



To

Name: Trish Gerken, Senior Legal Analyst, Office of the Attorney General
Date: November 5, 2015

From

Name: Brandon Matson, Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce
Contact Number: 310-792-2339

RE: COMMENT ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REGULATIONS CONCERNING PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS BROUGHT BY PRIVATE PARTIES

Advertisement grid with logos for Emerald (Honda), Diamond (Toyota, UCLA Health, ExxonMobil), Platinum (DoubleTree, Providence, Marriott, Kaiser Permanente), and Gold (Daily Breeze, Coca-Cola, ALCCA, Kinecta, All Yellow Taxi, Sunrider, Northrop Grumman, Torrance Museum). Silver section includes logos for South Bay, See's Candies, Malaga Bank, Office Depot, Ignitel, and others.



Trish Gerken
Senior Legal Analyst
Office of the Attorney General
2550 Mariposa Mall, Rm. 5090
Fresno, CA 93721
Fax: (559) 488-7387

RE: COMMENT ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REGULATIONS CONCERNING PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS BROUGHT BY PRIVATE PARTIES

Dear Ms. Gerken;

On behalf of the Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce, I am glad that the Office of the Attorney General is willing to amend a law that has thus far led to frivolous lawsuits, higher costs of selling goods in California, and warnings that are so ubiquitous that they are generally ignored by California residents. We support the Attorney General's proposed amendments to the law and view them as a step in the right direction for California and its consumers.

With the rise of predatory lawyers and groups purporting to act for the public benefit, more and more frivolous lawsuits have been initiated with the goal of settling alleged Prop 65 violations for gain, with little or no money or benefit going to the state of California. We support the proposed amendments requiring that plaintiffs specify the activities to be funded as well as the amount of funding to be used from each settlement payment. Greater scrutiny will help to ensure that funds are used more appropriately and for the benefit of Californians.

We also support limiting the amount of additional settlement payments to the amount of any non-contingent civil penalty. We feel that the amendment could go even further to ensure that the funds were used to benefit California and not just to enrich bounty hunter lawyers and the like. As 75% of civil penalties are required to be provided to the OEHHA, a similar requirement for these additional settlement payments would serve the purpose and intent of Proposition 65 while at the same time discouraging the filing of frivolous, shakedown lawsuits.

We fully support your proposed amendments and thank you for your time and consideration. We look forward to a Proposition 65 that more closely matches its intended goals.

Sincerely,

Donna Duperron
President & CEO

EMERALD
HONDA
The Power of Dreams

DIAMOND
TOYOTA
Making a Difference
UCLA Health
ExxonMobil

PLATINUM
DOUBLETREE
BY HILTON
HOTELS & SUITES

PROVIDENCE
Little Company of Mary
Medical Center
Torrance
Marriott
TORRANCE
SOUTH BAY
KAISER PERMANENTE

GOLD
CONTINENTAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
Daily Breeze **NORTHROP GRUMMAN**
Coca-Cola **ALCOA** **KINECTA** **All Yellow Taxi** **SUNRISE** **TERRACE OF MARIPASA**

SILVER
South Bay **THE ASSOCIATED INSURERS** **California State University Dominguez Hills** **South Bay Yellow Cab Co-op**
See's CANDIES **MALAGA BANK**
Jouma's Furniture **Office DEPOT** **Ignitel** **ESADILUM**
ONLINE AIR PURIFIER