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## introduction

Homicide in California, 1999 contains information about the crime of homicide and its victims, demographic data on persons arrested for homicide, and information about the response of the criminal justice system. Information about the death penalty, the number of peace officers killed in the line of duty, and justifiable homicide is also included.

Most data displayed in this publication come from three data bases maintained by the California Department of Justice's Criminal Justice Statistics Center (CJSC). The Homicide File captures willful and justifiable homicide crime data; the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register captures the race/ethnic group, age, and gender of persons arrested for homicide; and the Offender-Based Transaction Statistics system captures information about types of dispositions and sentences. Because of differences in the type of data collected, and the methods used to collect these data, the reader is cautioned against comparing data from these three collection systems.

As in last year's edition, CJSC publications available in either printed or electronic format (via the Internet) are listed on the inside of the back cover. Customized statistical reports or additional statistical information may be requested by contacting CJSC at the numbers provided.

## HOMICIDE CRIMES (see pages 2-23)

From 1998 to 1999, homicide crimes decreased 9.2 percent in rate per 100,000 population (6.5 to 5.9). From 1990 to 1999, the homicide crime rate decreased 51.2 percent ( 12.1 to 5.9).

- Since 1990, homicide rates have decreased for all gender, race/ethnic and age groups shown. (See pages 4-5.) Blacks experienced the highest rate of decline (down 56.3 percent) but continue to maintain substantially higher homicide victimization rates compared to whites and Hispanics.

■ The homicide rate for victims aged 30-39 decreased 54.5 percent from 1990 to 1999 (14.3 to 6.5).

- In 1999, most white victims fell into the aged "40 and over" category (47.9 percent). Most Hispanic and black victims fell into the aged "18-29" category ( 54.5 and 45.5 percent, respectively).

■ From 1990 to 1999, the majority of homicide victims knew their assailant.

- Proportionately, females were over 13 times more likely to be killed by their spouses than weremales.
- Generally, homicides occurred most often during the summertime.
- In 1999, males were most likely to be killed on streets or sidewalks and least likely to be killed in their residences; the inverse was true for females. (See page 16.)
- Since 1990, more homicide victims have been killed by firearms than by all other types of weapons combined.
- In 1999, 38.7 percent of homicide victims aged 5-29 were killed as a result of gang-related activities.

■ Out of every 100 homicides, 59.8 were cleared by an arrest in 1999.

HOMICIDE ARRESTS (see pages 26-29)

- From 1998 to 1999, homicide arrests decreased 17.9 percent in rate per 100,000 population at risk ( 8.4 to 6.9). From 1990 to 1999, the homicide arrest rate decreased 58.7 percent (16.7 to 6.9).
- From 1990 to 1999, the majority of homicide arrestees and victims were male.
- In 1999, the largest proportion of homicide arrestees and victims were Hispanic (47.7 and 45.5 percent, respectively). The majority of homicide arrestees were aged 18-29 (58.6 percent) and the largest proportion of homicide victims were aged 18-29 (42.3 percent).


## DISPOSITIONS OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE (see pages 32-37)

- Over 70 percent of adults arrested for homicide, for which dispositions were received, were convicted of homicide or some other offense.

DEATH PENALTY SENTENCES (see pages 40-41)
■ By the end of 1999, 556 persons were under sentence of death in California. Of these, 42 were sentenced in 1999.

## PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY (see pages 44-45)

Since 1990, 63 peace officers have been feloniously killed in the line of duty. Four were killed in 1999.

- Since 1990, the homicide rate for peace officers killed in the line of duty decreased 30.1 percent per 100,000 respective population (8.3 to 5.8).
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## HOMICIDE CRIMES

Homicide is defined by the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program as the "willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another." The homicide category comprises murder and nonnegligent manslaughter. Attempted murder, justifiable homicide, manslaughter by negligence, and suicide are excluded. Data depicting homicide in California have been collected and published for over 45 years.

From 1998 to 1999:

- The homicide rate per 100,000 population decreased 9.2 percent ( 6.5 to 5.9 ).

■ The number of homicides decreased 7.6 percent (from 2,170 to 2,006).

Comparing 1990 to 1999:

- The homicide rate per 100,000 population decreased 51.2 percent ( 12.1 to 5.9 ).
- The number of homicides decreased 43.7 percent (from 3,562 to 2,006).

Comparing 1952 to 1999 :

- The homicide rate per 100,000 population increased 145.8 percent ( 2.4 to 5.9 ).
- The number of homicides increased 619.0 percent (from 279 to 2,006).

Table N-1
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1952-1999
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Year(s) | Number | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 ............... | 2,006 | 5.9 |
| 1998 ............... | 2,170 | 6.5 |
| 1997 .............. | 2,579 | 7.8 |
| 1996 ............... | 2,910 | 9.0 |
| 1995 ............... | 3,530 | 11.0 |
| 1994 ................ | 3,699 | 11.5 |
| 1993 .............. | 4,095 | 12.9 |
| 1992 ............... | 3,920 | 12.5 |
| 1991 ............... | 3,876 | 12.6 |
| 1990 ............... | 3,562 | 12.1 |
| 1989 ............... | 3,159 | 11.0 |
| 1988 ............... | 2,947 | 10.5 |
| 1987 ............... | 2,929 | 10.7 |
| 1986 ............... | 3,030 | 11.3 |
| 1985 ............... | 2,781 | 10.7 |
| 1984 ............... | 2,724 | 10.6 |
| 1983 ............... | 2,640 | 10.5 |
| 1982 ............... | 2,778 | 11.3 |
| 1981 ............... | 3,140 | 13.1 |
| 1980 ............... | 3,405 | 14.4 |
| 1979 ................ | 2,941 | 12.6 |
| 1978 ............... | 2,601 | 11.4 |
| 1977 ............... | 2,481 | 11.1 |
| 1976 ............... | 2,214 | 10.1 |
| 1975 ............ | 2,196 | 10.2 |
| 1974 ................ | 1,970 | 9.3 |
| 1973 .............. | 1,862 | 8.9 |
| 1972 ............... | 1,789 | 8.7 |
| 1971 ............... | 1,633 | 8.0 |
| 1970 ............... | 1,355 | 6.8 |
| 1969 ............... | 1,376 | 6.9 |
| 1968 ............... | 1,171 | 6.0 |
| 1967 ............... | 1,051 | 5.4 |
| 1966 ............. | 897 | 4.7 |
| 1965 ............. | 892 | 4.8 |
| 1964 ............... | 758 | 4.2 |
| 1963 ............... | 656 | 3.7 |
| 1962 ............. | 671 | 3.9 |
| 1961 ............. | 609 | 3.7 |
| 1960 ............. | 620 | 3.9 |
| 1959 ................... | 515 | 3.4 |
| 1958 ............... | 547 | 3.7 |
| 1957 ............... | 497 | 3.5 |
| 1956 ................. | 474 | 3.5 |
| 1955 ............... | 417 | 3.2 |
| 1954 ............... | 419 | 3.3 |
| 1953 .............. | 276 | 2.3 |
| 1952 .............. | 279 | 2.4 |

> The 1999 homicide rate of 5.9 is the lowest since 1967 and represents the sixth consecutive year of decline.

Chart 1
VIOLENT CRIMES, 1990-1999
Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 1.

There are four offenses classified as violent crimes by the FBI: homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. For comparison, changes in the rates of the four offenses follow:

From 1998 to 1999:

- Homicide decreased 9.2 percent (6.5 to 5.9).
- Forcible rape decreased 5.1 percent (29.2 to 27.7).
- Robbery decreased 14.1 percent (205.3 to 176.4).
- Aggravated assault decreased 10.0 percent (445.1 to 400.7).

Comparing 1990 to 1999:

- Homicide decreased 51.2 percent (12.1 to 5.9 ).
- Forcible rape decreased 35.6 percent ( 43.0 to 27.7).
- Robbery decreased 53.6 percent (380.5 to 176.4).
- Aggravated assault decreased 35.4 percent (619.8 to 400.7).


## $\square$ Of the four offenses classified as violent crimes by the FBI, homicide maintained the lowest rate per 100,000 population for the years shown.

## crimes

Charts 2, 3, and 4 display homicide rates per 100,000 population for victims classified by gender, race/ethnic group, and age.

In 1999,

- The total homicide rate was 5.9 per 100,000 population.
- The male homicide rate was over three and one-half times that of the female homicide rate (9.2 vs. 2.6).
- The black homicide rate was over eight times that of whites and almost two and one-half times that of Hispanics (21.0 vs. 2.6 and 8.8, respectively).

Comparing 1990 to 1999:

- The male homicide rate decreased 52.1 percent. The female homicide rate decreased 42.2 percent.
- The white homicide rate decreased 49.0 percent, the Hispanic homicide rate decreased 54.4 percent, and the black homicide rate decreased 56.3 percent.

Since 1990, homicide rates have decreased for all gender and race/ ethnic groups shown. Blacks experienced the highest rate of decline (down 56.3 percent) but continue to maintain substantially higher homicide victimization rates compared to whites and Hispanics.

Chart2
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Gender of Victim
Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 2.

Chart 3
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Race/Ethnic Group of Victim
Rate per 100,000 Population


[^0]Chart 4
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Age of Victim
Rate per 100,000 Population


Source: Table 4.

In 1999,

- Persons aged 18-29 had the highest homicide victimization rate (15.2 per 100,000 population).

Comparing 1990 to 1999 :

- The homicide rate decreased 46.3 percent for victims under age 18, 41.1 percent for victims aged 18-29, 54.5 percent for victims aged 30-39, and 42.4 percent for victims aged 40 and over.
$\square$ Since 1990, homicide rates have decreased for all age categories shown. Persons aged 18-29, however, continue to maintain the highest homicide victimization rate (in 1999, the rate was five times that of victims under age 18, two times that of victims aged 30-39, and four times that of victims aged 40 and over).


## crimes

In 1999,

- Males represented 78.2 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 50.2 percent of the population.
- Females represented 21.8 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 49.8 percent of the population.

Chart 5
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Gender of Victim


Source: Table 5.

Chart 6
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender of Victim by Percent of Total Victims and Percent of Population


Sources: Table 2 and Table 5.

As homicide victims, males are statistically over-represented when compared to females.

Chart 7
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Race/Ethnic Group of Victim


Source: Table 6.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 8
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Percent of Total Victims and Percent of Population


Sources: Table 3 and Table 6.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

In 1999,

- Whites represented 22.3 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 50.9 percent of the population.
- Hispanics represented 45.5 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 30.4 percent of the population.
- Blacks represented 24.4 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 6.8 percent of the population.

■ The "other" race/ethnic group category represented 7.9 percent of total homicide victims; they comprised 11.9 percent of the population.

## crimes

In 1999,

- Victims under age 18 represented 13.9 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 28.1 percent of the population.
- Victims aged 18-29 represented 42.3 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 16.2 percent of the population.
- Victims aged $30-39$ represented 18.3 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 16.5 percent of the population.

■ Victims aged 40 and over represented 25.4 percent of total homicides; this age group comprised 39.2 percent of the population.

Persons aged 18-29 display the greatest disparity between proportion of population and proportion of homicide victimization.

Chart9
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Age of Victim


Source: Table 7.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 10
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Percent of Total Victims and Percent of Population


Sources: Table 4 and Table 7.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 11
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Gender of Victim


Source: Table 8.

Chart 12
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Age of Victim


Source: Table 9.

In 1999,

- More white victims were female than were either Hispanic or black victims (36.1 vs. 14.4 and 18.4 percent, respectively).
- More Hispanic and black victims were aged $18-29$ than were white victims ( 54.5 and 45.5 vs. 19.4 percent, respectively).
- More white victims were aged 40 and over than were either Hispanic or black victims (47.9 vs. 14.4 and 22.7 percent, respectively).
$\square$ Over one-third of white victims were female. Additionally, white victims tended to be older, Hispanic and black victims younger.


## crimes

When homicides were examined by the relationship of the victim to the offender, it was found that:

In 1999,

- 50.8 percent of victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders.
- 6.8 percent of victims were spouses of offenders.
- 9.4 percent of victims were parents or children of offenders.
- 3.9 percent of the relationships of victim to offender fell into the "other relative" category.
- 29.1 percent of victims were strangers to offenders.

Comparing 1990 to 1999:

- The proportion of homicides in which victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders decreased from 52.2 percent in 1990 to 50.8 percent in 1999.
- The proportion of homicides in which victims were strangers to offenders decreased from 34.3 percent in 1990 to 29.1 percent in 1999.

Chart 13
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Relationship of Victim to Offender


Source: Table 11.

Chart 14
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Selected Relationships of Victims to Offenders


Source: Table 11.

Chart 15
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender


Source: Table 12.

Chart 16
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender


[^1]In 1999,

- More female victims were spouses of offenders (21.3 percent) than were male victims (1.6 percent).
- Proportionately, more black victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders than were either white or Hispanic victims ( 58.6 vs. 46.9 and 53.4 percent, respectively).

1 Proportionately, females were over 13 times more likely to be killed by their spouses than were males.

In 1999,

- Victims under age 18 were least likely to be strangers to offenders (22.4 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.
- More victims aged 18-29 were friends or acquaintances of offenders ( 62.0 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.
- More victims aged 40 and over were spouses of offenders ( 16.5 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

Chart 17
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Offender


[^2]Regardless of age group, the largest proportion of homicide victims were killed by friends or acquaintances.

Chart 18
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
County by Rate per 100,000 Population


## HOMICIDE

 CRIMES (Part 2)

When homicides were examined by season of incident, it was found that:

- In 1999, the incidents that led to death occurred more often in the summer than in any other season (28.0 percent).

Chart 19
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Season of Incident


Source: Table 15.

Chart20
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Season of Incident


Source: Table 15.

Chart21
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Average Daily Number of Incidents on Weekdays and Weekends


Chart22
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Average Daily Number of Incidents on Weekdays and Weekends


[^3]In 1999,

- An average of 5.0 homicide victims were killed each weekday and 6.8 homicide victims were killed each weekend day.
- Hispanic victims had the highest average daily number of incidents on both weekdays and weekends (2.1 and 3.5, respectively) of any race/ ethnic group shown.
- Victims aged 18-29 had the highest average daily number of incidents on both weekdays and weekends ( 1.9 and 3.3 , respectively) of any age group shown.


## crimes

When homicides were examined by location of incident, it was found that:

In 1999,

- 34.3 percent of victims were killed at their places of residence.
- 35.5 percent of homicides occurred on streets or sidewalks.
- 30.3 percent of homicides occurred in "all other" locations.
- More males were killed on streets or sidewalks (41.6 percent) than were females ( 13.3 percent). Proportionately, more females were killed at their places of residence (59.8 percent) than were males (27.2 percent).
$\square$ Of the locations shown, males were most likely to be killed on streets or sidewalks and least likely to be killed in their residences; the inverse was true for females.

Chart23
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Location of Homicide


Source: Table 19.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart24
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender of Victim by Location of Homicide


Source: Table 19.

Chart25
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Location of Homicide


Source: Table 19.

Chart26
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Location of Homicide


[^4]In 1999,

- Proportionately, more whites than Hispanics or blacks were killed at their places of residence ( 50.6 vs. 26.8 and 30.3 percent, respectively). Conversely, more Hispanics and blacks were killed on streets or sidewalks than were whites ( 43.4 and 43.2 vs. 15.6 percent, respectively).
- More victims aged 18-29 were killed on streets or sidewalks ( 46.8 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.
- More victims aged 40 and over were killed at their places of residence ( 54.0 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.


## $\square$ Whites were most likely to be killed in their residences; Hispanics and blacks, on a street or sidewalk.

## crimes

When homicides were examined by type of weapon used, it was found that:

In 1999,

- 67.5 percent resulted from the use of firearms.
- 58.3 percent resulted from the use of handguns.
- 9.2 percent resulted from the use of all other types of firearms.
- 12.8 percent resulted from the use of knives.
- 5.4 percent resulted from the use of personal weapons (hands, feet, etc.).
- 6.8 percent resulted from the use of blunt objects (clubs, etc.).
- 7.5 percent resulted from the use of weapons grouped in the "all other" category.

Comparing 1990 to 1999 :

- The proportion of homicides that resulted from the use of firearms decreased from 67.6 percent in 1990 to 67.5 percent in 1999.
- The proportion of homicides that resulted from the use of nonfirearms increased from 32.4 percent in 1990 to 32.5 percent in 1999.

The proportion of homicides committed with firearms has remained relatively stable throughout the period shown.

Chart27
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 21.

Chart 28
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Selected Types of Weapons Used


Source: Table 21.

Chart29
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender of Victim by Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 22.

Chart30
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 22.

In 1999,

- More males were killed with firearms (73.0 percent) than were females (47.3 percent).
- Proportionately, more females were killed with knives, personal weapons, or blunt objects (33.6 percent) than were males (22.7 percent).
- More Hispanics and blacks were killed with firearms than were whites ( 73.4 and 76.7 vs. 45.3 percent, respectively).
$\square$ On average, 67.5 percent of all homicide victims were killed with firearms in 1999. The percentage of white homicide victims killed with firearms fell below the average; Hispanics and blacks, above.

In 1999,
More victims aged 18-29 were killed with firearms (81.6 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

- Proportionately, fewer victims aged 40 and over were killed with firearms ( 51.3 percent) than were victims in any other age group shown.

Chart 31
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Type of Weapon Used


Source: Table 23.

Over 81 percent of homicide victims aged 18-29 were killed with firearms.

Chart32
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
By Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 24.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart33
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Selected Contributing Circumstances


Source: Table 24.

When homicides were examined by contributing circumstance, it was found that:

In 1999,

- 9.2 percent occurred as a result of a rape, robbery, or burglary.
- 41.7 percent occurred as a result of an argument.
- 23.6 percentwere gang-related.

■ 5.0 percent were drug-related.

- 20.4 percent occurred as a result of "all other" contributing circumstances.

Comparing 1990 to 1999:

- The proportion of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was gang-related increased from 20.7 percent in 1990 to 23.6 percent in 1999.
- The proportion of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was drug-related decreased from 10.8 percent in 1990 to 5.0 percent in 1999.

In 1999,

- More males were victims of gang-related homicides (29.2 percent) than were females (4.2 percent).
- More Hispanics and blacks were victims of gangrelated homicides than were whites (34.0 and 26.3 vs. 3.9 percent, respectively).
- Proportionately, more whites than Hispanics or blacks were victims of homicides which occurred as a result of an argument (53.1 vs. 37.6 and 38.7 percent, respectively).

Chart 34
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender of Victim by Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 25.
Note: Charts 32, 35, and 36 include rape with robbery and burglary. However, for a more relevant comparison between male and female victims, rape is included in the "all other" category in Chart 34. In 1999, 4.2 percent of homicide crimes involving females were rape-related.

## Chart 35

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Contributing
Circumstance


Source: Table 25.

Chart36
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 26.

Chart37
HOMICIDE CRIMES CLEARED, 1990-1999
Clearance Rate


Source: Table 28.
Note: A clearance rate is the percentage of crimes (homicides) reported that have been cleared. It is calculated by dividing the number of homicides cleared by the number of homicides reported. The result is multiplied by 100. Please see "Appendix II - Criminal Justice Glossary" for a detailed explanation of clearances.

In 1999,

- Most homicide victims under age 5 were killed as a result of child abuse (72.4 percent).
- More homicide victims aged 5-17 and aged 18-29 were killed as a result of gang- or drug-related activities (44.1 and 43.9 percent, respectively) than from any other contributing circumstance shown.
- The majority of homicide victims aged 30-39 and aged 40 and over were killed as a result of an argument ( 55.7 and 52.8 percent, respectively).

■ Out of every 100 homicides, 59.8 were cleared by an arrest or by "exceptional means."

## HOMICIDE ARRESTS
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## HOMICIDE ARRESTS

Unlike crimes, which are classified by nationwide Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards, arrests are reported by California statute definition of the offense. ${ }^{1}$ This may cause some differences in the definitions of certain crimes and the reporting of the arrests for those crimes. For instance, the California definition of a homicide arrest includes murder and nonvehicular manslaughter. The federal definition of a homicide crime includes murder and nonnegligent(nonaccidental) manslaughter.

All California law enforcement agencies report arrest and citation information to the California Department of Justice on the "Monthly Arrest and Citation Register," which lists each arrestee; includes information about age, gender, and race/ethnic group; and specifies the "most serious" arrest offense and law enforcement disposition.

In 1999, of 1,770 arrests for homicide:

- 89.2 percent of arrestees $(1,579)$ were male.

■ 10.8 percent (191) were female.

Chart 38
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
By Gender of Arrestee


Source: Table 30.

[^5]In 1999, the majority of homicide arrestees and victims were male ( 89.2 and 78.2 percent, respectively) (see Tables 5 and 30).

Chart 39
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
By Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee


Source: Table 31.

Chart 40
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
By Age of Arrestee


Source: Table 32.

In 1999, of 1,770 arrests for homicide:
21.6 percent of arrestees (382) were white.

- 47.7 percent (845) were Hispanic.
- 23.6 percent (417) were black.
- 7.1 percent (126) fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.

And,

- 10.3 percent of arrestees (182) were under age 18.
- 58.6 percent $(1,037)$ were aged 18-29.
- 17.9 percent (317) were aged 30-39.
- 13.2 percent (234) were aged 40 and over.
$\square$ In 1999, the largest proportion of homicide arrestees and victims were Hispanic (47.7 and 45.5 percent, respectively). The majority of homicide arrestees were aged 18-29 ( 58.6 percent) and the largest proportion of homicide victims were aged 18-29 (42.3 percent). (See Tables 6, ㄱ, 31, and 32.)

In 1999,

- Homicide arrestees for all three race/ethnic groups shown were predominately male.

Chart41
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Gender of Arrestee


[^6]Chart 42
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Age of Arrestee


Source: Table 33.

In 1999,

- More white arrestees were aged 40 and over than were Hispanic or black arrestees (28.8 vs. 6.0 and 12.7 percent, respectively).

Regardless of race/ethnic group, the largest proportion of homicide arrestees fell into the aged "18-29" category.

## DISPOSITIONS OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE <br> 
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## dispositions of adults arrested FOR HOMICIDE

This section of the report describes 1999 dispositions of adults arrested for homicide, regardless of the year of arrest. Data were obtained from California's OffenderBased Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system. This system tracks the processing of adults arrested for felony offenses from arrest through final disposition using data taken directly from the Department of Justice's Automated Criminal History System (ACHS).

Chart 43
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
By Type of Disposition


Source: Table 35.

Chart44
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Gender of Arrestee by Type of Disposition


[^7]Examination of 1999 dispositions of adults arrested for homicide found that:

- 13.2 percent were released at the law enforcement level or had a complaint denied at the prosecutorial level.
- 14.5 percent were either dismissed or acquitted.

■ 72.3 percent were convicted of homicide or some other offense.

And,

- Males were more likely to be convicted than females (73.1 vs. 64.8 percent).


## dispositions

In 1999,

- The proportions of whites and Hispanics arrested for homicide and convicted of homicide or some other offense were approximately the same (75.6 and 77.7 percent, respectively).

And,
Proportionately, more arrestees under age 18 were convicted ( 93.9 percent) than were arrestees in any other age group shown. (The "under 18" age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.)

Chart 45
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Type of Disposition


Source: Table 36.

Chart 46
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Age of Arrestee by Type of Disposition


Source: Table 36.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The "under 18 " age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

Chart 47
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
By Convicted Offense


Source: Table 40.

Chart 48
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Gender of Offender by Convicted Offense


Source: Table 41.

Of those adults arrested for homicide who were convicted in 1999, it was found that:
54.2 percent were convicted of homicide.

- 45.8 percent were convicted of lesser crimes such as robbery or assault.

And,
More males were convicted of homicide (54.7 percent) than were females (48.5 percent).

## dispositions

In 1999,

- Proportionately, more blacks were convicted for homicide than were whites or Hispanics (65.2 vs. 50.5 and 51.9 percent, respectively).

And,

- Proportionately, more arrestees under age 18 were convicted of homicide ( 79.0 percent) than were arrestees in any other age group shown.

Chart 49
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Race/Ethnic Group of Offender by Convicted Offense


Source: Table 41.

Chart50
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Age of Offender by Convicted Offense


Source: Table 41.
a The "under 18" age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

Chart 51
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Convicted Offense by Sentence



Source: Table 42.
Note: Prison includes 1999 death penalty dispositions for adults arrested for homicide.

Of those adults arrested for homicide who were convicted in 1999, it was found that:
94.5 percent of those convicted of homicide and 57.1 percent of those convicted of lesser offenses received sentences to prison or to the California Department of the Youth Authority (CYA). See the "Death Penalty Sentences" section (pages 40-41) for additional information.


## DEATH PENALTY SENTENCES

This section contains information about persons sentenced to death in California courts in 1999. Death penalty data were extracted from the 1999 Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system. For detailed information regarding the death penalty and the criteria by which a person can be sentenced to death, refer to California Penal Code sections 190 through 190.9.

Chart 52
PERSONS UNDER CALIFORNIA SENTENCE OF DEATH, 1978-1999


Source: Table 43.

During 1999, 42 persons were convicted of first-degree murder and sentenced to death. These were initial death sentences only and do not include persons who were resentenced to death after their death sentences were reversed on appeal. By the end of 1999, 556 persons were under sentence of death in California.

Of the 42 persons newly sentenced to death in 1999:
41 were male; one was female.

- 15 were white; nine were Hispanic; 13 were black; five fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.
- The mean (average) age at arrest was 31.
- Los Angeles County sentenced the largest number: 14.

Additional information can be found in Tables 43 and 44.
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## peace officers killed

## PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY

Information about peace officers killed in the line of duty was obtained from the Homicide File. Only sworn officers feloniously killed in the line of duty are included. (Sworn officers accidentally killed in the line of duty and nonsworn officers, such as security guards, are excluded.)

Data in Tables $\mathrm{N}-2$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$ show that:

- From 1990-1999, 63 peace officers were killed in the line of duty. The average number of peace officers killed annually was 6.3. In 1999, four were killed.
- In 1999, one peace officer was killed with a handgun and three were killed with rifles.

Table N-2
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED
IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1990-1999

| Year(s) | Number of officers killed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total ........................... | 63 |
| 1999 ........................ | 4 |
| 1998 ........................ | 7 |
| 1997 ........................ | 7 |
| 1996 ........................ | 5 |
| 1995 ....................... | 10 |
| 1994 ........................ | 9 |
| 1993 ........................ | 8 |
| 1992 ........................ | 5 |
| 1991 ........................ | 3 |
| 1990 ........................ | 5 |

Table N-3
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED
IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1999
By Type of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total | Offender's | Officer's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total .... | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Handgun ......... | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Rifle ............... | 3 | 3 | 0 |

Table N-4
PEACE OFFICERS KILLED
IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Officer by Gender of Officer

| Race/ethnic group | Total | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |
| Total .................. | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| White ............ | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Hispanic ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black ............. | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Other .............. | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Chart 53

HOMICIDE CRIMES AND PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1990-1999
Rate per 100,000 Respective Population


Source: Table 45.

Data in Table N-4 show that:

- In 1999, all four peace officers killed in the line of duty were male; three were white and one was black.

When homicide rates for the general population were compared to homicide rates for peace officers killed in the line of duty, it was found that:

In 1999,

- The general population homicide rate was 5.9 per 100,000 respective population. The homicide rate for peace officers killed in the line of duty was 5.8 per 100,000 respective population.

Comparing 1990 to 1999:

- The general population homicide rate decreased 51.2 percent ( 12.1 to 5.9 ). The homicide rate for peace officers killed in the line of duty decreased 30.1 percent ( 8.3 to 5.8 ).


## JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES



## justifiable homicides

## JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES

A justifiable homicide is defined by the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program as the killing of a felon by a private citizen or by a peace officer during the commission of a felony. Justifiable homicides are sometimes referred to as excusable or noncriminal homicides.

When justifiable homicides were examined, it was found that:

In 1999,

- 96.5 percent of felons killed by peace officers were male; 3.5 percent were female.
- All felons killed by private citizens were male.

Chart 54
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Gender of Deceased


Source: Table 47.

Chart 55
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Race/Ethnic Group of Deceased


Source: Table 47.

In 1999,

- 34.9 percent of felons killed by peace officers were white, 31.4 percent were Hispanic, 26.7 percent were black, and 7.0 percent fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.
- 21.9 percent of felons killed by private citizens were white, 37.5 percent were Hispanic, 37.5 percent were black, and 3.1 percent fell into the "other" race/ethnic group category.
- 3.5 percent of felons killed by peace officers were under age 18,37.2 percent were aged 18-29, 33.7 percent were aged $30-39$, and 25.6 percent were aged 40 and over.
- 3.1 percent of felons killed by private citizens were under age $18,56.3$ percent were aged 18-29, 34.4 percent were aged $30-39$, and 6.3 percent were aged 40 and over. ${ }^{1}$

Chart 56
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Age of Deceased


Source: Table 47.

[^8]
## justifiable homicides

When justifiable homicides were examined by location, it was found that:

In 1999,

Most felons were killed by peace officers on a street or sidewalk (68.6 percent).

Chart 57
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1999
By Location of Justifiable Homicide


Source: Table 48.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 58
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Location of Justifiable Homicide


Source: Table 48.
Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

Chart 59
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1999 By Contributing Circumstance


Source: Table 49.

Chart 60
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Contributing Circumstance


[^9]When justifiable homicides were examined by contributing circumstance, it was found that:

In 1999,
Most felons killed by peace officers were killed while attacking a peace officer ( 75.6 percent).

- Most felons killed by private citizens were killed during the commission of a crime ( 62.5 percent).


## APPENDICES:

## APPENDICES
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## COMPUTATIONAL FORMULAS

ARREST RATE - An arrest rate describes the number of arrests made by law enforcement agencies per 100,000 total population or per 100,000 population considered to be at risk for arrest. Regardless of the population used, both rates are calculated in the same manner. An arrest rate is calculated by dividing the number of reported arrests by the respective population; the result is multiplied by 100,000. For example, in 1999 there were 1,770 homicide arrests. The total population was $34,036,000$ and the total population at risk (10-69 years of age) was $25,711,892$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1,770}{34,036,000}=0.0000520 \times 100,000=5.2 \text { per } 100,000 \text { population } \\
& \frac{1,770}{25,711,892}=0.0000688 \times 100,000=6.9 \text { per } 100,000 \text { population at risk }
\end{aligned}
$$

CLEARANCE RATE - A clearance rate is the percentage of crimes reported that have been cleared. It is calculated by dividing the number of crimes cleared by the number of crimes reported. The result is multiplied by 100 . For example, in 1999 there were 1,200 homicides cleared and 2,006 homicides reported. This equals a homicide clearance rate of 59.8 percent.

$$
\frac{1,200}{2,006}=0.5982053 \times 100=59.8 \text { percent }
$$

CRIME RATE - A crime rate describes the number of crimes reported to law enforcement agencies per 100,000 total population. A crime rate is calculated by dividing the number of reported crimes by the total population; the result is multiplied by 100,000. For example, in 1999 there were 2,006 homicides in California and the population was $34,036,000$. This equals a homicide crime rate of 5.9 per 100,000 general population.

$$
\frac{2,006}{34,036,000}=0.0000589 \times 100,000=5.9
$$

PERCENT CHANGE - A percent change describes a change in number or rate from one year to another. A percent change is calculated by subtracting base year data from current year data. The result is divided by base year data and multiplied by 100. For example, in 1999 the homicide crime rate was 5.9. In 1990 the homicide crime rate was 12.1 . The percent change in rate from 1990 to 1999 is a 51.2 percent decrease.

$$
\frac{5.9-12.1}{12.1}=-0.5123966 \times 100=-51.2 \text { percent }
$$

POPULATION AT RISK - Arrest section data tables include three comparison populations: total (10-69 years of age), adult (18-69 years of age), and juvenile ( $10-17$ years of age).

When a series of rates are calculated using different populations, the rate calculated for the total will not be equal to the sum of the rates calculated for each subtotal. For example, the total arrest rate (calculated using the total at-risk population) will not equal the sum of the adult arrest rate (calculated using the adult at-risk population) and the juvenile arrest rate (calculated using the juvenile at-risk population).

## appendix II

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE GLOSSARY

ACQUITTAL: a judgment of a court, based either on the verdict of a jury or a judicial officer, that the defendant is not guilty of the offense(s) for which he/she was tried.

ADULT: a person 18 years of age or older.
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT: an unlawful attack or attempted attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault usually is accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm (UCR definition).

APPEAL: a petition initiated by a defendant for a rehearing in an appellate court regarding a previous sentence or motion.

ARREST: ". . . taking a person into custody, in a case and in the manner authorized by law. An arrest may be made by a peace officer or by a private person" (834 PC).

ARREST RATE: the number of arrests per 100,000 population. See "Computational Formulas" preceding this glossary for further explanation.

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

(CYA): the state agency which has jurisdiction over and maintains institutions as correctional schools for the reception of wards of the juvenile court and other persons committed from lower and superior courts.

CLEARANCE: an offense is "cleared by arrest" or solved, for crime reporting purposes, when at least one person is arrested, charged with the commission of an offense, and turned over to a court for prosecution. Although no physical arrest is made, a clearance by arrest can be claimed when an offender is a person under 18 years of age and is cited to appear in juvenile court or before other juvenile authorities. An offense can also be "cleared exceptionally" for crime reporting purposes when an investigation has definitely established the identity of an offender; there is enough information to support an arrest; and the exact location of an offender is known but, for some reason, law enforcement cannot take the offender into custody.

CLEARANCE RATE: the percentage of crimes reported that have been cleared.

COMBINED CASES: cases rejected by the prosecutor in favor of other counts/cases.

COMPLAINT: a verified written accusation, filed by a prosecuting attorney with a local criminal court, which charges one or more persons with the commission of one or more offenses.

CONVICTION: a judgment, based either on the verdict of a jury or a judicial officer or on the guilty plea of the defendant, that the defendant is guilty.

CRIME: ". . . an act committed or omitted in violation of a law forbidding or commanding it. . ." (15 PC).

CRIME RATE: the number of reported crimes per 100,000 general population. See "Computational Formulas" preceding this glossary for further explanation.

CYA: see "California Department of the Youth Authority."
DISMISSAL: a decision by a judicial officer to terminate a case without a determination of guilt or innocence.

DISPOSITION - COURT: an action taken as the result of an appearance in court by a defendant. Examples are: adults - dismissed, acquitted, or convicted; juveniles dismissed, transferred, or remanded to adult court.

DISPOSITION-LAW ENFORCEMENT: an action taken as the result of an arrest. Examples of police dispositions are: adults - released by law enforcement, referred to another jurisdiction, or a misdemeanor or felony complaint sought; juveniles - handled within the department, referred to another agency, or referred to the probation department or juvenile court.

DISPOSITION - PROSECUTOR: an action taken as the result of a complaint requested by an arresting agency. Dispositions include granting a misdemeanor or a felony complaint or denying a complaint for reasons such as lack of sufficient evidence or complainant refuses to testify.

DIVERSION: a disposition of a criminal defendant either before adjudication or following adjudication, but prior to sentencing, in which the court directs the defendant to participate in a work, educational, or rehabilitative program.

DIVERSION DISMISSED: the successful completion of a diversion program.

FELON: one who has committed a felony.
FELONY: a crime which is punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state prison (17 \& 18 PC ).

FILING: a document filed with the municipal court clerk or county clerk by a prosecuting attorney alleging that a person committed or attempted to commit a crime.

FORCIBLE RAPE: the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will. Assaults or attempts to commit rape by force or threat of force are included (UCR definition).

HOMICIDE: the willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another. Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter are included (UCR definition).

JAIL: a county or city facility for incarceration of sentenced and unsentenced persons.

JUVENILE: a person under the age of 18.
LOWER COURT: the court of original or trial jurisdiction for the prosecution of persons accused of misdemeanor or certain felony offenses. Also, lower courts may sentence certain felony offenders as well as conduct preliminary hearings to determine probable cause in cases where felony offenders are subject to the jurisdiction of superior courts.

MISDEMEANOR: a crime punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for up to one year.

## MONTHLY ARREST AND CITATION REGISTER (MACR):

a reporting system used to collect information on adult and juvenile arrests and citations by police and sheriffs' departments. This register contains data on arrest offenses, arrestee characteristics (age, gender, and race/ethnic group), and law enforcement dispositions.

OFFENDER-BASED TRANSACTION STATISTICS (OBTS): a system designed to collect statistical information on the various processes within the criminal justice system that occur between the point of the felony arrest of an adult and the point of final disposition.

OFFENSE: the charged offense is the crime for which the defendant was arrested or filed on by the district attorney. The convicted offense is the offense the defendant was convicted of or pled guilty to in court.

PC (PENAL CODE): the California Penal Code contains statutes that define criminal offenses and specify corresponding punishments. Criminal justice system mandates and procedures are also included.

POPULATION AT RISK: that portion of the total population, who because of like characteristics to the specific study group, are considered "at risk." For example, if one were studying juvenile arrestees, all persons between 10 and 17 years of age would constitute the at-risk population.

PRISON: a state correctional facility where persons are confined following conviction for a felony offense.

PROBATION: a judicial requirement that a person fulfill certain conditions of behavior in lieu of a sentence to confinement. See "Straight Probation."

PROBATION WITH JAIL: a type of disposition given upon conviction which imposes a jail term as a condition of probation.

RATE: a comparison of a number of events to a population.

REMAND: to send back (a case) to another court for further action.

ROBBERY: the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force or violence and/or by creating fear in the victim (UCR definition).

SENTENCE: the penalty imposed by a court upon a convicted person.

STRAIGHT PROBATION: probation granted to adults without condition or stipulation that the defendant serve time in jail as a condition of probation.

SUPERIOR COURT: the court of original or trial jurisdiction for felony cases and all juvenile hearings. Also, the first court of appeal for lower court cases.

UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING (UCR): a federal reporting system which compiles crime data based on information submitted by law enforcement agencies throughout the nation. In California, the Department of Justice administers and forwards these law enforcement data to the federal program.

VIOLENT CRIMES: crimes committed against people. This category includes homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.

YOUTH AUTHORITY: see "California Department of the Youth Authority."
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I ABLE 1
vIULENI CRIMES, 1990-1999
umber, Rate per 100,000 Population, and Percent Chang

| Year(s) | Total | Homicide | Forcible rape | Robbery | Aggravated assault |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999..... | 207,874 | 2,006 | 9,443 | 60,027 | 136,398 |
| 1998............... | 229,766 | 2,170 | 9,777 | 68,752 | 149,067 |
| 1997............... | 257,409 | 2,579 | 10,182 | 81,413 | 163,235 |
| 1996............... | 274,675 | 2,910 | 10,238 | 94,137 | 167,390 |
| 1995............... | 304,998 | 3,530 | 10,550 | 104,581 | 186,337 |
| 1994............... | 318,946 | 3,699 | 10,960 | 112,149 | 192,138 |
| 1993.............. | 336,100 | 4,095 | 11,754 | 126,347 | 193,904 |
| 1992. | 345,508 | 3,920 | 12,751 | 130,867 | 197,970 |
| 1991............... | 330,916 | 3,876 | 12,942 | 125,105 | 188,993 |
| 1990............... | 311,923 | 3,562 | 12,716 | 112,460 | 183,185 |


| Percent change in number |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998-1999......... | -9.5 | -7.6 | -3.4 | -12.7 | -8.5 |
| 1997-1998......... | -10.7 | -15.9 | -4.0 | -15.6 | -8.7 |
| 1996-1997......... | -6.3 | -11.4 | -0.5 | -13.5 | -2.5 |
| 1995-1996......... | -9.9 | -17.6 | -3.0 | -10.0 | -10.2 |
| 1994-1995......... | -4.4 | -4.6 | -3.7 | -6.7 | -3.0 |
| 1993-1994......... | -5.1 | -9.7 | -6.8 | -11.2 | -0.9 |
| 1992-1993......... | -2.7 | 4.5 | -7.8 | -3.5 | -2.1 |
| 1991-1992......... | 4.4 | 1.1 | -1.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 1990-1991......... | 6.1 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 3.2 |
| 1990-1999........ | -33.4 | -43.7 | -25.7 | -46.6 | -25.5 |


| Rate per 100,000 population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999. | 610.7 | 5.9 | 27.7 | 176.4 | 400.7 |
| 1998............... | 686.0 | 6.5 | 29.2 | 205.3 | 445.1 |
| 1997............... | 781.0 | 7.8 | 30.9 | 247.0 | 495.3 |
| 1996................ | 848.2 | 9.0 | 31.6 | 290.7 | 516.9 |
| 1995............... | 951.2 | 11.0 | 32.9 | 326.2 | 581.2 |
| 1994............... | 992.4 | 11.5 | 34.1 | 348.9 | 597.8 |
| 1993............... | 1,058.8 | 12.9 | 37.0 | 398.0 | 610.9 |
| 1992.............. | 1,103.9 | 12.5 | 40.7 | 418.1 | 632.5 |
| 1991............... | 1,079.8 | 12.6 | 42.2 | 408.2 | 616.7 |
| 1990................ | 1,055.3 | 12.1 | 43.0 | 380.5 | 619.8 |


| Percent change in rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998-1999......... | -11.0 | -9.2 | -5.1 | -14.1 | -10.0 |
| 1997-1998......... | -12.2 | -16.7 | -5.5 | -16.9 | -10.1 |
| 1996-1997......... | -7.9 | -13.3 | -2.2 | -15.0 | -4.2 |
| 1995-1996........ | -10.8 | -18.2 | -4.0 | -10.9 | -11.1 |
| 1994-1995......... | -4.2 | -4.3 | -3.5 | -6.5 | -2.8 |
| 1993-1994......... | -6.3 | -10.9 | -7.8 | -12.3 | -2.1 |
| 1992-1993......... | -4.1 | 3.2 | -9.1 | -4.8 | -3.4 |
| 1991-1992........ | 2.2 | -0.8 | -3.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 1990-1991........ | 2.3 | 4.1 | -1.9 | 7.3 | -0.5 |
| 1990-1999......... | -42.1 | -51.2 | -35.6 | -53.6 | -35.4 |

Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Res Unit, California Department of Finance

TABLE 2
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Gender of Victim
Number, Percent, and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Gender of victim | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1990 \\ 1999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998- \\ 1999 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........... | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 | 2,579 | 2,170 | 2,006 | -43.7 | -7.6 |
| Percent of victims.......... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Population................... | 29,557,836 | 30,646,000 | 31,300,000 | 31,742,000 | 32,140,000 | 32,063,000 | 32,383,000 | 32,957,000 | 33,494,000 | 34,036,000 | 15.2 | 1.6 |
| Percent of population...... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Rate........................... | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 5.9 | -51.2 | -9.2 |
| Male ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 2,881 | 3,140 | 3,220 | 3,338 | 3,090 | 2,901 | 2,368 | 2,097 | 1,727 | 1,568 | -45.6 | -9.2 |
| Percent of total victims. | 80.9\% | 81.0\% | 82.1\% | 81.5\% | 83.5\% | 82.2\% | 81.4\% | 81.3\% | 79.6\% | 78.2\% |  |  |
| Population................. | 15,005,864 | 15,345,534 | 15,680,019 | 15,826,148 | 16,302,037 | 16,643,729 | 16,979,256 | 17,135,207 | 16,810,163 | 17,099,812 | 14.0 | 1.7 |
| Percent of population... | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 49.9\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 50.1\% | 50.0\% | 50.2\% | 50.2\% |  |  |
| Rate......................... | 19.2 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 21.1 | 19.0 | 17.4 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 10.3 | 9.2 | -52.1 | -10.7 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 681 | 736 | 700 | 757 | 609 | 629 | 542 | 482 | 443 | 438 | -35.7 | -1.1 |
| Percent of total victims. | 19.1\% | 19.0\% | 17.9\% | 18.5\% | 16.5\% | 17.8\% | 18.6\% | 18.7\% | 20.4\% | 21.8\% |  |  |
| Population................. | 14,970,139 | 15,300,542 | 15,620,115 | 15,868,588 | 16,218,103 | 16,545,201 | 16,884,383 | 17,159,994 | 16,696,243 | 16,972,666 | 13.4 | 1.7 |
| Percent of population... | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 50.1\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 49.9\% | 50.0\% | 49.8\% | 49.8\% |  |  |
| Rate......................... | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | -42.2 | -3.7 |

Notes: Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.
Population breakdowns by gender will not add to total because of variations in population source data.
The "male" category includes homicide victims whose gender could not be determined: 1990 includes 2, 1992 includes 1, 1993 includes 2, 1994 includes 7, 1995 includes 6 , and 1997 includes 5

Number, Percent, and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Race/ethnic group of victim | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1990- \\ 1999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........... | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 | 2,579 | 2,170 | 2,006 | -43.7 | -7.6 |
| Percent of victims........... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Population..................... | 29,557,836 | 30,646,000 | 31,300,000 | 31,742,000 | 32,140,000 | 32,063,000 | 32,383,000 | 32,957,000 | 33,494,000 | 34,036,000 | 15.2 | 1.6 |
| Percent of population........ | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Rate............................ | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 5.9 | -51.2 | -9.2 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 872 | 971 | 914 | 952 | 771 | 726 | 617 | 547 | 523 | 446 | -48.9 | -14.7 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 24.5\% | 25.1\% | 23.3\% | 23.2\% | 20.8\% | 20.6\% | 21.2\% | 21.2\% | 24.1\% | 22.2\% |  |  |
| Population................... | 17,198,646 | 17,291,782 | 17,362,245 | 17,324,679 | 17,511,489 | 17,593,222 | 17,787,715 | 17,849,510 | 17,258,003 | 17,339,690 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Percent of population..... | 57.4\% | 56.4\% | 55.5\% | 54.7\% | 53.8\% | 53.0\% | 52.5\% | 52.0\% | 51.5\% | 50.9\% |  |  |
| Rate......................... | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | -49.0 | -13.3 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 1,495 | 1,542 | 1,686 | 1,631 | 1,572 | 1,615 | 1,291 | 1,154 | 964 | 909 | -39.2 | -5.7 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 42.0\% | 39.8\% | 43.0\% | 39.8\% | 42.5\% | 45.8\% | 44.4\% | 44.7\% | 44.4\% | 45.3\% |  |  |
| Population.................. | 7,740,303 | 8,146,876 | 8,561,349 | 8,906,439 | 9,340,495 | 9,764,691 | 10,114,228 | 10,421,039 | 10,022,551 | 10,352,763 | 33.8 | 3.3 |
| Percent of population.... | 25.8\% | 26.6\% | 27.4\% | 28.1\% | 28.7\% | 29.4\% | 29.9\% | 30.4\% | 29.9\% | 30.4\% |  |  |
| Rate......................... | 19.3 | 18.9 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 16.8 | 16.5 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 8.8 | -54.4 | -8.3 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 1,017 | 1,101 | 1,073 | 1,249 | 1,111 | 922 | 794 | 682 | 523 | 488 | -52.0 | -6.7 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 28.6\% | 28.4\% | 27.4\% | 30.5\% | 30.0\% | 26.1\% | 27.3\% | 26.4\% | 24.1\% | 24.3\% |  |  |
| Population.................. | 2,116,415 | 2,155,334 | 2,191,898 | 2,179,651 | 2,255,738 | 2,293,634 | 2,330,391 | 2,314,836 | 2,309,152 | 2,320,916 | 9.7 | 0.5 |
| Percent of population.... | 7.1\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% | 6.7\% | 6.9\% | 6.8\% |  |  |
| Rate......................... | 48.1 | 51.1 | 49.0 | 57.3 | 49.3 | 40.2 | 34.1 | 29.5 | 22.6 | 21.0 | -56.3 | -7.1 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 172 | 247 | 234 | 247 | 226 | 254 | 198 | 177 | 147 | 157 | -8.7 | 6.8 |
| Percent of total victims.. | 4.8\% | 6.4\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.1\% | 7.2\% | 6.8\% | 6.9\% | 6.8\% | 7.8\% |  |  |
| Population................... | 2,920,639 | 3,052,084 | 3,184,642 | 3,283,967 | 3,412,418 | 3,537,383 | 3,631,305 | 3,709,816 | 3,916,700 | 4,059,109 | 39.0 | 3.6 |
| Percent of population..... | 9.7\% | 10.0\% | 10.2\% | 10.4\% | 10.5\% | 10.7\% | 10.7\% | 10.8\% | 11.7\% | 11.9\% |  |  |
| Rate......................... | 5.9 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | -33.9 | 2.6 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 6 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 19 | 13 | 6 | - | - |
| Percent of total victims.. | .2\% | .4\% | . $3 \%$ | .4\% | . $5 \%$ | .4\% | . $3 \%$ | .7\% | .6\% | . $3 \%$ |  |  |
| Population.................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percent of population..... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Rate......................... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding
Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance
Population breakdowns by race/ethnic group will not add to total because of variations in population source data
Dash indicates that the percent of population and rate for the "unknown" category cannot be calculated because there are no unknown race/ethnic group population data
The "percent of population" category for race/ethnic group was calculated using the sum of the race/ethnic group populations.

TABLE 4
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Age of Victim
Number, Percent, and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Age of victim | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1990- \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1998- \\ 1999 \end{array}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims......... | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 | 2,579 | 2,170 | 2,006 | -43.7 | -7.6 |
| Percent of victims.......... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Population................... | 29,557,836 | 30,646,000 | 31,300,000 | 31,742,000 | 32,140,000 | 32,063,000 | 32,383,000 | 32,957,000 | 33,494,000 | 34,036,000 | 15.2 | 1.6 |
| Percent of population...... | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Rate.......................... | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 5.9 | -51.2 | -9.2 |
| Under 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 428 | 483 | 489 | 512 | 470 | 519 | 421 | 361 | 306 | 276 | -35.5 | -9.8 |
| Percent of total victims. | 12.0\% | 12.5\% | 12.5\% | 12.5\% | 12.7\% | 14.7\% | 14.5\% | 14.0\% | 14.1\% | 13.8\% |  |  |
| Population................. | 7,869,864 | 8,123,819 | 8,391,266 | 8,651,941 | 8,917,191 | 9,191,662 | 9,456,115 | 9,701,218 | 9,426,168 | 9,587,332 | 21.8 | 1.7 |
| Percent of population... | 26.3\% | 26.5\% | 26.8\% | 27.3\% | 27.4\% | 27.7\% | 27.9\% | 28.3\% | 28.1\% | 28.1\% |  |  |
| Rate........................ | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | -46.3 | -9.4 |
| 18-29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 1,640 | 1,717 | 1,719 | 1,763 | 1,603 | 1,510 | 1,183 | 1,068 | 933 | 840 | -48.8 | -10.0 |
| Percent of total victims. | 46.0\% | 44.3\% | 43.9\% | 43.1\% | 43.3\% | 42.8\% | 40.7\% | 41.4\% | 43.0\% | 41.9\% |  |  |
| Population................ | 6,360,597 | 6,246,754 | 6,171,771 | 5,863,383 | 5,934,537 | 5,854,943 | 5,770,311 | 5,537,727 | 5,474,990 | 5,511,604 | -13.3 | 0.7 |
| Percent of population... | 21.2\% | 20.4\% | 19.7\% | 18.5\% | 18.2\% | 17.6\% | 17.0\% | 16.1\% | 16.3\% | 16.2\% |  |  |
| Rate....................... | 25.8 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 30.1 | 27.0 | 25.8 | 20.5 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 15.2 | -41.1 | -10.6 |
| 30-39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims....... | 765 | 863 | 842 | 934 | 861 | 737 | 630 | 534 | 428 | 364 | -52.4 | -15.0 |
| Percent of total victims. | 21.5\% | 22.3\% | 21.5\% | 22.8\% | 23.3\% | 20.9\% | 21.6\% | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | 18.1\% |  |  |
| Population................ | 5,337,491 | 5,510,403 | 5,656,892 | 5,747,693 | 5,874,969 | 5,942,572 | 5,968,805 | 5,942,241 | 5,654,098 | 5,629,424 | 5.5 | -0.4 |
| Percent of population... | 17.8\% | 18.0\% | 18.1\% | 18.1\% | 18.1\% | 17.9\% | 17.6\% | 17.3\% | 16.9\% | 16.5\% |  |  |
| Rate....................... | 14.3 | 15.7 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 6.5 | -54.5 | -14.5 |
| 40 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims........ | 683 | 754 | 834 | 842 | 698 | 719 | 636 | 580 | 480 | 505 | -26.1 | 5.2 |
| Percent of total victims. | 19.2\% | 19.5\% | 21.3\% | 20.6\% | 18.9\% | 20.4\% | 21.9\% | 22.5\% | 22.1\% | 25.2\% |  |  |
| Population................ | 10,408,051 | 10,765,100 | 11,080,205 | 11,431,719 | 11,793,443 | 12,199,753 | 12,668,408 | 13,114,015 | 12,951,150 | 13,344,118 | 28.2 | 3.0 |
| Percent of population... | 34.7\% | 35.1\% | 35.4\% | 36.1\% | 36.3\% | 36.8\% | 37.4\% | 38.2\% | 38.7\% | 39.2\% |  |  |
| Rate........................ | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 | -42.4 | 2.7 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims....... | 46 | 59 | 36 | 44 | 67 | 45 | 40 | 36 | 23 | 21 | - | - |
| Percent of total victims. | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | . $9 \%$ | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% |  |  |
| Population................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1.0\% | - | - |
| Percent of population... Rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Rate........................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.
Population breakdowns by age will not add to total because of variations in population source data.
Dash indicates that the percent of population and rate for the "unknown" category cannot be calculated because there are no unknown age population data.
The "percent of population" category for age group was calculated using the sum of the age populations.

By Gender ot Victım

| Year(s) | Total |  | Male $^{1}$ |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $1999 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,006 | 100.0 | 1,568 | 78.2 | 438 | 21.8 |
| $1998 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,170 | 100.0 | 1,727 | 79.6 | 443 | 20.4 |
| $1997 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,579 | 100.0 | 2,097 | 81.3 | 482 | 18.7 |
| $1996 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,910 | 100.0 | 2,368 | 81.4 | 542 | 18.6 |
| $1995 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,530 | 100.0 | 2,901 | 82.2 | 629 | 17.8 |
| $1994 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,699 | 100.0 | 3,090 | 83.5 | 609 | 16.5 |
| $1993 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 4,095 | 100.0 | 3,338 | 81.5 | 757 | 18.5 |
| $1992 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,920 | 100.0 | 3,220 | 82.1 | 700 | 17.9 |
| $1991 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,876 | 100.0 | 3,140 | 81.0 | 736 | 19.0 |
| $1990 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,562 | 100.0 | 2,881 | 80.9 | 681 | 19.1 |

[^10]I ABLE 6
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Race/Lthnic Group of Victım

| Year(s) | Total including unknown | Unknown | Known race/ethnic group of victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999.............. | 2,006 | 6 | 2,000 | 100.0 | 446 | 22.3 | 909 | 45.5 | 488 | 24.4 | 157 | 7.9 |
| 1998.............. | 2,170 | 13 | 2,157 | 100.0 | 523 | 24.2 | 964 | 44.7 | 523 | 24.2 | 147 | 6.8 |
| 1997.............. | 2,579 | 19 | 2,560 | 100.0 | 547 | 21.4 | 1,154 | 45.1 | 682 | 26.6 | 177 | 6.9 |
| 1996.............. | 2,910 | 10 | 2,900 | 100.0 | 617 | 21.3 | 1,291 | 44.5 | 794 | 27.4 | 198 | 6.8 |
| 1995.............. | 3,530 | 13 | 3,517 | 100.0 | 726 | 20.6 | 1,615 | 45.9 | 922 | 26.2 | 254 | 7.2 |
| 1994.............. | 3,699 | 19 | 3,680 | 100.0 | 771 | 21.0 | 1,572 | 42.7 | 1,111 | 30.2 | 226 | 6.1 |
| 1993.............. | 4,095 | 16 | 4,079 | 100.0 | 952 | 23.3 | 1,631 | 40.0 | 1,249 | 30.6 | 247 | 6.1 |
| 1992.............. | 3,920 | 13 | 3,907 | 100.0 | 914 | 23.4 | 1,686 | 43.2 | 1,073 | 27.5 | 234 | 6.0 |
| 1991.............. | 3,876 | 15 | 3,861 | 100.0 | 971 | 25.1 | 1,542 | 39.9 | 1,101 | 28.5 | 247 | 6.4 |
| 1990.............. | 3,562 | 6 | 3,556 | 100.0 | 872 | 24.5 | 1,495 | 42.0 | 1,017 | 28.6 | 172 | 4.8 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

IABLE /
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Age of Victım

| Year(s) | Total including unknown | Unknown | Known age of victim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Under 18 |  | 18-29 |  | 30-39 |  | 40 and over |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999. | 2,006 | 21 | 1,985 | 100.0 | 276 | 13.9 | 840 | 42.3 | 364 | 18.3 | 505 | 25.4 |
| 1998............ | 2,170 | 23 | 2,147 | 100.0 | 306 | 14.3 | 933 | 43.5 | 428 | 19.9 | 480 | 22.4 |
| 1997. | 2,579 | 36 | 2,543 | 100.0 | 361 | 14.2 | 1,068 | 42.0 | 534 | 21.0 | 580 | 22.8 |
| 1996............. | 2,910 | 40 | 2,870 | 100.0 | 421 | 14.7 | 1,183 | 41.2 | 630 | 22.0 | 636 | 22.2 |
| 1995.. | 3,530 | 45 | 3,485 | 100.0 | 519 | 14.9 | 1,510 | 43.3 | 737 | 21.1 | 719 | 20.6 |
| 1994......... | 3,699 | 67 | 3,632 | 100.0 | 470 | 12.9 | 1,603 | 44.1 | 861 | 23.7 | 698 | 19.2 |
| 1993............. | 4,095 | 44 | 4,051 | 100.0 | 512 | 12.6 | 1,763 | 43.5 | 934 | 23.1 | 842 | 20.8 |
| 1992............. | 3,920 | 36 | 3,884 | 100.0 | 489 | 12.6 | 1,719 | 44.3 | 842 | 21.7 | 834 | 21.5 |
| 1991............. | 3,876 | 59 | 3,817 | 100.0 | 483 | 12.7 | 1,717 | 45.0 | 863 | 22.6 | 754 | 19.8 |
| 1990............. | 3,562 | 46 | 3,516 | 100.0 | 428 | 12.2 | 1,640 | 46.6 | 765 | 21.8 | 683 | 19.4 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

I ABLE 8
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Kace/Lthnic Giroup ot Victım by Gender ot Victım

| Gender of victim | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total............. | 2,006 | 100.0 | 446 | 100.0 | 909 | 100.0 | 488 | 100.0 | 157 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
| Male.......... | 1,568 | 78.2 | 285 | 63.9 | 778 | 85.6 | 398 | 81.6 | 103 | 65.6 | 4 | - |
| Female........ | 438 | 21.8 | 161 | 36.1 | 131 | 14.4 | 90 | 18.4 | 54 | 34.4 | 2 | - |

Note: Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Race/Lthnic Group of Victim by Age of Victim

| Age of victim | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown... | 2,006 |  | 446 |  | 909 |  | 488 |  | 157 |  | 6 |  |
| Unknown.................. | 21 |  | 3 |  | 8 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |
| Total known.............. | 1,985 | 100.0 | 443 | 100.0 | 901 | 100.0 | 484 | 100.0 | 155 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| Under 18................ | 276 | 13.9 | 46 | 10.4 | 135 | 15.0 | 58 | 12.0 | 36 | 23.2 | 1 | - |
| 18-29................... | 840 | 42.3 | 86 | 19.4 | 491 | 54.5 | 220 | 45.5 | 42 | 27.1 | 1 | - |
| 30-39................... | 364 | 18.3 | 99 | 22.3 | 145 | 16.1 | 96 | 19.8 | 24 | 15.5 | 0 | - |
| 40 and over............ | 505 | 25.4 | 212 | 47.9 | 130 | 14.4 | 110 | 22.7 | 53 | 34.2 | 0 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

IABLE 1 U
HUIVIUILE CKIIVIES, 1 YYy
Kace/ttnnic Giroup ot Vıctım by Gienaer and Age ot Victım

| Gender and age of victim | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total............... | 2,006 | 100.0 | 446 | 100.0 | 909 | 100.0 | 488 | 100.0 | 157 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
| Under 18........ | 276 | 13.8 | 46 | 10.3 | 135 | 14.9 | 58 | 11.9 | 36 | 22.9 | 1 | - |
| 18-19............ | 177 | 8.8 | 15 | 3.4 | 114 | 12.5 | 40 | 8.2 | 7 | 4.5 | 1 | - |
| 20-24............ | 383 | 19.1 | 35 | 7.8 | 225 | 24.8 | 105 | 21.5 | 18 | 11.5 | 0 | - |
| 25-29............ | 280 | 14.0 | 36 | 8.1 | 152 | 16.7 | 75 | 15.4 | 17 | 10.8 | 0 | - |
| 30-34............ | 189 | 9.4 | 41 | 9.2 | 84 | 9.2 | 51 | 10.5 | 13 | 8.3 | 0 | - |
| 35-39............ | 175 | 8.7 | 58 | 13.0 | 61 | 6.7 | 45 | 9.2 | 11 | 7.0 | 0 | - |
| 40-44............ | 146 | 7.3 | 50 | 11.2 | 42 | 4.6 | 44 | 9.0 | 10 | 6.4 | 0 | - |
| 45-49............ | 116 | 5.8 | 39 | 8.7 | 39 | 4.3 | 26 | 5.3 | 12 | 7.6 | 0 | - |
| 50-54........... | 73 | 3.6 | 23 | 5.2 | 22 | 2.4 | 15 | 3.1 | 13 | 8.3 | 0 | - |
| 55 and over.... | 170 | 8.5 | 100 | 22.4 | 27 | 3.0 | 25 | 5.1 | 18 | 11.5 | 0 | - |
| Unknown........ | 21 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 8 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.8 | 2 | 1.3 | 4 | - |
| Male.............. | 1,568 | 100.0 | 285 | 100.0 | 778 | 100.0 | 398 | 100.0 | 103 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 |
| Under 18..... | 197 | 12.6 | 27 | 9.5 | 108 | 13.9 | 36 | 9.0 | 25 | 24.3 | 1 | - |
| 18-19......... | 155 | 9.9 | 10 | 3.5 | 101 | 13.0 | 36 | 9.0 | 7 | 6.8 | 1 | - |
| 20-24......... | 334 | 21.3 | 26 | 9.1 | 205 | 26.3 | 92 | 23.1 | 11 | 10.7 | 0 | - |
| 25-29......... | 240 | 15.3 | 21 | 7.4 | 140 | 18.0 | 65 | 16.3 | 14 | 13.6 | 0 | - |
| 30-34......... | 149 | 9.5 | 28 | 9.8 | 70 | 9.0 | 44 | 11.1 | 7 | 6.8 | 0 | - |
| 35-39......... | 129 | 8.2 | 35 | 12.3 | 49 | 6.3 | 39 | 9.8 | 6 | 5.8 | 0 | - |
| 40-44......... | 102 | 6.5 | 31 | 10.9 | 31 | 4.0 | 33 | 8.3 | 7 | 6.8 | 0 | - |
| 45-49......... | 84 | 5.4 | 28 | 9.8 | 24 | 3.1 | 22 | 5.5 | 10 | 9.7 | 0 | - |
| 50-54......... | 54 | 3.4 | 18 | 6.3 | 20 | 2.6 | 11 | 2.8 | 5 | 4.9 | 0 | - |
| 55 and over.. | 110 | 7.0 | 60 | 21.1 | 22 | 2.8 | 18 | 4.5 | 10 | 9.7 | 0 | - |
| Unknown..... | 14 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 8 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | - |
| Female.......... | 438 | 100.0 | 161 | 100.0 | 131 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| Under 18..... | 79 | 18.0 | 19 | 11.8 | 27 | 20.6 | 22 | 24.4 | 11 | 20.4 | 0 | - |
| 18-19......... | 22 | 5.0 | 5 | 3.1 | 13 | 9.9 | 4 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | - |
| 20-24......... | 49 | 11.2 | 9 | 5.6 | 20 | 15.3 | 13 | 14.4 | 7 | 13.0 | 0 | - |
| 25-29......... | 40 | 9.1 | 15 | 9.3 | 12 | 9.2 | 10 | 11.1 | 3 | 5.6 | 0 | - |
| 30-34......... | 40 | 9.1 | 13 | 8.1 | 14 | 10.7 | 7 | 7.8 | 6 | 11.1 | 0 | - |
| 35-39......... | 46 | 10.5 | 23 | 14.3 | 12 | 9.2 | 6 | 6.7 | 5 | 9.3 | 0 | - |
| 40-44......... | 44 | 10.0 | 19 | 11.8 | 11 | 8.4 | 11 | 12.2 | 3 | 5.6 | 0 | - |
| 45-49......... | 32 | 7.3 | 11 | 6.8 | 15 | 11.5 | 4 | 4.4 | 2 | 3.7 | 0 | - |
| 50-54......... | 19 | 4.3 | 5 | 3.1 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 4.4 | 8 | 14.8 | 0 | - |
| 55 and over.. | 60 | 13.7 | 40 | 24.8 | 5 | 3.8 | 7 | 7.8 | 8 | 14.8 | 0 | - |
| Unknown..... | 7 | 1.6 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.9 | 2 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding. Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

I ABLE 11
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Relationship of Victim to Ottender

| Relationshipofvictim to offender | 1990 |  | 1991 |  | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown........ | 3,562 |  | 3,876 |  | 3,920 |  | 4,095 |  | 3,699 |  |
| Unknown......................... | 1,020 |  | 1,318 |  | 1,011 |  | 1,166 |  | 994 |  |
| Total known..................... | 2,542 | 100.0 | 2,558 | 100.0 | 2,909 | 100.0 | 2,929 | 100.0 | 2,705 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . . . .$. | 1,328 | 52.2 | 1,285 | 50.2 | 1,677 | 57.6 | 1,706 | 58.2 | 1,680 | 62.1 |
| Spouse, parent, child......... | 267 | 10.5 | 286 | 11.2 | 291 | 10.0 | 270 | 9.2 | 239 | 8.8 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2}$..................... | 156 | 6.1 | 146 | 5.7 | 139 | 4.8 | 130 | 4.4 | 113 | 4.2 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 111 | 4.4 | 140 | 5.5 | 152 | 5.2 | 140 | 4.8 | 126 | 4.7 |
| All other relatives............. | 76 | 3.0 | 90 | 3.5 | 86 | 3.0 | 87 | 3.0 | 77 | 2.8 |
| Stranger........................ | 871 | 34.3 | 897 | 35.1 | 855 | 29.4 | 866 | 29.6 | 709 | 26.2 |


| Relationshipofvictim to offender (cont.) | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1990- \\ & 1999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1998- \\ & 1999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total including unknown...... | 3,530 |  | 2,910 |  | 2,579 |  | 2,170 |  | 2,006 |  |  |  |
| Unknown......................... | 947 |  | 728 |  | 859 |  | 625 |  | 761 |  |  |  |
| Total known..................... | 2,583 | 100.0 | 2,182 | 100.0 | 1,720 | 100.0 | 1,545 | 100.0 | 1,245 | 100.0 | -51.0 | -19.4 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1}$. | 1,359 | 52.6 | 1,075 | 49.3 | 869 | 50.5 | 766 | 49.6 | 632 | 50.8 | -52.4 | -17.5 |
| Spouse, parent, child...... | 260 | 10.1 | 261 | 12.0 | 203 | 11.8 | 192 | 12.4 | 202 | 16.2 | -24.3 | 5.2 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2}$..................... | 123 | 4.8 | 115 | 5.3 | 89 | 5.2 | 83 | 5.4 | 85 | 6.8 | -45.5 | 2.4 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 137 | 5.3 | 146 | 6.7 | 114 | 6.6 | 109 | 7.1 | 117 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 7.3 |
| All other relatives............. | 77 | 3.0 | 43 | 2.0 | 46 | 2.7 | 41 | 2.7 | 49 | 3.9 | -35.5 | - |
| Stranger........................ | 887 | 34.3 | 803 | 36.8 | 602 | 35.0 | 546 | 35.3 | 362 | 29.1 | -58.4 | -33.7 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that a percent change is not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

I ABLE 12
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Giender and Race/tthnic Group of Victim by Relatıonship of Victım to Uttender

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Relationship } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { victim to offender } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown.......... | 2,006 | 1,568 | 438 | 446 | 909 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Unknown......................... | 761 | 651 | 110 | 107 | 368 | 232 | 48 | 6 |
| Total known..................... | 1,245 | 917 | 328 | 339 | 541 | 256 | 109 | 0 |
| Friend, acquaintance'....... | 632 | 491 | 141 | 159 | 289 | 150 | 34 | 0 |
| Spouse, parent, child......... | 202 | 76 | 126 | 80 | 65 | 24 | 33 | 0 |
| Spouse ${ }^{2}$..................... | 85 | 15 | 70 | 43 | 27 | 2 | 13 | 0 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 117 | 61 | 56 | 37 | 38 | 22 | 20 | 0 |
| All other relatives............. | 49 | 31 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 0 |
| Stranger......................... | 362 | 319 | 43 | 82 | 175 | 71 | 34 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.................. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1 / . . . . . .}$ | 50.8 | 53.5 | 43.0 | 46.9 | 53.4 | 58.6 | 31.2 | - |
| Spouse, parent, child........ | 16.2 | 8.3 | 38.4 | 23.6 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 30.3 | - |
| Spouse ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 6.8 | 1.6 | 21.3 | 12.7 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 11.9 | - |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 9.4 | 6.7 | 17.1 | 10.9 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 18.3 | - |
| All other relatives............. | 3.9 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 7.3 | - |
| Stranger......................... | 29.1 | 34.8 | 13.1 | 24.2 | 32.3 | 27.7 | 31.2 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
"Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
${ }^{3}$ Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson

Age of Victim by Relationship of Victim to Uttender

| Relationship of victim to offender | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40 and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown........ | 2,006 | 276 | 840 | 364 | 505 | 21 |
| Unknown......................... | 761 | 80 | 361 | 135 | 171 | 14 |
| Total known..................... | 1,245 | 196 | 479 | 229 | 334 | 7 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . .$. | 632 | 71 | 297 | 112 | 149 | 3 |
| Spouse, parent, child........ | 202 | 70 | 15 | 27 | 89 | 1 |
| Spouse ${ }^{\text {2.................... }}$ | 85 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 55 | 0 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$.............. | 117 | 70 | 4 | 8 | 34 | 1 |
| All other relatives............ | 49 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 0 |
| Stranger........................ | 362 | 44 | 157 | 78 | 80 | 3 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{1} . . . . .$. | 50.8 | 36.2 | 62.0 | 48.9 | 44.6 | - |
| Spouse, parent, child........ | 16.2 | 35.7 | 3.1 | 11.8 | 26.6 | - |
| Spouse ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 6.8 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 8.3 | 16.5 | - |
| Parent, child ${ }^{3}$............... | 9.4 | 35.7 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 10.2 | - |
| All other relatives............ | 3.9 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 4.8 | - |
| Stranger........................ | 29.1 | 22.4 | 32.8 | 34.1 | 24.0 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Includes ex-husband, ex-wite, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
Includes stepmother, steptather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

TABLE 14
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statewide total........ | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 | 2,579 | 2,170 | 2,006 |
| Alameda............. | 188 | 199 | 214 | 199 | 187 | 196 | 142 | 142 | 107 | 85 |
| Alpine................ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amador.............. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Butte................ | 14 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| Calaveras........... | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Colusa............... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Contra Costa....... | 70 | 107 | 86 | 113 | 120 | 80 | 71 | 63 | 54 | 57 |
| Del Norte........... | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| El Dorado............ | 9 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Fresno............... | 92 | 81 | 126 | 127 | 122 | 105 | 93 | 84 | 57 | 43 |
| Glenn................. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Humboldt........... | 11 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 12 |
| Imperial.............. | 11 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| Inyo.................. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kern.................. | 60 | 61 | 49 | 73 | 92 | 71 | 52 | 55 | 55 | 52 |
| Kings................. | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Lake................. | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Lassen............... | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Los Angeles......... | 1,768 | 1,856 | 1,919 | 1,944 | 1,669 | 1,682 | 1,398 | 1,176 | 959 | 891 |
| Madera............... | 15 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 12 | 6 |
| Marin................. | 4 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Mariposa............ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Mendocino.......... | 8 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Merced.............. | 15 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 17 | 17 | 14 |
| Modoc............... | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mono................. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monterey............ | 28 | 25 | 33 | 32 | 40 | 26 | 23 | 33 | 27 | 29 |
| Napa................. | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Nevada.............. | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Orange............... | 149 | 155 | 173 | 196 | 171 | 166 | 111 | 102 | 85 | 92 |

TABLE 14-continued
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placer.................. | 10 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| Plumas............... | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Riverside............. | 125 | 159 | 128 | 159 | 166 | 133 | 111 | 110 | 104 | 89 |
| Sacramento......... | 89 | 120 | 91 | 145 | 126 | 103 | 93 | 87 | 73 | 82 |
| San Benito........... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| San Bernardino..... | 202 | 218 | 233 | 256 | 243 | 225 | 185 | 146 | 147 | 110 |
| San Diego............ | 216 | 278 | 245 | 245 | 206 | 198 | 166 | 125 | 87 | 106 |
| San Francisco........ | 101 | 96 | 117 | 129 | 92 | 99 | 82 | 59 | 58 | 64 |
| San Joaquin.......... | 78 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 64 | 62 | 66 | 73 | 42 | 39 |
| San Luis Obispo.... | 9 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| San Mateo........... | 43 | 45 | 55 | 33 | 26 | 35 | 11 | 28 | 23 | 18 |
| Santa Barbara....... | 11 | 18 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 5 |
| Santa Clara.......... | 57 | 77 | 68 | 61 | 56 | 56 | 48 | 62 | 44 | 37 |
| Santa Cruz........... | 11 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 4 |
| Shasta............... | 6 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 11 |
| Sierra................. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Siskiyou.............. | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Solano................ | 17 | 30 | 24 | 27 | 44 | 27 | 22 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Sonoma.............. | 16 | 19 | 6 | 24 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 8 |
| Stanislaus........... | 23 | 24 | 39 | 23 | 27 | 32 | 28 | 29 | 25 | 25 |
| Sutter................. | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Tehama............... | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Trinity................ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Tulare................. | 27 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 42 | 39 | 22 | 29 | 22 | 24 |
| Tuolumne............ | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ventura............... | 15 | 38 | 29 | 42 | 28 | 26 | 33 | 25 | 24 | 19 |
| Yolo................... | 11 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| Yuba.................. | 9 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 |

I ABLE 14-continued
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate per 100,000 population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statewide total........ | 12.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 5.9 |
| Alameda............. | 14.7 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 7.5 | 5.9 |
| Alpine................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador.............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte................. | 7.8 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Calaveras........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa....... | 8.8 | 12.9 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Del Norte........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado............ | 7.3 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 |
| Fresno............... | 13.9 | 11.5 | 17.4 | 17.1 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 5.4 |
| Glenn................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt........... | 9.3 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 9.5 |
| Imperial.............. | 10.2 | 7.8 | 11.3 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 1.4 |
| Inyo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern................. | 11.2 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.0 |
| Kings................. | 5.0 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| Lake.................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles......... | 20.0 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 18.1 | 18.0 | 14.9 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 9.1 |
| Madera............... | - | - | 11.0 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 5.1 |
| Marin................. | 1.7 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Mariposa............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino.......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced.............. | 8.5 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 6.8 |
| Modoc............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey............ | 7.9 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 10.8 |  | 6.4 |  | 7.0 | 7.4 |
| Napa................. | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Nevada............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange.............. | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 |

TABLE 14 - continued
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By County
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

| County | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate per 100,000 population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placer................. | 5.9 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| Plumas................ |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside............ | 10.9 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 5.9 |
| Sacramento.......... | 8.6 | 11.0 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 6.8 |
| San Benito............ |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino...... | 14.5 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 6.6 |
| San Diego............ | 8.7 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| San Francisco........ | 13.9 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 17.2 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 8.0 |
| San Joaquin.......... | 16.3 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 6.9 |
| San Luis Obispo.... | 4.2 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| San Mateo........... | 6.6 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
| Santa Barbara....... | 3.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| Santa Clara........... | 3.8 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Santa Cruz............ | 4.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.6 |
| Shasta................ | 4.1 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 6.7 |
| Sierra................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou.............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano................ | 5.1 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.1 |
| Sonoma.............. | 4.2 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Stanislaus........... | 6.3 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| Sutter................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare................. | 8.7 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| Tuolumne............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura............... | 2.2 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| Yolo................... | 7.9 | 6.8 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| Yuba.................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes: Dash indicates that a rate is not computed when a county's population is less than 100,000 in a given year.
Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.

I ABLE 15
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Season and Month of Incident

| Season and month of incident | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown..... | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 | 2,579 | 2,170 | 2,006 |
| Unknown...................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known................. | 3,562 | 3,876 | 3,920 | 4,095 | 3,699 | 3,530 | 2,910 | 2,579 | 2,170 | 2,006 |
| Spring....................... | 866 | 872 | 942 | 1,002 | 925 | 806 | 682 | 651 | 517 | 451 |
| March.................... | 286 | 271 | 293 | 328 | 316 | 272 | 201 | 226 | 197 | 142 |
| April. | 273 | 284 | 315 | 315 | 307 | 255 | 225 | 217 | 156 | 147 |
| May....................... | 307 | 317 | 334 | 359 | 302 | 279 | 256 | 208 | 164 | 162 |
| Summer.................... | 971 | 1,121 | 1,060 | 1,134 | 942 | 978 | 745 | 678 | 568 | 562 |
| June. | 283 | 380 | 312 | 391 | 307 | 270 | 254 | 212 | 177 | 157 |
| July........................ | 339 | 350 | 372 | 383 | 305 | 333 | 272 | 232 | 171 | 199 |
| August.................... | 349 | 391 | 376 | 360 | 330 | 375 | 219 | 234 | 220 | 206 |
| Fall........................... | 910 | 1,034 | 998 | 1,033 | 930 | 956 | 699 | 662 | 522 | 516 |
| September............... | 329 | 352 | 333 | 337 | 310 | 326 | 256 | 228 | 159 | 171 |
| October................... | 341 | 372 | 319 | 369 | 345 | 345 | 212 | 240 | 178 | 174 |
| November................ | 240 | 310 | 346 | 327 | 275 | 285 | 231 | 194 | 185 | 171 |
| Winter....................... | 815 | 849 | 920 | 926 | 902 | 790 | 784 | 588 | 563 | 477 |
| December............... | 264 | 306 | 307 | 332 | 293 | 281 | 238 | 194 | 207 | 166 |
| January.................. | 292 | 289 | 310 | 304 | 315 | 279 | 296 | 203 | 199 | 183 |
| February................. | 259 | 254 | 303 | 290 | 294 | 230 | 250 | 191 | 157 | 128 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.................. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Spring....................... | 24.3 | 22.5 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 25.2 | 23.8 | 22.5 |
| March.. | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 7.1 |
| April....................... | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| May....................... | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
| Summer.. | 27.3 | 28.9 | 27.0 | 27.7 | 25.5 | 27.7 | 25.6 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 28.0 |
| June....................... | 7.9 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 7.8 |
| July....................... | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 9.9 |
| August.................... | 9.8 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 10.3 |
| Fall........................... | 25.5 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 27.1 | 24.0 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 25.7 |
| September............... | 9.2 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 8.5 |
| October................... | 9.6 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 8.7 |
| November................ | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Winter....................... | 22.9 | 21.9 | 23.5 | 22.6 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 26.9 | 22.8 | 25.9 | 23.8 |
| December................ | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 8.3 |
| January................... | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 9.1 |
| February................. | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.4 |

Note: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.

TABLE 16
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim by Day of Incident

| Day of incident | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown....... | 2,006 | 1,568 | 438 | 446 | 909 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Unknown....................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known................... | 2,006 | 1,568 | 438 | 446 | 909 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Weekday...................... | 1,297 | 987 | 310 | 306 | 548 | 331 | 106 | 6 |
| Monday.................... | 242 | 201 | 41 | 60 | 104 | 59 | 19 | 0 |
| Tuesday.................... | 284 | 203 | 81 | 64 | 135 | 64 | 21 | 0 |
| Wednesday................ | 235 | 181 | 54 | 55 | 97 | 60 | 22 | 1 |
| Thursday................... | 257 | 191 | 66 | 61 | 99 | 68 | 26 | 3 |
| Friday........................ | 279 | 211 | 68 | 66 | 113 | 80 | 18 | 2 |
| Weekend..................... | 709 | 581 | 128 | 140 | 361 | 157 | 51 | 0 |
| Saturday................... | 376 | 304 | 72 | 72 | 189 | 82 | 33 | 0 |
| Sunday..................... | 333 | 277 | 56 | 68 | 172 | 75 | 18 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Weekday...................... | 64.7 | 62.9 | 70.8 | 68.6 | 60.3 | 67.8 | 67.5 | - |
| Monday.................... | 12.1 | 12.8 | 9.4 | 13.5 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.1 | - |
| Tuesday.................... | 14.2 | 12.9 | 18.5 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 13.1 | 13.4 | - |
| Wednesday................ | 11.7 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 14.0 | - |
| Thursday................... | 12.8 | 12.2 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 10.9 | 13.9 | 16.6 | - |
| Friday....................... | 13.9 | 13.5 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 12.4 | 16.4 | 11.5 | - |
| Weekend..................... | 35.3 | 37.1 | 29.2 | 31.4 | 39.7 | 32.2 | 32.5 | - |
| Saturday.................... | 18.7 | 19.4 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 20.8 | 16.8 | 21.0 | - |
| Sunday...................... | 16.6 | 17.7 | 12.8 | 15.2 | 18.9 | 15.4 | 11.5 | - |
| Average daily number of incidents ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday..................... | 5.0 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Weekend..................... | 6.8 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Average daily number of incidents may not add to totals because of independent rounding.
There were 365 days in 1999; 261 weekdays and 104 weekend days. The average daily number of incidents for weekdays was calculated by dividing weekday totals by 261. The average daily number of incidents for weekends was calculated by dividing weekend totals by 104.

TABLE 17
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victim by Day of Incident

| Day of incident | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | $\overline{40}$ and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown...... | 2,006 | 276 | 840 | 364 | 505 | 21 |
| Unknown....................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known.................. | 2,006 | 276 | 840 | 364 | 505 | 21 |
| Weekday.................... | 1,297 | 181 | 500 | 245 | 355 | 16 |
| Monday................... | 242 | 30 | 92 | 60 | 56 | 4 |
| Tuesday.................. | 284 | 34 | 113 | 53 | 82 | 2 |
| Wednesday............... | 235 | 33 | 91 | 40 | 67 | 4 |
| Thursday.................. | 257 | 38 | 95 | 42 | 78 | 4 |
| Friday...................... | 279 | 46 | 109 | 50 | 72 | 2 |
| Weekend.................... | 709 | 95 | 340 | 119 | 150 | 5 |
| Saturday.................. | 376 | 55 | 177 | 60 | 80 | 4 |
| Sunday.................... | 333 | 40 | 163 | 59 | 70 | 1 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Weekday.................... | 64.7 | 65.6 | 59.5 | 67.3 | 70.3 | - |
| Monday................... | 12.1 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 16.5 | 11.1 | - |
| Tuesday................... | 14.2 | 12.3 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 16.2 | - |
| Wednesday............... | 11.7 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 13.3 | - |
| Thursday.................. | 12.8 | 13.8 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 15.4 | - |
| Friday...................... | 13.9 | 16.7 | 13.0 | 13.7 | 14.3 | - |
| Weekend.................... | 35.3 | 34.4 | 40.5 | 32.7 | 29.7 | - |
| Saturday.................. | 18.7 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 16.5 | 15.8 | - |
| Sunday.................... | 16.6 | 14.5 | 19.4 | 16.2 | 13.9 | - |
| Average daily number of incidents ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday.................... | 5.0 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| Weekend.................... | 6.8 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Average daily number of incidents may not add to totals because of independent rounding.
${ }^{1}$ There were 365 days in 1999; 261 weekdays and 104 weekend days. The average daily number of incidents for weekdays was calculated by dividing weekday totals by 261 . The average daily number of incidents for weekends was calculated by dividing weekend totals by 104.

IABLE 18
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
by Location ot Homicide


Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that a percent change is not calculated when the base number is less than 50

I ABLE 19
HUIVICILE CKIIVIES, 1 Yy
Genaer ana race/tinnic Group ot vicıum dy Location ot homiciae

| Location of homicide | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown.............. | 2,006 | 1,568 | 438 | 446 | 909 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Unknown............................. | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total known........................ | 2,002 | 1,567 | 435 | 443 | 908 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Victim's, shared residence..... | 686 | 426 | 260 | 224 | 243 | 148 | 71 | 0 |
| Victim's residence............. | 520 | 355 | 165 | 161 | 205 | 113 | 41 | 0 |
| Shared residence.............. | 166 | 71 | 95 | 63 | 38 | 35 | 30 | 0 |
| Street, sidewalk................. | 710 | 652 | 58 | 69 | 394 | 211 | 36 | 0 |
| All other............................ | 606 | 489 | 117 | 150 | 271 | 129 | 50 | 6 |
| Hotel, motel..................... | 28 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| Other residence................ | 119 | 99 | 20 | 32 | 64 | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| Liquor store..................... | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Bar............................... | 35 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 0 |
| Other business................. | 54 | 41 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 0 |
| Parking lot...................... | 59 | 54 | 5 | 9 | 27 | 19 | 4 | 0 |
| Vehicle........................... | 139 | 115 | 24 | 16 | 74 | 39 | 10 | 0 |
| Field, park...................... | 129 | 97 | 32 | 49 | 47 | 22 | 6 | 5 |
| School.......................... | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other............................ | 33 | 24 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known........................ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Victim's, shared residence..... | 34.3 | 27.2 | 59.8 | 50.6 | 26.8 | 30.3 | 45.2 | - |
| Victim's residence............ | 26.0 | 22.7 | 37.9 | 36.3 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 26.1 | - |
| Shared residence.............. | 8.3 | 4.5 | 21.8 | 14.2 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 19.1 | - |
| Street, sidewalk................. | 35.5 | 41.6 | 13.3 | 15.6 | 43.4 | 43.2 | 22.9 | - |
| All other........................... | 30.3 | 31.2 | 26.9 | 33.9 | 29.8 | 26.4 | 31.8 | - |
| Hotel, motel.................... | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.6 | - |
| Other residence................ | 5.9 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 1.9 | - |
| Liquor store..................... | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.3 | - |
| Bar............................... | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 5.7 | - |
| Other business................. | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 7.6 | - |
| Parking lot...................... | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 2.5 | - |
| Vehicle........................... | 6.9 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 6.4 | - |
| Field, park...................... | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.8 | - |
| School.......................... | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - |
| Other............................ | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

Age of Victim by Location of Homicide

| Location of homicide | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Under } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | 18-29 | 30-39 | $\overline{40}$ and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown............. | 2,006 | 276 | 840 | 364 | 505 | 21 |
| Unknown............................. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total known......................... | 2,002 | 276 | 840 | 363 | 504 | 19 |
| Victim's, shared residence...... | 686 | 106 | 187 | 118 | 272 | 3 |
| Victim's residence............. | 520 | 52 | 172 | 92 | 201 | 3 |
| Shared residence............... | 166 | 54 | 15 | 26 | 71 | 0 |
| Street, sidewalk.................. | 710 | 92 | 393 | 115 | 100 | 10 |
| All other............................ | 606 | 78 | 260 | 130 | 132 | 6 |
| Hotel, motel..................... | 28 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 0 |
| Other residence................. | 119 | 18 | 63 | 18 | 20 | 0 |
| Liquor store..................... | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Bar................................ | 35 | 6 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Other business................. | 54 | 4 | 20 | 11 | 19 | 0 |
| Parking lot....................... | 59 | 7 | 28 | 14 | 10 | 0 |
| Vehicle.......................... | 139 | 15 | 72 | 33 | 18 | 1 |
| Field, park....................... | 129 | 17 | 44 | 33 | 31 | 4 |
| School........................... | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other............................. | 33 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 1 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known......................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Victim's, shared residence...... | 34.3 | 38.4 | 22.3 | 32.5 | 54.0 | - |
| Victim's residence............. | 26.0 | 18.8 | 20.5 | 25.3 | 39.9 | - |
| Shared residence............... | 8.3 | 19.6 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 14.1 | - |
| Street, sidewalk.................. | 35.5 | 33.3 | 46.8 | 31.7 | 19.8 | - |
| All other............................ | 30.3 | 28.3 | 31.0 | 35.8 | 26.2 | - |
| Hotel, motel..................... | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.6 | - |
| Other residence................. | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | - |
| Liquor store..................... | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - |
| Bar................................ | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | - |
| Other business.................. | 2.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.8 | - |
| Parking lot. | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.0 | - |
| Vehicle. | 6.9 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 3.6 | - |
| Field, park....................... | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 9.1 | 6.2 | - |
| School........................... | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| Other............................. | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 3.0 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

I ABLE 21
HUNILIUE CRIVIES, 1yyu-19yy
ву וype ot vveapon Usea

| Typeofweapon used | 1990 |  | 1991 |  | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown.............. | 3,562 |  | 3,876 |  | 3,920 |  | 4,095 |  | 3,699 |  |
| Unknown............................... | 31 |  | 36 |  | 28 |  | 34 |  | 33 |  |
| Total known........................... | 3,531 | 100.0 | 3,840 | 100.0 | 3,892 | 100.0 | 4,061 | 100.0 | 3,666 | 100.0 |
| Firearm............................... | 2,386 | 67.6 | 2,692 | 70.1 | 2,839 | 72.9 | 3,007 | 74.0 | 2,778 | 75.8 |
| Handgun.......................... | 1,903 | 53.9 | 2,255 | 58.7 | 2,426 | 62.3 | 2,609 | 64.2 | 2,441 | 66.6 |
| All other firearms................ | 483 | 13.7 | 437 | 11.4 | 413 | 10.6 | 398 | 9.8 | 337 | 9.2 |
| Rifle.............................. | 188 | 5.3 | 176 | 4.6 | 164 | 4.2 | 154 | 3.8 | 141 | 3.8 |
| Shotgun......................... | 218 | 6.2 | 187 | 4.9 | 176 | 4.5 | 167 | 4.1 | 165 | 4.5 |
| Firearm - unknown type.... | 77 | 2.2 | 74 | 1.9 | 73 | 1.9 | 77 | 1.9 | 31 | 0.8 |
| Nonfirearm.......................... | 1,145 | 32.4 | 1,148 | 29.9 | 1,053 | 27.1 | 1,054 | 26.0 | 888 | 24.2 |
|  | 592 | 16.8 | 577 | 15.0 | 543 | 14.0 | 470 | 11.6 | 427 | 11.6 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 192 | 5.4 | 207 | 5.4 | 161 | 4.1 | 204 | 5.0 | 157 | 4.3 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$............... | 166 | 4.7 | 186 | 4.8 | 168 | 4.3 | 139 | 3.4 | 156 | 4.3 |
| All other............................ | 195 | 5.5 | 178 | 4.6 | 181 | 4.7 | 241 | 5.9 | 148 | 4.0 |
|  | 92 | 2.6 | 84 | 2.2 | 87 | 2.2 | 114 | 2.8 | 81 | 2.2 |
| Drugs............................ | 6 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Other............................ | 97 | 2.7 | 91 | 2.4 | 91 | 2.3 | 122 | 3.0 | 63 | 1.7 |



Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that a percent change is not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Any instrument used to cut or stab.
${ }^{2}$ Club, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Hands, feet, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle.

HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Gender and Race/tthnic Giroup of Victim by Iype of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown.............. | 2,006 | 1,568 | 438 | 446 | 909 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Unknown.............................. | 29 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total known. | 1,977 | 1,554 | 423 | 437 | 898 | 485 | 154 | 3 |
| Firearm.............................. | 1,334 | 1,134 | 200 | 198 | 659 | 372 | 104 | 1 |
| Handgun......................... | 1,152 | 981 | 171 | 157 | 572 | 332 | 90 | 1 |
| All other firearms............... | 182 | 153 | 29 | 41 | 87 | 40 | 14 | 0 |
| Rifle............................ | 62 | 50 | 12 | 14 | 30 | 15 | 3 | 0 |
| Shotgun....................... | 63 | 52 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| Firearm - unknown type.... | 57 | 51 | 6 | 8 | 27 | 17 | 5 | 0 |
| Nonfirearm.......................... | 643 | 420 | 223 | 239 | 239 | 113 | 50 | 2 |
|  | 254 | 183 | 71 | 84 | 108 | 43 | 18 | 1 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2}$.................... | 134 | 99 | 35 | 48 | 50 | 26 | 10 | 0 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$............. | 106 | 70 | 36 | 47 | 35 | 18 | 6 | 0 |
| All other.......................... | 149 | 68 | 81 | 60 | 46 | 26 | 16 | 1 |
| Rope ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 60 | 16 | 44 | 26 | 16 | 12 | 5 | 1 |
| Drugs.......................... | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Other............................ | 81 | 48 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 13 | 9 | 0 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.......................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Firearm.............................. | 67.5 | 73.0 | 47.3 | 45.3 | 73.4 | 76.7 | 67.5 | - |
| Handgun......................... | 58.3 | 63.1 | 40.4 | 35.9 | 63.7 | 68.5 | 58.4 | - |
| All other firearms............... | 9.2 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 9.1 | - |
| Rifle............................. | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.9 | - |
| Shotgun........................ | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.9 | - |
| Firearm - unknown type.... | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | - |
| Nonfirearm. | 32.5 | 27.0 | 52.7 | 54.7 | 26.6 | 23.3 | 32.5 | - |
| Knife ${ }^{1} .$. | 12.8 | 11.8 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 11.7 | - |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 6.8 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 6.5 | - |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$.............. | 5.4 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 | - |
| All other.......................... | 7.5 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 13.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 10.4 | - |
| Rope ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 3.0 | 1.0 | 10.4 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | - |
| Drugs.......................... | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | - |
| Other............................ | 4.1 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 5.8 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
${ }^{1}$ Any instrument used to cut or stab.
${ }^{2}$ Club, etc.
${ }_{4}^{3}$ Hands, feet, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle.

I ABLE 2 '3
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Age of Victım by Iype of VVeapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total | Under 18 | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40 and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown............ | 2,006 | 276 | 840 | 364 | 505 | 21 |
| Unknown............................ | 29 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Total known........................ | 1,977 | 271 | 835 | 359 | 497 | 15 |
| Firearm......................... | 1,334 | 161 | 681 | 231 | 255 | 6 |
| Handgun........................ | 1,152 | 137 | 587 | 197 | 227 | 4 |
| All other firearms............. | 182 | 24 | 94 | 34 | 28 | 2 |
| Rifle........................... | 62 | 8 | 31 | 10 | 13 | 0 |
| Shotgun...................... | 63 | 11 | 32 | 15 | 5 | 0 |
| Firearm - unknown type.. | 57 | 5 | 31 | 9 | 10 | 2 |
| Nonfirearm.. | 643 | 110 | 154 | 128 | 242 | 9 |
|  | 254 | 24 | 80 | 57 | 89 | 4 |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 134 | 14 | 26 | 36 | 56 | 2 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3}$............ | 106 | 37 | 12 | 12 | 44 | 1 |
| All other......................... | 149 | 35 | 36 | 23 | 53 | 2 |
| Rope ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 60 | 5 | 17 | 12 | 25 | 1 |
| Drugs.......................... | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Other.......................... | 81 | 27 | 17 | 11 | 25 | 1 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known......................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Firearm............................ | 67.5 | 59.4 | 81.6 | 64.3 | 51.3 | - |
| Handgun........................ | 58.3 | 50.6 | 70.3 | 54.9 | 45.7 | - |
| All other firearms............. | 9.2 | 8.9 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 5.6 | - |
| Rifle........................... | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | - |
| Shotgun...................... | 3.2 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 1.0 | - |
| Firearm - unknown type.. | 2.9 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | - |
| Nonfirearm....................... | 32.5 | 40.6 | 18.4 | 35.7 | 48.7 | - |
| Knife ${ }^{1} . . . .$. | 12.8 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 15.9 | 17.9 | - |
| Blunt object ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 6.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 10.0 | 11.3 | - |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . .$. | 5.4 | 13.7 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 8.9 | - |
| All other......................... | 7.5 | 12.9 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 10.7 | - |
|  | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | - |
| Drugs.......................... | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | - |
| Other.......................... | 4.1 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | - |

[^11]Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
${ }^{1}$ Any instrument used to cut or stab.
${ }^{2}$ Club, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Hands, feet, etc
${ }^{4}$ Any instrument used to hang or strangle.

IABLE 24
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1990-1999
By Contrıbutıng Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | 1990 |  | 1991 |  | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total including unknown......... | 3,562 |  | 3,876 |  | 3,920 |  | 4,095 |  | 3,699 |  |
| Unknown........................ | 447 |  | 632 |  | 581 |  | 643 |  | 527 |  |
| Total known..................... | 3,115 | 100.0 | 3,244 | 100.0 | 3,339 | 100.0 | 3,452 | 100.0 | 3,172 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 466 | 15.0 | 532 | 16.4 | 519 | 15.5 | 515 | 14.9 | 409 | 12.9 |
| Rape........................ | 38 | 1.2 | 41 | 1.3 | 31 | 0.9 | 21 | 0.6 | 19 | 0.6 |
| Robbery..................... | 399 | 12.8 | 473 | 14.6 | 455 | 13.6 | 476 | 13.8 | 366 | 11.5 |
| Burglary..................... | 29 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.6 | 33 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.8 |
| Argument..................... | 1,346 | 43.2 | 1,396 | 43.0 | 1,478 | 44.3 | 1,532 | 44.4 | 1,374 | 43.3 |
| Domestic violence........ | - | - | - | - | 280 | 8.4 | 329 | 9.5 | 224 | 7.1 |
| All other argument....... | - | - | - | - | 1,198 | 35.9 | 1,203 | 34.8 | 1,150 | 36.3 |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 982 | 31.5 | 992 | 30.6 | 1,029 | 30.8 | 1,113 | 32.2 | 1,137 | 35.8 |
| Gang-related............... | 646 | 20.7 | 740 | 22.8 | 742 | 22.2 | 840 | 24.3 | 880 | 27.7 |
| Drug-related............... | 336 | 10.8 | 252 | 7.8 | 287 | 8.6 | 273 | 7.9 | 257 | 8.1 |
| All other....................... | 321 | 10.3 | 324 | 10.0 | 313 | 9.4 | 292 | 8.5 | 252 | 7.9 |


| Contributing circumstance (cont.) | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1990- \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1998- \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total including unknown.... | 3,530 |  | 2,910 |  | 2,579 |  | 2,170 |  | 2,006 |  |  |  |
| Unknown........................ | 595 |  | 389 |  | 424 |  | 336 |  | 304 |  |  |  |
| Total known..................... | 2,935 | 100.0 | 2,521 | 100.0 | 2,155 | 100.0 | 1,834 | 100.0 | 1,702 | 100.0 | -45.4 | -7.2 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary..... | 385 | 13.1 | 320 | 12.7 | 240 | 11.1 | 206 | 11.2 | 157 | 9.2 | -66.3 | -23.8 |
| Rape........................ | 14 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.5 | 16 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Robbery..................... | 342 | 11.7 | 294 | 11.7 | 219 | 10.2 | 183 | 10.0 | 127 | 7.5 | -68.2 | -30.6 |
| Burglary..................... | 29 | 1.0 | 15 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.4 | 14 | 0.8 | 14 | 0.8 | - | - |
| Argument..................... | 1,207 | 41.1 | 1,070 | 42.4 | 928 | 43.1 | 857 | 46.7 | 710 | 41.7 | -47.3 | -17.2 |
| Domestic violence....... | 179 | 6.1 | 130 | 5.2 | 128 | 5.9 | 120 | 6.5 | 128 | 7.5 | - | 6.7 |
| All other argument....... | 1,028 | 35.0 | 940 | 37.3 | 800 | 37.1 | 737 | 40.2 | 582 | 34.2 | - | -21.0 |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 1,059 | 36.1 | 784 | 31.1 | 704 | 32.7 | 512 | 27.9 | 487 | 28.6 | -50.4 | -4.9 |
| Gang-related.............. | 867 | 29.5 | 620 | 24.6 | 544 | 25.2 | 404 | 22.0 | 402 | 23.6 | -37.8 | -0.5 |
| Drug-related............... | 192 | 6.5 | 164 | 6.5 | 160 | 7.4 | 108 | 5.9 | 85 | 5.0 | -74.7 | -21.3 |
| All other....................... | 284 | 9.7 | 347 | 13.8 | 283 | 13.1 | 259 | 14.1 | 348 | 20.4 | 8.4 | 34.4 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that data are unavailable or that a percent change is not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .

I ABLE 23
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Giender and Kace/tthnic Giroup ot Victim by Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown...... | 2,006 | 1,568 | 438 | 446 | 909 | 488 | 157 | 6 |
| Unknown......................... | 304 | 246 | 58 | 58 | 136 | 93 | 12 | 5 |
| Total known...................... | 1,702 | 1,322 | 380 | 388 | 773 | 395 | 145 | 1 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary.... | 157 | 119 | 38 | 53 | 50 | 29 | 25 | 0 |
| Rape......................... | 16 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Robbery, burglary.......... | 141 | 119 | 22 | 42 | 50 | 25 | 24 | 0 |
| Robbery.................. | 127 | 112 | 15 | 33 | 49 | 23 | 22 | 0 |
| Burglary.................. | 14 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Argument...................... | 710 | 505 | 205 | 206 | 291 | 153 | 60 | 0 |
| Domestic violence......... | 128 | 31 | 97 | 51 | 40 | 21 | 16 | 0 |
| All other argument......... | 582 | 474 | 108 | 155 | 251 | 132 | 44 | 0 |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 487 | 463 | 24 | 26 | 299 | 139 | 23 | 0 |
| Gang-related............... | 402 | 386 | 16 | 15 | 263 | 104 | 20 | 0 |
| Drug-related................ | 85 | 77 | 8 | 11 | 36 | 35 | 3 | 0 |
| All other......................... | 348 | 235 | 113 | 103 | 133 | 74 | 37 | 1 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known...................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary.... | 9.2 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.7 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 17.2 | - |
| Rape......................... | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | - |
| Robbery, burglary......... | 8.3 | 9.0 | 5.8 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 16.6 | - |
| Robbery.................. | 7.5 | 8.5 | 3.9 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 15.2 | - |
| Burglary.................. | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 | - |
| Argument...................... | 41.7 | 38.2 | 53.9 | 53.1 | 37.6 | 38.7 | 41.4 | - |
| Domestic violence......... | 7.5 | 2.3 | 25.5 | 13.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 11.0 | - |
| All other argument......... | 34.2 | 35.9 | 28.4 | 39.9 | 32.5 | 33.4 | 30.3 | - |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 28.6 | 35.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 38.7 | 35.2 | 15.9 | - |
| Gang-related............... | 23.6 | 29.2 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 34.0 | 26.3 | 13.8 | - |
| Drug-related................ | 5.0 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 8.9 | 2.1 | - |
| All other........................ | 20.4 | 17.8 | 29.7 | 26.5 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 25.5 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Age of Victim by Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Total | Under 5 | 5-17 | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 | 70 and over | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown........ | 2,006 | 78 | 198 | 840 | 364 | 262 | 111 | 68 | 64 | 21 |
| Unknown........................ | 304 | 2 | 19 | 131 | 64 | 40 | 17 | 16 | 2 | 13 |
| Total known..................... | 1,702 | 76 | 179 | 709 | 300 | 222 | 94 | 52 | 62 | 8 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary.... | 157 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 30 | 24 | 17 | 12 | 20 | 0 |
| Rape.......................... | 16 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Robbery..................... | 127 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 0 |
| Burglary..................... | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Argument...................... | 710 | 6 | 48 | 257 | 167 | 132 | 50 | 27 | 18 | 5 |
| Domestic violence......... | 128 | 4 | 6 | 28 | 35 | 36 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| All other argument........ | 582 | 2 | 42 | 229 | 132 | 96 | 38 | 21 | 17 | 5 |
| Gang-, drug-related......... | 487 | 1 | 79 | 311 | 61 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Gang-related............... | 402 | 1 | 75 | 269 | 43 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Drug-related................ | 85 | 0 | 4 | 42 | 18 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Child abuse................... | 64 | 55 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| All other....................... | 284 | 14 | 35 | 96 | 42 | 38 | 23 | 11 | 24 | 1 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known.................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rape, robbery, burglary.... | 9.2 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 18.1 | 23.1 | 32.3 | - |
| Rape.......................... | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 4.8 | - |
| Robbery...................... | 7.5 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 16.0 | 15.4 | 19.4 | - |
| Burglary..................... | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 8.1 | - |
| Argument...................... | 41.7 | 7.9 | 26.8 | 36.2 | 55.7 | 59.5 | 53.2 | 51.9 | 29.0 | - |
| Domestic violence......... | 7.5 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 11.7 | 16.2 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 1.6 | - |
| All other argument........ | 34.2 | 2.6 | 23.5 | 32.3 | 44.0 | 43.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 27.4 | - |
| Gang-, drug-related.......... | 28.6 | 1.3 | 44.1 | 43.9 | 20.3 | 12.6 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | - |
| Gang-related............... | 23.6 | 1.3 | 41.9 | 37.9 | 14.3 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| Drug-related............... | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | - |
| Child abuse................... | 3.8 | 72.4 | 4.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All other....................... | 16.7 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 17.1 | 24.5 | 21.2 | 38.7 | - |

[^12]Dash indicates that data are not applicable or that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
|ABLE $2 /$
HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1999
Contributing Circumstance by Relatıonship of Vıctım to Uttender

| ```Relationship of victim to offender``` | Total | Rape | Robbery, burglary | Argument ${ }^{1}$ | Gang-, drugrelated | Child abuse | All other | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total including unknown...... | 2,006 | 16 | 141 | 710 | 487 | 64 | 284 | 304 |
| Unknown....................... | 761 | 5 | 52 | 77 | 208 | 9 | 129 | 281 |
| Total known................... | 1,245 | 11 | 89 | 633 | 279 | 55 | 155 | 23 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{2} \ldots$. | 632 | 5 | 20 | 355 | 198 | 8 | 39 | 7 |
| Spouse ${ }^{3} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 85 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Parent, child ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 117 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 41 | 32 | 0 |
| All other relatives.......... | 49 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 |
| Stranger...................... | 362 | 5 | 68 | 137 | 81 | 0 | 55 | 16 |
| Percent based on total known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total known................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Friend, acquaintance ${ }^{\text {L }} . .$. | 50.8 | - | 22.5 | 56.1 | 71.0 | 14.5 | 25.2 | - |
| Spouse ${ }^{\text {3 }}$...................... | 6.8 | - | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | - |
| Parent, child ${ }^{4} . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 9.4 | - | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 74.5 | 20.6 | - |
| All other relatives.......... | 3.9 | - | 1.1 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 8.4 | - |
| Stranger....................... | 29.1 | - | 76.4 | 21.6 | 29.0 | 0.0 | 35.5 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
Includes domestic violence.
< Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc.
Includes "common-law" marriage partner.
${ }^{4}$ Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepdaughter, and stepson.

HOMICIDE CRIMES CLEARED, 1990-1999 Number Reported, Number Cleared, and Clearance Rate

| Year(s) | Number of <br> homicides <br> reported | Number of <br> homicides <br> cleared | Clearance <br> rate $^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1999 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,006 | 1,200 | 59.8 |
| $1998 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,170 | 1,369 | 63.1 |
| $1997 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,579 | 1,489 | 57.7 |
| $1996 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 2,910 | 1,743 | 59.9 |
| $1995 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,530 | 1,916 | 54.3 |
| $1994 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,699 | 2,091 | 56.5 |
| $1993 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 4,095 | 2,274 | 55.5 |
| $1992 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,920 | 2,198 | 56.1 |
| $1991 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 3,876 | 2,362 | 60.9 |
| $1990 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3,562 | 2,206 | 61.9 |

A clearance rate is the percentage of crimes (homicides) reported that hav been cleared. It is calculated by dividing the number of homicides cleared the number of homicides reported. The result is multiplied by 100.

## I ABLE 2 C

reluny akhesis rur
SELECIED VIULENI UFFENSES, 1990-19y9 Vumber, Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk, and Percent Change

| Year(s) | Total | Homicide | Forcible rape | Robbery | Assault |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999.................. | 134,319 | 1,770 | 2,887 | 18,753 | 110,909 |
| 1998. | 142,498 | 2,117 | 3,032 | 21,507 | 115,842 |
| 1997. | 153,279 | 2,212 | 3,108 | 23,824 | 124,135 |
| 1996. | 149,795 | 2,535 | 3,202 | 26,014 | 118,044 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 155,053 | 2,821 | 3,199 | 27,641 | 121,392 |
| 1994.................. | 151,906 | 2,963 | 3,305 | 27,984 | 117,654 |
| 1993.................. | 147,603 | 3,276 | 3,572 | 29,567 | 111,188 |
| 1992.................. | 148,225 | 3,387 | 4,037 | 31,141 | 109,660 |
| 1991.................. | 143,970 | 3,720 | 4,417 | 31,346 | 104,487 |
| 1990.................. | 147,561 | 3,882 | 4,848 | 32,050 | 106,781 |


| Percent change in number |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 to 1999....... | -5.7 | -16.4 | -4.8 | -12.8 | -4.3 |
| 1997 to 1998....... | -7.0 | -4.3 | -2.4 | -9.7 | -6.7 |
| 1996 to 1997....... | 2.3 | -12.7 | -2.9 | -8.4 | 5.2 |
| 1995 to 1996....... | -3.4 | -10.1 | 0.1 | -5.9 | -2.8 |
| 1994 to 1995....... | 2.1 | -4.8 | -3.2 | -1.2 | 3.2 |
| 1993 to 1994....... | 2.9 | -9.6 | -7.5 | -5.4 | 5.8 |
| 1992 to 1993....... | -0.4 | -3.3 | -11.5 | -5.1 | 1.4 |
| 1991 to 1992....... | 3.0 | -9.0 | -8.6 | -0.7 | 5.0 |
| 1990 to 1991....... | -2.4 | -4.2 | -8.9 | -2.2 | -2.1 |
| 1990 to 1999....... | -9.0 | -54.4 | -40.4 | -41.5 | 3.9 |
| Rate per 100,000 population at risk ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999.................. | 522.4 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 72.9 | 431.4 |
| 1998... | 564.1 | 8.4 | 12.0 | 85.1 | 458.5 |
| 1997.................. | 595.0 | 8.6 | 12.1 | 92.5 | 481.9 |
| 1996.................. | 586.2 | 9.9 | 12.5 | 101.8 | 461.9 |
| 1995..... | 617.2 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 110.0 | 483.2 |
| 1994.................. | 614.9 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 113.3 | 476.3 |
| 1993................. | 606.6 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 121.5 | 456.9 |
| 1992.................. | 618.2 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 129.9 | 457.4 |
| 1991.................. | 610.4 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 132.9 | 443.0 |
| 1990.................. | 636.6 | 16.7 | 20.9 | 138.3 | 460.7 |


| Percent change in rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 to 1999....... | -7.4 | -17.9 | -6.7 | -14.3 | -5.9 |
| 1997 to 1998.... | -5.2 | -2.3 | -0.8 | -8.0 | -4.9 |
| 1996 to 1997....... | 1.5 | -13.1 | -3.2 | -9.1 | 4.3 |
| 1995 to 1996....... | -5.0 | -11.6 | -1.6 | -7.5 | -4.4 |
| 1994 to 1995....... | 0.4 | -6.7 | -5.2 | -2.9 | 1.4 |
| 1993 to 1994....... | 1.4 | -11.1 | -8.8 | -6.7 | 4.2 |
| 1992 to 1993. | -1.9 | -4.3 | -12.5 | -6.5 | -0.1 |
| 1991 to 1992....... | 1.3 | -10.8 | -10.2 | -2.3 | 3.3 |
| 1990 to 1991....... | -4.1 | -5.4 | -10.5 | -3.9 | -3.8 |
| 1990 to 1999....... | -17.9 | -58.7 | -46.4 | -47.3 | -6.4 |
| Notes: Rates may not add to total because of independent rounding. Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Police Department <br> Rates are based on the total population at risk (10-69 years of age). |  |  |  |  |  |

By Gender ot Arrestee

| Year(s) | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999............ | 1,770 | 100.0 | 1,579 | 89.2 | 191 | 10.8 |
| 1998............ | 2,117 | 100.0 | 1,870 | 88.3 | 247 | 11.7 |
| 1997............ | 2,212 | 100.0 | 1,990 | 90.0 | 222 | 10.0 |
| 1996............ | 2,535 | 100.0 | 2,286 | 90.2 | 249 | 9.8 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 2,821 | 100.0 | 2,564 | 90.9 | 257 | 9.1 |
| 1994... | 2,963 | 100.0 | 2,709 | 91.4 | 254 | 8.6 |
| 1993............ | 3,276 | 100.0 | 2,975 | 90.8 | 301 | 9.2 |
| 1992............ | 3,387 | 100.0 | 3,082 | 91.0 | 305 | 9.0 |
| 1991............ | 3,720 | 100.0 | 3,427 | 92.1 | 293 | 7.9 |
| 1990............ | 3,882 | 100.0 | 3,519 | 90.6 | 363 | 9.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police Department.

I ABLE 31
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1990-1999
By Race/Ethnic Group ot Arrestee

| Year(s) | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999............. | 1,770 | 100.0 | 382 | 21.6 | 845 | 47.7 | 417 | 23.6 | 126 | 7.1 |
| 1998............. | 2,117 | 100.0 | 484 | 22.9 | 987 | 46.6 | 470 | 22.2 | 176 | 8.3 |
| 1997............. | 2,212 | 100.0 | 447 | 20.2 | 1,017 | 46.0 | 586 | 26.5 | 162 | 7.3 |
| 1996............. | 2,535 | 100.0 | 537 | 21.2 | 1,110 | 43.8 | 663 | 26.2 | 225 | 8.9 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {a }}$........... | 2,821 | 100.0 | 580 | 20.6 | 1,284 | 45.5 | 743 | 26.3 | 214 | 7.6 |
| 1994............. | 2,963 | 100.0 | 675 | 22.8 | 1,175 | 39.7 | 850 | 28.7 | 263 | 8.9 |
| 1993............. | 3,276 | 100.0 | 698 | 21.3 | 1,299 | 39.7 | 998 | 30.5 | 281 | 8.6 |
| 1992............. | 3,387 | 100.0 | 714 | 21.1 | 1,457 | 43.0 | 1,016 | 30.0 | 200 | 5.9 |
| 1991............. | 3,720 | 100.0 | 821 | 22.1 | 1,578 | 42.4 | 1,123 | 30.2 | 198 | 5.3 |
| 1990............. | 3,882 | 100.0 | 851 | 21.9 | 1,407 | 36.2 | 1,294 | 33.3 | 330 | 8.5 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police Department.

I ABLE 32
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1990-1999
By Age of Arrestee

| Year(s) | Total |  | Under 18 |  | 18-29 |  | 30-39 |  | 40 and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999.. | 1,770 | 100.0 | 182 | 10.3 | 1,037 | 58.6 | 317 | 17.9 | 234 | 13.2 |
| 1998............ | 2,117 | 100.0 | 308 | 14.5 | 1,244 | 58.8 | 302 | 14.3 | 263 | 12.4 |
| 1997. | 2,212 | 100.0 | 353 | 16.0 | 1,267 | 57.3 | 326 | 14.7 | 266 | 12.0 |
| 1996. | 2,535 | 100.0 | 389 | 15.3 | 1,430 | 56.4 | 427 | 16.8 | 289 | 11.4 |
| 1995 ${ }^{\text {a }}$........... | 2,821 | 100.0 | 521 | 18.5 | 1,570 | 55.7 | 462 | 16.4 | 268 | 9.5 |
| 1994... | 2,963 | 100.0 | 542 | 18.3 | 1,625 | 54.8 | 483 | 16.3 | 313 | 10.6 |
| 1993... | 3,276 | 100.0 | 618 | 18.9 | 1,804 | 55.1 | 525 | 16.0 | 329 | 10.0 |
| 1992. | 3,387 | 100.0 | 645 | 19.0 | 1,877 | 55.4 | 511 | 15.1 | 354 | 10.5 |
| 1991............. | 3,720 | 100.0 | 696 | 18.7 | 2,073 | 55.7 | 611 | 16.4 | 340 | 9.1 |
| 1990............. | 3,882 | 100.0 | 658 | 17.0 | 2,218 | 57.1 | 630 | 16.2 | 376 | 9.7 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes estimated annual data for the Bakersfield Police Department and the Oakland Police Department.

TABLE 33
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Gender and Age of Arrestee

| Gender and age of arrestee | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total................... | 1,770 | 100.0 | 382 | 100.0 | 845 | 100.0 | 417 | 100.0 | 126 | 100.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................ | 1,579 | 89.2 | 317 | 83.0 | 780 | 92.3 | 371 | 89.0 | 111 | 88.1 |
| Female.............. | 191 | 10.8 | 65 | 17.0 | 65 | 7.7 | 46 | 11.0 | 15 | 11.9 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18............ | 182 | 10.3 | 15 | 3.9 | 119 | 14.1 | 28 | 6.7 | 20 | 15.9 |
| 18-29............... | 1,037 | 58.6 | 140 | 36.6 | 569 | 67.3 | 257 | 61.6 | 71 | 56.3 |
| 30-39............... | 317 | 17.9 | 117 | 30.6 | 106 | 12.5 | 79 | 18.9 | 15 | 11.9 |
| 40 and over........ | 234 | 13.2 | 110 | 28.8 | 51 | 6.0 | 53 | 12.7 | 20 | 15.9 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

TABLE 34
HOMICIDE ARRESTS, 1999
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee by Gender and Age of Arrestee

| Gender and age of arrestee | Total |  | White |  | Hispanic |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total.................. | 1,770 | 100.0 | 382 | 100.0 | 845 | 100.0 | 417 | 100.0 | 126 | 100.0 |
| Under 18........... | 182 | 10.3 | 15 | 3.9 | 119 | 14.1 | 28 | 6.7 | 20 | 15.9 |
| 18-19............... | 302 | 17.1 | 32 | 8.4 | 169 | 20.0 | 75 | 18.0 | 26 | 20.6 |
| 20-24............... | 484 | 27.3 | 64 | 16.8 | 277 | 32.8 | 108 | 25.9 | 35 | 27.8 |
| 25-29.............. | 251 | 14.2 | 44 | 11.5 | 123 | 14.6 | 74 | 17.7 | 10 | 7.9 |
| 30-34.............. | 168 | 9.5 | 53 | 13.9 | 57 | 6.7 | 50 | 12.0 | 8 | 6.3 |
| 35-39............... | 149 | 8.4 | 64 | 16.8 | 49 | 5.8 | 29 | 7.0 | 7 | 5.6 |
| 40-44............... | 92 | 5.2 | 40 | 10.5 | 26 | 3.1 | 21 | 5.0 | 5 | 4.0 |
| 45-49.............. | 61 | 3.4 | 25 | 6.5 | 14 | 1.7 | 17 | 4.1 | 5 | 4.0 |
| 50-54............... | 37 | 2.1 | 19 | 5.0 | 7 | 0.8 | 8 | 1.9 | 3 | 2.4 |
| 55 and over........ | 44 | 2.5 | 26 | 6.8 | 4 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.7 | 7 | 5.6 |
| Male................. | 1,579 | 100.0 | 317 | 100.0 | 780 | 100.0 | 371 | 100.0 | 111 | 100.0 |
| Under 18........ | 170 | 10.8 | 14 | 4.4 | 111 | 14.2 | 26 | 7.0 | 19 | 17.1 |
| 18-19............ | 285 | 18.0 | 30 | 9.5 | 162 | 20.8 | 72 | 19.4 | 21 | 18.9 |
| 20-24............ | 446 | 28.2 | 57 | 18.0 | 262 | 33.6 | 96 | 25.9 | 31 | 27.9 |
| 25-29............ | 218 | 13.8 | 34 | 10.7 | 109 | 14.0 | 65 | 17.5 | 10 | 9.0 |
| 30-34........... | 139 | 8.8 | 42 | 13.2 | 49 | 6.3 | 41 | 11.1 | 7 | 6.3 |
| 35-39............ | 114 | 7.2 | 45 | 14.2 | 38 | 4.9 | 25 | 6.7 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 40-44............ | 78 | 4.9 | 33 | 10.4 | 25 | 3.2 | 16 | 4.3 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 45-49............ | 56 | 3.5 | 23 | 7.3 | 14 | 1.8 | 15 | 4.0 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 50-54............ | 33 | 2.1 | 16 | 5.0 | 6 | 0.8 | 8 | 2.2 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 55 and over..... | 40 | 2.5 | 23 | 7.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.9 | 6 | 5.4 |
| Female.............. | 191 | 100.0 | 65 | 100.0 | 65 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 |
| Under 18......... | 12 | 6.3 | 1 | 1.5 | 8 | 12.3 | 2 | - | 1 |  |
| 18-19............ | 17 | 8.9 | 2 | 3.1 | 7 | 10.8 | 3 | - | 5 | - |
| 20-24............ | 38 | 19.9 | 7 | 10.8 | 15 | 23.1 | 12 | - | 4 | - |
| 25-29............ | 33 | 17.3 | 10 | 15.4 | 14 | 21.5 | 9 | - | 0 | - |
| 30-34............ | 29 | 15.2 | 11 | 16.9 | 8 | 12.3 | 9 | - | 1 | - |
| 35-39............ | 35 | 18.3 | 19 | 29.2 | 11 | 16.9 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| 40-44............ | 14 | 7.3 | 7 | 10.8 | 1 | 1.5 | 5 | - | 1 | - |
| 45-49............ | 5 | 2.6 | 2 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| 50-54............ | 4 | 2.1 | 3 | 4.6 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| 55 and over..... | 4 | 2.1 | 3 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

TABLE 35
DISPOSITIONS IN 1990-1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
By Type of Disposition

| Year(s) | Total |  | Released ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | Complaints filed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dismissed, acquitted ${ }^{2}$ | Convicted |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999 ${ }^{\text {a } . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | 1,629 | 100.0 | 215 | 13.2 | 237 | 14.5 | 1,177 | 72.3 |
| 1998............ | 1,719 | 100.0 | 198 | 11.5 | 227 | 13.2 | 1,294 | 75.3 |
| 1997............ | 1,756 | 100.0 | 204 | 11.6 | 252 | 14.4 | 1,300 | 74.0 |
| 1996............ | 1,894 | 100.0 | 208 | 11.0 | 245 | 12.9 | 1,441 | 76.1 |
| 1995............ | 1,746 | 100.0 | 179 | 10.3 | 227 | 13.0 | 1,340 | 76.7 |
| 1994............ | 1,813 | 100.0 | 225 | 12.4 | 217 | 12.0 | 1,371 | 75.6 |
| 1993............ | 2,141 | 100.0 | 204 | 9.5 | 316 | 14.8 | 1,621 | 75.7 |
| 1992............ | 2,017 | 100.0 | 187 | 9.3 | 270 | 13.4 | 1,560 | 77.3 |
| 1991............ | 1,804 | 100.0 | 243 | 13.5 | 255 | 14.1 | 1,306 | 72.4 |
| 1990............ | 1,430 | 100.0 | 180 | 12.6 | 212 | 14.8 | 1,038 | 72.6 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.
' The "released" category includes law enforcement releases and complaints denied. "Complaints denied" incluc single complaints, combined cases, and petitions to revoke probation.
< The "dismissed, acquitted" category includes diversions dismissed.

I ABLE 36
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Gender, Kace/Ethnic Giroup, and Age ot Arrestee by I ype ot Disposition

| Gender, race/ethnic group, and age of arrestee | Total |  | Released' |  | Complaints filed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dismissed, acquitted ${ }^{2}$ | Convicted |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total..................... | 1,629 | 100.0 | 215 | 13.2 | 237 | 14.5 | 1,177 | 72.3 |
| Gender of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male................... | 1,469 | 100.0 | 184 | 12.5 | 211 | 14.4 | 1,074 | 73.1 |
| Female............... | 159 | 100.0 | 30 | 18.9 | 26 | 16.4 | 103 | 64.8 |
| Unknown............. | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Race/ethnic group of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White................. | 401 | 100.0 | 53 | 13.2 | 45 | 11.2 | 303 | 75.6 |
| Hispanic............... | 629 | 100.0 | 71 | 11.3 | 69 | 11.0 | 489 | 77.7 |
| Black.................. | 373 | 100.0 | 64 | 17.2 | 62 | 16.6 | 247 | 66.2 |
| Other.................. | 124 | 100.0 | 11 | 8.9 | 21 | 16.9 | 92 | 74.2 |
| Unknown............. | 102 | 100.0 | 16 | 15.7 | 40 | 39.2 | 46 | 45.1 |
| Age of arrestee ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 189 ${ }^{\text {a }}$........... | 66 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 6.1 | 62 | 93.9 |
| 18-29................. | 1,034 | 100.0 | 147 | 14.2 | 155 | 15.0 | 732 | 70.8 |
| 30-39................. | 299 | 100.0 | 42 | 14.0 | 46 | 15.4 | 211 | 70.6 |
| 40 and over.......... | 230 | 100.0 | 26 | 11.3 | 32 | 13.9 | 172 | 74.8 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.
The "released" category includes law enforcement releases and complaints denied. "Complaints denied" include singl complaints, combined cases, and petitions to revoke probation.
${ }^{\text {< }}$ The "dismissed, acquitted" category includes diversions dismissed.
${ }^{5}$ Age groupings indicate the age of arrestee at time of arrest.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The "under 18" age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court

TABLE 37
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE BASED ON COMPLAINTS FILED
Gender, Race/tthnic Group, and Age of Arrestee by Iype of Disposition

| Gender, race/ethnic group, and age of arrestee | Total |  | Dismissed, acquitted ${ }^{1}$ |  | Convicted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total...................... | 1,414 | 100.0 | 237 | 16.8 | 1,177 | 83.2 |
| Gender of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male.... | 1,285 | 100.0 | 211 | 16.4 | 1,074 | 83.6 |
| Female............... | 129 | 100.0 | 26 | 20.2 | 103 | 79.8 |
| Unknown............. | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Race/ethnic group of arrestee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.................. | 348 | 100.0 | 45 | 12.9 | 303 | 87.1 |
| Hispanic............... | 558 | 100.0 | 69 | 12.4 | 489 | 87.6 |
| Black.................. | 309 | 100.0 | 62 | 20.1 | 247 | 79.9 |
| Other.................. | 113 | 100.0 | 21 | 18.6 | 92 | 81.4 |
| Unknown............. | 86 | 100.0 | 40 | 46.5 | 46 | 53.5 |
| Age of arrestee ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$........... | 66 | 100.0 | 4 | 6.1 | 62 | 93.9 |
| 18-29................. | 887 | 100.0 | 155 | 17.5 | 732 | 82.5 |
| 30-39................. | 257 | 100.0 | 46 | 17.9 | 211 | 82.1 |
| 40 and over.......... | 204 | 100.0 | 32 | 15.7 | 172 | 84.3 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less han 50.
The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.
The "dismissed, acquitted" category includes diversions dismissed.
< Age groupings indicate the age of arrestee at time of arrest.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The "under 18 " age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

I ABLヒ 3
UISPUSIIIUNS IN 1999 UF ADULIS ARKESIED FUR HUMICILE
Giender ana hace/ヒtnnic Group ot Arrestee by iype ot Uisposition

| Type of disposition | Total | Gender |  |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Unknown | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | Unknown |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total....................................... | 1,629 | 1,469 | 159 | 1 | 401 | 629 | 373 | 124 | 102 |
| Law enforcement releases.......... | 72 | 64 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 28 | 18 | 1 | 7 |
| Total complaints denied............. | 143 | 120 | 22 | 1 | 35 | 43 | 46 | 10 | 9 |
| Single complaints................... | 140 | 117 | 22 | 1 | 35 | 42 | 44 | 10 | 9 |
| Combined cases.................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Petitions to revoke probation... | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Complaints filed....................... | 1,414 | 1,285 | 129 | 0 | 348 | 558 | 309 | 113 | 86 |
| Misdemeanor......................... | 65 | 48 | 17 | 0 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 13 |
| Felony.................................. | 1,349 | 1,237 | 112 | 0 | 325 | 539 | 302 | 110 | 73 |
| Court dispositions.................. | 1,414 | 1,285 | 129 | 0 | 348 | 558 | 309 | 113 | 86 |
| Dismissed.......................... | 210 | 187 | 23 | 0 | 38 | 60 | 56 | 20 | 36 |
| Diversions dismissed............ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquitted........................... | 26 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| Convicted......................... | 1,177 | 1,074 | 103 | 0 | 303 | 489 | 247 | 92 | 46 |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total...................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Law enforcement releases......... | 4.4 | 4.4 | 5.0 | - | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 6.9 |
| Total complaints denied............ | 8.8 | 8.2 | 13.8 | - | 8.7 | 6.8 | 12.3 | 8.1 | 8.8 |
| Single complaints................... | 8.6 | 8.0 | 13.8 | - | 8.7 | 6.7 | 11.8 | 8.1 | 8.8 |
| Combined cases.................... | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Petitions to revoke probation... | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Complaints filed....................... | 86.8 | 87.5 | 81.1 | - | 86.8 | 88.7 | 82.8 | 91.1 | 84.3 |
| Misdemeanor........................ | 4.0 | 3.3 | 10.7 | - | 5.7 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 12.7 |
| Felony................................. | 82.8 | 84.2 | 70.4 | - | 81.0 | 85.7 | 81.0 | 88.7 | 71.6 |
| Court dispositions................. | 86.8 | 87.5 | 81.1 | - | 86.8 | 88.7 | 82.8 | 91.1 | 84.3 |
| Dismissed........................... | 12.9 | 12.7 | 14.5 | - | 9.5 | 9.5 | 15.0 | 16.1 | 35.3 |
| Diversions dismissed............ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Acquitted........................... | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | - | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.9 |
| Convicted.......................... | 72.3 | 73.1 | 64.8 | - | 75.6 | 77.7 | 66.2 | 74.2 | 45.1 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50 .
The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.

DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE
Age ot Arrestee by Iype ot Disposition

| Type of disposition | Total |  | Under $18{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 18-29 |  | 30-39 |  | 40 and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total....................................... | 1,629 | 100.0 | 66 | 100.0 | 1,034 | 100.0 | 299 | 100.0 | 230 | 100.0 |
| Law enforcement releases........... | 72 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 54 | 5.2 | 12 | 4.0 | 6 | 2.6 |
| Total complaints denied............. | 143 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 93 | 9.0 | 30 | 10.0 | 20 | 8.7 |
| Single complaints................... | 140 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 90 | 8.7 | 30 | 10.0 | 20 | 8.7 |
| Combined cases.................... | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Petitions to revoke probation.... | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Complaints filed........................ | 1,414 | 86.8 | 66 | 100.0 | 887 | 85.8 | 257 | 86.0 | 204 | 88.7 |
| Misdemeanor........................ | 65 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 2.3 | 23 | 7.7 | 18 | 7.8 |
| Felony................................. | 1,349 | 82.8 | 66 | 100.0 | 863 | 83.5 | 234 | 78.3 | 186 | 80.9 |
| Court dispositions.................. | 1,414 | 86.8 | 66 | 100.0 | 887 | 85.8 | 257 | 86.0 | 204 | 88.7 |
| Dismissed.......................... | 210 | 12.9 | 4 | 6.1 | 134 | 13.0 | 43 | 14.4 | 29 | 12.6 |
| Diversions dismissed............ | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Acquitted........................... | 26 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Convicted......................... | 1,177 | 72.3 | 62 | 93.9 | 732 | 70.8 | 211 | 70.6 | 172 | 74.8 |

[^13]${ }^{\text {a }}$ The "under 18" age group includes juveniles remanded to adult court.

I ABLE 40
DISPOSITIONS IN 1990-1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED By Convicted Uttense

| Year(s) | Total |  | Homicide |  | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Robbery |  | Assault |  | Other |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 1999 ${ }^{\text {a.......... }}$ | 1,177 | 100.0 | 638 | 54.2 | 539 | 45.8 | 26 | 2.2 | 361 | 30.7 | 152 | 12.9 |
| 1998............ | 1,294 | 100.0 | 821 | 63.4 | 473 | 36.6 | 28 | 2.2 | 254 | 19.6 | 191 | 14.8 |
| 1997............ | 1,300 | 100.0 | 915 | 70.4 | 385 | 29.6 | 25 | 1.9 | 184 | 14.2 | 176 | 13.5 |
| 1996..... | 1,441 | 100.0 | 1,041 | 72.2 | 400 | 27.8 | 37 | 2.6 | 183 | 12.7 | 180 | 12.5 |
| 1995... | 1,340 | 100.0 | 990 | 73.9 | 350 | 26.1 | 19 | 1.4 | 164 | 12.2 | 167 | 12.5 |
| 1994. | 1,371 | 100.0 | 1,018 | 74.3 | 353 | 25.7 | 30 | 2.2 | 163 | 11.9 | 160 | 11.7 |
| 1993... | 1,621 | 100.0 | 1,287 | 79.4 | 334 | 20.6 | 30 | 1.9 | 150 | 9.3 | 154 | 9.5 |
| 1992............ | 1,560 | 100.0 | 1,239 | 79.4 | 321 | 20.6 | 26 | 1.7 | 133 | 8.5 | 162 | 10.4 |
| 1991............ | 1,306 | 100.0 | 1,035 | 79.2 | 271 | 20.8 | 26 | 2.0 | 104 | 8.0 | 141 | 10.8 |
| 1990............ | 1,038 | 100.0 | 814 | 78.4 | 224 | 21.6 | 25 | 2.4 | 60 | 5.8 | 139 | 13.4 |

[^14]I ABLE 41
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Giender, Kace/thnnic Giroup, and Age ot Uttender by Convicted Uttense

| Gender, race/ethnic group, and age of offender | Total |  | Homicide |  | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | oery |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total..................... | 1,177 | 100.0 | 638 | 54.2 | 539 | 45.8 | 26 | 2.2 | 361 | 30.7 | 152 | 12.9 |
| Gender of offender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male... | 1,074 | 100.0 | 588 | 54.7 | 486 | 45.3 | 26 | 2.4 | 332 | 30.9 | 128 | 11.9 |
| Female................ | 103 | 100.0 | 50 | 48.5 | 53 | 51.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 28.2 | 24 | 23.3 |
| Unknown............. | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Race/ethnic group of offender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White................. | 303 | 100.0 | 153 | 50.5 | 150 | 49.5 | 7 | 2.3 | 97 | 32.0 | 46 | 15.2 |
| Hispanic.............. | 489 | 100.0 | 254 | 51.9 | 235 | 48.1 | 7 | 1.4 | 159 | 32.5 | 69 | 14.1 |
| Black.................. | 247 | 100.0 | 161 | 65.2 | 86 | 34.8 | 10 | 4.0 | 53 | 21.5 | 23 | 9.3 |
| Other.................. | 92 | 100.0 | 63 | 68.5 | 29 | 31.5 | 2 | 2.2 | 21 | 22.8 | 6 | 6.5 |
| Unknown............. | 46 | 100.0 | 7 | - | 39 | - | 0 | - | 31 | - | 8 | - |
| Age of offender ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 ${ }^{\text {a }} . . . . . . . . .$. | 62 | 100.0 | 49 | 79.0 | 13 | 21.0 | 2 | 3.2 | 9 | 14.5 | 2 | 3.2 |
| 18-29................ | 732 | 100.0 | 396 | 54.1 | 336 | 45.9 | 17 | 2.3 | 219 | 29.9 | 100 | 13.7 |
| 30-39................ | 211 | 100.0 | 107 | 50.7 | 104 | 49.3 | 5 | 2.4 | 71 | 33.6 | 28 | 13.3 |
| 40 and over......... | 172 | 100.0 | 86 | 50.0 | 86 | 50.0 | 2 | 1.2 | 62 | 36.0 | 22 | 12.8 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.
Data include convictions for both misdemeanors and felonies.
The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.
Age groupings indicate the age of offender at time of arrest.

I ABLE 42
DISPOSITIONS IN 1999 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR HOMICIDE AND CONVICTED
Convicted Uttense by Sentence

| Sentence | Total |  | Homicide |  | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Robbery |  | Assault |  | Other |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total........................ | 1,177 | 100.0 | 638 | 100.0 | 539 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 | 361 | 100.0 | 152 | 100.0 |
| Death, prison, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth Authority...... | 911 | 77.4 | 603 | 94.5 | 308 | 57.1 | 23 | - | 206 | 57.1 | 79 | 52.0 |
| Death................. | 38 | 3.2 | 38 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prison................. | 872 | 74.1 | 564 | 88.4 | 308 | 57.1 | 23 | - | 206 | 57.1 | 79 | 52.0 |
| Youth Authority.... | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| All other................. | 266 | 22.6 | 35 | 5.5 | 231 | 42.9 | 3 | - | 155 | 42.9 | 73 | 48.0 |
| Jail................... | 11 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.2 | 10 | 1.9 | 0 | - | 8 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Probation with jail. | 217 | 18.4 | 24 | 3.8 | 193 | 35.8 | 3 | - | 128 | 35.5 | 62 | 40.8 |
| Probation............ | 29 | 2.5 | 7 | 1.1 | 22 | 4.1 | 0 | - | 18 | 5.0 | 4 | 2.6 |
| Other................ | 9 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.5 | 6 | 1.1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 3.3 |

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding
Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50
Data include convictions for both misdemeanors and felonies.
The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.

I ABLE 43
PERSONS UNDER CALIFORNIA SENTENCE OF DEATH, 1978-1999

| Year(s) | Initial sentences | $\overline{(+)}$ <br> Resentences | (-) <br> Removals ${ }^{1}$ | Persons under sentence of death ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999.............. | 42 | 0 | 2 | 556 |
| 1998 ${ }^{\text {a }}$............ | 32 | 2 | 9 | 516 |
| 1997............... | 40 | 0 | 8 | 493 |
| 1996.............. | 40 | 1 | 6 | 461 |
| 1995............... | 38 | 0 | 3 | 426 |
| 1994............... | 21 | 1 | 5 | 391 |
| 1993............... | 34 | 0 | 5 | 374 |
| 1992 ${ }^{\text {b }}$............. | 40 | 6 | 5 | 345 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {c }}$............. | 26 | 3 | 2 | 305 |
| 1990.............. | 33 | 3 | 4 | 279 |
| 1989 ${ }^{\text {d............. }}$ | 33 | 4 | 11 | 247 |
| 1988 ${ }^{\text {e............. }}$ | 34 | 3 | 15 | 223 |
| 1987 ${ }^{\text {............. }}$ | 25 | 4 | 6 | 203 |
| 1986.............. | 21 | 5 | 6 | 179 |
| 1985............... | 16 | 2 | 20 | 159 |
| 1984.............. | 27 | 2 | 11 | 161 |
| 1983 ${ }^{\text {g }} . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 35 | 2 | 5 | 143 |
| 1982............... | 39 | 0 | 6 | 113 |
| 1981............... | 39 | 1 | 2 | 80 |
| 1980.............. | 23 | 1 | 7 | 42 |
| 1979.............. | 20 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| 1978............... | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

Source: California Appellate Project.
' Persons no longer under sentence of death because of execution, sentence reversal, natural death, suicide, etc
${ }^{\text {}}$ Total persons under sentence of death on December 31 of each year. Persons with death sentences from more than one county are counted once.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ In 1998, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences.
Thirty-two initial sentences were imposed with 30 new persons being sentenced.
In 1992, one person already under sentence of death received an additional death sentence. Forty initial sentences were imposed with 39 new persons being sentenced.
In 1991, one person already under sentence of death received an additional death sentence.
iwenty-six initial sentences were imposed with 25 new persons being sentenced.
In 1989, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences.
Thirty-three initial sentences were imposed with 31 new persons being sentenced
${ }^{\text {e }}$ In 1988, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences.
Thirty-four initial sentences were imposed with 32 new persons being sentenced
In 1987, although six death sentences were reversed, only five persons were no longer under sentence of death. The sixth person had an additional death sentence from another county.
${ }^{9}$ In 1983, two persons already under sentence of death received additional death sentences. Thirty-five initial sentences were imposed with 33 new persons being sentenced.

I ABLE 44
PERSONS SENTENCED TO DEATH, 1999
Sentencıng County by Giender, Kace/Lthnıc Giroup, and Age

| Sentencing county | Total | Gender |  | Race/ethnic group |  |  |  | Age at arrest |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | White | Hispanic | Black | Other | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Under } \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 and over |
| Total................... | 42 | 41 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Alameda............ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fresno.............. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kern.................. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Los Angeles........ | 14 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Madera............. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Monterey........... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Orange.............. | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Riverside............ | 8 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| San Bernardino... | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| San Diego.......... | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Shasta.............. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Solano.............. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Stanislaus.......... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sutter............... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ventura.............. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Note: This table does not include persons resentenced to death after their death sentence was reversed on appeal.

HOMICIDE CRIMES AND PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1990-1999 Number and Rate per 100,000 Respective Population

| Year(s) | California population | Homicides |  | Sworn law enforcement personnel ${ }^{2}$ | Peace officers killed in the line of duty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number ${ }^{1}$ | Rate |  | Number | Rate |
| 1999........... | 34,036,000 | 2,006 | 5.9 | 69,363 | 4 | 5.8 |
| 1998............. | 33,494,000 | 2,170 | 6.5 | 67,035 | 7 | 10.4 |
| 1997............. | 32,957,000 | 2,579 | 7.8 | 65,416 | 7 | 10.7 |
| 1996............. | 32,383,000 | 2,910 | 9.0 | 63,778 | 5 | 7.8 |
| 1995............. | 32,063,000 | 3,530 | 11.0 | 62,156 | 10 | 16.1 |
| 1994............. | 32,140,000 | 3,699 | 11.5 | 59,340 | 9 | 15.2 |
| 1993............. | 31,742,000 | 4,095 | 12.9 | 58,861 | 8 | 13.6 |
| 1992............. | 31,300,000 | 3,920 | 12.5 | 59,386 | 5 | 8.4 |
| 1991............ | 30,646,000 | 3,876 | 12.6 | 60,901 | 3 | 4.9 |
| 1990............. | 29,557,836 | 3,562 | 12.1 | 60,227 | 5 | 8.3 |

Note: Rates are based on annual population estimates provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance.
< Personnel in the Department of Justice and other state regulatory agencies are not included

PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1999
By Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. | 4 | 100.0 |
| Sniper (while searching for a suspect's weapon on highway) | 1 | - |
| Traffic stop.. | 1 | - |
| Routine patrol... | 1 | - |
| Investigation (suspicious circumstances)... | 1 | - |

Note: Dash indicates that percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50

TABLE 47
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Gender, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased

| $\qquad$ | Total |  | Peace officer justifiable |  | Citizenjustifiable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total.................. | 118 | 100.0 | 86 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male..... | 115 | 97.5 | 83 | 96.5 | 32 | 100.0 |
| Female............. | 3 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Race/ethnic group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White............... | 37 | 31.4 | 30 | 34.9 | 7 | 21.9 |
| Hispanic........... | 39 | 33.1 | 27 | 31.4 | 12 | 37.5 |
| Black............... | 35 | 29.7 | 23 | 26.7 | 12 | 37.5 |
| Other............... | 7 | 5.9 | 6 | 7.0 | 1 | 3.1 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18........... | 4 | 3.4 | 3 | 3.5 | 1 | 3.1 |
| 18-19.............. | 10 | 8.5 | 4 | 4.7 | 6 | 18.8 |
| 20-24............... | 27 | 22.9 | 19 | 22.1 | 8 | 25.0 |
| 25-29.............. | 13 | 11.0 | 9 | 10.5 | 4 | 12.5 |
| 30-34............... | 20 | 16.9 | 15 | 17.4 | 5 | 15.6 |
| 35-39............... | 20 | 16.9 | 14 | 16.3 | 6 | 18.8 |
| 40-44............... | 5 | 4.2 | 4 | 4.7 | 1 | 3.1 |
| 45-49.............. | 7 | 5.9 | 7 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50-54............... | 5 | 4.2 | 4 | 4.7 | 1 | 3.1 |
| 55 and over....... | 7 | 5.9 | 7 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown.......... | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

By Location ot Justitiable Homicide

| Location of justifiable homicide | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |
| Total................................... | 118 |  |
| Peace officer justifiable |  |  |
| Total.................................. | 86 | 100.0 |
| Felon's residence................. | 13 | 15.1 |
| Other residence.................. | 5 | 5.8 |
| Street, sidewalk................. | 59 | 68.6 |
| Commercial establishment..... | 2 | 2.3 |
| Liquor store.................... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Bar............... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other business................ | 2 | 2.3 |
| All other............................ | 7 | 8.1 |
| Parking lot...................... | 1 | 1.2 |
| Vehicle.......................... | 4 | 4.7 |
| Field, park...................... | 1 | 1.2 |
| School... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other............................ | 1 | 1.2 |
| Citizen justifiable |  |  |
| Total.................................. | 32 | 100.0 |
| Citizen's, shared residence.... | 9 | 28.1 |
| Citizen's residence............ | 9 | 28.1 |
| Shared residence.............. | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other residence.... | 4 | 12.5 |
| Victim's residence............ | 2 | 6.3 |
| Other residence................ | 2 | 6.3 |
| Street, sidewalk................. | 9 | 28.1 |
| Commercial establishment.... | 9 | 28.1 |
| Liquor store.................... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Bar.............................. | 2 | 6.3 |
| Other business................. | 7 | 21.9 |
| All other........................... | 1 | 3.1 |
| Parking lot...................... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vehicle.......................... | 1 | 3.1 |
| Field, park...................... | 0 | 0.0 |
| School.......................... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other............................ | 0 | 0.0 |

Note: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding

I ABLE 49
JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS
OR PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1999
By Contributing Circumstance

| Contributing circumstance | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |
| Total.................................................... | 118 |  |
| Peace officer justifiable |  |  |
| Total................................................... | 86 | 100.0 |
| Felon attacked peace officer................... | 65 | 75.6 |
| Felon killed during commission of crime.. | 18 | 20.9 |
| Felon resisted arrest. | 2 | 2.3 |
| All other.. | 1 | 1.2 |
| Felon attacked another peace officer..... | 1 | 1.2 |
| Felon attacked citizen... | 0 | 0.0 |
| Felon attempted flight........................ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Citizen justifiable |  |  |
| Total.................................................... | 32 | 100.0 |
| Felon attacked citizen............................ | 12 | 37.5 |
| Felon killed during commission of crime.... | 20 | 62.5 |
| All other............... | 0 | 0.0 |

By Iype of Weapon Used

| Type of weapon used | Total |  | Peace officer justifiable |  | Citizen justifiable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total................................ | 118 | 100.0 | 86 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 |
| Firearm........................... | 113 | 95.8 | 86 | 100.0 | 27 | 84.4 |
| Handgun....................... | 109 | 92.4 | 84 | 97.7 | 25 | 78.1 |
| Rifle........................... | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Shotgun....................... | 3 | 2.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 6.3 |
| Firearm - unknown type.... | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Knife ${ }^{1} . .$. ......................... | 3 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 9.4 |
| Personal weapon ${ }^{2} . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.3 |

[^15]I ABLE $\downarrow 1$
PUPULA IIUN ESIIMA IES, 1952-1999

| Year(s) | Total population | Population at risk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Adult ${ }^{2}$ | Juvenile ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1999. | 34,036,000 | 25,711,892 | 21,855,190 | 3,856,702 |
| 1998.... | 33,494,000 | 25,263,064 | 21,498,170 | 3,764,894 |
| 1997. | 32,957,000 | 25,760,375 | 21,934,916 | 3,825,459 |
| 1996. | 32,383,000 | 25,554,242 | 21,825,735 | 3,728,507 |
| 1995. | 32,063,000 | 25,122,782 | 21,505,839 | 3,616,943 |
| 1994. | 32,140,000 | 24,703,379 | 21,193,571 | 3,509,808 |
| 1993. | 31,742,000 | 24,334,534 | 20,923,632 | 3,410,902 |
| 1992. | 31,300,000 | 23,975,578 | 20,661,120 | 3,314,458 |
| 1991.. | 30,646,000 | 23,585,168 | 20,356,984 | 3,228,184 |
| 1990. | 29,557,836 | 23,178,961 | 20,027,633 | 3,151,328 |
| 1989. | 28,771,207 | 22,524,392 | 19,451,763 | 3,072,629 |
| 1988. | 28,060,746 | 21,969,953 | 18,885,349 | 3,084,604 |
| 1987. | 27,388,477 | 21,483,563 | 18,378,758 | 3,104,805 |
| 1986. | 26,741,621 | 21,009,362 | 17,903,122 | 3,106,240 |
| 1985. | 26,112,632 | 20,563,314 | 17,468,941 | 3,094,373 |
| 1984. | 25,587,254 | 20,167,923 | 17,083,479 | 3,084,444 |
| 1983. | 25,075,581 | 19,860,746 | 16,763,095 | 3,097,651 |
| 1982. | 24,546,566 | 19,510,945 | 16,415,571 | 3,095,374 |
| 1981. | 24,038,711 | 19,172,812 | 16,082,355 | 3,090,457 |
| 1980. | 23,668,145 | 18,824,197 | 15,778,999 | 3,045,198 |
| 1979. | 23,255,000 | 18,371,691 | 15,323,376 | 3,048,315 |
| 1978. | 22,839,000 | 18,012,901 | 14,916,032 | 3,096,869 |
| 1977. | 22,350,000 | 17,619,453 | 14,470,680 | 3,148,773 |
| 1976. | 21,935,000 | 17,269,884 | 14,080,872 | 3,189,012 |
| 1975. | 21,537,000 | 16,914,556 | 13,694,793 | 3,219,763 |
| 1974. | 21,173,000 | 16,563,671 | 13,339,906 | 3,223,765 |
| 1973. | 20,868,000 | 16,237,031 | 13,031,007 | 3,206,024 |
| 1972. | 20,585,000 | 15,926,249 | 12,758,809 | 3,167,440 |
| 1971. | 20,346,000 | 15,657,238 | 12,542,795 | 3,114,443 |
| 1970. | 20,039,000 | 15,378,312 | 12,339,580 | 3,038,732 |
| 1969. | 19,856,000 | 14,697,200 | 11,657,600 | 3,039,600 |
| 1968.... | 19,554,000 | 14,379,400 | 11,403,700 | 2,975,700 |
| 1967... | 19,478,000 | 14,065,700 | 11,159,800 | 2,905,900 |
| 1966. | 19,132,000 | 13,696,700 | 10,872,500 | 2,824,200 |
| 1965. | 18,756,000 | 13,377,400 | 10,620,600 | 2,756,800 |
| 1964. | 18,234,000 | 12,981,700 | 10,311,100 | 2,670,600 |
| 1963. | 17,675,000 | 12,564,600 | 10,047,700 | 2,516,900 |
| 1962. | 17,044,000 | 12,099,200 | 9,740,000 | 2,359,200 |
| 1961. | 16,445,000 | 11,697,900 | 9,469,100 | 2,228,800 |
| 1960. | 15,860,000 | 11,314,900 | 9,203,300 | 2,111,600 |
| 1959. | 15,280,000 | - | - | - |
| 1958. | 14,752,000 | - | - | - |
| 1957. | 14,190,000 | - | - | - |
| 1956.............. | 13,600,000 | - | - | - |
| 1955. | 13,035,000 |  | - | - |
| 1954. | 12,595,000 | - | - | - |
| 1953.............. | 12,101,000 | - | - | - |
| 1952............... | 11,638,000 | - | - | - |

Source: Population estimates were provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Note: Population data by age are not available prior to 1960.
Total population at risk, 10-69 years of age
Adult population at risk, 18-69 years of age.
Juvenile population at risk, 10-17 years of age


[^0]:    Source: Table 3.

[^1]:    Source: Table 12.

[^2]:    Source: Table 13.

[^3]:    Source: Table 17.

[^4]:    Source: Table 20.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following penal codes for homicide arrest offenses were valid at the time of the closeout of the 1999 arrest offense code file: 128, 187(a), 189, 192(a), 192(b), 193(a), 193(b), 273ab, 399, 12310(a).

[^6]:    Source: Table 33

[^7]:    Source: Table 36.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding.

[^9]:    Source: Table 49.

[^10]:    The "male" category includes homicide victims whose gender could not be determined: 1990 includes 2, 1992 includes 1, 1993 includes 2, 1994 includes 7, 1995 includes 6, and 1997 includes 5

[^11]:    Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding

[^12]:    Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.

[^13]:    Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of independent rounding
    The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change.

[^14]:    Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
    a The 1999 data are preliminary and subject to change

[^15]:    Note: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of independent rounding.
    ' Any instrument used to cut or stab.
    ${ }^{<}$Hands, feet, etc.

