CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles 1 Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Laurence D. Haveson, State Bar No. 152631 2 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP MAY 27 2015 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officet/Clerk 3 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3599 By Raul Sanchez, Deputy 4 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK MOORBERG 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 9 BYFAX 10 Case No. BC 569198 MARK MOORBERG, 11 Plaintiff, 12 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE ٧. 13 RELIEF CARAVAN CANOPY INT'L, INC.; THE 14 SPORTS AUTHORITY INC.; and DOES (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.) 1 -100, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a representative action brought by plaintiff Mark Moorberg ("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the presence of Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), a toxic chemical found in foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps sold in California. DEHP is a toxic chemical used to treat vinyl/PVC, which is used in a variety of products.
- 2. By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failures to warn California citizens about the risk of exposure to DEHP present in and on foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps manufactured, distributed, and offered for sale or use to consumers throughout the State of California.
- 3. Detectable levels of DEHP are commonly found in and on foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps that Defendants manufacture, distribute, and offer for sale to consumers throughout the State of California. Individuals in California, including infants and children, are exposed to DEHP in the products through dermal exposure to, and/or ingestion of, DEHP in and on foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps.
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual" Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the Act one year later on October 24, 2004. 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
- 6. In the April 2003 official state document explaining why DEHP met the criteria for being listed in California as a reproductive toxicant, the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment of the California Environmental Protection Agency stated that: DEHP can

cause testicular damage in rats, including decreased testicular weight, marked testicular atrophy, and reduced fertility; DEHP and its metabolite MEHP are teratogenic and embryolethal to rodents, and have been correlated with reduced fetal body weights and/or increased incidence of malformations; exposure to DEHP during gestation, followed by postnatal exposure, resulted in the development of adverse testicular effects in the offspring, and there is increased sensitivity to DEHP-induced testicular effects during pre- and postnatal exposure, including gross disorganization of the seminiferous tubule structure, detachment of spermatogonial cells from basal membranes, and thickening of boundary tissues in the testes of male rats, significant decrease in average rat weight and average anogenital distance, and high levels of testicular and epididymal abnormalities, including atrophy and agenesis.

- 7. In its July 2014 Report, The Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel on Phthalates and Phthalate Alternatives, convened by the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, stated that: phthalates cause a wide range of toxicities in laboratory rats, including abnormalities of the developing male reproductive tract structures characterized by malformations of the epididymis, vas deferens, seminal vesicles, prostate, external genitalia (hypospadias), and by cryptorchidism (undescended testes) and demasculinization of the perineum, resulting in reduced anogenital distance; this phthalate syndrome in rats bears a resemblance to the "testicular dysgenesis syndrome" in humans, which includes poor semen quality, testis cancer, cryptorchidism, and hypospadias; phthalate exposure during gestation may contribute to reduced anogenital distance and neurobehavioral effects in male infants or children; and that reduced anogenital distance has been associated with poorer semen quality (i.e., lower sperm concentration and motility, and poorer morphology), and has been found among infertile men as compared to fertile men.
- 8. The fact that substitutes are readily available for DEHP, which substitutes have not been identified as being known to cause reproductive harm, makes Defendants' sales of products containing DEHP more troubling, because there is no reason to use DEHP other than to save money, in disregard of the fact that exposure to DEHP is known to cause reproductive harm. Defendants' sales of products containing DEHP thus unnecessarily put California

Case No.: BC 569198

consumers at risk. DEHP is hereinafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical."

- 9. Defendant Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. ("Caravan") manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offers for sale in California foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps containing DEHP without a warning including, but not limited to, Sports Authority Foldable Steel Frame Event Seat, *SKU*: 27135660, *Style*: 80009200000, *UPC* #6 8921580920 4.
- 10. Defendant The Sports Authority, Inc. ("Sports Authority") manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offers for sale in California foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps containing DEHP without a warning including, but not limited to, Sports Authority Foldable Steel Frame Event Seat, *SKU: 27135660, Style: 80009200000, UPC #6 8921580920 4*.
- 11. All products containing the Listed Chemical as listed in paragraphs 10 and 11 above, shall hereinafter be referred to as the "Products."
- 12. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the Products with the required warning regarding the health hazards of the Listed Chemical in the Products. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
- 13. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer products; and he brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).
- 15. Caravan is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 16. Caravan manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California.

17. Sports Authority is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

18. Sports Authority manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. A search performed on the California Attorney General's "60-Day Notice Search" website, http://oag.ca.gov/prop65/60-day-notice-search, reveals that, besides the Notice served by Plaintiff in this action, other citizen enforcers of Proposition 65 have served Sports Authority with fifteen (15) other 60-Day Notices of Violation of Proposition 65, beginning in 1999. The following table is a list of 60-Day Notices served on Sports Authority according to the California Attorney General's Proposition 65 website:

1	′)
1	\mathcal{L}
_	

	Date Served	Noticing Party	Product	Prop 65 Listed Chemicals	Alleged Violator
1.	7/26/1999	Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation; Klamath Environmental Law Center	Fishing tackle	Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
2.	6/18/2001	Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation; Klamath Environmental Law Center	PVC coated hand weights	Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
3.	2/12/2010	John Moore	Gym mat	DEHP	Sports Authority
4.	6/03/2010	Anthony E. Held, Ph.D.	Children's backpacks	DEHP	Sports Authority, et al.
5.	6/17/2010	John Moore	Gym mat, Exercise ball	DEHP	Sports Authority
6.	11/12/2010	John Moore	Plastic coated weight equipment	Di-n-butyl phthalate	Sports Authority

Case No.: BC 569198

7.	3/30/2011	Center for Environmental Health	Sport belts	Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
8.	05/11/2011	John Moore	Fitness suits, Exercise equipment	DEHP, Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
9.	09/20/2011	Michael DiPirro	Alcohol drinking games and novelties	Alcoholic beverages	Sports Authority, et al.
10.	9/21/2012	John Moore	Billiard and ping pong table covers	DEHP	Sports Authority, et al.
11.	12/03/2012	Russell Brimer	Flag football belt and flags	Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
12.	3/06/2014	Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation	Leaded brass components	Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
13.	5/02/2014	Russell Brimer	Flag Football Flags	DEHP, Lead	Sports Authority, et al.
14.	5/21/2014	Mark Mooreberg	Foldable seats with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps	DEHP	Caravan, Sports Authority
15.	6/23/2014	Evelyn Wimberley	Sport whistle	Lead	Sports Authority
16.	7/30/2014	Laurence Vinocur	Tent Fabric	Tris(1,3- dichloro-2- propyl) phosphate (TDCPP)	Sports Authority, et al.

19. Given Sports Authority's long history of receiving 60-Day Notices, it appears that Sports Authority is a serial violator of Proposition 65, that it ignores the requirements of Proposition 65, and knowingly and intentionally exposes California consumers to chemicals known to cause cancer and reproductive harm without the required health hazard warning.

- 20. Defendants Does 1 -100 are each persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11(b), which manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale in the State of California. At this time, the true names and capacities of Defendants Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names and capacities shall be reflected in an amended complaint.
- 21. Caravan, Sports Authority and Defendants Does 1 -100 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 22. Venue is proper in San Francisco Superior Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in the City and County of San Francisco, and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this county with respect to the Products.
- 23. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendant Sports Authority offered for sale and/or sold the Products in the City and County of San Francisco through one or more of the stores that it has in San Francisco. As outlined above, said Products contained DEHP in violation of Proposition 65. As such, venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct involving the Products occurred in San Francisco.
- 24. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
 - 25. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on

Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each of the Defendants is a person, firm, corporation, or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment of California as a marketplace for the Products renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts over Defendants consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All Defendants)

- 26. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive.
- 27. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 28. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual" Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 29. Plaintiff is not alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 through workplace and/or occupational exposures.
- 30. On May 21, 2014, Plaintiff served a sixty-day notice of violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice"), together with the requisite certificate of merit, on Caravan, Sports Authority, and certain public prosecutors stating that, as a result of Defendants' sales of the Products containing DEHP, purchasers and users in the State of California were being exposed to DEHP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, without the individual purchasers and users first having been provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding such toxic exposures, as required by Proposition 65. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereof as Exhibit A.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

31. Defendants have engaged in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and
fering of the Products for sale or use in California in violation of Health and Safety Code
ction 25249.6, and Defendants' violations have continued to occur beyond their receipt of
aintiff's Notice. As such, Defendants' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature, and
ill continue to occur in the future. Caravan has engaged in the manufacture, importation,
stribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use in California through its principal
ace of business located in La Mirada, California. On information and belief, Sports Authority
as offered the Products for sale in California both through its website, located at
tp://www.sportsauthority.com/, and through its 74 California retail stores. ¹

- 32. After receiving Plaintiff's Notice, the appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65.
- 33. The Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale or use in California by Defendants contain the Listed Chemical such that they require a "clear and reasonable" warning under Proposition 65.
- 34. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain the Listed Chemical.
- 35. The Listed Chemical is present in or on the Products in such a way as to expose individuals to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

¹ At the time of submitting this Proposed Second Amended Complaint, and according to Sports Authority's store

locator webpage (http://ca.sportsauthority.com/), Sports Authority has 74 retail stores in the following California locations: (1) Antioch; (2) Bakersfield; (3) Burbank; (4) Camarillo; (5) Canoga Park; (6) Cerritos; (7) Chula Vista; (8) Citrus Heights; (9) Concord; (10) Corona; (11) Corte Madera; (12) Dublin; (13) East Palo Alto; (14) El Cajon; (15) Elk Grove; (16) Emeryville; (17) Encinitas; (18) Escondido; (19) Eureka; (20) Fairfield; (21) Folsom; (22) Fontana; (23) Fountain Valley; (24) Fresno; (25) Fullerton; (26) Gilroy; (27) Goleta; (28) Hawthorne; (29) Irvine; (30) La Habra; (31) La Mesa; (32) Lakewood; (33) Long Beach; (34) Los Angeles; (35) Milpitas; (36) Mission Viejo; (37) Modesto; (38) Montclair; (39) Moreno Valley; (40) Murrieta; (41) Northridge; (42) Novato; (43) Oceanside; (44) Ontario; (45) Orange; (46) Palm Desert; (47) Redding; (48) Riverside; (49) Roseville; (50) Sacramento; (51) San Diego; (52) San Francisco; (53) San Jose; (54) San Leandro; (55) San Luis Obispo; (56) San Mateo; (57) San Ramon; (58) Sand City; (59) Santa Ana; (60) Santa Clara; (61) Santa Cruz; (62) Santa Rosa; (63) Sherman Oaks; (64) Stockton; (65) Sunnyvale; (66) Temecula; (67) Torrance; (68) Tracy; (69) Union City; (70) Vacaville; (71) Ventura; (72) Walnut Creek; (73) West Los Angeles; and (74) Westlake Village. Case No.: BC 569198

- 36. The normal and reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products have caused, and continue to cause, consumer exposures to the Listed Chemical as such exposures are defined by the California Code of Regulations Title 27, section 25602(b).
- 37. Defendants had knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products expose individuals to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 38. Defendants intended that such exposures to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products would occur by Defendants' deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use to individuals in the State of California.
- 39. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers and other individuals in the State of California who were or who would become exposed to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion during the reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products.
- 40. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to the Listed Chemical through dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the reasonably foreseeable uses of the Products sold by Defendants without a "clear and reasonable warning," have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 41. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 42. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1	1. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), asses	S
2	civil penalties against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;	
3	2. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a),	
4	preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or offer	ring
5	the Products for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and reasonable	
6	warning" as defined by the California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 et seq., a	s to
7	the harms associated with exposures the Listed Chemical;	
8	3. That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), issue	
9	preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that Defendants recall all Products current	atly
10	in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined	l by
11	California Code of Regulations title 27, section 25601 et seq.,	
12	4. That the Court grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit;	and
13	5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.	
14		
15	Dated: May 27, 2015 Respectfully Submitted,	
16	MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP	
17		
18	By: Laurence D. Haveson	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK MOORBERG	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)

DATE: May 21, 2014

To: Lindy Park, President – Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc.

Michael Foss, Chief Executive Officer – The Sports Authority, Inc.

California Attorney General's Office;

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and

City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento and Los Angeles

FROM: Mark Moorberg

. INTRODUCTION

My name is Mark Moorberg. I am a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. I seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the public agencies listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). As noted above, notice is also being provided to the alleged violators, Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. and The Sports Authority, Inc. (the "Violators"). The violations covered by this Notice consist of the product exposures, routes of exposure, and type of harm potentially resulting from exposure to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below, as follows:

Product Exposure: See Section VII. Exhibit A

Listed Chemical: Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP")

Routes of Exposure: Ingestion, Dermal

Types of Harm: Birth Defects and Other Reproductive Harm

II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and that is covered by this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A in Section VII below. All products within the category covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." Exposures to the listed chemical from the use of the products have been occurring without the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65, dating as far back as May 21, 2011. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical resulting from contact with the products, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the listed chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

California citizens, through the act of buying, acquiring or utilizing the products, are exposed to the listed chemical. Women of childbearing age ingest the listed chemical when they, among other activities, touch the products and transfer the listed chemical from the products to their mouths through hand-to-mouth activities that may continue to occur for a significant period after contact with the products stops. Women of childbearing age are exposed to the listed chemical through direct dermal contact when they, among other activities, handle, touch or otherwise use the products.

III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me through my counsel's office at the following address:

Mark Moorberg c/o Josh Voorhees The Chanler Group Parker Plaza 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880

IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's ("OEHHA") Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violators' reference, I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, I intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violators unless such Violators enter into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those who have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future or reformulate such products to eliminate the DEHP exposures; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). If the alleged Violators are interested in resolving this dispute without resorting to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III above. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any district or city attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement with me will resolve my claims, such agreement may not satisfy the public prosecutors.

VI. ADDITIONAL NOTICE INFORMATION

Identified below is a specific example of a product recently purchased and witnessed as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warning" at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business-to-business, through the internet and/or via a catalog by the Violators and other retailers and distributors of the manufacturer.

Product*	Retailer(s)	Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)
Sports Authority Foldable	The Sports Authority, Inc.	Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc.
Steel Frame Event Seat, SKU: 27135660, Style: 80009200000, UPC #6 89215 80920 4	Sacramento County, Northern California	

VII. EXHIBIT A

Product Category/Type	Such As*	Toxins
Foldable Seats with	Sports Authority Foldable Steel Frame	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
Vinyl/PVC Shoulder	Event Seat, SKU: 27135660,	·
Straps	Style: 80009200000,	
	UPC #6 89215 80920 4	

^{*}The specifically identified example of the type of product that is subject to this Notice is for the recipients' benefit to assist in their investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the listed chemical from other items within the product category/type listed in Exhibit A. It is important to note that this example is not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product of the type listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A. Further, it is this citizen's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to continue to conduct in good faith an investigation into other specific products within the type or category described above that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the notice recipients' custody or control) during the relevant period so as to ensure that the requisite toxic warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury:

I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Parker Plaza, 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214, Berkeley, CA 94710.

On May 21, 2014, I served the following documents:

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d);

PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY;

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; AND

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENTS (SERVED ONLY ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL)

on the entities listed below via First Class Certified Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the entities listed below and providing each envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Lindy Park, President Caravan Canopy Int'l, Inc. 14600 Alondra Boulevard La Mirada, CA 90638 Michael Foss, Chief Executive Officer The Sports Authority, Inc. 1050 West Hampden Avenue Englewood, CO 80110

as well as by providing copies of the above documents electronically uploaded to the public enforcers according to directions from their respective offices, and/or by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party listed below, and served as follows:

Electronically Uploaded to the Attorney General's website:	The Attorney General of the State of California;
By placing each envelope in a United States Postal Service mailbox, postage prepaid:	The District Attorney for Each of the 58 counties in California; and The City Attorney for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose, San Francisco and Sacramento

A list of addresses for each of these recipients is attached.

Executed on May 21, 2014, at Berkeley, California.

Caroline Pak

MM

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Clifford A. Chanler, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings;
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party;
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the *alleged* exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of this action;
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute;
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2) (i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons).

Dated: May 21, 2014

Clifford A. Chanler

Cuff cen

SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Nancy O'Malley Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

The Honorable Terese Drabec Alpine County District Attorney 270 Laramie Street, PO BOX 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

The Honorable Todd Riebe Amador County District Attorney 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

The Honorable Michael Ramsey Butte County District Attorney 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

The Honorable Barbara Yook Calaveras County District Attorney 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

The Honorable John R. Poyner Colusa County District Attorney 346 Fifth Street Colusa, CA 95932

The Honorable Mark Peterson Contra Costa County District Attorney 900 Ward Street Martinez. CA 94553

The Honorable Jon Alexander Del Norte County District Attorney 981 H Street Crescent City, CA 95531

The Honorable Vernon Pierson El Dorado County District Attorney 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

The Honorable Elizabeth Egan Fresno County District Attorney 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Maloney Glenn County District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

The Honorable Paul Gallegos Humboldt County District Attorney 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

The Honorable Gilbert Otero Imperial County District Attorney 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243

The Honorable Arthur Maillet Inyo County District Attorney P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

The Honorable Lisa Green Kem County District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

The Honorable Greg Strickland Kings County District Attorney 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

The Honorable Donald Anderson Lake County District Attorney 255 North Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 The Honorable Robert Burns Lassen County District Attorney 220 S. Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

The Honorable Steve Cooley Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Michael Keitz Madera County District Attorney 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

The Honorable Edward Berberian Marin County District Attorney 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

The Honorable Robert Brown Mariposa County District Attorney 5101 Jones Street, P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

The Honorable C. David Eyster Mendocino County District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

The Honorable Larry Morse II Merced County District Attorney 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

The Honorable Gary Woolverton Modoc County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101

The Honorable George Booth Mono County District Attorney P.O. Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

The Honorable Dean Flippo Monterey County District Attorney P.O. Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

The Honorable Gary Lieberstein Napa County District Attorney P.O. Box 720 Napa, CA 94559

The Honorable Clifford Newell Nevada County District Attorney 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

The Honorable Tony Rackauckas Orange County District Attorney 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

The Honorable Ronald Owens Placer County District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678

The Honorable David Hollister Plumas County District Attorney 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

The Honorable Paul Zellerbach Riverside County District Attorney 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

The Honorable Jan Scully Sacramento County District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 The Honorable Candice Hooper San Benito County District Attorney 419 4th Street, Second Floor Hollister, CA 95203

The Honorable Michael Ramos San Bernardino County District Attorney 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415

The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis San Diego County District Attorney 330 W. Broadway Street San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable George Gascon San Francisco County District Attorney 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francisco, CA 94103

The Honorable James Willett San Joaquin County District Attorney P.O. Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

The Honorable Gerald Shea San Luis Obispo County District Attorney 1035 Palm Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The Honorable Stephen Wagstaffe San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

The Honorable Joyce Dudley Santa Barbara County District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

The Honorable Jeffrey Rosen Santa Clara County District Attorney 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

The Honorable Bob Lee Santa Cruz County District Attorney 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

The Honorable Stephen Carlton Shasta County District Attorney 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

The Honorable Lawrence Allen Sierra County District Attorney 100 Courthouse Square, Second Floor Downieville, CA 95936

The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County District Attorney P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

The Honorable Donald A. du Bain Solano County District Attorney 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

The Honorable Jill Ravitch Sonoma County District Attorney 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Birgit Fladager Stanislaus County District Attorney 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95354

The Honorable Carl Adams Sutter County District Attorney 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991 The Honorable Gregg Cohen Tehama County District Attorney 444 Oak Street, Room L Red Bluff, CA 96080

The Honorable Michael B. Harper Trinity County District Attorney PO Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

The Honorable Phillip Cline Tulare County District Attorney 221 South Mooney Boulevard, Suite 224 Visalia, CA 93291

The Honorable Donald Segerstrom, Jr Tuolumne County District Attorney 423 North Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

The Honorable Gregory Totten Ventura County District Attorney 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

The Honorable Jeff Reisig Yolo County District Attorney 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

The Honorable Patrick McGrath Yuba County District Attorney 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

The Honorable Carmen Trutanich Office of the City Attorney, Los Angeles 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Jan Goldsmith Office of the City Attomey, San Diego 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

The Honorable Eileen M. Teichert Office of the City Attorney, Sacramento 915 I Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Dennis J. Herrera Office of the City Attorney, San Francisco City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Richard Doyle Office of the City Attorney, San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Office of the California Attorney General Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting ATTN: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

PROOF OF SERVICE

Moorberg v. Caravan Canopy Int'l Inc., et al Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC569198

I, Michael R. Colello, declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action. On May 27, 2015, I served the attached:

• SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

on the interested party(ies) named below:

Michael P. Newman 14600 Alondra Blvd. La Mirada, CA 90638

Attorneys for Defendant CARAVAN CANOPY INT'L INC. and THE SPORTS AUTHORITY INC.

I served the attached document(s) in the manner indicated below:

- BY MAIL: I caused true and correct copy(ies) of the above documents to be placed and sealed in envelope(s) addressed to the addressee(s) named above and, following ordinary business practices, placed said envelope(s) at the Law Offices of Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP, 220 Montgomery, Ste. 2100, San Francisco, California, 94104, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service and there is delivery by the United States Post Office at said address(es). In the ordinary course of business, correspondence placed for collection on a particular day is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day.
- BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused true and correct copies of the above documents to be placed and sealed in envelope(s) addressed to the addressee(s) and I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand on the office(s) of the addressee(s).
 - BY FACSIMILE: I caused a copy(ies) of such document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile machine. The fax number of the machine from which the document was transmitted was (415) 362-2006. The fax number(s) of the machine(s) to which the document(s) were transmitted are listed above. The fax transmission was reported as complete and without error.
 - BY FEDERAL EXPRESS OVERNIGHT: I caused true and correct copies of the above documents to be placed and sealed in envelope(s) addressed to the addressee(s) named above and, following ordinary business practices, placed said envelope(s) at the Law Offices of Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP, 220 Montgomery Street, Ste. 2100, San Francisco, California, 94104, for collection and mailing with Federal Express. I am informed that there is delivery service by Federal Express at the address(es) of the addressee(s) named above.

1 2	In the ordinary course of business, correspondence placed for collection on a particular day is deposited with Federal Express that same day.
3	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I caused true and correct copies of the above documents to be sent via e-mail to the e-mail addressee(s) named above. I did not receive, within a
4	reasonable amount of time after the transmission, any electronic message other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
5	BY CERTIFIED MAIL: I caused true and correct copy(ies) of the above documents to be placed and sealed in envelope(s), certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the
7	addressee(s) named above and, following ordinary business practices, placed said envelope(s) at the Law Offices of Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP, 220 Montgomery, Ste. 2100, San Francisco,
8	California, 94104, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service and there is delivery by the United States Post Office at said address(es). In the ordinary course of business, correspondence placed for collection on a particular day is deposited with the United States Postal
9	Service that same day.
11	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 27, 2015, at San Francisco, California.
12	
13	Michael Colello
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	