1 2 3 4 5	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General State of California BRETT J. MORRIS, Deputy Attorney General, Bar N 1515 Clay Street, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor Oakland, CA 94612-1413 Telephone: (510) 622-2100 Fax: (510) 622-2272	o. 158408				
6 7 8 9	DAVID W. PAULSON District Attorney for Solano County By: CRISELDA B. GONZALEZ, Senior Deputy District Attorney, Bar No. 146493 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533 Telephone: (707) 784-6859 Fax: (707) 784-2529					
11 12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA					
13	COUNTY OF SOLANO					
14 15	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. FCR 223544				
16	Plaintiff	PLEA BARGAIN AGREEMENT				
17	VS.					
18 19	SFPP, L.P., Defendant.					
20		ı				
21	INTRODUCTION					
22 23	The People of the State of California (the "People"), by and through the Attorney General					
24	of the State of California and by and through the District Attorney's Office for Solano County					
25	(the Plaintiff), and SFPP, L.P. (the Defendant), a subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners,					
26	L.P., submit this Plea Bargain Agreement ("Plea Agreement").					
27						
28						

- 1. On or about April 27, 2004, at 6:27 p.m. Defendant noticed an abnormal pressure drop in a pipeline owned and operated by Defendant, which lead to the discharge of diesel, a petroleum product, into the waters of the United States in Solano County, California. Defendant shut down the pipeline and began an inspection of the pipeline. On April 28, 2004, at 12:19 p.m., after conducting additional inspections, Defendant began a pressure test of the pipeline by injecting more diesel, or petroleum products, into the pipeline. Defendant stopped pumping at 12:40 p.m. after an aerial crew noticed two areas of discoloration in the Suisun Marsh in Solano County.
- 2. On April 27, and April 28, 2004, Defendant failed to notify the required authorities of any release or threatened release of diesel into the environment prior to2: 35 p.m. on April 28, 2004. The People have filed a Complaint against Defendant in the above-captioned action for alleged violations of the Government Code, the Water Code, and the Fish and Game Code resulting from the Defendant's conduct and alleged failures to act on April 27, and April 28, 2004.
- 3. On April 26, 2005, Plaintiff and the Defendant elected to preserve their understanding as to the pleas that would be entered by Defendant and the recommended criminal fines, penalties, assessments, restitution, costs payments, and other imposition of obligations to be ordered upon the Defendant for the alleged violations in the Complaint.

## PLEA BARGAIN AGREEMENT

I. Defendant agrees to plead GUILTY to the crime of FAILING TO NOTIFY THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES IMMEDIATELY on April 27, 2004, when responsible for the discharge or threatened discharge of oil in marine waters, in violation of

e) \$ 29.00, for penalty assessments pursuant to Government Code section 76104.6;

f) \$ 100.00, for the victims' Restitution Fund pursuant to Penal Code section 1202.4(b);

g) \$466,620.00, for an additional fine pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 12011(a);

h) \$480,000.00, for partial payment of restitution, due to the impossibility of identifying direct damages to the County of Solano, to be provided in the form of supplemental environmental projects for fish, wildlife, and habitat enhancement in the Suisun Marsh in the County of Solano, to be approved by the Fish and Wildlife Commission of the County of Solano;

i) \$300,000.00, for partial payment of restitution, due to the impossibility of identifying direct damages to the County of Solano, with \$200,000.00 payable to the California District Attorneys Association's Environmental Project for the purpose of, among other things, statewide environmental enforcement training, and with \$100,000.00 payable to the California District Attorneys Association's Institute for the Advancement of Criminal Justice, to be used solely for environmental enforcement training of prosecutors in the State of California.

j) \$100,000.00, for partial payment of restitution, due to the impossibility of identifying direct damages to the County of Solano, to be provided in the form of a donation to the Solano County Inter-Agency Haz-Mat Response Team, for the acquisition of a heavy duty hauler in order to respond to releases and to haul hazardous materials equipment, rehab materials, and suspect materials, and for the acquisition of a super duty Ford diesel pickup to pull the trailer, to be provided to the Solano County Office of Emergency Services.

k) \$20,000.00, for partial payment of restitution, due to the impossibility of identifying direct damages to the County of Solano, to be provided in the form of a donation to the Solano County Department of Resources Management, Hazardous Material Section, to be used solely for hazardous material enforcement training.

l) \$325,000.00, for the Department of Fish and Ga	me's costs of response and criminal
nvestigation, of which \$259,487.00 has been previously p	paid by Defendant.

- m) \$12,500.00, for the Solano County Department of Resources Management, Hazardous Material Section's costs of response.
- n) \$50,000.00, for the Solano County Office of the District Attorney's costs of investigation and litigation.

VIII. As a condition of probation, with regard to any release or threatened release of a hazardous material or pollutant substance by Defendant from any of its pipelines, conveyance systems, facilities, or any other operation of Defendant, within 60 days of Defendant's entry of the guilty pleas, Defendant shall ensure that each and every employee and agent in the State of California is trained and instructed to immediately notify all appropriate response agencies, including the Office of Emergency Services, and any local unified environmental program or agency, of any release or threatened release of a hazardous material or pollutant substance from any of its pipelines, conveyance systems, facilities, or any other operation of Defendant in the State of California, as required by law.

IX. As a condition of probation, with regard to future analysis of pipelines and evaluation of inspection indications performed in the State of California by Defendant of its pipelines, Defendant shall ensure that flaw interaction is considered in the evaluation of inspection indications, using recognized standards for flaw interaction. When prior inspection data are available, Defendant shall make comparisons between prior and current data, and any discrepancies, such as indications getting smaller, shall be evaluated to determine the reasons for the discrepancies. This may require manual verification of indication sizes. Where no basis for the discrepancy can be determined, the larger of the two sizes shall be used by Defendant to

XVII. This Plea Agreement shall be effective on the date of Defendant's plea of GUILTY.

1	XVIII. Agreed and Accepted.			
2	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA:			
3				
4	Dated: April, 2005		BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
5			Attorney General of the State of Camornia	
6				
7		By: _	Brett J. Morris, Deputy Attorney General	
8			Brett J. Morris, Deputy Attorney General	
9				
10	Dated: April, 2005		DAVID W. PAULSON	
11			District Attorney for Solano County	
12				
13		By: _	Criselda Gonzalez, Deputy District Attorney	
14			Criscida Gonzaicz, Deputy District Attorney	
			SFPP, L.P.	
15				
16	DATED:	Bv:		
17		-	OMAS A. BANNIGAN	
18			e President, Kinder Morgan Management, LLC	
19		V 1C	Tresident, Kinder Morgan Management, EDE	
20				
21	I, as counsel for Defendant, have reviewed this Plea Agreement, and am authorized by			
22	Defendant to enter into this Plea Agreement	•		
23	DATED: April, 2005		KEKER & VAN NEST LLP ELLIOT R. PETERS	
24				
25				
26		By:	ELLIOT D. DETEDO	
27			ELLIOT R. PETERS Attorneys for Defendant	
28			· 	
-	1			