

Proposition 65 Settlement Summary
2000-2002

| Plaintiff | No. of Settlements | Total Settlements | Injunctive Relief Included | Civil Penalty | % of Total | Attorney Fees & Costs | % of Total | Other | % of Total |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INST. | 1 | \$35,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$17,297.00 | 49.42% | \$17,703.00 | 50.58% |
| AS YOU SOW | 30 | \$1,588,050.00 | 29 | \$77,100.00 | 4.86% | \$600,604.09 | 37.82% | \$910,365.91 | 57.33% |
| CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | 23 | \$927,370.00 | 22 | \$20,750.00 | 2.24% | \$381,023.65 | 41.09% | \$525,596.68 | 56.68% |
| CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | 52 | \$1,563,350.00 | 45 | \$53,000.00 | 3.39% | \$982,718.13 | 1.89974 | \$529,131.87 | 33.85% |
| COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT | 7 | \$780,000.00 | 6 | \$5,000.00 | 0.64% | \$750,000.00 | 96.15% | \$25,000.00 | 3.21% |
| CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | 84 | \$1,844,648.00 | 84 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$938,574.00 | 50.88% | \$906,074.00 | 49.12% |
| CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | 35 | \$563,319.46 | 33 | \$28,000.00 | 4.97% | \$223,459.00 | 39.67% | \$311,860.46 | 55.36% |
| CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | 14 | \$521,227.50 | 14 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$296,040.00 | 56.80% | \$225,187.50 | 43.20% |
| ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | 27 | \$628,500.00 | 25 | \$4,500.00 | 0.72% | \$328,500.00 | 52.27% | \$295,500.00 | 47.02% |
| EDWARD CROSS | 1 | \$1,300,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,150,000.00 | 88.46% | \$150,000.00 | 11.54% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION | 7 | \$1,418,000.00 | 7 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$682,167.00 | 48.11% | \$735,833.00 | 51.89% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL WORLD WATCH | 2 | \$315,000.00 | 2 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$260,000.00 | 82.54% | \$55,000.00 | 17.46% |
| FACTS | 1 | \$7,500.00 | 0 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$6,000.00 | 80.00% | \$1,500.00 | 20.00% |
| GEORGE P. KONSTANTOUROS | 1 | \$25,000.00 | 0 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$25,000.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE | 91 | \$6,215,500.00 | 91 | \$226,500.00 | 3.64% | \$3,404,750.00 | 54.78% | \$2,584,250.00 | 41.58% |
| MICHAEL DIPIRRO | 165 | \$4,667,196.90 | 161 | \$1,060,752.00 | 22.73% | \$3,477,754.90 | 74.51% | \$128,500.00 | 2.75% |
| NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH | 1 | \$15,500.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$15,500.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| PHILIP K. STEINER | 1 | \$9,825.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$9,825.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| TOXIC INJURIES CORPORATION | 1 | \$230,000.00 | 0 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$230,000.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| WORKING GROUP ON CISC | 1 | \$200,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$150,000.00 | 75.00% | \$50,000.00 | 25.00% |
| PAUL DOWHAL | 1 | \$27,500.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$27,500.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| SANTA CLARA CTR. FOR OCC. HLTH & SAFETY | 1 | \$175,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$100,000.00 | 0.57143 | \$75,000.00 | 0.428571 |
| SUBTOTAL | 547 | \$23,057,486.86 | 526 | \$1,475,602.00 | 6.40% | \$14,056,712.77 | 60.96% | \$7,526,502.42 | 32.64% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL | 19 | \$1,401,969.50 | 19 | \$701,545.00 | 50.04% | \$283,241.50 | 20.20% | \$416,553.00 | 29.71% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / PERRY GOTTESFELD | 22 | \$1,454,631.00 | 22 | \$713,611.50 | 49.06% | \$741,019.50 | 50.94% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / NRDC | 2 | \$945,000.00 | 2 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$945,000.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / MATEEL | 1 | \$140,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$110,000.00 | 78.57% | \$30,000.00 | 21.43% |
| SUBTOTAL | 44 | \$3,941,600.50 | 44 | \$1,415,156.50 | 35.90% | \$2,079,261.00 | 52.75% | \$446,553.00 | 11.33% |
| CITY OF SAN JOSE/PAUL DOWHAL | 2 | \$560,000.00 | 0 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$560,000.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| CITY & COUNTY OF S.F./ELF | 1 | \$2,750,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,123,068.26 | 40.84% | \$1,626,931.74 | 59.16% |
| ELF/S.F. CITY ATTORNEY | 1 | \$83,000.00 | 1 | \$15,667.00 | 18.88% | \$67,333.00 | 81.12% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | 4 | \$3,310,000.00 | 2 | \$15,667.00 | 0.47% | \$1,750,401.26 | 52.88% | \$1,626,931.74 | 49.15% |
| GRAND TOTAL | 595 | \$30,309,087.36 | 572 | \$2,906,425.50 | 9.59% | \$17,886,375.03 | 59.01% | \$9,599,987.16 | 31.67% |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief is often complex, cannot be presented in summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

Proposition 65 Settlement Summary
2002

| Plaintiff | No. of Settlements | Total of Settlements | Injunctive Relief Included | Civil Penalty | % of Total | Attorney Fees & Costs | % of Total | Other | % of Total |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INST. | 1 | \$35,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$17,297.00 | 49.42% | \$17,703.00 | 50.58% |
| AS YOU SOW | 11 | \$673,100.00 | 11 | \$40,500.00 | 6.02% | \$233,556.80 | 34.70% | \$399,043.20 | 59.28% |
| CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | 6 | \$144,370.00 | 6 | \$7,000.00 | 4.85% | \$80,017.33 | 55.43% | \$57,352.67 | 39.73% |
| CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | 24 | \$539,300.00 | 17 | \$36,550.00 | 6.78% | \$312,123.71 | 57.88% | \$192,126.29 | 35.63% |
| CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | 4 | \$43,000.00 | 4 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$19,000.00 | 44.19% | \$24,000.00 | 55.81% |
| CONSUMER CAUSE, INC (including D. Michaud) | 7 | \$190,500.00 | 7 | \$10,500.00 | 5.51% | \$51,575.00 | 27.07% | \$128,425.00 | 67.41% |
| CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | 11 | \$387,227.50 | 11 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$211,040.00 | 54.50% | \$176,187.50 | 45.50% |
| ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | 5 | \$181,000.00 | 5 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$90,500.00 | 50.00% | \$90,500.00 | 50.00% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL WORLD WATCH | 1 | \$300,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$260,000.00 | 86.67% | \$40,000.00 | 13.33% |
| MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE | 23 | \$2,862,500.00 | 23 | \$121,500.00 | 4.24% | \$1,549,000.00 | 54.11% | \$1,192,000.00 | 41.64% |
| MICHAEL DIPIRRO | 38 | \$658,676.00 | 36 | \$108,965.00 | 16.54% | \$549,521.00 | 83.43% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH | 1 | \$15,500.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$15,500.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| PHILIP K. STEINER | 1 | \$9,825.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$9,825.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| WORKING GROUP ON CISC | 1 | \$200,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$150,000.00 | 75.00% | \$50,000.00 | 25.00% |
| PAUL DOWHAL | 1 | \$27,500.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$27,500.00 | 100.00% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| SANTA CLARA CTR. FOR OCC. HLTH & SAFETY | 1 | \$175,000.00 | 1 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$100,000.00 | 57.14% | \$75,000.00 | 42.86% |
| SUBTOTAL | 136 | \$6,442,498.50 | 127 | \$325,015.00 | 5.04% | \$3,676,455.84 | 57.07% | \$2,442,337.66 | 37.91% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL | 13 | \$715,632.00 | 13 | \$463,045.00 | 64.70% | \$145,404.00 | 20.32% | \$106,553.00 | 14.89% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / PERRY GOTTESFELD | 16 | \$846,214.00 | 16 | \$409,403.00 | 48.38% | \$436,811.00 | 51.62% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | 29 | \$1,561,846.00 | 29 | \$872,448.00 | 55.86% | \$582,215.00 | 37.28% | \$106,553.00 | 6.82% |
| ELF/S.F. CITY ATTORNEY | 1 | \$83,000.00 | 1 | \$15,667.00 | 18.88% | \$67,333.00 | 81.12% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | 1 | \$83,000.00 | 1 | \$15,667.00 | 18.88% | \$67,333.00 | 81.12% | \$0.00 | 0.00% |
| TOTAL for 2002 | 166 | \$8,087,344.50 | 157 | \$1,213,130.00 | 15.00% | \$4,326,003.84 | 53.49% | \$2,548,890.66 | 31.52% |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief is often complex, cannot be presented in summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

Proposition 65 Settlement Summary
2001

| Plaintiff | No. of Settlements | Total of Settlements | Injunctive Relief Included | Civil Penalty | % of Total | Attorney Fees & Costs | % of Total | Other | % of Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|
| AS YOU SOW | 7 | \$ 513,450.00 | 7 | \$ 17,600.00 | 3.43% | \$ 208,652.00 | 40.64% | \$ 287,198.00 | 55.93% |
| CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | 3 | \$ 96,000.00 | 3 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 50,092.32 | 52.18% | \$ 45,907.68 | 47.82% |
| CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | 17 | \$ 435,500.00 | 17 | \$ 4,500.00 | 1.03% | \$ 304,094.33 | 69.83% | \$ 126,905.67 | 29.14% |
| COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT | 4 | \$ 343,000.00 | 4 | \$ 5,000.00 | 1.46% | \$ 338,000.00 | 98.54% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | 44 | \$ 1,113,698.00 | 44 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 560,599.00 | 50.34% | \$ 553,099.00 | 49.66% |
| CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | 21 | \$ 234,941.00 | 22 | \$ 17,500.00 | 7.45% | \$ 101,192.00 | 43.07% | \$ 116,249.00 | 49.48% |
| CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | 3 | \$ 134,000.00 | 3 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 85,000.00 | 63.43% | \$ 49,000.00 | 36.57% |
| ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | 4 | \$ 292,000.00 | 2 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 149,000.00 | 51.03% | \$ 143,000.00 | 48.97% |
| MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE | 40 | \$ 2,144,500.00 | 40 | \$ 59,000.00 | 2.75% | \$ 1,203,000.00 | 56.10% | \$ 882,500.00 | 41.15% |
| MICHAEL DIPIRRO | 73 | \$ 2,046,945.00 | 72 | \$ 456,275.00 | 22.29% | \$ 1,475,170.00 | 72.07% | \$ 115,500.00 | 5.64% |
| TOXIC INJURIES CORPORATION | 1 | \$ 230,000.00 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 230,000.00 | 100.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | 217 | \$ 7,584,034.00 | 214 | \$ 559,875.00 | 7.38% | \$ 4,704,799.65 | 62.04% | \$ 2,319,359.35 | 30.58% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL | 1 | \$ 15,400.00 | 1 | \$ 11,000.00 | 71.43% | \$ 4,400.00 | 28.57% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / PERRY GOTTESFELD | 4 | \$ 492,600.00 | 4 | \$ 246,300.00 | 50.00% | \$ 246,300.00 | 50.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / MATEEL | 1 | \$ 140,000.00 | 1 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 110,000.00 | 78.57% | \$ 30,000.00 | 21.43% |
| SUBTOTAL | 6 | \$ 648,000.00 | 6 | \$ 257,300.00 | 39.71% | \$ 360,700.00 | 55.66% | \$ 30,000.00 | 4.63% |
| JOINT - CITY & COUNTY OF S.F./ELF | 1 | \$ 2,750,000.00 | 1 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 1,123,068.26 | 40.84% | \$ 1,626,931.74 | 59.16% |
| SUBTOTAL | 1 | \$ 2,750,000.00 | 1 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 1,123,068.26 | 40.84% | \$ 1,626,931.74 | 59.16% |
| TOTAL for 2001 | 224 | \$ 10,982,034.00 | 221 | \$ 817,175.00 | 7.44% | \$ 6,188,567.91 | 56.35% | \$ 3,976,291.09 | 36.21% |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief is often complex, cannot be represented in summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

Proposition 65 Settlement Summary
2000

| Plaintiff | No. of Settlements | Total of Settlements | Injunctive Relief Included | Civil Penalty | % of Total | Attorney Fees & Costs | % of Total | Other | % of Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|
| AS YOU SOW | 12 | \$ 401,500.00 | 11 | \$ 19,000.00 | 4.73% | \$ 158,395.29 | 39.45% | \$ 224,124.71 | 55.82% |
| CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | 14 | \$ 687,000.00 | 13 | \$ 13,750.00 | 2.00% | \$ 250,914.00 | 36.52% | \$ 422,336.33 | 61.48% |
| CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | 11 | \$ 588,550.00 | 11 | \$ 11,950.00 | 2.03% | \$ 366,500.09 | 62.27% | \$ 210,099.91 | 35.70% |
| COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT | 3 | \$ 437,000.00 | 2 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 412,000.00 | 94.28% | \$ 25,000.00 | 5.72% |
| CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | 36 | \$ 687,950.00 | 36 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 358,975.00 | 52.18% | \$ 328,975.00 | 47.82% |
| CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | 7 | \$ 137,878.46 | 4 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 70,692.00 | 51.27% | \$ 67,186.46 | 48.73% |
| ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | 18 | \$ 155,500.00 | 18 | \$ 4,500.00 | 2.89% | \$ 89,000.00 | 57.23% | \$ 62,000.00 | 39.87% |
| EDWARD CROSS | 1 | \$ 1,300,000.00 | 1 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 1,150,000.00 | 88.46% | \$ 150,000.00 | 11.54% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION | 7 | \$ 1,418,000.00 | 7 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 682,167.00 | 48.11% | \$ 735,833.00 | 51.89% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL WORLD WATCH | 1 | \$ 15,000.00 | 1 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 15,000.00 | 100.00% |
| FACTS | 1 | \$ 7,500.00 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 6,000.00 | 80.00% | \$ 1,500.00 | 20.00% |
| GEORGE P. KONSTANTOUROS | 1 | \$ 25,000.00 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 25,000.00 | 100.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE | 28 | \$ 1,208,500.00 | 28 | \$ 46,000.00 | 3.81% | \$ 652,750.00 | 54.01% | \$ 509,750.00 | 42.18% |
| MICHAEL DIPIRRO | 54 | \$ 1,961,575.90 | 53 | \$ 495,512.00 | 25.26% | \$ 1,453,063.90 | 74.08% | \$ 13,000.00 | 0.66% |
| SUBTOTAL | 194 | \$ 9,030,954.36 | 185 | \$ 590,712.00 | 6.54% | \$ 5,675,457.28 | 62.84% | \$ 2,764,805.41 | 30.61% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL | 5 | \$ 670,937.50 | 5 | \$ 227,500.00 | 33.91% | \$ 133,437.50 | 19.89% | \$ 310,000.00 | 46.20% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / PERRY GOTTESFELD | 2 | \$ 115,817.00 | 2 | \$ 57,908.50 | 50.00% | \$ 57,908.50 | 50.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL / NRDC | 2 | \$ 945,000.00 | 2 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 945,000.00 | 100.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | 9 | \$ 1,731,754.50 | 9 | \$ 285,408.50 | 16.48% | \$ 1,136,346.00 | 65.62% | \$ 310,000.00 | 17.90% |
| JOINT - CITY OF SAN JOSE/PAUL DOWHAL | 2 | \$ 560,000.00 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 560,000.00 | 100.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | 2 | \$ 560,000.00 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% | \$ 560,000.00 | 100.00% | \$ 0.00 | 0.00% |
| TOTAL for 2000 | 205 | \$ 11,322,708.86 | 194 | \$ 876,120.50 | 7.74% | \$ 7,371,803.28 | 65.11% | \$ 3,074,805.41 | 27.16% |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief is often complex, cannot be represented in summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

Proposition 65 Settlement Report 2002

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 04/04/2002 | AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INST. | REXALL SUNDOWN, INC | Warnings | \$35,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$17,297.00 | \$17,703.00 | To American Environmental Safety Institute for future enforcement |
| | AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INST. | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$35,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$17,297.00 | \$17,703.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 04/29/2002 AS YOU SOW | CLAY TILE VENTURES, INC | Reformulation | \$175,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$70,000.00 | \$105,000.00 | \$30,000 to Deleo Clay Tile Company and \$75,000 to third party non-profits |
| 05/01/2002 AS YOU SOW | ADG CONCERNS, ET AL (Lotus Herbs) | Warnings | \$150,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$90,000.00 | To a California non-profit and AYS Enforcement Fund for efforts other than Proposition 65 |
| 05/01/2002 AS YOU SOW | ADG CONCERNS, ET AL (KAN Herbs) | Warnings | \$65,000.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$32,500.00 | To a California non-profit and AYS Enforcement Fund for efforts other than Proposition 65 |
| 05/01/2002 AS YOU SOW | ADG CONCERNS, ET AL (Tai Sang Trading) | Warnings | \$72,500.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$17,500.00 | \$50,000.00 | To a California non-profit and AYS Enforcement Fund for efforts other than Proposition 65 |
| 05/06/2002 AS YOU SOW | CONBRACO INDUSTRIES (AMERICAN GRANBY) | Cease sales or reformulation | \$67,500.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$40,000.00 | To a non-profit and AYS Foundation Environmental Enforcement Fund (no breakdown given) |
| 05/13/2002 AS YOU SOW | ALFA LEISURE | Elimination of methylene chloride | \$9,600.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$5,379.00 | \$3,221.00 | 17200 Restitution to AYS |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 05/13/2002 | AS YOU SOW | ADG CONCERNS, INC. | Defendant prevailed on issues related to H&S 25249.6 due to "fewer than ten (10) employees." | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/14/2002 | AS YOU SOW | B&M ROTNER | Warnings | \$12,500.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$4,600.00 | \$6,900.00 | 17200 Restitution to AYS |
| 07/09/2002 | AS YOU SOW | ADG CONCERNS, ET AL (BioEssence) | Warnings | \$40,000.00 | \$3,500.00 | \$19,000.00 | \$17,500.00 | To a non-profit and AYS Foundation Environmental Enforcement Fund (no breakdown given) |
| 08/16/2002 | AS YOU SOW | CONBRACO INDUSTRIES (FLOMATIC CORPORATION) | Testing and permanent marking for all products | \$72,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$22,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | To be used as grants from AYS to qualifying third-party non-profit organizations to reduce exposure and increase awareness to toxic chemicals in California |
| 12/03/2002 | AS YOU SOW | FIFTH AVENUE CLEANERS | Warnings including postcards | \$9,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,077.80 | \$3,922.20 | For AYS's environmental activities |
| AS YOU SOW | | <i>Totals</i> | 11 | \$673,100.00 | \$40,500.00 | \$233,556.80 | \$399,043.20 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 03/15/2002 | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | ARROWHEAD BRASS | Elimination of perchloroethylene | \$18,750.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,350.00 | \$9,400.00 | To CCHA for future enforcement |
| 03/19/2002 | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | ACE CLEARWATER ENTERPRISE, INC | Lead emission reductions | \$30,620.00 | \$0.00 | \$30,620.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/20/2002 | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | BRYAN'S CLEANERS | Warnings | \$17,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,233.33 | \$9,266.67 | To CCHA for future enforcement |
| 04/18/2002 | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | SPEEDWAY METAL FINISHING, INC | Warnings and elimination of perchloroethylene at Santa Ana facility | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,500.00 | \$9,500.00 | 17200 restitution to CCHA |
| 07/19/2002 | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | WEBER AIRCRAFT | Elimination of perchloroethylene | \$22,500.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$10,824.00 | \$9,676.00 | 17200 restitution to CCHA |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 07/25/2002 | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | TRU-CUT, INC | Eliminate use of perchloroethylene by 8/31/2002 | \$40,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$15,490.00 | \$19,510.00 | 17200 award to a non- profit organization working to reduce toxic exposures |
| | CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HEALTH ADVOCATES | <i>Totals</i> | 6 | \$144,370.00 | \$7,000.00 | \$80,017.33 | \$57,352.67 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 01/07/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | KANSTUL MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS | Reduction of perchloroethylene in instrument production | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$18,005.65 | \$11,994.35 | 17200 restitution to CEH |
| 04/25/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | PLAYNATION, INC | Notice to purchasers and cease selling CCA treated product in California | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/14/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | JOHANSEN DIELECTRIC, INC | Emission reductions | \$69,000.00 | \$6,900.00 | \$31,027.00 | \$31,073.00 | 17200 Restitution; \$15,500 to unnamed community based organizations for environmental justice |
| 05/30/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | PLASMA TECHNOLOGY | Warnings | \$25,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$13,377.11 | \$9,122.89 | Restitution pursuant to 17200 |
| 06/11/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | ESSEX GROUP | Retrofitting | \$45,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$23,897.46 | \$20,102.54 | 17200 restitution to CEH |
| 06/20/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | GENEVA SCIENTIFIC dba BARCO PRODUCTS | Reformulation | \$10,400.00 | \$500.00 | \$8,518.90 | \$1,381.10 | 17200 restitution to CEH |
| 08/21/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | SPORTSPLAY EQUIPMENT, INC | Reformulation and pipeline warnings | \$9,500.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 08/21/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | RECREATIONS CREATIONS, INC | Reformulation and letter to retailers and consumers | \$31,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$15,510.18 | \$12,989.82 | To Center for Environmental Health |
| 08/21/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | KLINE MULTIPRODUCTS, INC | Reformulation | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/21/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | FLORIDA PLAYGROUND & STEEL | Reformulation and letter to retailers and consumers | \$7,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$4,861.78 | \$2,138.22 | 17200 restitution |
| 08/22/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | R.J. THOMAS MFG., INC | Reformulation and letter to retailers and consumers | \$40,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$12,783.18 | \$23,216.82 | To Center for Environmental Health |
| 08/22/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | JAMESTOWN ADVANCED PRODUCTS | Reformulation | \$10,400.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$6,550.67 | \$2,849.33 | To Center for Environmental Health |
| 08/26/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | BCI BURKE COMPANY, LLC | Reformulation | \$9,000.00 | \$500.00 | \$6,512.18 | \$1,987.82 | CEH for costs and support of its activities, programs and Proposition 65 Enforcement |
| 09/05/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | BELSON OUTDOORS, INC | Reformulation and warnings | \$32,500.00 | \$3,250.00 | \$16,414.18 | \$12,835.82 | CEH research into alternatives to use of toxic chemicals |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 09/06/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | PETERSEN MFG. COMPANY, INC. | Reformulation and warnings | \$10,000.00 | \$500.00 | \$6,107.25 | \$3,392.75 | CEH research into alternatives to use of toxic chemicals |
| 09/23/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | DOLPHIN ENGINEERING, INC | Elimination of perchloroethylene by 10/1/2002 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/26/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | CREATIVE PLAYTHINGS, LTD | Reformulation | \$27,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$16,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | To CEH for childhood toxic issues |
| 09/26/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | HEDSTROM CORPORATION | Reformulation | \$27,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$16,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | To CEH for childhood toxic issues |
| 10/23/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | BRISTOL-MYERS(Blistex, Inc. & Medibrand Ltd.) | Reformulation | \$75,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$55,000.00 | \$17,500.00 | To CEH for future projects |
| 11/05/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | WINSLOEW FURNITURE, INC | Warnings and reformulation | \$24,000.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$13,312.97 | \$8,287.03 | To CEH for research into alternatives to toxic chemicals |
| 11/15/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | DUMOR, INC | Warnings and reformulation | \$13,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$7,376.78 | \$3,623.22 | CEH research into alternatives to use of toxic chemicals |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 11/15/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | MEL NOTHEY CO., INC | Warnings and reformulation | \$9,500.00 | \$500.00 | \$6,351.78 | \$2,648.22 | CEH research into alternatives to use of toxic chemicals |
| 11/15/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | GROUNDS FOR PLAY, INC | Warnings and reformulation | \$16,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$9,401.78 | \$5,598.22 | CEH research into alternatives to use of toxic chemicals |
| 11/25/2002 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | K INDUSTRIES, INC | Warnings and reformulation | \$6,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$4,614.86 | \$1,385.14 | CEH research into alternatives to use of toxic chemicals |
| | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | <i>Totals</i> | 24 | \$539,300.00 | \$36,550.00 | \$312,123.71 | \$192,126.29 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 08/07/2002 | CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | PARK PLAZA HOTEL - PACIFIC HEIGHTS INN | Warnings | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000 to Consumer Advocacy Group; \$5,000 to proporated to employees of Pacific Heights Inn |
| 09/04/2002 | CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | RADISSON HUNTLEY HOTEL | Warnings | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$7,500.00 | To Consumer Advocacy Group |
| 09/04/2002 | CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | RAMADA WEST HOLLYWOOD | Warning and reduction of smoking rooms | \$13,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,500.00 | \$6,500.00 | To Consumer Advocacy Group |
| 09/04/2002 | CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | EXECUTIVE INN SUITES | Elimination of smoking rooms | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC | <i>Totals</i> | 4 | \$43,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$19,000.00 | \$24,000.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 04/03/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | THE PEP BOYS | Warnings | \$75,000.00 | \$9,000.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$58,500.00 | To Consumer Cause, Inc. for further enforcement activities |
| 04/24/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | BORDERS GROUP; PARKING COMPANY OF AMERICA | Warnings | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | To Consumer Cause, Inc |
| 06/19/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | COUNTRY LIFE, INC | Warnings | \$9,000.00 | \$500.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$4,000.00 | To Consumer Cause, Inc |
| 07/23/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | FOREST TECHNOLOGY CORPOATION, THE CLOROX COMPANY, ET AL | Warnings | \$35,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | To Consumer Cause, Inc. |
| 10/31/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | VONS COMPANIES dba PAVILIONS | Warnings | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 12/18/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | RITE AID CORPORATION; CANADIAN FIRELOG | Warnings | \$26,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$12,075.00 | \$14,425.00 | Consumer Cause, Inc to further Proposition 65 Enforcement |
| | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC | <i>Totals</i> | 6 | \$165,500.00 | \$9,500.00 | \$44,075.00 | \$111,925.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 04/03/2002 | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC (DENNIS MICHAUD) | THE PEP BOYS | Warnings | \$25,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$16,500.00 | To Consumer Cause, Inc. for further enforcement activities |
| | CONSUMER CAUSE, INC (DENNIS MICHAUD) | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$25,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$16,500.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 05/14/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC | Warnings | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | To Consumer Defense Group |
| 05/31/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | WESTERN NATIONAL PROPERTIES, ET AL | Warnings | \$58,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$29,000.00 | \$29,000.00 | To Consumer Defense Group for the purpose of furthering environmental causes |
| 07/09/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | AIMCO; CASDEN PROPERTIES; MAYER MANAGEMENT | Warnings | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$49,000.00 | \$51,000.00 | Charitable donation to Consumer Defense Group |
| 07/13/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES (ARNEL) | Warnings | \$22,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$11,400.00 | \$11,400.00 | To Consumer Defense Group |
| 07/19/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES (WALDEN) | Warnings | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500.00 | To Consumer Defense Group |
| 09/18/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | E&S RING MANAGEMENT | Warnings | \$24,412.50 | \$0.00 | \$11,625.00 | \$12,787.50 | \$11,625.00 charitable donation to Consumer Defense Group and \$1,162.50 to American Cancer Society |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 11/13/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | FPI MANAGEMENT, INC | Warnings | \$72,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$36,000.00 | \$36,000.00 | To Consumer Defense Group for the purpose of furthering environmental causes |
| 11/13/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | TARRAGON REALTY INVESTORS, INC | Warnings | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | To Consumer Defense Group for the purpose of furthering environmental causes |
| 12/11/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | SHEA PROPERTIES AND JF SHEA CO., INC | Warnings | \$28,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$28,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 12/18/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | G&K MANAGEMENT | Warnings | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$16,500.00 | \$13,500.00 | \$10,500 to Consumer Defense Fund; \$3,000 to City of Hope |
| 12/18/2002 | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | LAWRENCE RUBENSTEIN & ASSOCIATES | Warnings | \$7,015.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,015.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP | <i>Totals</i> | 11 | \$387,227.50 | \$0.00 | \$211,040.00 | \$176,187.50 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 03/28/2002 | ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | FETCO INTERNATIONAL, ET AL | Reformulation | \$58,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$29,000.00 | \$29,000.00 | \$14,500 To Rose Foundation; \$14,500 to ERF or other non-profit |
| 03/28/2002 | ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | MEYDA STAINED GLASS STUDIO | Reformulation | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000 To Rose Foundation; \$5,000 to ERF or other non-profit |
| 03/28/2002 | ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | TREND LIGHTING | Reformulation | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$7,500 To Rose Foundation; \$7,500 to ERF or other non-profit |
| 03/28/2002 | ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | KICHLER LIGHTING | Reformulation | \$28,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$14,000.00 | \$14,000.00 | \$7,000 To Rose Foundation; \$7,000 to ERF or other non-profit |
| 05/13/2002 | ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | STAINED GLASS OVERLAY CORPORATION | Warnings | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$22,500.00 | \$22,500.00 | \$11,250 To Rose Foundation; \$11,250 to ERF or other non-profit |
| | ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION | <i>Totals</i> | 5 | \$181,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$90,500.00 | \$90,500.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 09/16/2002 | ENVIRONMENTAL WORLD WATCH | UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO., INC, ET AL | Warnings | \$300,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$260,000.00 | \$40,000.00 | To EWW for its continued efforts in the public interest |
| | ENVIRONMENTAL WORLD WATCH | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$300,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$260,000.00 | \$40,000.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | WESTWOOD-SQUIBB | Warnings | \$60,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | GUY & O'NEILL | Warnings | \$65,000.00 | \$32,500.00 | \$32,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | DOAK PHARMACEUTICALS | Warnings | \$7,800.00 | \$3,900.00 | \$3,900.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | PERSON & COVEY | Warnings | \$16,908.00 | \$0.00 | \$16,908.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | DVM PHARMACEUTICALS | Warnings | \$10,375.00 | \$5,187.50 | \$5,187.50 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | IVAX PHARMACEUTICALS | Warnings | \$7,400.00 | \$3,700.00 | \$3,700.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | DERMIK LABORATORIES, INC | Warnings | \$70,000.00 | \$35,000.00 | \$35,000.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 03/29/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | ALVA-AMCO PHARMACAL COS. | Warnings | \$70,000.00 | \$40,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/13/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | BERGEN BRUNSWIG | Warnings | \$7,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/13/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | LONGS DRUGS & PERRIGO COMPANY | Warnings | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/13/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | FOUR PAWS | Warnings | \$13,700.00 | \$6,850.00 | \$6,850.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/13/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | BENJAMIN ANSEHL COMPANY | Warnings | \$18,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$18,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/13/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | NEUTROGENA CORPORATION | Warnings | \$240,000.00 | \$120,000.00 | \$120,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 05/13/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | HEALTHPOINT LTD. | Warnings | \$44,531.00 | \$22,265.50 | \$22,265.50 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 08/05/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | WALGREEN COMPANY | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/05/2002 | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | REEDCO, INC | Warnings | \$200,000.00 | \$110,000.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | JOINT - ATTORNEY GENERAL/PERRY GOTTESFELD | <i>Totals</i> | 16 | \$846,214.00 | \$409,403.00 | \$436,811.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 06/25/2002 | JOINT - ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION/S.F. CITY ATTORNEY | NIBCO, INC. | Warnings | \$83,000.00 | \$15,667.00 | \$67,333.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | JOINT - ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION/S.F. CITY ATTORNEY | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$83,000.00 | \$15,667.00 | \$67,333.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 02/22/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION | Reformulation | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$80,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | CRLA Foundation/Center on Race, Poverty and the Environment |
| 03/06/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | BLOOMINGDALE'S (BED, BATH & BEYOND) | Warnings | \$60,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$34,000.00 | \$26,000.00 | To Nat. Assn. For Public Interest Law or Golden Gate School of Law, Environmental Law & Justice Clinic |
| 04/02/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | MELNOR, INC | Warnings | \$35,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$7,500 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$7,500 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 04/08/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | HOME DEPOT, U.S.A. | Reformulation | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$12,500.00 | \$12,500.00 | \$6,250 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$6,250 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 04/23/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | ORCHARD SUPPLY HARDWARE (E.A.GRAY) | Reformulation | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$80,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | To CRLA Foundation/Center on Race, Poverty and the Court |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 05/05/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | OLYMPIA GROUP, INC., WAL-MART, TEST-RITE PRODUCTS | Warnings and reformulation | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$12,500 to Califomians for Alternatives to Toxics; \$12,500 to Ecological Rights Foundation |
| 05/16/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | G.E.WIRING DEVICES AND SPECIALTY PRODUCTS, INC | Warnings | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$12,500 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$12,500 to Califomians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 05/16/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | BLOOMINGDALE'S (LINENS 'N THINGS) | Warnings | \$85,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | Center for Ethics and Toxics of the Tides Foundation |
| 06/18/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | BARNETT, INC dba BARNETT OF CALIFORNIA | Warnings and reformulation | \$70,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$12,500 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$12,500 to Califomians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 06/18/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | BLOOMINGDALE'S (GUMP'S) | Warnings | \$45,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$18,000.00 | \$9,000 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$9,000 to Califomians for Alternatives to Toxics |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--|---|--|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 07/08/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | G.E. WIRING DEVICES (NEW ENGLAND POTTERY) | Warnings and reformulation | \$40,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$10,000 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$10,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 08/12/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | KEYS FITNESS PRODUCTS LP, ET AL | Within 90 days lead content less than 200 ppm in a representative sample | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$2,500 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$2,500 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 08/20/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY | Reformulation | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$5,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 09/03/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS, ET AL | Warnings and reformulation | \$1,990,000.00 | \$99,500.00 | \$995,000.00 | \$895,500.00 | Contributions to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics, Ecological Rights Foundation, Tides Foundation, California Institute for Rural Studies, Environmental Protection Information Center, KPFA Radio, California Rural Legal Assistance Program, Golden Gate Environmental Litigation Clinic |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 09/17/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | CAP BARBELL, INC | Reformulation | \$12,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 to Center for Ethics & Toxics (not for litigation) |
| 09/24/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | KOLE IMPORTS | Warnings and reformulation | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$12,500.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$3,750 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$3,750 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 10/21/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | THE SPORTS AUTHORITY | Reformulation | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$12,500.00 | \$12,500.00 | \$6,250 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$6,250 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 11/07/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | MICHIGAN INDUSTRIAL TOOL | Reformulation | \$12,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$5,000.00 | To Center for Environmental Health |
| 11/07/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | THE STANLEY WORKS | Reformulation | \$30,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 11/14/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | NEWELL RUBBERMAID, INC | Reformulation | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000 to KPFA and \$5,000 to Ecological Rights Foundation |
| 12/10/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | USA SPORTS, INC | Reformulation | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$2,500 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics; \$2,500 to Ecological Rights Foundation |
| 12/11/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | STERLING, INC. | Warnings | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$5,000 to Ecological Rights Foundation; \$5,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics |
| 12/18/2002 | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | KURT S. ADLER, INC. | Warnings or reformulation | \$12,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | To Ecological Rights Foundation |
| | MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION | Totals | 23 | \$2,862,500.00 | \$121,500.00 | \$1,549,000.00 | \$1,192,000.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 05/10/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | ONEIDA, LTD. | Warnings | \$16,900.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$12,900.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 07/08/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | INTERVET, INC | Warnings | \$21,700.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$17,700.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 07/19/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | AMERICAN HONDA | Warnings | \$24,000.00 | \$6,000.00 | \$18,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 07/22/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | COBRA ANCHORS, LOWES, INC | Warnings | \$10,075.00 | \$500.00 | \$9,575.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 07/24/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | SHERWIN WILLIAMS; DUPLI-COLOR PRODUCTS CO. | Warnings | \$98,850.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$78,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/05/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | BMW OF NORTH AMERICA | Proposition 65 causes of action dismissed w/o prejudice | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/05/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | SAAB CARS USA, INC | Proposition 65 causes of action dismissed w/o prejudice | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/05/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | VOLKSWAGEN OF NORTH AMERICA, INC | Proposition 65 causes of action dismissed w/o prejudice | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/05/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | DAIMLER CHRYSLER AG | Complaint dismissed w/o predjudice | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 08/05/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA, INC | Proposition 65 causes of action dismissed w/o prejudice | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/15/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | WINFIELD BROOKS COMPANY | Warnings | \$19,100.00 | \$3,600.00 | \$15,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/16/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | GRIZZLY INDUSTRIAL, INC | Warnings | \$13,250.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$12,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/21/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | PLASPLUGS, INC | Warnings | \$18,250.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$13,750.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/04/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | PETCO ANIMAL SUPPLIES, ET AL | Warnings | \$40,800.00 | \$12,000.00 | \$28,800.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/06/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | SUNEX INTERNATIONAL | Warnings | \$13,250.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$12,250.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/06/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | W. ATLEE BURPEE & CO. | Warnings | \$14,800.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$13,800.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/06/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | WALT DISNEY COMPANY | Warnings | \$21,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$18,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/06/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | BOMBAY COMPANY | Warnings | \$13,500.00 | \$600.00 | \$12,900.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 09/17/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | VELLEMAN COMPONENTS | Warnings and reformulation | \$8,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/17/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | GANZ, INC; BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY | Warnings and reformulation | \$36,500.00 | \$6,500.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/18/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | CRAIN CUTTER CO. | Warnings | \$23,500.00 | \$2,750.00 | \$20,750.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/18/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | JEPSON, INC. | Warnings | \$13,250.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$12,250.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/18/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | AMERWAY, INC; GROBERT USA | Warnings | \$19,900.00 | \$1,200.00 | \$18,700.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 09/27/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | ORCHARD SUPPLY HARDWARE | Warnings, reformulations and refunds | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Attorney fees to be determined. |
| 10/02/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | VP RACING FUELS, INC; HAYWARD HONDA | Warnings | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Attorney fees to be determined. |
| 10/04/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | ADAMS APPLE DISTRIBUTING, L.P. | Warning and reformulation | \$23,500.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$18,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 10/07/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | JO-ANN STORES, INC | Warnings | \$29,500.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$24,500.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 10/21/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | AUTOTYPE AMERICAS, INC | Warnings | \$13,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$12,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 10/21/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | CENTURI CORPORATION | Warnings | \$20,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$18,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 10/22/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | SOVERIGN SALES LLC; SEARS, ROEBUCK & CO. | Warnings | \$15,200.00 | \$1,200.00 | \$14,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 10/31/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | CROSCILL, INC | Warnings and reformulation | \$37,615.00 | \$9,615.00 | \$28,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 11/14/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS | Warnings and reformulation | \$22,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$22,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 11/20/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | BYRON ORIGINALS | Warnings | \$15,436.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$14,346.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 11/20/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | THE BELL GROUP | Warnings | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Attorney fees to be determined. |
| 12/05/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | VAUGHAN & BUSHNELL MFG. CO. | Warnings | \$10,800.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$9,800.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 12/06/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | GIFTS.COM | Warnings | \$16,500.00 | \$1,500.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 12/09/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | L'IMAGE HOME PRODUCTS, LTD (SUNBEAM) | Warnings and lead reduction | \$23,500.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$18,500.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 12/18/2002 | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | ADVANTAGE PUBLISHERS GROUP | Warnings | \$5,000.00 | \$500.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | MICHAEL DIPIRRO | <i>Totals</i> | 38 | \$658,676.00 | \$108,965.00 | \$549,521.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 07/29/2002 | NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH | MALM FIREPLACES, INC. ET AL | Cleanup of facility | \$15,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,500.00 | \$0.00 | Mediator fees not reported |
| | NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$15,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,500.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 06/24/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | NEWELL RUBBERMAID, INC | Reformulation | \$20,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 07/11/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | CHAMPION NUTRITION | Warnings | \$15,377.00 | \$12,073.00 | \$1,333.00 | \$1,341.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 07/11/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | UNIVERSAL NUTRITION | Warnings | \$35,772.00 | \$27,120.00 | \$5,400.00 | \$3,252.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 07/11/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | MET-RX, INC | Warnings | \$50,000.00 | \$41,701.00 | \$3,753.00 | \$4,546.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 07/11/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | TWIN LABORATORIES, INC | Warnings | \$27,083.00 | \$22,633.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$2,450.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 08/05/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | WALGREEN | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 08/05/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | REEDCO, INC | | \$200,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | Attorney Fees to Perry Gottesfeld |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 10/03/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | SPORTPHARMA USA, INC | Warnings | \$9,300.00 | \$7,800.00 | \$700.00 | \$800.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 10/03/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | WEIDER NUTRITIONAL GROUP | Warnings | \$70,000.00 | \$58,382.00 | \$5,254.00 | \$6,364.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 10/03/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | MUSCLETECH R & D | Warnings | \$175,000.00 | \$105,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 10/03/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | PHARMALOGIC | Warnings | \$4,000.00 | \$3,336.00 | \$364.00 | \$300.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 10/03/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | M.D. LABS, INC | Warnings | \$29,100.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$1,600.00 | \$2,500.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| 10/03/2002 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | AST SPORT SCIENCES | Warnings | \$80,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | Corrective advertising program |
| | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL | <i>Totals</i> | 13 | \$715,632.00 | \$463,045.00 | \$145,404.00 | \$106,553.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 09/26/2002 | PAUL DOWHAL | PERRIGO COMPANY | New warnings on nicotine products | \$27,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,500.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | PAUL DOWHAL | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$27,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,500.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 03/19/2002 | PHILIP STEINER | SAN LUIS GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB | Warnings | \$9,825.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,825.00 | \$0.00 | |
| | PHILIP STEINER | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$9,825.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,825.00 | \$0.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 09/20/2002 | SANTA CLARA CENTER FOR OCC. SAFETY & HEALTH | AMERICAN XTAL TECHNOLOGY, ET AL | Training and education | \$175,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | To implement health and safety education and training programs for low- income and immigrant workers |
| | SANTA CLARA CENTER FOR OCC. SAFETY & HEALTH | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$175,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanantion of Other Distribution</i> |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 07/17/2002 | WORKING GROUP ON CISC | CLOROX, ET AL | Warnings | \$200,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | To be used by CISC to reduce harm from Listed Chemicals |
| | WORKING GROUP ON CISC | <i>Totals</i> | 1 | \$200,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.

| <i>Date</i> | <i>Plaintiff</i> | <i>Defendant</i> | <i>Injunctive Relief</i> | <i>Total Settlement</i> | <i>Civil Penalty</i> | <i>Attorney Fees Costs</i> | <i>Other Distribution</i> | <i>Explanation of Other Distribution</i> |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <i>Grand Total</i> | | 166 | | \$8,087,344.50 | \$1,213,130.00 | \$4,326,003.84 | \$2,548,890.66 | |

Settlements generally include injunctive relief benefitting the public, such as product reformulation, emission reduction, or warnings. This injunctive relief often is complex, cannot be presented in a summary form, and requires reference to actual settlement documents to accurately assess. This report should not be used by itself to evaluate Proposition 65 settlements.