | 40 |
| Unknown............................ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known........................ | 2,908 | 420 | 1,182 | 630 | 636 | 40 |
| Victim's, shared residence.... | 807 | 145 | 215 | 167 | 274 | 6 |
| Victim's residence............ | 545 | 48 | 180 | 124 | 188 | 5 |
| Shared residence............. | 262 | 97 | 35 | 43 | 86 | 1 |
| Street, sidewalk................. | 1,165 | 151 | 587 | 247 | 164 | 16 |
| All other........................... | 936 | 124 | 380 | 216 | 198 | 18 |
| Hotel, motel................... | 35 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 0 |
| Other residence............... | 204 | 37 | 93 | 43 | 30 | 1 |
| Liquor store.................... | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Bar.............................. | 39 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 1 |
| Other business............... | 104 | 8 | 33 | 21 | 42 | 0 |
| Parking lot..................... | 101 | 6 | 46 | 27 | 20 | 2 |
| Vehicle......................... | 242 | 34 | 117 | 50 | 39 | 2 |
| Field, park..................... | 178 | 34 | 50 | 47 | 35 | 12 |
| School.......................... | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Other............................ | 24 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known........................ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Victim's, shared residence.... | 27.8 | 34.5 | 18.2 | 26.5 | 43.1 | - |
| Victim's residence........... | 18.7 | 11.4 | 15.2 | 19.7 | 29.6 | - |
| Shared residence............. | 9.0 | 23.1 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 13.5 | - |
| Street, sidewalk................ | 40.1 | 36.0 | 49.7 | 39.2 | 25.8 | - |
| All other.......................... | 32.2 | 29.5 | 32.1 | 34.3 | 31.1 | - |
| Hotel, motel................... | 1.2 | . 2 | . 9 | 2.1 | 1.6 | - |
| Other residence............... | 7.0 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 4.7 | - |
| Liquor store................... | . 1 | . 0 | . 1 | . 0 | . 5 | - |
| Bar.............................. | 1.3 | . 0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | - |
| Other business............... | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 6.6 | - |
| Parking lot..................... | 3.5 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.1 | - |
| Vehicle......................... | 8.3 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 6.1 | - |
| Field, park..................... | 6.1 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 5.5 | - |
| School.......................... | . 2 | . 5 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | - |
| Other............................ | . 8 | . 5 | . 8 | . 3 | 1.7 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

TABLE 21
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Type of Weapon Used

| Typeofweapon used | 1987 |  | 1988 |  | 1989 |  | 1990 |  | 1991 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,929 |  | 2,947 |  | 3,159 |  | 3,562 |  | 3,876 |  |
| Unknown.......................... | 28 |  | 20 |  | 26 |  | 31 |  | 36 |  |
| Total known....................... | 2,901 | 100.0 | 2,927 | 100.0 | 3,133 | 100.0 | 3,531 | 100.0 | 3,840 | 100.0 |
| Firearm........................... | 1,726 | 59.5 | 1,796 | 61.4 | 2,058 | 65.7 | 2,386 | 67.6 | 2,692 | 70.1 |
| Handgun...................... | 1,333 | 45.9 | 1,332 | 45.5 | 1,604 | 51.2 | 1,903 | 53.9 | 2,255 | 58.7 |
| All other firearms............ | 393 | 13.5 | 464 | 15.9 | 454 | 14.5 | 483 | 13.7 | 437 | 11.4 |
| Rifle......................... | 140 | 4.8 | 140 | 4.8 | 201 | 6.4 | 188 | 5.3 | 176 | 4.6 |
| Shotgun..................... | 154 | 5.3 | 153 | 5.2 | 208 | 6.6 | 218 | 6.2 | 187 | 4.9 |
| Other firearm ${ }^{1}$............. | 3 | . 1 | 6 | . 2 | 1 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Firearm - unknown type. | 96 | 3.3 | 165 | 5.6 | 44 | 1.4 | 77 | 2.2 | 74 | 1.9 |
| Knife ${ }^{2}$............................ | 593 | 20.4 | 587 | 20.1 | 533 | 17.0 | 592 | 16.8 | 577 | 15.0 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{3}$................... | 194 | 6.7 | 190 | 6.5 | 199 | 6.4 | 192 | 5.4 | 207 | 5.4 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 196 | 6.8 | 184 | 6.3 | 143 | 4.6 | 166 | 4.7 | 186 | 4.8 |
| All other.......................... | 192 | 6.6 | 170 | 5.8 | 200 | 6.4 | 195 | 5.5 | 178 | 4.6 |
| Rope ${ }^{5}$......................... | 83 | 2.9 | 78 | 2.7 | 100 | 3.2 | 92 | 2.6 | 84 | 2.2 |
| Drugs........................... | 7 | . 2 | 12 | . 4 | 5 | . 2 | 6 | . 2 | 3 | . 1 |
| Other........................... | 102 | 3.5 | 80 | 2.7 | 95 | 3.0 | 97 | 2.7 | 91 | 2.4 |


| Typeofweapon used (cont.) | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1987- \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1995- \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total including unknown... | 3,920 |  | 4,095 |  | 3,699 |  | 3,530 |  | 2,910 |  |  |  |
| Unknown........................... | 28 |  | 34 |  | 33 |  | 29 |  | 45 |  |  |  |
| Total known....................... | 3,892 | 100.0 | 4,061 | 100.0 | 3,666 | 100.0 | 3,501 | 100.0 | 2,865 | 100.0 | -1.2 | -18.2 |
| Firearm.......................... | 2,839 | 72.9 | 3,007 | 74.0 | 2,778 | 75.8 | 2,590 | 74.0 | 2,055 | 71.7 | 19.1 | -20.7 |
| Handgun...................... | 2,426 | 62.3 | 2,609 | 64.2 | 2,441 | 66.6 | 2,288 | 65.4 | 1,866 | 65.1 | 40.0 | -18.4 |
| All other firearms............ | 413 | 10.6 | 398 | 9.8 | 337 | 9.2 | 302 | 8.6 | 189 | 6.6 | -51.9 | -37.4 |
| Rifle......................... | 164 | 4.2 | 154 | 3.8 | 141 | 3.8 | 140 | 4.0 | 95 | 3.3 | -32.1 | -32.1 |
| Shotgun..................... | 176 | 4.5 | 167 | 4.1 | 165 | 4.5 | 123 | 3.5 | 86 | 3.0 | -44.2 | -30.1 |
| Other firearm ${ }^{1}$.............. | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | - | - |
| Firearm - unknown type. | 73 | 1.9 | 77 | 1.9 | 31 | . 8 | 39 | 1.1 | 8 | . 3 | -91.7 | - |
| Knife ${ }^{2}$............................. | 543 | 14.0 | 470 | 11.6 | 427 | 11.6 | 405 | 11.6 | 341 | 11.9 | -42.5 | -15.8 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{3}$.................... | 161 | 4.1 | 204 | 5.0 | 157 | 4.3 | 156 | 4.5 | 147 | 5.1 | -24.2 | -5.8 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 168 | 4.3 | 139 | 3.4 | 156 | 4.3 | 165 | 4.7 | 156 | 5.4 | -20.4 | -5.5 |
| All other.......................... | 181 | 4.7 | 241 | 5.9 | 148 | 4.0 | 185 | 5.3 | 166 | 5.8 | -13.5 | -10.3 |
| Rope ${ }^{5}$......................... | 87 | 2.2 | 114 | 2.8 | 81 | 2.2 | 75 | 2.1 | 61 | 2.1 | -26.5 | -18.7 |
| Drugs.......................... | 3 | . 1 | 5 | . 1 | 4 | . 1 | 2 | . 1 | 8 | . 3 | - | - |
| Other........................... | 91 | 2.3 | 122 | 3.0 | 63 | 1.7 | 108 | 3.1 | 97 | 3.4 | -4.9 | -10.2 |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent changes are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
Machine gun, etc.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Any instrume
${ }^{3}$ Club, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Hands, feet,
${ }^{5}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle

TABLE 22
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Type of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown............ | 2,910 | 2,368 | 542 | 617 | 1,291 | 794 | 198 | 10 |
| Unknown........................... | 45 | 26 | 19 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 7 |
| Total known........................ | 2,865 | 2,342 | 523 | 600 | 1,280 | 787 | 195 | 3 |
| Firearm. | 2,055 | 1,791 | 264 | 303 | 995 | 617 | 139 | 1 |
| Handgun....................... | 1,866 | 1,635 | 231 | 253 | 924 | 564 | 124 | 1 |
| All other firearms............. | 189 | 156 | 33 | 50 | 71 | 53 | 15 | 0 |
| Rifle.......................... | 95 | 77 | 18 | 18 | 39 | 31 | 7 | 0 |
| Shotgun...................... | 86 | 73 | 13 | 31 | 28 | 20 | 7 | 0 |
| Firearm - unknown type. | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 341 | 263 | 78 | 110 | 133 | 73 | 24 | 1 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2}$.................... | 147 | 106 | 41 | 55 | 44 | 38 | 10 | 0 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$............... | 156 | 103 | 53 | 45 | 66 | 31 | 13 | 1 |
| All other.......................... | 166 | 79 | 87 | 87 | 42 | 28 | 9 | 0 |
|  | 61 | 19 | 42 | 34 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 0 |
| Drugs........................... | 8 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other........................... | 97 | 58 | 39 | 47 | 28 | 18 | 4 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known........................ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Firearm.. | 71.7 | 76.5 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 77.7 | 78.4 | 71.3 | - |
| Handgun....................... | 65.1 | 69.8 | 44.2 | 42.2 | 72.2 | 71.7 | 63.6 | - |
| All other firearms............. | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 7.7 | - |
| Rifle.......................... | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | - |
| Shotgun...................... | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 | - |
| Firearm - unknown type. | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 5 | - |
|  | 11.9 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 18.3 | 10.4 | 9.3 | 12.3 | - |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 5.1 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | - |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$............... | 5.4 | 4.4 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 6.7 | - |
| All other.......................... | 5.8 | 3.4 | 16.6 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.6 | - |
|  | 2.1 | . 8 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | - |
| Drugs........................... | . 3 | . 1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 0 | - |
| Other........................... | 3.4 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
${ }^{1}$ Any instrument used to cut or stab.
${ }^{2}$ Club, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Hands, feet, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle.

TABLE 23
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Type of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | $\overline{40}$ and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown.............. | 2,910 | 421 | 1,183 | 630 | 636 | 40 |
| Unknown............................. | 45 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Total known.......................... | 2,865 | 416 | 1,171 | 621 | 626 | 31 |
| Firearm............................. | 2,055 | 259 | 994 | 427 | 353 | 22 |
| Handgun......................... | 1,866 | 235 | 912 | 389 | 309 | 21 |
| All other firearms............... | 189 | 24 | 82 | 38 | 44 | 1 |
| Rifle............................ | 95 | 13 | 43 | 19 | 20 | 0 |
| Shotgun....................... | 86 | 10 | 35 | 18 | 22 | 1 |
| Firearm - unknown type.... | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Knife ${ }^{1}$................................ | 341 | 19 | 113 | 95 | 109 | 5 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2}$...................... | 147 | 19 | 18 | 37 | 70 | 3 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$................ | 156 | 69 | 18 | 31 | 38 | 0 |
| All other............................. | 166 | 50 | 28 | 31 | 56 | 1 |
|  | 61 | 6 | 13 | 15 | 26 | 1 |
| Drugs............................. | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Other............................. | 97 | 41 | 14 | 16 | 26 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.......................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Firearm............................. | 71.7 | 62.3 | 84.9 | 68.8 | 56.4 | - |
| Handgun......................... | 65.1 | 56.5 | 77.9 | 62.6 | 49.4 | - |
| All other firearms............... | 6.6 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 7.0 | - |
| Rifle............................ | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | - |
| Shotgun....................... | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.5 | - |
| Firearm - unknown type.... | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | - |
|  | 11.9 | 4.6 | 9.6 | 15.3 | 17.4 | - |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2}$....................... | 5.1 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 11.2 | - |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$................. | 5.4 | 16.6 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 6.1 | - |
| All other............................. | 5.8 | 12.0 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 8.9 | - |
|  | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 4.2 | - |
| Drugs............................. | . 3 | . 7 | . 1 | . 0 | . 6 | - |
| Other.............................. | 3.4 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 4.2 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
Any instrument used to cut or stab
${ }^{2}$ Club, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Hands, feet, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle.

TABLE 24
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1987-1996
By Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | 1987 |  | 1988 |  | 1989 |  | 1990 |  | 1991 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,929 |  | 2,947 |  | 3,159 |  | 3,562 |  | 3,876 |  |
| Unknown.......................... | 202 |  | 406 |  | 322 |  | 447 |  | 632 |  |
| Total known..................... | 2,727 | 100.0 | 2,541 | 100.0 | 2,837 | 100.0 | 3,115 | 100.0 | 3,244 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary.... | 501 | 18.4 | 417 | 16.4 | 443 | 15.6 | 466 | 15.0 | 532 | 16.4 |
| Rape.......................... | 85 | 3.1 | 39 | 1.5 | 39 | 1.4 | 38 | 1.2 | 41 | 1.3 |
| Robbery...................... | 374 | 13.7 | 342 | 13.5 | 381 | 13.4 | 399 | 12.8 | 473 | 14.6 |
| Burglary...................... | 42 | 1.5 | 36 | 1.4 | 23 | . 8 | 29 | . 9 | 18 | . 6 |
| Argument...................... | 1,357 | 49.8 | 1,205 | 47.4 | 1,308 | 46.1 | 1,346 | 43.2 | 1,396 | 43.0 |
| Domestic violence........ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All other argument....... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 550 | 20.2 | 580 | 22.8 | 803 | 28.3 | 982 | 31.5 | 992 | 30.6 |
| Gang-related................ | 312 | 11.4 | 309 | 12.2 | 525 | 18.5 | 646 | 20.7 | 740 | 22.8 |
| Drug-related................. | 238 | 8.7 | 271 | 10.7 | 278 | 9.8 | 336 | 10.8 | 252 | 7.8 |
| All other......................... | 319 | 11.7 | 339 | 13.3 | 283 | 10.0 | 321 | 10.3 | 324 | 10.0 |


| Contributing circumstance (cont.) | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1987- \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1995- \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total including unknown......... | 3,920 |  | 4,095 |  | 3,699 |  | 3,530 |  | 2,910 |  |  |  |
| Unknown......................... | 581 |  | 643 |  | 527 |  | 595 |  | 389 |  |  |  |
| Total known..................... | 3,339 | 100.0 | 3,452 | 100.0 | 3,172 | 100.0 | 2,935 | 100.0 | 2,521 | 100.0 | -7.6 | -14.1 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 519 | 15.5 | 515 | 14.9 | 409 | 12.9 | 385 | 13.1 | 320 | 12.7 | -36.1 | -16.9 |
| Rape.......................... | 31 | . 9 | 21 | . 6 | 19 | . 6 | 14 | . 5 | 11 | . 4 | -87.1 | - |
| Robbery...................... | 455 | 13.6 | 476 | 13.8 | 366 | 11.5 | 342 | 11.7 | 294 | 11.7 | -21.4 | -14.0 |
| Burglary...................... | 33 | 1.0 | 18 | . 5 | 24 | . 8 | 29 | 1.0 | 15 | . 6 | - | - |
| Argument....................... | 1,478 | 44.3 | 1,532 | 44.4 | 1,374 | 43.3 | 1,207 | 41.1 | 1,070 | 42.4 | -21.1 | -11.4 |
| Domestic violence......... | 280 | 8.4 | 329 | 9.5 | 224 | 7.1 | 179 | 6.1 | 130 | 5.2 | - | -27.4 |
| All other argument....... | 1,198 | 35.9 | 1,203 | 34.8 | 1,150 | 36.3 | 1,028 | 35.0 | 940 | 37.3 | - | -8.6 |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 1,029 | 30.8 | 1,113 | 32.2 | 1,137 | 35.8 | 1,059 | 36.1 | 784 | 31.1 | 42.5 | -26.0 |
| Gang-related................ | 742 | 22.2 | 840 | 24.3 | 880 | 27.7 | 867 | 29.5 | 620 | 24.6 | 98.7 | -28.5 |
| Drug-related................ | 287 | 8.6 | 273 | 7.9 | 257 | 8.1 | 192 | 6.5 | 164 | 6.5 | -31.1 | -14.6 |
| All other......................... | 313 | 9.4 | 292 | 8.5 | 252 | 7.9 | 284 | 9.7 | 347 | 13.8 | 8.8 | 22.2 |

[^6]Dash indicates that data are unavailable or that a percent change is not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

TABLE 25
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,910 | 2,368 | 542 | 617 | 1,291 | 794 | 198 | 10 |
| Unknown........................ | 389 | 314 | 75 | 78 | 161 | 120 | 23 | 7 |
| Total known..................... | 2,521 | 2,054 | 467 | 539 | 1,130 | 674 | 175 | 3 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 320 | 264 | 56 | 89 | 109 | 78 | 44 | 0 |
| Rape......................... | 11 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Robbery, burglary......... | 309 | 264 | 45 | 84 | 104 | 77 | 44 | 0 |
| Robbery................... | 294 | 254 | 40 | 78 | 100 | 75 | 41 | 0 |
| Burglary.................. | 15 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Argument...................... | 1,070 | 817 | 253 | 311 | 420 | 270 | 69 | 0 |
| Domestic violence........ | 130 | 24 | 106 | 51 | 35 | 36 | 8 | 0 |
| All other argument........ | 940 | 793 | 147 | 260 | 385 | 234 | 61 | 0 |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 784 | 742 | 42 | 54 | 445 | 247 | 38 | 0 |
| Gang-related................ | 620 | 594 | 26 | 22 | 383 | 181 | 34 | 0 |
| Drug-related................ | 164 | 148 | 16 | 32 | 62 | 66 | 4 | 0 |
| All other........................ | 347 | 231 | 116 | 85 | 156 | 79 | 24 | 3 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known..................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 12.7 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 16.5 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 25.1 | - |
| Rape......................... | . 4 | . 0 | 2.4 | . 9 | . 4 | . 1 | . 0 | - |
| Robbery, burglary......... | 12.3 | 12.9 | 9.6 | 15.6 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 25.1 | - |
| Robbery................... | 11.7 | 12.4 | 8.6 | 14.5 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 23.4 | - |
| Burglary.................. | . 6 | . 5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | . 4 | . 3 | 1.7 | - |
| Argument...................... | 42.4 | 39.8 | 54.2 | 57.7 | 37.2 | 40.1 | 39.4 | - |
| Domestic violence......... | 5.2 | 1.2 | 22.7 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 4.6 | - |
| All other argument........ | 37.3 | 38.6 | 31.5 | 48.2 | 34.1 | 34.7 | 34.9 | - |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 31.1 | 36.1 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 39.4 | 36.6 | 21.7 | - |
| Gang-related................ | 24.6 | 28.9 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 33.9 | 26.9 | 19.4 | - |
| Drug-related................. | 6.5 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 9.8 | 2.3 | - |
| All other........................ | 13.8 | 11.2 | 24.8 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 13.7 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Total | Under 5 | 5-17 | 18-59 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,910 | 115 | 306 | 2,302 | 147 | 40 |
| Unknown......................... | 389 | 0 | 21 | 335 | 11 | 22 |
| Total known..................... | 2,521 | 115 | 285 | 1,967 | 136 | 18 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary...... | 320 | 0 | 8 | 259 | 51 | 2 |
| Rape.......................... | 11 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Robbery...................... | 294 | 0 | 6 | 240 | 47 | 1 |
| Burglary...................... | 15 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 1 |
| Argument...................... | 1,070 | 7 | 63 | 926 | 63 | 11 |
| Domestic violence......... | 130 | 5 | 4 | 110 | 10 | 1 |
| All other argument........ | 940 | 2 | 59 | 816 | 53 | 10 |
| Gang-, drug-related........... | 784 | 1 | 151 | 625 | 5 | 2 |
| Gang-related................ | 620 | 1 | 146 | 467 | 4 | 2 |
| Drug-related................. | 164 | 0 | 5 | 158 | 1 | 0 |
| Child abuse.................... | 105 | 96 | 9 | - | - | - |
| All other........................ | 242 | 11 | 54 | 157 | 17 | 3 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known...................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 12.7 | . 0 | 2.8 | 13.2 | 37.5 | - |
| Rape......................... | . 4 | . 0 | . 7 | . 4 | . 7 | - |
| Robbery...................... | 11.7 | . 0 | 2.1 | 12.2 | 34.6 | - |
| Burglary...................... | . 6 | . 0 | . 0 | . 6 | 2.2 | - |
| Argument..................... | 42.4 | 6.1 | 22.1 | 47.1 | 46.3 | - |
| Domestic violence......... | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 7.4 | - |
| All other argument........ | 37.3 | 1.7 | 20.7 | 41.5 | 39.0 | - |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 31.1 | . 9 | 53.0 | 31.8 | 3.7 | - |
| Gang-related................ | 24.6 | . 9 | 51.2 | 23.7 | 2.9 | - |
| Drug-related................ | 6.5 | . 0 | 1.8 | 8.0 | . 7 | - |
| Child abuse.................... | 4.2 | 83.5 | 3.2 | - | - | - |
| All other........................ | 9.6 | 9.6 | 18.9 | 8.0 | 12.5 | - |

[^7]Dash indicates that data are not applicable or that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less
than 50 .

TABLE 27
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Age of Victim by Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 5-17 | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown......... | 2,910 | 115 | 306 | 1,183 | 630 | 348 | 141 | 74 | 73 | 40 |
| Unknown......................... | 389 | 0 | 21 | 152 | 111 | 49 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 22 |
| Total known..................... | 2,521 | 115 | 285 | 1,031 | 519 | 299 | 118 | 67 | 69 | 18 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 320 | 0 | 8 | 92 | 80 | 57 | 30 | 23 | 28 | 2 |
| Rape......................... | 11 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Robbery...................... | 294 | 0 | 6 | 83 | 75 | 55 | 27 | 23 | 24 | 1 |
| Burglary...................... | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Argument...................... | 1,070 | 7 | 63 | 392 | 283 | 181 | 70 | 38 | 25 | 11 |
| Domestic violence......... | 130 | 5 | 4 | 26 | 42 | 31 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| All other argument........ | 940 | 2 | 59 | 366 | 241 | 150 | 59 | 30 | 23 | 10 |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 784 | 1 | 151 | 459 | 120 | 38 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Gang-related................ | 620 | 1 | 146 | 377 | 65 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Drug-related................ | 164 | 0 | 5 | 82 | 55 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Child abuse.................... | 105 | 96 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All other........................ | 242 | 11 | 54 | 88 | 36 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 3 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known..................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 12.7 | . 0 | 2.8 | 8.9 | 15.4 | 19.1 | 25.4 | 34.3 | 40.6 | - |
| Rape......................... | . 4 | . 0 | . 7 | . 4 | . 8 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.4 | - |
| Robbery...................... | 11.7 | . 0 | 2.1 | 8.1 | 14.5 | 18.4 | 22.9 | 34.3 | 34.8 | - |
| Burglary...................... | . 6 | . 0 | . 0 | . 5 | . 2 | . 7 | 2.5 | . 0 | 4.3 | - |
| Argument...................... | 42.4 | 6.1 | 22.1 | 38.0 | 54.5 | 60.5 | 59.3 | 56.7 | 36.2 | - |
| Domestic violence........ | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 2.9 | - |
| All other argument........ | 37.3 | 1.7 | 20.7 | 35.5 | 46.4 | 50.2 | 50.0 | 44.8 | 33.3 | - |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 31.1 | . 9 | 53.0 | 44.5 | 23.1 | 12.7 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 4.3 | - |
| Gang-related............... | 24.6 | . 9 | 51.2 | 36.6 | 12.5 | 7.0 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 4.3 | - |
| Drug-related................ | 6.5 | . 0 | 1.8 | 8.0 | 10.6 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 1.5 | . 0 | - |
| Child abuse.................... | 4.2 | 83.5 | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All other........................ | 9.6 | 9.6 | 18.9 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 18.8 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that data are not applicable or that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

TABLE 28
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1996
Contributing Circumstance by Relationship of Victim to Otrender

| Relationship of victim to offender | Total | Rape | Robbery, burglary | Argument ${ }^{1}$ | Gang-, <br> drug- <br> related | Child abuse | All other | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown....... | 2,910 | 11 | 309 | 1,070 | 784 | 105 | 242 | 389 |
| Unknown...................... | 728 | 4 | 52 | 77 | 193 | 1 | 67 | 334 |
| Total known.................. | 2,182 | 7 | 257 | 993 | 591 | 104 | 175 | 55 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{2} . . .$. | 1,075 | 3 | 37 | 529 | 420 | 25 | 50 | 11 |
| Spouse ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 115 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{4}$.............. | 146 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 73 | 25 | 1 |
| All other relatives......... | 43 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| Stranger..................... | 803 | 4 | 219 | 286 | 169 | 1 | 81 | 43 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.................. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{2} . . .$. | 49.3 | - | 14.4 | 53.3 | 71.1 | 24.0 | 28.6 | 20.0 |
| Spouse ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 5.3 | - | . 0 | 9.9 | . 3 | . 0 | 8.6 | . 0 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{4}$.............. | 6.7 | - | . 4 | 4.6 | . 0 | 70.2 | 14.3 | 1.8 |
| All other relatives......... | 2.0 | - | . 0 | 3.4 | . 0 | 4.8 | 2.3 | . 0 |
| Stranger..................... | 36.8 | - | 85.2 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 1.0 | 46.3 | 78.2 |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
Includes domestic violence.
${ }^{2}$ Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
${ }^{4}$ Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

SELECTED VIOLENT OFFENSES, 1987-1996
Number, Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk, and Percent Change

| Year(s) | Total | Homicide | Forcible rape | Robbery | Assault |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996. | 149,795 | 2,535 | 3,202 | 26,014 | 118,044 |
| $1995{ }^{\text {a }}$. | 155,053 | 2,821 | 3,199 | 27,641 | 121,392 |
| 1994. | 151,906 | 2,963 | 3,305 | 27,984 | 117,654 |
| 1993. | 147,603 | 3,276 | 3,572 | 29,567 | 111,188 |
| 1992. | 148,225 | 3,387 | 4,037 | 31,141 | 109,660 |
| 1991.................. | 143,970 | 3,720 | 4,417 | 31,346 | 104,487 |
| 1990. | 147,561 | 3,882 | 4,848 | 32,050 | 106,781 |
| 1989.................. | 131,503 | 3,403 | 4,560 | 27,173 | 96,367 |
| 1988... | 116,365 | 3,159 | 4,534 | 24,284 | 84,388 |
| 1987. | 105,647 | 3,056 | 4,543 | 23,343 | 74,705 |
| Percent change in number |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 to 1996....... | -3.4 | -10.1 | 1 | -5.9 | -2.8 |
| 1994 to 1995....... | 2.1 | -4.8 | -3.2 | -1.2 | 3.2 |
| 1993 to 1994....... | 2.9 | -9.6 | -7.5 | -5.4 | 5.8 |
| 1992 to 1993....... | -. 4 | -3.3 | -11.5 | -5.1 | 1.4 |
| 1991 to 1992. | 3.0 | -9.0 | -8.6 | -. 7 | 5.0 |
| 1990 to 1991....... | -2.4 | -4.2 | -8.9 | -2.2 | -2.1 |
| 1989 to 1990....... | 12.2 | 14.1 | 6.3 | 17.9 | 10.8 |
| 1988 to 1989....... | 13.0 | 7.7 | . 6 | 11.9 | 14.2 |
| 1987 to 1988....... | 10.1 | 3.4 | -. 2 | 4.0 | 13.0 |
| 1987 to 1996....... | 41.8 | -17.0 | -29.5 | 11.4 | 58.0 |
| Rate per 100,000 population at risk ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996. | 586.2 | 9.9 | 12.5 | 101.8 | 461.9 |
| 1995................. | 617.2 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 110.0 | 483.2 |
| 1994. | 614.9 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 113.3 | 476.3 |
| 1993... | 606.6 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 121.5 | 456.9 |
| 1992.................. | 618.2 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 129.9 | 457.4 |
| 1991................. | 610.4 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 132.9 | 443.0 |
| 1990.................. | 636.6 | 16.7 | 20.9 | 138.3 | 460.7 |
| 1989.................. | 583.8 | 15.1 | 20.2 | 120.6 | 427.8 |
| 1988. | 529.7 | 14.4 | 20.6 | 110.5 | 384.1 |
| 1987.................. | 491.8 | 14.2 | 21.1 | 108.7 | 347.7 |
| Percent change in rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 to 1996....... | -5.0 | -11.6 | -1.6 | -7.5 | -4.4 |
| 1994 to 1995....... | . 4 | -6.7 | -5.2 | -2.9 | 1.4 |
| 1993 to 1994....... | 1.4 | -11.1 | -8.8 | -6.7 | 4.2 |
| 1992 to 1993..... | -1.9 | -4.3 | -12.5 | -6.5 | -. 1 |
| 1991 to 1992....... | 1.3 | -10.8 | -10.2 | -2.3 | 3.3 |
| 1990 to 1991....... | -4.1 | -5.4 | -10.5 | -3.9 | -3.8 |
| 1989 to 1990....... | 9.0 | 10.6 | 3.5 | 14.7 | 7.7 |
| 1988 to 1989....... | 10.2 | 4.9 | -1.9 | 9.1 | 11.4 |
| 1987 to 1988....... | 7.7 | 1.4 | -2.4 | 1.7 | 10.5 |
| 1987 to 1996....... | 19.2 | -30.3 | -40.8 | -6.3 | 32.8 |
| Notes: Rates may not add to total because of independent rounding. <br> Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police Department. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ Rates are based on the total population at risk (10-69 years of age). |  |  |  |  |  |

I ABLE 30
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1987-1996
By Gender of Arrestee

| Year(s) | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $1996 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,535 | 100.0 | 2,286 | 90.2 | 249 | 9.8 |
| $1995^{a} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2,821 | 100.0 | 2,564 | 90.9 | 257 | 9.1 |
| $1994 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,963 | 100.0 | 2,709 | 91.4 | 254 | 8.6 |
| $1993 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,276 | 100.0 | 2,975 | 90.8 | 301 | 9.2 |
| $1992 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3,387 | 100.0 | 3,082 | 91.0 | 305 | 9.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1991 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,720 | 100.0 | 3,427 | 92.1 | 293 | 7.9 |
| $1990 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,882 | 100.0 | 3,519 | 90.6 | 363 | 9.4 |
| $1989 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,403 | 100.0 | 3,075 | 90.4 | 328 | 9.6 |
| $1988 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,159 | 100.0 | 2,816 | 89.1 | 343 | 10.9 |
| $1987 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,056 | 100.0 | 2,744 | 89.8 | 312 | 10.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police
Department.

TABLE 31
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1987-1996
By Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee

| Year(s) | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996... | 2,535 | 100.0 | 537 | 21.2 | 1,110 | 43.8 | 663 | 26.2 | 225 | 8.9 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {a } . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | 2,821 | 100.0 | 580 | 20.6 | 1,284 | 45.5 | 743 | 26.3 | 214 | 7.6 |
| 1994. | 2,963 | 100.0 | 675 | 22.8 | 1,175 | 39.7 | 850 | 28.7 | 263 | 8.9 |
| 1993............. | 3,276 | 100.0 | 698 | 21.3 | 1,299 | 39.7 | 998 | 30.5 | 281 | 8.6 |
| 1992............. | 3,387 | 100.0 | 714 | 21.1 | 1,457 | 43.0 | 1,016 | 30.0 | 200 | 5.9 |
| 1991............. | 3,720 | 100.0 | 821 | 22.1 | 1,578 | 42.4 | 1,123 | 30.2 | 198 | 5.3 |
| 1990............ | 3,882 | 100.0 | 851 | 21.9 | 1,407 | 36.2 | 1,294 | 33.3 | 330 | 8.5 |
| 1989............. | 3,403 | 100.0 | 907 | 26.7 | 1,226 | 36.0 | 1,124 | 33.0 | 146 | 4.3 |
| 1988............. | 3,159 | 100.0 | 930 | 29.4 | 930 | 29.4 | 1,124 | 35.6 | 175 | 5.5 |
| 1987............. | 3,056 | 100.0 | 877 | 28.7 | 969 | 31.7 | 1,086 | 35.5 | 124 | 4.1 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
${ }^{a}$ Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police Department.

TABLE 32
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1987-1996
By Age of Arrestee

| Year(s) | Total |  | Under 18 |  | 18-29 |  | 30-39 |  | 40 and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996............ | 2,535 | 100.0 | 389 | 15.3 | 1,430 | 56.4 | 427 | 16.8 | 289 | 11.4 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {............ }}$ | 2,821 | 100.0 | 521 | 18.5 | 1,570 | 55.7 | 462 | 16.4 | 268 | 9.5 |
| 1994............. | 2,963 | 100.0 | 542 | 18.3 | 1,625 | 54.8 | 483 | 16.3 | 313 | 10.6 |
| 1993............ | 3,276 | 100.0 | 618 | 18.9 | 1,804 | 55.1 | 525 | 16.0 | 329 | 10.0 |
| 1992............ | 3,387 | 100.0 | 645 | 19.0 | 1,877 | 55.4 | 511 | 15.1 | 354 | 10.5 |
| 1991............. | 3,720 | 100.0 | 696 | 18.7 | 2,073 | 55.7 | 611 | 16.4 | 340 | 9.1 |
| 1990............. | 3,882 | 100.0 | 658 | 17.0 | 2,218 | 57.1 | 630 | 16.2 | 376 | 9.7 |
| 1989............. | 3,403 | 100.0 | 533 | 15.7 | 1,856 | 54.5 | 606 | 17.8 | 408 | 12.0 |
| 1988............. | 3,159 | 100.0 | 389 | 12.3 | 1,702 | 53.9 | 636 | 20.1 | 432 | 13.7 |
| 1987............. | 3,056 | 100.0 | 365 | 11.9 | 1,692 | 55.4 | 622 | 20.4 | 377 | 12.3 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police Department.

TABLE 33
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Gender and Age of Arrestee

| Gender and age of arrestee | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total................... | 2,535 | 100.0 | 537 | 100.0 | 1,110 | 100.0 | 663 | 100.0 | 225 | 100.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................ | 2,286 | 90.2 | 449 | 83.6 | 1,033 | 93.1 | 596 | 89.9 | 208 | 92.4 |
| Female.............. | 249 | 9.8 | 88 | 16.4 | 77 | 6.9 | 67 | 10.1 | 17 | 7.6 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18........... | 389 | 15.3 | 55 | 10.2 | 183 | 16.5 | 91 | 13.7 | 60 | 26.7 |
| 18-29............... | 1,430 | 56.4 | 216 | 40.2 | 703 | 63.3 | 399 | 60.2 | 112 | 49.8 |
| 30-39.............. | 427 | 16.8 | 138 | 25.7 | 153 | 13.8 | 109 | 16.4 | 27 | 12.0 |
| 40 and over........ | 289 | 11.4 | 128 | 23.8 | 71 | 6.4 | 64 | 9.7 | 26 | 11.6 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

TABLE 34
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1996
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Gender and Age of Arrestee

| Gender and age of arrestee | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total.................. | 2,535 | 100.0 | 537 | 100.0 | 1,110 | 100.0 | 663 | 100.0 | 225 | 100.0 |
| Under 18.......... | 389 | 15.3 | 55 | 10.2 | 183 | 16.5 | 91 | 13.7 | 60 | 26.7 |
| 18-19............... | 413 | 16.3 | 55 | 10.2 | 213 | 19.2 | 109 | 16.4 | 36 | 16.0 |
| 20-24.............. | 683 | 26.9 | 87 | 16.2 | 346 | 31.2 | 197 | 29.7 | 53 | 23.6 |
| 25-29.............. | 334 | 13.2 | 74 | 13.8 | 144 | 13.0 | 93 | 14.0 | 23 | 10.2 |
| 30-34.............. | 246 | 9.7 | 74 | 13.8 | 95 | 8.6 | 62 | 9.4 | 15 | 6.7 |
| 35-39............... | 181 | 7.1 | 64 | 11.9 | 58 | 5.2 | 47 | 7.1 | 12 | 5.3 |
| 40-44.............. | 109 | 4.3 | 45 | 8.4 | 26 | 2.3 | 27 | 4.1 | 11 | 4.9 |
| 45-49.............. | 79 | 3.1 | 31 | 5.8 | 26 | 2.3 | 15 | 2.3 | 7 | 3.1 |
| 50-54............... | 45 | 1.8 | 22 | 4.1 | 9 | . 8 | 10 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.8 |
| 55 and over........ | 56 | 2.2 | 30 | 5.6 | 10 | . 9 | 12 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.8 |
| Male................ | 2,286 | 100.0 | 449 | 100.0 | 1,033 | 100.0 | 596 | 100.0 | 208 | 100.0 |
| Under 18......... | 369 | 16.1 | 51 | 11.4 | 176 | 17.0 | 83 | 13.9 | 59 | 28.4 |
| 18-19............ | 386 | 16.9 | 49 | 10.9 | 204 | 19.7 | 100 | 16.8 | 33 | 15.9 |
| 20-24............ | 629 | 27.5 | 75 | 16.7 | 321 | 31.1 | 182 | 30.5 | 51 | 24.5 |
| 25-29............ | 297 | 13.0 | 58 | 12.9 | 135 | 13.1 | 85 | 14.3 | 19 | 9.1 |
| 30-34............ | 201 | 8.8 | 55 | 12.2 | 83 | 8.0 | 49 | 8.2 | 14 | 6.7 |
| 35-39............ | 152 | 6.6 | 56 | 12.5 | 49 | 4.7 | 39 | 6.5 | 8 | 3.8 |
| 40-44............ | 92 | 4.0 | 37 | 8.2 | 21 | 2.0 | 25 | 4.2 | 9 | 4.3 |
| 45-49............ | 73 | 3.2 | 27 | 6.0 | 26 | 2.5 | 13 | 2.2 | 7 | 3.4 |
| 50-54............ | 37 | 1.6 | 16 | 3.6 | 9 | . 9 | 8 | 1.3 | 4 | 1.9 |
| 55 and over..... | 50 | 2.2 | 25 | 5.6 | 9 | . 9 | 12 | 2.0 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Female............. | 249 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 77 | 100.0 | 67 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |
| Under 18........ | 20 | 8.0 | 4 | 4.5 | 7 | 9.1 | 8 | 11.9 | 1 | - |
| 18-19............ | 27 | 10.8 | 6 | 6.8 | 9 | 11.7 | 9 | 13.4 | 3 | - |
| 20-24............ | 54 | 21.7 | 12 | 13.6 | 25 | 32.5 | 15 | 22.4 | 2 | - |
| 25-29............ | 37 | 14.9 | 16 | 18.2 | 9 | 11.7 | 8 | 11.9 | 4 | - |
| 30-34............ | 45 | 18.1 | 19 | 21.6 | 12 | 15.6 | 13 | 19.4 | 1 | - |
| 35-39............ | 29 | 11.6 | 8 | 9.1 | 9 | 11.7 | 8 | 11.9 | 4 | - |
| 40-44............ | 17 | 6.8 | 8 | 9.1 | 5 | 6.5 | 2 | 3.0 | 2 | - |
| 45-49............ | 6 | 2.4 | 4 | 4.5 | 0 | . 0 | 2 | 3.0 | 0 | - |
| 50-54............ | 8 | 3.2 | 6 | 6.8 | 0 | . 0 | 2 | 3.0 | 0 | - |
| 55 and over..... | 6 | 2.4 | 5 | 5.7 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

TABLE 35
DISPOSITIONS IN 1987-1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
By Type of Disposition

| Year(s) | Total |  | Released ${ }^{1}$ |  | Complaints filed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dismissed, acquitted ${ }^{2}$ | Convicted |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996 ${ }^{\text {a }}$......... | 1,647 | 100.0 | 150 | 9.1 | 230 | 14.0 | 1,267 | 76.9 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 1,212 | 100.0 | 87 | 7.2 | 161 | 13.3 | 964 | 79.5 |
| 1994 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 1,138 | 100.0 | 119 | 10.5 | 155 | 13.6 | 864 | 75.9 |
| 1993 ${ }^{\text {a }} . . . . . . . .$. | 1,457 | 100.0 | 69 | 4.7 | 214 | 14.7 | 1,174 | 80.6 |
| 1992............ | 2,017 | 100.0 | 187 | 9.3 | 270 | 13.4 | 1,560 | 77.3 |
| 1991........... | 1,804 | 100.0 | 243 | 13.5 | 255 | 14.1 | 1,306 | 72.4 |
| 1990............ | 1,430 | 100.0 | 180 | 12.6 | 212 | 14.8 | 1,038 | 72.6 |
| 1989............ | 1,488 | 100.0 | 252 | 16.9 | 157 | 10.6 | 1,079 | 72.5 |
| 1988............ | 1,526 | 100.0 | 224 | 14.7 | 188 | 12.3 | 1,114 | 73.0 |
| 1987............ | 1,618 | 100.0 | 284 | 17.6 | 190 | 11.7 | 1,144 | 70.7 |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
The 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 OBTS files remain open; therefore, published counts represent preliminary data.
'The "released" category includes law enforcement releases and complaints denied. "Complaints denied" include single
complaints, combined cases, and petitions to revoke probation.
${ }^{2}$ The "dismissed, acquitted" category includes diversions dismissed.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 OBTS files are lower in number than normal because of fingerprint and disposition
processing priorities. In addition, processing priorities caused the "released" category to be underrepresented in the 1993 OBTS file.

TABLE 36
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOIMICIDE
Gender, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Arrestee by Type of Disposition

| Gender, race/ethnic group, and age of arrestee | Total |  | Released ${ }^{1}$ |  | Complaints filed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dismissed, acquitted ${ }^{2}$ | Convicted |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total...................... | 1,647 | 100.0 | 150 | 9.1 | 230 | 14.0 | 1,267 | 76.9 |
| Gender of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................... | 1,515 | 100.0 | 139 | 9.2 | 216 | 14.3 | 1,160 | 76.6 |
| Female................. | 132 | 100.0 | 11 | 8.3 | 14 | 10.6 | 107 | 81.1 |
| Race/ethnic group of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.................. | 384 | 100.0 | 18 | 4.7 | 43 | 11.2 | 323 | 84.1 |
| Hispanic............... | 670 | 100.0 | 69 | 10.3 | 101 | 15.1 | 500 | 74.6 |
| Black.................. | 473 | 100.0 | 51 | 10.8 | 73 | 15.4 | 349 | 73.8 |
| Other.................. | 117 | 100.0 | 12 | 10.3 | 13 | 11.1 | 92 | 78.6 |
| Unknown.............. | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | - | 0 | . | 3 | - |
| Age of arrestee ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$............. | 84 | 100.0 | 0 | . 0 | 8 | 9.5 | 76 | 90.5 |
| 18-29................. | 1,043 | 100.0 | 99 | 9.5 | 156 | 15.0 | 788 | 75.6 |
| 30-39................. | 331 | 100.0 | 33 | 10.0 | 42 | 12.7 | 256 | 77.3 |
| 40 and over.......... | 189 | 100.0 | 18 | 9.5 | 24 | 12.7 | 147 | 77.8 |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
'The "released" category includes law enforcement releases and complaints denied. "Complaints denied" include single complaints, combined cases, and petitions to revoke probation.
${ }^{2}$ The "dismissed, acquitted" category includes diversions dismissed.
${ }^{3}$ Age groupings indicate the age of arrestee at time of arrest.
The "under 18" age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

TABLE 37
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE BASED ON COMPLAINTS FILED
Gender, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Arrestee by Type of Disposition

| Gender, race/ethnic group, and age of arrestee | Total |  | Dismissed, acquitted ${ }^{1}$ |  | Convicted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total...................... | 1,497 | 100.0 | 230 | 15.4 | 1,267 | 84.6 |
| Gender of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................... | 1,376 | 100.0 | 216 | 15.7 | 1,160 | 84.3 |
| Female................. | 121 | 100.0 | 14 | 11.6 | 107 | 88.4 |
| Race/ethnic group of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.................. | 366 | 100.0 | 43 | 11.7 | 323 | 88.3 |
| Hispanic............... | 601 | 100.0 | 101 | 16.8 | 500 | 83.2 |
| Black................... | 422 | 100.0 | 73 | 17.3 | 349 | 82.7 |
| Other................... | 105 | 100.0 | 13 | 12.4 | 92 | 87.6 |
| Unknown............... | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | - | 3 | - |
| Age of arrestee ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$............. | 84 | 100.0 | 8 | 9.5 | 76 | 90.5 |
| 18-29................. | 944 | 100.0 | 156 | 16.5 | 788 | 83.5 |
| 30-39................. | 298 | 100.0 | 42 | 14.1 | 256 | 85.9 |
| 40 and over........... | 171 | 100.0 | 24 | 14.0 | 147 | 86.0 |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
${ }^{1}$ The "dismissed, acquitted" category includes diversions dismissed
${ }^{2}$ Age groupings indicate the age of arrestee at time of arrest.
${ }^{\circ}$ The "under 18 " age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

TABLE 38
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Type of Disposition

| Typeofdisposition | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total..................................... | 1,647 | 1,515 | 132 | 384 | 670 | 473 | 117 | 3 |
| Law enforcement releases......... | 59 | 55 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 19 | 9 | 0 |
| Total complaints denied........... | 91 | 84 | 7 | 10 | 46 | 32 | 3 | 0 |
| Single complaints................. | 90 | 83 | 7 | 10 | 46 | 31 | 3 | 0 |
| Combined cases.................. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Petitions to revoke probation.. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Complaints filed..................... | 1,497 | 1,376 | 121 | 366 | 601 | 422 | 105 | 3 |
| Misdemeanor...................... | 31 | 22 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Felony.............................. | 1,466 | 1,354 | 112 | 356 | 588 | 414 | 105 | 3 |
| Lower court dispositions........ | 157 | 141 | 16 | 50 | 69 | 32 | 6 | 0 |
| Dismissed....................... | 91 | 88 | 3 | 19 | 43 | 24 | 5 | 0 |
| Diversions dismissed.......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquitted....................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Convicted........................ | 66 | 53 | 13 | 31 | 26 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Superior court dispositions..... | 1,340 | 1,235 | 105 | 316 | 532 | 390 | 99 | 3 |
| Dismissed.. | 105 | 97 | 8 | 13 | 49 | 36 | 7 | 0 |
| Diversions dismissed......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquitted....................... | 34 | 31 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 0 |
| Convicted........................ | 1,201 | 1,107 | 94 | 292 | 474 | 341 | 91 | 3 |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total..................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Law enforcement releases......... | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 7.7 | - |
| Total complaints denied........... | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 2.6 | - |
| Single complaints................. | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 2.6 | - |
| Combined cases................... | . 1 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 2 | . 0 | - |
| Petitions to revoke probation.. | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - |
| Complaints filed..................... | 90.9 | 90.8 | 91.7 | 95.3 | 89.7 | 89.2 | 89.7 | - |
| Misdemeanor...................... | 1.9 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | . 0 | - |
| Felony............................... | 89.0 | 89.4 | 84.8 | 92.7 | 87.8 | 87.5 | 89.7 | - |
| Lower court dispositions........ | 9.5 | 9.3 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 5.1 | - |
| Dismissed....................... | 5.5 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 4.3 | - |
| Diversions dismissed......... | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - |
| Acquitted......................... | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - |
| Convicted........................ | 4.0 | 3.5 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 3.9 | 1.7 | . 9 | - |
| Superior court dispositions..... | 81.4 | 81.5 | 79.5 | 82.3 | 79.4 | 82.5 | 84.6 | - |
| Dismissed....................... | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 6.0 | - |
| Diversions dismissed......... | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - |
| Acquitted........................ | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 2.7 | . 9 | - |
| Convicted........................ | 72.9 | 73.1 | 71.2 | 76.0 | 70.7 | 72.1 | 77.8 | - |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

TABLE 39
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Age of Arrestee by Type of Disposition

| Type of disposition | Total |  | Under $18{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 18-29 |  | 30-39 |  | 40 and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total....................................... | 1,647 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 1,043 | 100.0 | 331 | 100.0 | 189 | 100.0 |
| Law enforcement releases......... | 59 | 3.6 | 0 | . 0 | 39 | 3.7 | 11 | 3.3 | 9 | 4.8 |
| Total complaints denied........... | 91 | 5.5 | 0 | . 0 | 60 | 5.8 | 22 | 6.6 | 9 | 4.8 |
| Single complaints................. | 90 | 5.5 | 0 | . 0 | 60 | 5.8 | 22 | 6.6 | 8 | 4.2 |
| Combined cases................... | 1 | . 1 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 1 | . 5 |
| Petitions to revoke probation.. | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Complaints filed...................... | 1,497 | 90.9 | 84 | 100.0 | 944 | 90.5 | 298 | 90.0 | 171 | 90.5 |
| Misdemeanor...................... | 31 | 1.9 | 0 | . 0 | 19 | 1.8 | 10 | 3.0 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Felony................................ | 1,466 | 89.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 925 | 88.7 | 288 | 87.0 | 169 | 89.4 |
| Lower court dispositions........ | 157 | 9.5 | 5 | 6.0 | 95 | 9.1 | 37 | 11.2 | 20 | 10.6 |
| Dismissed......................... | 91 | 5.5 | 4 | 4.8 | 56 | 5.4 | 19 | 5.7 | 12 | 6.3 |
| Diversions dismissed........... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Acquitted......................... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Convicted......................... | 66 | 4.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 39 | 3.7 | 18 | 5.4 | 8 | 4.2 |
| Superior court dispositions..... | 1,340 | 81.4 | 79 | 94.0 | 849 | 81.4 | 261 | 78.9 | 151 | 79.9 |
| Dismissed........................ | 105 | 6.4 | 2 | 2.4 | 74 | 7.1 | 21 | 6.3 | 8 | 4.2 |
| Diversions dismissed.......... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 |
| Acquitted......................... | 34 | 2.1 | 2 | 2.4 | 26 | 2.5 | 2 | . 6 | 4 | 2.1 |
| Convicted......................... | 1,201 | 72.9 | 75 | 89.3 | 749 | 71.8 | 238 | 71.9 | 139 | 73.5 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The "under 18 " age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

TABLE 40
DISPOSITIONS IN 1987-1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED By Convicted Offense

| Year(s) | Total |  | Homicide |  | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Robbery |  | Assault |  | Other |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1996 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 1,267 | 100.0 | 928 | 73.2 | 339 | 26.8 | 35 | 2.8 | 152 | 12.0 | 152 | 12.0 |
| $1995{ }^{\text {a }} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 964 | 100.0 | 730 | 75.7 | 234 | 24.3 | 13 | 1.3 | 108 | 11.2 | 113 | 11.7 |
| $1994{ }^{\text {a }} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 864 | 100.0 | 670 | 77.5 | 194 | 22.5 | 14 | 1.6 | 85 | 9.8 | 95 | 11.0 |
| $1993{ }^{\text {a }} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 1,174 | 100.0 | 957 | 81.5 | 217 | 18.5 | 19 | 1.6 | 93 | 7.9 | 105 | 8.9 |
| 1992............. | 1,560 | 100.0 | 1,239 | 79.4 | 321 | 20.6 | 26 | 1.7 | 133 | 8.5 | 162 | 10.4 |
| 1991............. | 1,306 | 100.0 | 1,035 | 79.2 | 271 | 20.8 | 26 | 2.0 | 104 | 8.0 | 141 | 10.8 |
| 1990............. | 1,038 | 100.0 | 814 | 78.4 | 224 | 21.6 | 25 | 2.4 | 60 | 5.8 | 139 | 13.4 |
| 1989............. | 1,079 | 100.0 | 857 | 79.4 | 222 | 20.6 | 10 | . 9 | 80 | 7.4 | 132 | 12.2 |
| 1988............. | 1,114 | 100.0 | 910 | 81.7 | 204 | 18.3 | 10 | . 9 | 62 | 5.6 | 132 | 11.8 |
| 1987............. | 1,144 | 100.0 | 941 | 82.3 | 203 | 17.7 | 22 | 1.9 | 73 | 6.4 | 108 | 9.4 |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
The 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 OBTS files remain open; therefore, published counts represent preliminary data.
Data include convictions for both misdemeanors and felonies.
${ }^{a}$ The 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 OBTS files are lower in number than normal because of fingerprint and disposition processing priorities.

TABLE 41
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Gender, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Offender by Convicted Offense

| Gender, <br> race/ethnic group, <br> and <br> age of offender | Total |  | Homicide |  | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Robbery |  | Assault |  | Other |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total..................... | 1,267 | 100.0 | 928 | 73.2 | 339 | 26.8 | 35 | 2.8 | 152 | 12.0 | 152 | 12.0 |
| Gender of offender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................... | 1,160 | 100.0 | 861 | 74.2 | 299 | 25.8 | 34 | 2.9 | 134 | 11.6 | 131 | 11.3 |
| Female................ | 107 | 100.0 | 67 | 62.6 | 40 | 37.4 | 1 | . 9 | 18 | 16.8 | 21 | 19.6 |
| Race/ethnic group of offender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.................. | 323 | 100.0 | 237 | 73.4 | 86 | 26.6 | 7 | 2.2 | 38 | 11.8 | 41 | 12.7 |
| Hispanic............... | 500 | 100.0 | 365 | 73.0 | 135 | 27.0 | 10 | 2.0 | 66 | 13.2 | 59 | 11.8 |
| Black.................. | 349 | 100.0 | 258 | 73.9 | 91 | 26.1 | 15 | 4.3 | 37 | 10.6 | 39 | 11.2 |
| Other.................. | 92 | 100.0 | 65 | 70.7 | 27 | 29.3 | 3 | 3.3 | 11 | 12.0 | 13 | 14.1 |
| Unknown............. | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Age of offender ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$............ | 76 | 100.0 | 59 | 77.6 | 17 | 22.4 | 5 | 6.6 | 6 | 7.9 | 6 | 7.9 |
| 18-29................. | 788 | 100.0 | 565 | 71.7 | 223 | 28.3 | 21 | 2.7 | 106 | 13.5 | 96 | 12.2 |
| 30-39................. | 256 | 100.0 | 186 | 72.7 | 70 | 27.3 | 8 | 3.1 | 27 | 10.5 | 35 | 13.7 |
| 40 and over.......... | 147 | 100.0 | 118 | 80.3 | 29 | 19.7 | 1 | . 7 | 13 | 8.8 | 15 | 10.2 |

Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
Data include convictions for both misdemeanors and felonies.
${ }^{1}$ Age groupings indicate the age of offender at time of arrest.
a The "under 18" age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

IABLE 42
DISPOSITIONS IN 1996 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Convicted Otfense by Sentence

| Sentence | Total |  | Homicide |  | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Robbery |  | Assault |  | Other |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total........................ | 1,267 | 100.0 | 928 | 100.0 | 339 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 | 152 | 100.0 | 152 | 100.0 |
| Death, prison, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth Authority...... | 1,113 | 87.8 | 882 | 95.0 | 231 | 68.1 | 33 | - | 95 | 62.5 | 103 | 67.8 |
| Death................. | 40 | 3.2 | 40 | 4.3 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | - | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Prison................. | 1,071 | 84.5 | 841 | 90.6 | 230 | 67.8 | 33 | - | 94 | 61.8 | 103 | 67.8 |
| Youth Authority.... | 2 | . 2 | 1 | . 1 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | - | 1 | . 7 | 0 | . 0 |
| All other.................. | 154 | 12.2 | 46 | 5.0 | 108 | 31.9 | 2 | - | 57 | 37.5 | 49 | 32.2 |
| Jail.................... | 14 | 1.1 | 2 | . 2 | 12 | 3.5 | 0 | - | 4 | 2.6 | 8 | 5.3 |
| Probation with jail.. | 125 | 9.9 | 37 | 4.0 | 88 | 26.0 | 2 | - | 48 | 31.6 | 38 | 25.0 |
| Probation............. | 14 | 1.1 | 7 | . 8 | 7 | 2.1 | 0 | - | 5 | 3.3 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Other.................. | 1 | . 1 | 0 | . 0 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | - | 0 | . 0 | 1 | . 7 |

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
Data include convictions for both misdemeanors and felonies

I ABLE 43
PERSONS UNDER CALIFORNIA SENTENCE OF DEATH, 1978-1996

| Year(s) | Initial sentences | $\overline{(+)}$ <br> Resentences | (-) <br> Removals ${ }^{1}$ | Persons under sentence of death ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996.............. | 40 | 1 | 6 | 461 |
| 1995.............. | 38 | 0 | 3 | 426 |
| 1994.............. | 21 | 1 | 5 | 391 |
| 1993.............. | 34 | 0 | 5 | 374 |
| 1992 ${ }^{\text {a }} . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 40 | 6 | 5 | 345 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {b }}$............. | 26 | 3 | 2 | 305 |
| 1990.............. | 33 | 3 | 4 | 279 |
| 1989 ${ }^{\text {c............. }}$ | 33 | 4 | 11 | 247 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {d }} . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 34 | 3 | 15 | 223 |
| $1987^{\text {e }} . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 25 | 4 | 6 | 203 |
| 1986.............. | 21 | 5 | 6 | 179 |
| 1985.............. | 16 | 2 | 20 | 159 |
| 1984.............. | 27 | 2 | 11 | 161 |
| 1983 ${ }^{\dagger} . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 35 | 2 | 5 | 143 |
| 1982.............. | 39 | 0 | 6 | 113 |
| 1981.............. | 39 | 1 | 2 | 80 |
| 1980.............. | 23 | 1 | 7 | 42 |
| 1979.............. | 20 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| 1978.............. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Source: California Appellate Project.
' Persons no longer under sentence of death because of execution, sentence reversal, natural death,
suicide, etc.
${ }^{2}$ Total persons under sentence of death on December 31 of each year. Persons with death sentences
from more than one county are counted once.
${ }^{2}$ In 1992, one person already under sentence of death received an additional death sentence. Forty initial sentences were imposed with 39 new persons being sentenced.
${ }^{\circ}$ In 1991, one person already under sentence of death received an additional death sentence
Twenty-six initial sentences were imposed with 25 new persons being sentenced.
${ }^{c}$ In 1989, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences.
Thirty-three initial sentences were imposed with 31 new persons being sentenced.
${ }^{\text {I }}$ In 1988, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences.
Thirty-four initial sentences were imposed with 32 new persons being sentenced.
${ }^{e}$ In 1987, although six death sentences were reversed, only five persons were no longer under
sentence of death. The sixth person had an additional death sentence from another county.
In 1983, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences.
Thirty-five initial sentences were imposed with 33 new persons being sentenced.

TABLE 44
PERSONS SENTENCED TO DEATH, 1996
Sentencing County by Gender, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age

| Sentencing county | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  | Age at arrest |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Under 20 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 and over |
| Total................... | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| Contra Costa....... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Fresno............... | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kern.................. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kings................. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Los Angeles........ | 10 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Orange.............. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Riverside............ | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Sacramento......... | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| San Bernardino.... | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| San Luis Obispo... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Santa Clara........ | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Stanislaus.......... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Tulare................ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: This table does not include persons resentenced to death after their death sentence was reversed on appeal.

HOMICIDE CRIMES AND PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY 1987-1996

| Year(s) | California population | Homicides |  | Sworn <br> law enforcement personnel ${ }^{2}$ | Peace officers killed in the line of duty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number ${ }^{1}$ | Rate |  | Number | Rate |
| 1996.. | 32,383,000 | 2,910 | 9.0 | 63,778 | 5 | 7.8 |
| 1995. | 32,063,000 | 3,530 | 11.0 | 62,156 | 10 | 16.1 |
| 1994............. | 32,140,000 | 3,699 | 11.5 | 59,340 | 9 | 15.2 |
| 1993... | 31,742,000 | 4,095 | 12.9 | 58,861 | 8 | 13.6 |
| 1992. | 31,300,000 | 3,920 | 12.5 | 59,386 | 5 | 8.4 |
| 1991............. | 30,646,000 | 3,876 | 12.6 | 60,901 | 3 | 4.9 |
| 1990............. | 29,557,836 | 3,562 | 12.1 | 60,227 | 5 | 8.3 |
| 1989............. | 28,771,207 | 3,159 | 11.0 | 58,149 | $5^{\text {a }}$ | 8.6 |
| 1988............. | 28,060,746 | 2,947 | 10.5 | 50,913 | $9^{\text {b }}$ | 17.7 |
| 1987............. | 27,388,477 | 2,929 | 10.7 | 54,106 | 4 | 7.4 |

Note: Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.
Includes peace officers killed in the line of duty.
${ }^{2}$ Personnel in the Department of Justice and other state regulatory agencies are not included.
${ }^{2}$ Includes 1 reported federal law enforcement officer.
${ }^{6}$ Includes 2 reported federal law enforcement officers.

TABLE 46
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1996
By Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total.............................. | 5 | 100.0 |
| Attempted arrest....................... | 1 | - |
| Domestic violence..................... | 1 | - |
| Investigation (suspicious person)... | 1 | - |
| Traffic stop............................. | 1 | - |
| Unknown................................ | 1 | - |

Note: Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

TABLE 47
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Gender, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased

| Gender, race/ethnic group, and age of deceased | Total |  | Peace officer justifiable |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Citizen } \\ \text { justifiable } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total.................. | 162 | 100.0 | 101 | 100.0 | 61 | 100.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................. | 159 | 98.1 | 99 | 98.0 | 60 | 98.4 |
| Female.............. | 3 | 1.9 | 2 | 2.0 | 1 | 1.6 |
| Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White............... | 39 | 24.1 | 31 | 30.7 | 8 | 13.1 |
| Hispanic............ | 73 | 45.1 | 47 | 46.5 | 26 | 42.6 |
| Black................ | 41 | 25.3 | 19 | 18.8 | 22 | 36.1 |
| Other................ | 9 | 5.6 | 4 | 4.0 | 5 | 8.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18........... | 9 | 5.6 | 3 | 3.0 | 6 | 9.8 |
| 18-19............... | 14 | 8.6 | 9 | 8.9 | 5 | 8.2 |
| 20-24.............. | 36 | 22.2 | 17 | 16.8 | 19 | 31.1 |
| 25-29............... | 28 | 17.3 | 16 | 15.8 | 12 | 19.7 |
| 30-34............... | 28 | 17.3 | 21 | 20.8 | 7 | 11.5 |
| 35-39.............. | 16 | 9.9 | 12 | 11.9 | 4 | 6.6 |
| 40-44............... | 16 | 9.9 | 11 | 10.9 | 5 | 8.2 |
| 45-49.............. | 6 | 3.7 | 4 | 4.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| 50-54.............. | 3 | 1.9 | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | . 0 |
| 55 and over........ | 2 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Unknown........... | 4 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.0 | 1 | 1.6 |

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

IABLE 48
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Location of Homicide

| Location of homicide | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |
| Total.................................. | 162 |  |
| Peace officer justifiable |  |  |
| Total............................... | 101 | 100.0 |
| Felon's residence.............. | 19 | 18.8 |
| Other residence................ | 3 | 3.0 |
| Street, sidewalk............... | 57 | 56.4 |
| Commercial establishment.. | 4 | 4.0 |
| Liquor store.................. | 0 | . 0 |
| Bar............................ | 0 | . 0 |
| Other business............ | 4 | 4.0 |
| All other......................... | 18 | 17.8 |
| Hotel, motel................. | 1 | 1.0 |
| Parking lot................... | 2 | 2.0 |
| Vehicle........................ | 13 | 12.9 |
| Other.......................... | 2 | 2.0 |
| Citizen justifiable |  |  |
| Total............................... | 61 | 100.0 |
| Citizen's, shared residence. | 13 | 21.3 |
| Citizen's residence......... | 13 | 21.3 |
| Shared residence........... | 0 | . 0 |
| Other residence................ | 6 | 9.8 |
| Victim's residence......... | 3 | 4.9 |
| Other residence............ | 3 | 4.9 |
| Street, sidewalk.............. | 25 | 41.0 |
| Commercial establishment.. | 15 | 24.6 |
| Liquor store................. | 2 | 3.3 |
| Bar............................ | 1 | 1.6 |
| Other business.............. | 12 | 19.7 |
| All other........................ | 2 | 3.3 |
| Hotel, motel.................. | 0 | . 0 |
| Parking lot................... | 2 | 3.3 |
| Vehicle........................ | 0 | . 0 |
| Other......................... | 0 | . 0 |

[^8]independent rounding.

TABLE 49
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |
| Total................................................. | 162 |  |
| Peace officer justifiable |  |  |
| Total.............................................. | 101 | 100.0 |
| Felon attacked peace officer................ | 81 | 80.2 |
| Felon killed during commission of crime.. | 20 | 19.8 |
| Felon resisted arrest.......................... | 0 | . 0 |
| All other....................................... | 0 | . 0 |
| Felon attacked another peace officer... | 0 | . 0 |
| Felon attacked citizen..................... | 0 | . 0 |
| Felon attempted flight.................... | 0 | . 0 |
| Citizen justifiable |  |  |
| Total.............................................. | 61 | 100.0 |
| Felon attacked citizen........................ | 10 | 16.4 |
| Felon killed during commission of crime.. | 51 | 83.6 |
| All other.. | 0 | . 0 |

Note: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent
rounding

TABLE 50
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1996
By Type of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total |  | Peace officer justifiable |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Citizen } \\ \text { justifiable } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total................................. | 162 | 100.0 | 101 | 100.0 | 61 | 100.0 |
| Firearm............................ | 153 | 94.4 | 100 | 99.0 | 53 | 86.9 |
| Handgun........................ | 141 | 87.0 | 95 | 94.1 | 46 | 75.4 |
| All other firearms............. | 12 | 7.4 | 5 | 5.0 | 7 | 11.5 |
| Rifle.......................... | 5 | 3.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| Shotgun..................... | 7 | 4.3 | 2 | 2.0 | 5 | 8.2 |
| Firearm - unknown type.. | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
|  | 9 | 5.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 8 | 13.1 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2}$.................... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| All other........................... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Rope ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Drugs........................... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Other........................... | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 |

Note: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
${ }^{1}$ Any instrument used to cut or stab.
${ }^{2}$ Club, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Hands, feet, etc
${ }^{4}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle.
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| Year(s) | Total population | Population at risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Adult ${ }^{2}$ | Juvenile $^{3}$ |
| 1996. | 32,383,000 | 25,554,242 | 21,825,735 | 3,728,507 |
| 1995. | 32,063,000 | 25,122,782 | 21,505,839 | 3,616,943 |
| 1994. | 32,140,000 | 24,703,379 | 21,193,571 | 3,509,808 |
| 1993. | 31,742,000 | 24,334,534 | 20,923,632 | 3,410,902 |
| 1992.............. | 31,300,000 | 23,975,578 | 20,661,120 | 3,314,458 |
| 1991.............. | 30,646,000 | 23,585,168 | 20,356,984 | 3,228,184 |
| 1990............... | 29,557,836 | 23,178,961 | 20,027,633 | 3,151,328 |
| 1989............... | 28,771,207 | 22,524,392 | 19,451,763 | 3,072,629 |
| 1988............... | 28,060,746 | 21,969,953 | 18,885,349 | 3,084,604 |
| 1987.............. | 27,388,477 | 21,483,563 | 18,378,758 | 3,104,805 |

Source: Population estimates were provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California
Department of Finance.
Total population at risk, 10-69 years of age.
${ }^{2}$ Adult population at risk, 18-69 years of age.
Juvenile population at risk, 10-17 years of age.


[^0]:    Source: Table 14.

[^1]:    Source: Table 15.

[^2]:    Source: Table 20.

[^3]:    1 The following penal codes for homicide arrest offenses were reported to the Department of Justice during 1996: 128, 187(a), 189, 192(a), 192(b), 193(a), 193(b), 273ab, 399, 12310(a).

[^4]:    Source: Table 33.

[^5]:    a Includes 1 reported federal law enforcement officer.
    b Includes 2 reported federal law enforcement officers.

[^6]:    Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.

[^7]:    Notes: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.

[^8]:    Note: Percents may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of

