

CALIFORNIA ELECTRONIC INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
to the
Legislature
2015

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Unit

This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://oag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2015.....	3
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2015	4
Table 3: Electronic Interception Court Activity During Calendar Year 2015	5
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2015	6
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	83
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2015	147
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2015.....	197
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years.....	198
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2015.. ..	201
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	203

Executive Summary

The Attorney General's Electronic Interceptions Report 2015, is issued in compliance with Penal Code section 629.62 and can be referenced on the Attorney General's website at: <http://oag.ca.gov>.

Electronic intercepts are legally sanctioned surveillance of electronic communications for law enforcement purposes and continue to be a vital force in the battle against criminal elements. This report contains electronic interception data and describes how electronic intercepts have enhanced the ability of state and federal law enforcement agencies to identify and dismantle drug trafficking organizations (DTO), solve serious and violent crimes and incapacitate criminal street gangs. Multi-national DTO's, criminal street gangs and individuals often use telecommunications to advance their illegal objectives. These illegal objectives often include kidnapping, organized crime, drug trafficking, and murder. Through the use of court authorized electronic intercepts, law enforcement has an effective tool to investigate and prevent crimes. In some cases, electronic intercepts have led to solving decade old crimes and cold cases where no other avenue of investigation has produced results. In other cases, DTO activity was halted or dismantled and millions of dollars in narcotic proceeds as well as voluminous amounts of narcotics were seized.

Several counties provide exemplary examples in this report of the benefits of electronic intercepts:

Los Angeles County (2016-LA-1022) utilized electronic intercepts for an investigation that resulted in the arrest of 20 gang members in connection with multiple murders.

Riverside County (201-RIV-1548) utilized electronic intercepts in an investigation that resulted in the seizure of 350 pounds of methamphetamine.

A San Joaquin County (2015-SJ-66) investigation, which included electronic intercepts, concluded with 36 arrests and the seizure of narcotics proceeds, 30 pounds of methamphetamine and 21 firearms that were destined to be used in criminal activity.

Alameda County (2014-ALA-21) successfully used electronic intercepts in their investigation to dismantle a violent criminal street gang in Oakland and confiscate numerous illegal firearms.

Contra Costa County's (2015-CC-18) use of electronic intercepts allowed them to dismantle a nationwide human trafficking organization that had been in operation for over a decade.

Though the report is divided into separate tables, the tables should be read in conjunction with one another to evaluate the impact of these intercepts. Tables 1, 2, and 3 reflect the statistics of arrest, convictions, nature of intercept applications, and post-intercept court activity. Table 4 contains a description of and optional commentary for each intercept. Table 5 represents compliance with the inventory notice requirement given to those who were subjected to intercepts. Table 6 shows the cost of each intercept. Table 7 lists counties with no intercepts to report. Table 8

provides supplemental information for intercepts approved prior to 2015 but completed later. Finally, Table 9 lists intercept orders which were never installed or never used.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses					Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total
Alameda	31	29	7.3	6	27				13					4	4	1.0
Contra Costa	9	8	4.0	2					6					1	1	0.5
Fresno	2	0													0	
Kern	14	13	6.5			13					1				1	0.5
Los Angeles	134	143	6.0	59	8	26									0	
Merced	38	74	18.3	6	68	15		6		6	3			6	13	3.3
Monterey	13	17	17.0		17	17					8				8	8.0
Orange	31	4	2.0	4	3										0	
Riverside	640	315	2.1			312									0	
Sacramento	1	0													0	
San Bernardino	58	110	6.9	39	75	33		21		9					9	0.6
San Diego	19	32	4.6	1		31		4			16			2	16	2.3
San Joaquin	28	67	33.5	67	67	67				2	2				2	1.0
San Luis Obispo	2	1	1.0			1					1				1	1.0
Santa Barbara	3	10	5.0		10	10									0	
Santa Clara	14	8	6.0			6									0	
Stanislaus	5	13	4.3	9		4									0	
Tulare	46	83	3.6	13	78	55									0	
Ventura	59	35	5.0		9	31		4		1	3			2	4	0.6
Yolo	1	14	14.0		14										0	
Total:	1148	976		206	376	621	0	0	54	0	18	34	0	0	15	59

¹ Any disparity between "Number of Persons Arrested" and "Number of Persons Convicted" may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are pending either changes or trial, a process which could take a period of time, or that the intercept of part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	31	31	19								19		31				
Contra Costa	9	6	4								9		9				
Fresno	2			2							2		2				
Kern	14	4		10							14		14				
Los Angeles	134	24	7	106				1			105		134				
Merced	38		32	38							38		38				
Monterey	13		12	12				1			13		13				
Orange	31	2	1	29							30		31				
Riverside	640	3		635							639		640				
Sacramento	1			1				1			1		1				
San Bernardino	58	7		51							58		58				
San Diego	19	4	2	15				2			19		19	2			2
San Joaquin	28	28	28	27							28		27				
San Luis Obispo	2			2							2		2				
Santa Barbara	3	1	2	2							3	1	3				1
Santa Clara	14	2	2	10				14			14		14				
Stanislaus	5	3		2				1	1		4		5	1			
Tulare	46	17	38	23				4			46		46	1			
Ventura	59	2	24	57							59		59				
Yolo	1		1					1			1		1				

Table 3
Electronic Interception Court Activity
During Calendar Year 2015²

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	31	31				9	9				27	1200	863	28				0
Contra Costa	9	9										270	234	26				0
Fresno	2	2				1	1				30	90	89	45				0
Kern	14	14										420	320	23				0
Los Angeles	134	133	3			87	88	1			30	6524	6328	47				0
Merced	38	38				3	3				30	1230	802	21				0
Monterey	13	13			1	2	2			1	30	450	315	24				0
Orange	31	31				1	1				30	960	751	24				0
Riverside	640	640	1		1	240	237	1		1	30	26400	25040	39				0
Sacramento	1	1										30	11	11				0
San Bernardino	58	57	3		1	23	23			1	30	2430	2186	38				4
San Diego	19	19				9	9				30	840	666	35				1
San Joaquin	28	27		1	1	5	5			1	30	990	771	28				0
San Luis Obispo	2	2				3	3				30	150	123	62				0
Santa Barbara	3	3										90	90	30				0
Santa Clara	14	14	3		2	4	4				20	540	319	23				0
Stanislaus	5	5	1									133	98	20			3	0
Tulare	46	46				11	11				30	1680	1163	25				0
Ventura	59	59	37									1242	1102	19				1
Yolo	1	1										30	10	10				0

² The purpose of obtaining electronic intercept evidence is not always trial-focused and may relate to further investigation at the state or federal levels for crime prevention.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2014-ALA-21	362	22,154	11	89	This wiretap operation targeted a violent criminal street gang in Oakland. As a result of this investigation, arrests of several violent gang members were made and numerous illegal firearms were confiscated. The investigation culminated in the successful prosecution of these criminal street gang members and the reduction of violent crime in the areas most impacted by this gang.
	2014-ALA-23	295	18,871	6.2	94	See Wiretap No. 14-10.
	2014-ALA-25	120	3,259	6	94	See Wiretap No. 14-10
	2014-ALA-26	226	9,537	5	95	See Wiretap No. 14-10.
	2014-ALA-27	183	11,709	2	98	See Wiretap No. 14-10.
	2015-ALA-36	117	9,251	9	91	See Wiretap No. 14-10.
	2015-ALA-37	889	36,701	1	99	This wiretap operation targeted murder suspects. The operation led to the successful arrest of two suspects. Criminal prosecution is pending.
	2015-ALA-38	24	683	9	91	See Wiretap No. 15-16.
	2015-ALA-39	405	9,137	2	98	
	2015-ALA-40	30	2,806	1	99	See Wiretap No. 15-16.
	2015-ALA-41	727	34,698	8	92	This operation targeted a violent criminal street gang operating in Alameda County and resulted in the seizure of firearms and narcotics. It also prevented in-progress residential burglaries. The arrest and incarceration of these gang members also reduced violence between rival gangs.
	2015-ALA-42	369	29,725	3	97	This wiretap operation targeted a violent criminal street gang operating primarily out of Oakland. The operation led to the successful arrest and charging of numerous violent street gang members for such crimes as robbery and unlawful firearm possession and the recovery of several illegal firearms, including assault weapons. Prosecutions are pending.

³ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda (cont'd)	2015-ALA-43	69	1,488	8	92	See Wiretap No. 15-21.
	2015-ALA-44	234	4,357	5	95	Reported in 15-03.
	2015-ALA-45	266	9,035	5	95	See Wiretap No. 15-21.
	2015-ALA-46	247	28,671	2	98	See Wiretap No. 15-21.
	2015-ALA-47	37	81	1	99	See Wiretap No. 15-21.
	2015-ALA-48	63	1,192	12	88	See Wiretap No. 15-21.
	2015-ALA-49	126	3,769	18	82	Reported in 15-03.
	2015-ALA-50	31	574	1	99	Reported in 15-03.
	2015-ALA-51	995	9,416	10	90	Reported in 15-03.
	2015-ALA-52	159	8,442	3	97	Reported in 15-03.
	2015-ALA-53	175	7,886	2	98	This wiretap operation assisted in bringing forth charges for double murder, armed robbery, and unlawful firearms possession.
	2015-ALA-54	165	11,380	3	97	Reported in 15-05.
	2015-ALA-55	235	10,629	3	97	Reported in 15-05.
	2015-ALA-56	44	2,168	1	99	Reported in 15-05.
	2015-ALA-57	269	12,599	1	99	Reported in 15-05.
	2015-ALA-58	252	7,315	3	97	Reported in 15-05.
	2015-ALA-59	55	8,065	2	98	Reported in 15-05.
	2015-ALA-60	101	2,314	7	93	
	2016-ALA-61	203	5,288	3	97	See Wiretap No. 15-21.
	Contra Costa	2015-CC-12				
2015-CC-13						See 2015-CC-12.
2015-CC-14						See 2015-CC-12.
2015-CC-15						See 2015-CC-12.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Contra Costa (cont'd)	2015-CC-16					
	2015-CC-17					
	2015-CC-18	115	1,533	15	85	The interceptions from this wiretap order were vital to dismantling a nationwide human trafficking operation that had been in existence for approximately 13 years. Along with the arrest and incarceration of the main principals in the human trafficking operation, and recovery of victims of the operation, over \$70,000 in narcotic proceeds and assets were seized.
	2015-CC-19	302	6,523	11	89	See 2015-CC-18.
	2015-CC-20	38	2,160	5	95	See 2015-CC-18.
Fresno	2015-FR-32	13	72	18	82	Information gained during this wiretap was used in part to obtain a federal wiretap on the same subjects. This resulted in the indictment of seven people for distribution of methamphetamine.
	2015-FR-33	427	16,966	9	91	The wiretap interception of a drug trafficking organization involved numerous subjects that were known and active gang members and their associates. Law enforcement obtained over three pounds of methamphetamine and two assault rifles. The overall narcotics and firearms removed potentially saved hundreds of lives due to the nature and chemical mixture of the narcotics and weapons being used individually and/or together.
Kern	2016-KE-56	3	150	50	50	This investigation identified a large scale cocaine broker working in the Kern County area. During this wiretap investigation, agents determined the target was directly connected to drug trafficking cartels located in Mexico. This resulted in a multi-state and multi-city investigation.
	2016-KE-57	3	150	50	50	See 2016-KE-56.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2016-KE-58	4	3,812	2	98	This investigation began with a 2014 homicide. Subsequent investigation identified suspects who were communicating with each other around the time of the murder. The wiretap also led to multiple search warrants being executed and evidence of the crime being seized. This case is pending DNA results and will be submitted for prosecution.
	2016-KE-59	4	3,812	2	98	See 2016-KE-57.
	2016-KE-60	4	3,812	2	98	See 2016-KE-57.
	2016-KE-61	1	0	0	0	See 2016-KE-57.
	2016-KE-62	79	906	20	80	This investigation identified the target as a methamphetamine dealer. The initial intended target was another large scale methamphetamine trafficker. Approximately 22 pounds of was seized during the investigation. The target was acquiring or attempting to acquire sizeable quantities during the wire intercept and selling multiple ounce quantities. Two arrests were made during this investigation. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-KE-63	3	502	47	53	This investigation identified a methamphetamine distributor and his methods and means of supply. The wiretap investigation revealed potential co-conspirators as well as their locations and past drug trafficking activity for which some individuals have been convicted. The investigation is on-going and will yield an additional (federal) wiretap of an identified source of supply.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2016-KE-64	11	323	40	60	This multi-county operation resulted in the seizure of three kilos of cocaine and shutting down cocaine and heroin suppliers. In a coordinated effort numerous arrests were made and charges were filed in a short period of time. This resulted in the complete dismantling of the criminal organization and effectively ended the existence of that organization in several counties. This investigation also led to the identification of another criminal entity that had numerous arrests for weapons charges, and for intimidating and interfering with witnesses. Intercepted communications indicated a murder-for-hire attempt. During the search warrants that were conducted, several firearms were recovered along with numerous kilos of cocaine.
	2016-KE-65	11	194	40	60	See 2016-KE-64.
	2016-KE-66	11	33	40	60	See 2016-KE-64.
	2016-KE-67	5	1,683	12.8	87	This investigation identified an individual involved in narcotics trafficking and sales. The investigation also identified co-conspirator(s) who were conducting drug transactions. The evidence obtained from intercepted conversations allowed authorities to shut down a more large-scale operation. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-KE-69	17	76	0	0	Once it was determined that the subject was not directly involved in narcotics sales, the wire intercept was terminated early.
	2016-KE-70	116	1,254	24	76	This investigation identified the target as a methamphetamine dealer. Intelligence and other information were gathered that led to the initiation of several wire intercepts and location requests. At the conclusion of the investigation, a traffic stop was made and six search warrants were executed. This resulted in the seizure of over five kilograms of methamphetamine, six kilograms of marijuana, almost \$6,000 in narcotics proceeds, a vehicle, and six arrests.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles	2015-LA-885	105	4,016	21	79	The investigation is still on-going. Thus far, agents seized three pounds of methamphetamine, identified a drug courier from another state, and several other narcotic traffickers.
	2015-LA-886	20	897	12	88	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to continue identifying additional co-conspirators involved in the transportation and distribution of narcotics in Los Angeles.
	2015-LA-887	28	12,082	21	79	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the additional subject involved in the transportation of narcotics.
	2015-LA-888	33	17,148	30	70	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to identify and locate a new narcotic stash location. Intercepts also led to the seizure of four kilograms of cocaine. The investigation is still on-going.
	2015-LA-889	38	32,150	23	77	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics entering the United States from Mexico and additional distribution points within Los Angeles.
	2015-LA-890	28	1,403	15	85	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics trafficking in Los Angeles. As a result, 20 kilograms of cocaine and over \$120,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized from this target.
	2015-LA-891	45	1,129	22	78	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics in Mexico and Los Angeles County.
	2015-LA-892	86	2,998	10	90	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for money laundering. The intercepted communication allowed investigators to identify how the money was being moved outside of the country.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-894		7,984	12	88	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics entering the United States from Mexico and additional distribution points within Los Angeles.
	2015-LA-895	12	2,835	14	86	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics entering the United States from Mexico, and additional distribution points within Los Angeles.
	2015-LA-896	9	638	12	88	Wiretap interceptions from 15-67 have provided investigators with several leads that have assisted with the identification of a narcotics distribution cell operating in Mexico and the Southern California area.
	2015-LA-897	12	590	8	91	
	2015-LA-898	39	563	4	96	The interception led to intelligence regarding a larger drug trafficking organization associated with this investigation.
	2015-LA-900	3	17,004	2	98	This investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps (14-148; 14-148 ext. 1; 15-01; 15-01 ext. 1; 15-10; 15-10 ext. 1; and 15-25). The gang leadership and several of the most violent members were charged with gang-related felony offenses, including homicide, as a result of the wiretap investigation. The gang was severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have decreased dramatically.
	2015-LA-902	204	2,051	4	96	The interception led to intelligence regarding a larger drug trafficking organization associated with this investigation.
	2015-LA-905		1,131	3	97	As related to wiretap 15-20, an investigation into multiple murders committed on separate occasions resulted in a determination that one person was responsible. Ultimately, there were four persons arrested for their involvement in the crimes.
	2015-LA-907		125	15	85	See 2015-LA-905.
	2015-LA-908	18	1,845	14	86	No seizures were made based on this intercept.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-909	39	563	4	96	The interception led to intelligence regarding a larger drug trafficking organization associated with this investigation.
	2015-LA-910	24	725	32	68	
	2015-LA-914	37	3,229	8	92	Wiretap interceptions from 15-92 have provided investigators with several leads that have assisted with the identification of a narcotics distribution cell operating in Mexico and Southern California. There have been two related arrests. Prosecution will be sought at a later date.
	2015-LA-915		0			The target telephone was not used by the subject during the period of interception, and no interceptions were obtained.
	2015-LA-916	5	512	6	94	
	2015-LA-918	45	1,512	14	86	The interception led to intelligence regarding a larger drug trafficking organization associated with this investigation.
	2015-LA-919	73	73,797	11	89	Pertinent communications were intercepted with interdiction attempts, but no seizures have been made yet. This investigation is still on-going.
	2015-LA-920	41	8,844	18	72	Pertinent communications were intercepted with interdiction attempts, but no seizures have been made yet. This investigation is still on-going.
	2015-LA-921	37	176	15	85	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to identify and locate a new stash location. Intercepts also led to the seizure of over \$260,000 in narcotic proceeds and one kilogram of cocaine. Arrest warrants will be sought at the completion of this investigation.
	2015-LA-922	65	355	7	93	Pertinent communications were intercepted, but no seizures were made. This investigation is still on-going.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-925	6	3,878	23	77	Interceptions led to the seizure of approximately \$207,000 in narcotics proceeds and identification of the subject using the target telephone. The investigation is still on-going. An arrest warrant will be sought for the subject at the conclusion of this investigation.
	2015-LA-926	34	14,764	6	94	Pertinent communications were intercepted with interdiction attempts, but no seizures were made. This investigation is still on-going.
	2015-LA-927	0	0			The wiretap was installed but no communications were intercepted during the first 10 days. Monitoring ceased for this line. The investigation is still on-going.
	2015-LA-928	44	3,050	20	80	The target dropped the phone line, however, additional intercepts were initiated as a result of this intercept. The investigation is still on-going..
	2015-LA-929	54	1,200	40	60	The target dropped the phone line, however, this intercept has led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going..
	2015-LA-930	100	1,200	61	39	The target dropped the phone line, however, this intercept has led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going..
	2015-LA-931	111	18,853	10	90	The operation targeted six locations and eight drug trafficking organization members two counties. The execution of arrest and search warrants was coordinated based on real-time intelligence developed the intercepts. Eight arrests were made and approximately 14 pounds of methamphetamine, two kilos of heroin, six firearms, six vehicles, and \$70,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2016-LA-932	18	968	5	95	One subject was arrested and approximately 10 pounds methamphetamine, seven pounds of heroin, one pound cocaine, 14 pounds marijuana, one firearm and \$30,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2016-LA-933	34	1,174			The line was dropped shortly after it was installed.
	2016-LA-934	120	41,894	64	36	No significant events to be reported as a result of this interception.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-936	22	125	3	97	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional wiretaps. The investigation is still on-going..
	2016-LA-938	113	5,247	69	31	The intercepts identified target subjects and co-conspirators involved in a drug trafficking organization operating in Los Angeles County and the surrounding area. The investigation in conjunction with other counties resulted in additional wiretap applications, several arrests, and the seizure of large quantities of methamphetamine.
	2016-LA-940	250	350	83	17	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception has led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-941	20	897	12	88	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to continue identifying additional co-conspirators involved in the transportation and distribution of narcotics in Los Angeles.
	2016-LA-942	110	1,500	62	38	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is on-going.
	2016-LA-943	38	32,150	23	77	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics entering the United States from Mexico and additional distribution points within Los Angeles.
	2016-LA-944	62	37,429	33	77	The intercepted communications led to the seizure of eight kilograms of cocaine and over \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds. Interceptions further identified additional targets within the drug trafficking organization. Arrest warrants will be sought at the conclusion of the investigation.
	2016-LA-945	12	90	17	83	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception has yielded an additional wiretap. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-947	124	44,419	63	37	The wire interceptions led to several additional wiretaps and seizures of cocaine within Los Angeles County.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-948	51	21,575	21	79	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics entering the United States from Mexico and additional distribution points within Los Angeles.
	2016-LA-949	93	3,987	28	72	The intercepts identified target subjects and co-conspirators involved in a drug trafficking organization operating in Los Angeles County and the surrounding Southern California area. The original wiretap resulted in additional wiretap applications. The investigation resulted in several arrests and the seizure of quantities of methamphetamine, heroin, and cocaine.
	2016-LA-952	92	3,102	18	82	The intercepts over this target telephone led to the seizure of approximately 24 pounds of and 35 kilograms of cocaine. At the completion of this investigation arrest warrants will be sought for the subjects involved.
	2016-LA-953	3	17,004	2	98	The investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps (14-148, 14-148 extension #1, 15-01, 15-01 extension #1, and 15-25). The gang leadership and several of the most violent members were charged with gang-related felony offenses, including homicides, as a result of the wiretap investigation. The gang was severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have decreased dramatically. Criminal proceedings have begun and trials are pending.
	2016-LA-954	93	3,987	28	72	The intercepts identified target subjects and co-conspirators involved in a drug trafficking organization operation in Los Angeles County and surrounding Southern California areas. The original wiretap resulted in additional wiretap applications. The investigation resulted in several arrests and the seizure of large quantities of methamphetamine, heroin and cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-955	54	1,200	37	63	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-956	3	4,599	4	96	The investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps (14-148, 14-148 extension #1, 15-01, 15-01 extension #1, 15-10, 15-10 extension #1, and 15-25). The gang leadership and several of the most violent members were charged with gang related felony offenses including homicides as a result of the wiretap investigation. The gang was severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have decreased dramatically.
	2016-LA-957	49	2,712	31	69	The intercepts identified target subjects and co-conspirators involved in a drug trafficking operation in Los Angeles County and surrounding Southern California areas. The original wiretap resulted in additional wiretap applications. The investigation resulted in several arrests and the seizure of large quantities of methamphetamine, heroin and cocaine.
	2016-LA-958	112	1,632	65	35	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is on-going.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-959	1,073	1,931	38	62	The intercepts identified target subjects and co-conspirators involved in a Mexico-based drug trafficking organization with distribution and transportation routes between several counties. The investigation resulted in additional wiretaps. The overall investigation dismantled a Los Angeles County based methamphetamine source of supply network that supplied drugs to a distribution network in another county. Intelligence related to Central and Northern California targets developed during the Los Angeles County wiretap were forwarded to Central and Northern California law enforcement agencies for follow-up. Based on that intelligence, several Central California narcotic taskforces arrested several major drug traffickers in their jurisdictions.
	2016-LA-960	1	3,300	2	98	The investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps (14-148, 14-148 extension #1, 15-01, 15-01 extension #1, 15-10, 15-10 extension #1, and 15-25). The gang leadership and several of the most violent members were charged with gang related felony offenses including homicide as a result of the wiretap investigation. The gang was severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have decreased dramatically. Criminal proceedings have begun and trials are pending.
	2016-LA-961	100	1,200	61	39	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-962	110	1,500	62	38	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-963	17	7,984	12	88	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the sources of supply for narcotics entering the United States from Mexico and additional distribution points within Los Angeles.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-964	38	1,251	7	93	The operation targeted one location and four drug trafficking organizational members in Los Angeles County. The search warrants were coordinated based on real-time intelligence developed by the intercepts. The execution of the warrants resulted in the seizure of approximately four pounds of methamphetamine.
	2016-LA-966	29	12,192	46	54	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the source of supply for narcotics. The intercepted communication allowed investigators to identify how the narcotics were being smuggled from Mexico into the United States.
	2016-LA-967	250	290	12	88	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-968	111	18,853	10	90	The operation targeted six locations and eight drug trafficking members in two counties. The execution of arrest and search warrants was coordinated based on a real-time intelligence developed from intercepts. The execution of the warrants resulted in eight arrests and the seizure of approximately 14 pounds of methamphetamine, two kilograms of heroin, six firearms, six vehicles, and \$70,000 in narcotics proceeds. All subjects are awaiting judicial proceedings.
	2016-LA-969	4	8	25	75	The operation targeted drug traffickers in Los Angeles County. The target was in the process of dropping his phone and did not use it as a primary number. No arrests or seizures were made as a result of this interception.
	2016-LA-970	87	2,818	76	24	The interceptions on this wire led to the seizure of six kilograms of cocaine. An arrest warrant will be sought at the conclusion of this investigation.
	2016-LA-971	1,377	1,290	73	27	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is on-going.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-973	20,687	39,735	1	99	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the source of supply for narcotics and identify how the narcotics were being smuggled from Mexico into the United States.
	2016-LA-974	86	39,625	63	37	The interceptions over this wiretap led to the seizure of 34 kilograms of cocaine, 21 kilograms of heroin, and over \$155,000 in narcotics proceeds. This investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-975	10	521	6	94	The operation targeted one location and one drug trafficking member. The target of the investigation was part of a multi-kilogram and multi-pound cocaine and methamphetamine trafficking organization. At the time of interception, the target was not very active.
	2016-LA-976	490	23,214	5	95	The targets were involved in a conspiracy to commit murder, multiple attempted murders, robbery and possession of assault weapons.
	2016-LA-977	86	1,290	73	27	The target dropped the phone line, however, this interception led to additional intercepts. The investigation is still on-going..
	2016-LA-978	6	925	43	57	The intercepted communications allowed investigators to further identify the source of supply for narcotics. Most of the intercepted communication related to out-of-state activity.
	2016-LA-979	136	113,169	43	57	The wire interceptions led to seizure of approximately \$500,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2016-LA-980	35	47,097	10	90	Pertinent communications were intercepted, but no seizures were made. This investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-981	5	273	0	100	There was no pertinent intercepted communication on this line. It is believed the target got a new telephone prior to the activation of this wiretap. The wiretap was deactivated due to inactivity.
	2016-LA-982	18	40,898	11	89	Although interceptions did not result in any seizures, it led investigators to additional targets within the organization.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-983	0	0			Wiretap was installed, but no communications were intercepted during the first 10 days. Investigators believe the target subject stopped using the cellular phone prior to installation. This investigation is still on-going.
	2016-LA-984	105	4,841	12	88	There were many technical problems intercepting calls and text messages from the target, severely limiting law enforcement's ability to conduct enforcement operations. However, agents were able to intercept a suspicious package sent by a target to a location out-of-state. This resulted in the seizure of approximately one pound of crystal .
	2016-LA-985	146	5,812	22	78	The case is still active.
	2016-LA-986	134	3,768	13	87	The case is still active.
	2016-LA-987	45	2,708	12	88	The interceptions led to the full identification of narcotics traffickers operating in Los Angeles County, and their methods used to traffic narcotics into and through the United States.
	2016-LA-988	35	510	42	58	The interceptions led to the full identification of narcotics traffickers operating in Los Angeles County, and their methods used to traffic narcotics into and through the United States.
	2016-LA-989		2,262	77	23	
	2016-LA-990	157	2,387	38	62	
	2016-LA-991	20	832	40	60	The interceptions led to the full identification of narcotics traffickers operating in Los Angeles County, and their methods used to traffic narcotics into and through the United States.
	2016-LA-993	1	3	0	100	The case is still active.
	2016-LA-994	248	2,037	4	96	The case is closed. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-995	1,173	2,294	12	88	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-996	19	33	1	99	The case is closed. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-997	8	10	0	100	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-998	73	1,369	11	89	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-999	84	79,427	6	94	The interception of telephones led to the identification key members in the drug trafficking organization.
	2016-LA-1000	52	12,606	38	62	Based on information acquired during the course of this wiretap, approximately 6.5 gross kilograms of crystal methamphetamine were seized and one individual was arrested. Since the date of the seizure, two other individuals have been identified and are pending arrest and prosecution. In addition, the principal target has been arrested and two pounds of crystal methamphetamine were seized.
	2016-LA-1002	321	7,054	43	57	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1003	11	100	37	63	Based on information acquired during the course of this wiretap, approximately 6.5 gross kilograms of crystal methamphetamine were seized and one individual was arrested. Since the date of the seizure, two other individuals have been identified and are pending arrest and prosecution. In addition, the principal target has been arrested and two pounds of crystal methamphetamine were seized.
	2016-LA-1004	12	38	0	100	The case is still active.
	2016-LA-1005	92	1,269	24	76	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1006	74	471	46	54	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1007	135	3,281	6	94	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1008	182	5,416	25	75	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1009	112	8,961	24	76	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1010	42	300	28	72	The case is on-going. No arrests were made.
	2016-LA-1011	0	6	0	100	No incriminating calls were intercepted.
	2016-LA-1013	53	886	5	95	The targets were involved in a conspiracy to commit murder. Two suspects have been arrested, and the case has been filed.
	2016-LA-1014	768	48,757	5	95	The targets were involved in a conspiracy to commit murder. Two suspects have been arrested, and the case has been filed.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1015	63	2,283	26	74	The interceptions directly led to the arrest of two individuals, the seizure of two pounds of methamphetamine, two ounces of cocaine, and the identification of other co-conspirators. Law enforcement was able to intercept conversations related to a possible conspiracy to commit murder and/or possible murder and were able to prevent such event(s).
	2016-LA-1016	495	26,628	3	97	The targets were involved in a conspiracy to commit murder. Three suspects have been arrested and the case has been filed. Another is being extradited from outside the country.
	2016-LA-1017	89	3,576	9	91	The targets were involved in a conspiracy to commit murder. Two suspects have been arrested, and the case has been filed.
	2016-LA-1018	451	33,576	15	85	The intercepts were extremely valuable in identifying targets and co-conspirators involved in the distribution of narcotics, firearms trafficking, multiple conspiracies to commit murder, and extortion for the benefit of the Mexican Mafia. Five suspects were arrested during the course of the investigation. This investigation indictment, however it is anticipated as many as 29 people could be arrested.
	2016-LA-1019	163	2,307	23	87	The intercepts were extremely valuable in identifying targets and co-conspirators involved in the distribution of narcotics, firearms trafficking, multiple conspiracies to commit murder, and extortion for the benefit of the Mexican Mafia. Five suspects were arrested during the course of the investigation. This investigation indictment, however it is anticipated as many as 29 people could be arrested.
	2016-LA-1020		0			The agents did not receive interceptions during this period. Information gained from previous interceptions of this line allowed agents to arrest the primary targets of this investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1021	286	2,760	18	82	The intercepts were extremely valuable in identifying targets and co-conspirators involved in the distribution of narcotics, firearms trafficking, multiple conspiracies to commit murder, and extortion for the benefit of the Mexican Mafia. Five suspects were arrested during the course of the investigation. This investigation indictment, however it is anticipated as many as 29 people could be arrested.
	2016-LA-1022	4	17,891	28	72	The investigation related to multiple gang-related murder investigations. The investigation uncovered narcotic activity and connections with criminal activity throughout the United States and Central America. The investigation led to the arrest of 20 gang members in connection with murders, conspiracy to distribute narcotics and firearms, attempted murders and other gang-related crimes. The intercepts led to the arrest of seven suspects for one murder, and the arrest of three suspects for another murder. The intercepts also helped to uncover leads to four additional murders.
	2016-LA-1024	1	5,196	9	91	This investigation targeted a specific cold case murder, and an arrest was made.
	2016-LA-1025	2	17,001	25	75	The investigation related to multiple gang-related murder investigations. The investigation uncovered narcotic activity and connections with criminal activity throughout the United States and Central America. The investigation led to the arrest of 20 gang members in connection with murders, conspiracy to distribute narcotics and firearms, attempted murders and other gang-related crimes. The intercepts led to the arrest of seven suspects for one murder, and the arrest of three suspects for another murder. The intercepts also helped to uncover leads to four additional murders.
	2016-LA-1026	164	20,920	32	68	The wiretap assisted in identifying various high-level targets operating with/for the Sinaloa drug cartel in Mexico that is responsible for the distribution of narcotics in the United States.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1028	3	3,793	26	74	The investigation related to multiple gang-related murder investigations. The investigation uncovered narcotic activity and connections with criminal activity throughout the United States and Central America. The investigation led to the arrest of 20 gang members in connection with murders, conspiracy to distribute narcotics and firearms, attempted murders and other gang-related crimes. The intercepts led to the arrest of seven suspects for one murder, and the arrest of three suspects for another murder. The intercepts also helped to uncover leads to four additional murders.
	2016-LA-1029	739	23,723	5	95	The target subjects were involved in a double murder.
	2016-LA-1030	262	13,231	3	97	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1031	149	10,199	3	97	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1032	554	18,761	7	93	The target subjects were involved in a double murder.
	2016-LA-1033	58	1,192	12	88	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1035	392	9,967	3	97	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1036	16	1,102	1	99	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1037	114	6,442	2	98	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1038	40	1,292	0	100	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1039	30	2,437	0	100	See 2016-LA-1029.
	2016-LA-1040	15	216	30	70	The operation targeted one location and four subjects. The execution of search warrants was coordinated based on real-time intelligence developed the intercepts. The execution of the warrants resulted in the seizure of approximately four pounds of methamphetamine.
	2016-LA-1041	0	0			This case is on-going. No arrests have been made.
	2016-LA-1042	61	1,897	27	73	This case is on-going. No arrests have been made.
2016-LA-1043	186	7,325	12	88	This case is on-going. No arrests have been made.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1046	136	14,796	35	65	This wiretap identified communication devices used by various Mexico-based sources of supply responsible for the importation of narcotics into the Los Angeles area.
Merced	2015-MER-28	104	9,158	15	85	This intercept and its related intercepts were instrumental in removing some of the highest ranking and most violent criminal street gang members from the community. Multiple violent crimes, including a homicide, were solved using these intercepts. Over three pounds of cocaine, two pounds of heroin, nine pounds of methamphetamine and approximately \$75,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized. All inventory reports, arrests, personnel and costs for Applications 1 - 31 are included in this application.
	2016-MER-29	50	796	4	96	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-30	82	4,946	11	89	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-31	144	13,238	12	88	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-32	96	2,730	6	94	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-33	92	5,281	14	86	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-34	12	1,759	13	87	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-35	112	4,188	5	95	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-36	161	12,268	16	84	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-37	183	6,977	13	87	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-38	10	759	1	99	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-39	16	1,368	12	88	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-40	55	2,130	20	80	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-41	68	4,107	9	91	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-42	165	8,642	16	84	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-43	0	1,146	0	100	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-44	43	1,634	10	90	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-45	23	3,302	6	94	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-46	41	3,082	12	88	See 2015-MER-28.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Merced (cont'd)	2016-MER-47	49	791	27	73	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-48	72	4,067	14	86	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-49	52	4,101	5	95	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-50	77	2,540	12	88	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-51	108	2,663	4	96	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-52	65	1,622	12	88	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-53	98	4,304	15	85	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-54	34	4,521	8	92	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-55	53	3,137	17	83	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-56	26	1,419	5	95	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-57	29	888	14	86	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-58	52	1,175	11	89	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-59	14	10,607	3	97	See 2015-MER-28.
	2016-MER-60	105	2,367	34	66	The operation is on-going.
	2016-MER-61	247	10,676	8	92	The operation is on-going.
2016-MER-62	17	140	54	46	The operation is on-going.	
2016-MER-63	323	12,086	15	85	The operation is on-going.	
2016-MER-64	83	2,972	23	77	The operation is on-going.	
2016-MER-65	83	6,086	11	89	The operation is on-going.	
Monterey	2015-MTY-24	27	1,302	58	32	This was a major distribution ring. As a result of the wiretap, 13 weapons, 16 pounds of methamphetamine, three pounds of marijuana, 15 glass bottles of liquid marijuana, a vehicle, cell phones and computers, and \$25,650 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-MTY-25	46	1,057	14	86	
	2015-MTY-26	227	2,962	10	90	
	2015-MTY-27	115	5,162	28	72	
	2015-MTY-28	80	2,650	76	24	
	2015-MTY-29	63	3,616	38	62	
2015-MTY-30	36	573	40	60		
2015-MTY-31	29	580	38	62		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Monterey (cont'd)	2015-MTY-32	2	4,647	31	69	
	2015-MTY-33	105	7,774	13	87	
	2015-MTY-34	76	1,253	16	84	
	2015-MTY-35		919	46	54	
	2015-MTY-36	0	0			Installed but not used.
Orange	2015-OR-89	0	384	0	100	All calls were either incomplete (unanswered calls), short voicemails by unidentified callers, or text messages with no or limited text messages.
	2015-OR-90	45	438	25	75	This wiretap resulted in interceptions which led to the arrest of three gang members. The interception documented the conspiracy and attempt to commit murder for the benefit of the gang.
	2015-OR-91	18	85	11	89	This wiretap had limited success. The target subject was intercepted discussing narcotics activity, but then discontinued use of the phone after several days.
	2015-OR-92	52	2,149	26	74	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify drug trafficking members and seize significant amounts of illegal controlled substances.
	2015-OR-93	79	4,756	22	88	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify multiple drug traffickers and seize large quantities of controlled substances.
	2015-OR-94	16	421	25	75	This wiretap was nominally successful and part of a larger federal multi-county investigation.
	2015-OR-95	99	5,444	23	77	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify drug traffickers and seize controlled substances.
	2015-OR-96	1	264	0	100	No incriminating interceptions were documented.
	2015-OR-97	87	5,638	48	52	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify several drug traffickers and seize controlled substances.
2015-OR-98	96	1,466	24	76	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify multiple drug traffickers and seize controlled substances.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2015-OR-99	35	307	65	35	Interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-100	78	1,372	39	61	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-101	294	4,951	28	72	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers and seize controlled substances.
	2015-OR-102	128	2,192	12	82	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-103	133	2,595	43	57	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers and seize controlled substances.
	2015-OR-104	0	0	0	0	There were no intercepts from this order.
	2015-OR-105	65	853	75	25	The intercepts enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers and seize controlled substances.
	2015-OR-106	137	2,686	48	52	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-107	26	612	20	80	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers and seize illegal controlled substances.
	2015-OR-108	16	649	14	86	This interception was a spin off from another intercept. Limited incriminating conversations were intercepted. No significant events to report.
	2015-OR-109	7	172	10	90	Though the interception was terminated early due to non-use of the phone, the interception allowed law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-110	212	3,559	40	60	This order and a related order allowed law enforcement to identify and intercept drug traffickers and seize over 70 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-OR-111	101	2,272	5	95	The interception enable law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-112	35	2,522	2	98	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2015-OR-113	56	1,644	30	70	The interception was terminated early. No significant events to report as a result of this intercept.
	2015-OR-114	105	7,300	14	86	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-115	20	1,296	48	52	The interception was terminated early when the target discarded the phone. No significant events to report as a result of this intercept.
	2015-OR-116	52	7,067	2	98	The interception enabled law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-117	26	1,903	7	93	The interception enable law enforcement to identify other drug traffickers.
	2015-OR-118	26	1,903	7	93	The interception was terminated early due to the subjects abandoning their phones. Limited evidence was obtained.
	2015-OR-119	3	242	100	0	This wiretap was used to intercept a suspect and two associates in a cold case homicide. The interceptions enabled law enforcement to obtain incriminating and impeaching statements. The case is still pending with no trial date set.
Riverside	2014-RIV-1318	70	2,120	17	83	As a result of the intercept, \$650,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2014-RIV-1391	617	29,858	26	74	As a result of the intercept, approximately \$110,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized, and agents were also able to identify additional target subjects within the Mexico-based drug trafficking organization.
	2014-RIV-1397	419	22,492	5	95	This wiretap interception resulted in the seizure of \$150,000 in narcotics proceeds, and one arrest was made.
	2014-RIV-1422	255	9,516	10	90	This wiretap interception order assisted agents in their investigation and resulted in the seizure of \$209,870 in narcotics proceeds and one arrest.
	2014-RIV-1450	32	11,688	20	80	During this wiretap interception period, agents seized 71 kilos of cocaine and 76 kilos of heroin.
	2014-RIV-1454	47	823	35	65	Narcotics proceeds were seized in the amount of \$150,000 from this wiretap interception order.
	2014-RIV-1465	137	7,330	5	95	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1469	19	858	37	63	During this reporting period agents seized 76 kilos of cocaine and 91 kilos of heroin.
	2014-RIV-1531	559	21,641	6	94	This intercept led to one arrest and the seizure of \$99,940 in narcotics proceeds.
	2014-RIV-1532	22	3,565	56	44	
	2014-RIV-1536	276	84,047	28	72	The interception led investigators to identify other associates and stash locations as well as the seizure of \$23,000 in narcotics proceeds, 12 kilos of Fentanyl, and 28 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2014-RIV-1537	30	529	14	86	
	2014-RIV-1543	113	6,147	10	90	There were no significant events or results to report as a result of this interception.
	2014-RIV-1547	13	385	5	95	The interception led to the identification of co-conspirators, sources of large quantities of methamphetamine.
	2014-RIV-1548	125	6,454	12	88	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of 350 pounds of methamphetamines, \$50,000 in narcotics proceeds and one arrest.
	2014-RIV-1556	5	952	29	71	No significant events or results to report; investigators continue to gather information over the wire intercepts regarding the drug trafficking organization's illegal activities.
	2014-RIV-1562	223	9,587	15	85	During this interception investigators seized approximately \$900,000 in narcotics proceeds and five kilos of cocaine and 12 pounds of methamphetamine. Wire communications led to identifying other target telephones and target subjects.
	2014-RIV-1564	19	5,128	18	82	Intercepted communications led to the arrests of two street level large quantity heroin retailers and the seizure of more than 500 grams of black tar heroin.
	2014-RIV-1566	172	8,211	37	63	Intercepted communications have assisted law enforcement agencies with on-going investigations into the drug trafficking organization.
	2014-RIV-1567	64	3,476	48	52	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1578	375	9,320	37	63	During this interception period, communications led to other target telephone and subjects and the seizure of approximately five kilos of cocaine and 22 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2014-RIV-1580	262	836	7	93	No significant results to report at this time.
	2014-RIV-1583	93	13,284	3	97	Agents seized approximately 80 kilos of cocaine as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2014-RIV-1584	8	393	30	70	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. This investigation is on-going.
	2014-RIV-1585	9	180	22	78	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. This investigation is still on-going.
	2014-RIV-1588	149	18,705	30	70	The wiretap interception order assisted law enforcement with leads to drug trafficking in transit to Mexico. It also led to the identification of other sources and the seizure of \$87,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2014-RIV-1590	19	265	41	59	
	2014-RIV-1592	32	1,239	7	93	The interception of this target telephone resulted in the seizure of \$112,670 in narcotics proceeds.
	2014-RIV-1593	54	16,029	17	83	
	2014-RIV-1594	82	2,973	15	85	
	2014-RIV-1595	139	15,584	17	83	No significant events or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1596		0			
	2014-RIV-1597	13	820	35	65	
	2014-RIV-1598	49	6,866	89	11	The interception of telephones led to the identification of other members within the drug trafficking organization.
	2014-RIV-1599	1	24	0	100	
	2014-RIV-1600	27	6,469	27	73	The interception of this telephone allowed agents to gather intelligence which was used to obtain intercept orders on higher ranking members of the organization.
	2014-RIV-1601	44	17,022	19	81	Two target telephone numbers were combined. No significant results or events to report.
	2014-RIV-1602	12	24	13	87	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1603	294	7,978	5	95	
	2014-RIV-1604	24	4,402	2	98	No arrests or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2014-RIV-1605	30	289	47	53	
	2014-RIV-1606	7	447	49	51	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1607	100	2,488	22	78	As a result of this wiretap interception order, investigators seized \$28,990 in narcotics proceeds and 18 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2014-RIV-1608	29	2,040	46	54	Agents seized approximately \$710,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2014-RIV-1609	595	5,261	24	76	No significant results or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1610	200	5,478	17	83	No significant results or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1611	70	3,270	26	74	
	2014-RIV-1612	12	50	8	92	No significant results or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1613	12	2,281	5	95	One of the target telephones led to the arrest of two subjects for drug offenses. Agents also seized approximately \$53,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2014-RIV-1614	1	78	2	98	The target subject discontinued using the telephone. The investigation continues.
	2014-RIV-1615	5	1,212	1	99	No significant results or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1616	320	7,720	51	49	No significant results or activity to report.
	2014-RIV-1617	47	1,104	15	85	During this wiretap investigation, agents seized approximately 20 kilos of cocaine, 7 kilos of heroin, 1,150 pounds of methamphetamine and \$230,000 in narcotics proceeds. Wire communications also led to other target telephones and identification of other subjects.
	2014-RIV-1618	32	3,982	17	83	As a result of this wiretap interception, there were two arrests, and seizure of a stolen assault rifle, a pistol, and four pounds of methamphetamine .
	2014-RIV-1619	1	177	0	100	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1620	8	42	86	14	The intercept led to a drug-related arrest, and the seizure of four kilograms of cocaine.
	2014-RIV-1621	74	2,875	41	59	The intercept led to the seizure of approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine, \$8,000 in narcotics proceeds, and the arrest of a subject. It also led to another co-conspirator.
	2014-RIV-1622	109	3,838	61	39	Due to lack of subscribing information, no persons were identified.
	2014-RIV-1623	11	1,416	2	98	These target subjects discontinued use of their cell phones. This investigation continues.
	2014-RIV-1624	263	3,578	34	66	There were four target telephone numbers. No significant results or activity to report at this time.
	2014-RIV-1625	60	6,504	22	78	No significant events or results to report.
	2014-RIV-1626	22	1,607	43	57	As a result of the wiretap intercept, agents were able to identify new target telephones and one possible narcotic stash location.
	2015-RIV-1627	158	11,372	11	89	As a result of the wiretap interceptions, agents were able to identify suspects and potential witnesses to a homicide that occurred several years ago. Two suspects were arrested for murder; both of which are documented members of a local criminal street gang.
	2015-RIV-1628	0	0	0	0	No significant results or activity to report at this time.
	2015-RIV-1629	74	1,387	55	45	
	2015-RIV-1630	0	0	0	0	Inactivity of this target telephone led to the early termination and discontinued efforts on this subject. No significant results or activity to report.
	2015-RIV-1631	18	120	85	15	This wiretap interception order led to the seizure of \$50,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1632	176	7,137	11	89	The interceptions assisted in the seizure of \$741,860 in narcotics proceeds and approximately 46 pounds of marijuana.
	2015-RIV-1633	18	2,420	5	95	See 2015-RIV-1633.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1634	28	58,232	40	60	As a result of this wiretap interception, agents seized 26.2 kilos of heroin, 474.28 kilos of cocaine, 8.55 kilos methamphetamine and \$539,202 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1635	161	30,498	73	27	The interception led agents to the identify other key members within the drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-1636	13	105	46	54	As a result of the wire intercept, approximately 6.9 ounces of brown powdered heroin, one gram of cocaine, and \$14,076 was seized.
	2015-RIV-1637	207	8,510	10	90	
	2015-RIV-1638	114	17,624	19	81	No subscriber Information was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1639	58	3,134	70	30	As a result of the wiretap intercept, 106 kilos of narcotics was seized while en route to another country.
	2015-RIV-1641	1	34	0	100	The target subjects discontinued use of their phones. No significant activities or events to report.
	2015-RIV-1642	12	1,377	6	94	The target subjects discontinued use of their phones. No subscribers were identified.
	2015-RIV-1643	48	31,596	16	84	No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1644	78	9,465	38	62	
	2015-RIV-1645	9	2,557	15	85	One and a half pounds of methamphetamine and one loaded handgun were seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-1646	13	192	31	69	As a result of this wiretap intercept, agents seized one ounce of and \$122,690 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1647	378	14,235	2	98	The interception of this target telephone led to the seizure of 22.4 kilograms of cocaine and a vehicle outfitted with a hidden compartment.
	2015-RIV-1648	45	5,253	10	90	This intercept resulted in the seizure of two kilograms of heroin.
	2015-RIV-1649	147	65,240	22	78	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1650	51	489	45	55	As a result of the wireless intercept 41 pounds of methamphetamine, \$108,000, and \$150,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-1651	173	758	3	97	No subscriber information was received.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1652	48	1,894	1	99	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 30 pounds of methamphetamine and a handgun, and one arrest.
	2015-RIV-1653	110	511	75	25	No significant results or activity to report.
	2015-RIV-1654	7	2,864	7	93	No enforcement action was taken.
	2015-RIV-1655	19	279	54	46	
	2015-RIV-1656	95	386	45	55	This intercept resulted in the seizure of \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1657	21	1,999	9	91	This intercept resulted in the seizure of one and a half pounds of methamphetamine and one loaded handgun.
	2015-RIV-1658	45	2,936	3	97	As a result of this wiretap, agents seized approximately 80 kilos of cocaine.
	2015-RIV-1659	68	830	6	94	The target subjects discontinued use of their cell phones. No significant results or activity to report.
	2015-RIV-1660	130	1,512	50	50	No seizures were made, and no subscriber information was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1661	266	7,731	11	89	As a result of the wireless intercept, approximately three pounds of methamphetamine was seized during a traffic stop .
	2015-RIV-1662	26	252	15	85	As a result of this wiretap interception order, three people were arrested, 11 kilos of cocaine and \$60,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-1663	47	613	28	72	No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1664	1	81	17	83	
	2015-RIV-1665	47	1,143	4	96	
	2015-RIV-1666	55	3,111	19	81	No significant activities or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1667	4	123	24	76	Approximately 80 kilos of cocaine was seized as a result of wiretap interceptions.
	2015-RIV-1668	0	0	0	0	The target subjects discontinued use of their phones. No significant results or activity to report.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1669	41	2,749	29	71	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 20 ounces of heroin and approximately \$2,550 in narcotic proceeds and the identification of new target telephones.
	2015-RIV-1670	18	662	38	62	No subscriber information was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1671	0	0	0	0	During this wiretap interception order, target subjects discontinued using their phones and there are no significant activities or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1672	128	3,315	18	82	As a result of this wiretap interception order, 80 pounds of methamphetamine and 5 kilos of cocaine were seized.
	2015-RIV-1673	320	1,264	40	60	During this wiretap investigation, investigators identified others involved in this drug trafficking organization which led to the target telephones, target subjects' stash houses, and method of operation.
	2015-RIV-1674	172	4,366	13	87	
	2015-RIV-1675	29	528	36	64	As a result of the wiretap intercept, separate seizures of \$50,000 and \$116,000 in narcotics proceeds were made as well as seizures of cocaine, methamphetamine, and one assault rifle.
	2015-RIV-1676	25	468	5	95	During the term of this wiretap interception order, the target subjects discontinued the use of their phones. No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1677	44	1,465	38	62	During this wiretap intercept agents seized approximately \$380,000 in narcotics proceeds and five pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1678	215	5,702	8	92	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of \$19,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1679	197	3,381	12	88	During this wiretap investigation, investigators identified others involved in this drug trafficking organization which led to other target telephones, target subjects' stash houses, and their method of operation.
	2015-RIV-1680	213	12,658	12	88	This intercept led to the identification of other members of the drug trafficking organization.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1681	43	1,013	41	59	
	2015-RIV-1682	14	1,678	39	61	
	2015-RIV-1683	15	3,226	8	92	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of \$263,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1685	0	53	0	100	The phones were inactive during the time period of interception. No significant results or activity to report.
	2015-RIV-1686	131	2,712	68	32	
	2015-RIV-1687	31	627	20	80	The target subject discontinued using this telephone. No significant results or activity to report.
	2015-RIV-1688	126	10,464	22	78	As a result of the wiretap investigation, 2.25 pounds of methamphetamine and contraband was seized, and a confession of carjacking was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1689	251	3,916	4	96	No significant events to report.
	2015-RIV-1690	72	4,819	5	95	This intercept led to the seizure of \$711,496 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1691	18	615	14	86	This intercept led to the arrest of two subjects involved in criminal street gangs.
	2015-RIV-1692	30	24,150	2	98	No significant results to report at this time, the investigation is still on-going.
	2015-RIV-1693	14	570	7	93	As a result of this wiretap interception order, investigators seized 18 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1694	428	4,180	58	42	This intercept led to the seizure of \$150,000 in narcotics proceeds, three vehicles, and 10 kilos of cocaine.
	2015-RIV-1695	119	17,455	33	67	
	2015-RIV-1696	3	190	0	100	No significant activity or results to report. No subscriber information was obtained..
	2015-RIV-1697	189	13,288	13	87	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1698	31	58,232	40	60	As a result of wiretap interception order, agents seized 26.2 kilograms of heroin, 1212.24 kilograms of cocaine, 8.55 kilograms of methamphetamine and \$570,902 in narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1699	41	49,427	23	77	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1700	107	494	64	36	No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1701	215	29,806	50	50	
	2015-RIV-1702	0	10	0	100	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents were able to arrest three subjects and seize one kilogram of cocaine and \$27,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1703	2	993	9	91	The target subjects discontinued use of these phones. No significant activity or events to report.
	2015-RIV-1704	259	2,709	21	79	As a result of the wiretap interception order, agents seized \$3,333,080 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1706	48	1,686	3	97	
	2015-RIV-1707	142	18,454	25	75	The interception of telephones led to the identification of other key members within the drug trafficking organization. No subscriber information was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1708	277	7,749	11	89	No significant events to report.
	2015-RIV-1709	14	1,804	2	98	
	2015-RIV-1710	38	502	29	71	
	2015-RIV-1711	62	2,084	36	64	The intercept led to the seizure of \$159,134 and the arrest of one target subject.
	2015-RIV-1712	0	5	0	0	No subscriber information was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1713	237	4,429	10	90	
	2015-RIV-1714	87	8,211	6	94	The intercept led to the seizure of 47.89 pounds of methamphetamine, 2.48 pounds of heroin, 3.38 pounds of cocaine, and \$38,985.
	2015-RIV-1715	132	1,726	31	69	Interceptions of target devices have enabled investigators to begin to identify a network of narcotics traffickers operations in Mexico and local counties. No subscriber information was obtained.
	2015-RIV-1716	18	331	66	34	
	2015-RIV-1717	15	1,096	1	99	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1718	142	7,020	10	90	Wiretap interception orders help law enforcement to curtail crime and drug trafficking. As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents seized approximately four kilos of heroin, five kilos of cocaine, and 21 pounds of methamphetamine. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1719	9	481	20	80	As a result of this telephone interception, agents seized approximately \$710,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1720	82	3,944	6	94	No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1721	35	287	44	56	No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1722	0	0	0	0	The target subjects were arrested and the phones were inactive. There was no need to intercept calls. No inventory notification is due.
	2015-RIV-1723	19	1,766	14	86	This intercept was unable to link targets to a crime being committed or about to be committed. No significant results or events to report.
	2015-RIV-1724	6,776	22,907	2	98	No subscriber information was provided. No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1725	85	3,721	68	32	
	2015-RIV-1726	39	2,104	86	14	No subscriber information was provided. No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1727	11	358	0	100	The target subject discontinued using the telephone. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1728	4	24	79	21	No subscriber information was provided. No one was identified.
	2015-RIV-1729	16	2,477	80	20	No subscriber information was provided. No one was identified.
	2015-RIV-1730	1	1	0	100	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1731		5,933	5	95	
	2015-RIV-1732	1	1	0	100	The target discontinued using this phone. No significant results to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1733	85	10,456	14	86	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1734	260	1,610	14	86	No seizures or significant activity to report during this wiretap interception period.
	2015-RIV-1735	3	429	2	98	
	2015-RIV-1736	68	1,876	34	66	
	2015-RIV-1737	0	1	0	100	The target subject discontinued using his telephone.
	2015-RIV-1738	10	262	17	83	Agents seized approximately \$200,000, four kilograms of cocaine, and one kilogram of heroin.
	2015-RIV-1739	61	3,155	35	65	The interception led to the arrest of five target subjects, and the seizure of over \$190,000 in narcotics proceeds and 25 pounds of methamphetamine. It also led to other co-conspirators.
	2015-RIV-1741	31	340	10	90	
	2015-RIV-1742	57	2,848	4	96	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant activity or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1743	133	10,824	6	94	This wiretap interception order resulted in the arrest of three people, and 26 pounds of methamphetamine, a half gallon of liquid methamphetamine, a half pound of heroin and one handgun were seized.
	2015-RIV-1744	11	27	33	67	This wiretap interception order assisted with the identification of two other target telephone numbers. These numbers resulted in identifying other members of the drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-1745	78	20,405	23	77	These intercepted communications led to the seizure of three pounds of methamphetamine and one arrest.
	2015-RIV-1746	72	20,419	25	75	
	2015-RIV-1747	15	1,205	45	55	
	2015-RIV-1748	23	3,388	14	86	
	2015-RIV-1749	0	17	0	100	No significant events or results. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1750	123	343	0	0	
	2015-RIV-1751	8	76	58	42	Subjects discontinued use of telephone.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1752	0	0	0	0	The interception was terminated early due to no phone activity. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1753	16	363	27	73	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2015-RIV-1754	19	307	67	33	The interception led to the arrest of two target subjects, and two kilograms of heroin was seized. Co-conspirators were also identified.
	2015-RIV-1755	4	1,459	1	99	
	2015-RIV-1756	3	63	0	100	No significant events or results.
	2015-RIV-1757	19	742	1	99	Investigators seized over \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds and 15 kilograms of cocaine.
	2015-RIV-1758	22	951	34	66	No significant events to report.
	2015-RIV-1759	100	4,369	11	89	The interception of the target telephone led to the seizure of over \$410,000 in narcotics proceeds during a traffic stop where two people were also arrested.
	2015-RIV-1760	87	6,206	5	95	
	2015-RIV-1761	55	8,637	1	99	Three subjects were arrested for drug offenses, and agents seized approximately \$300,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1762	53	5,134	1	99	The target subject discontinued using this phone.
	2015-RIV-1763	56	1,368	6	94	The target subject discontinued using this telephone.
	2015-RIV-1764	26	327	17	83	
	2015-RIV-1765	0	76	0	100	The target subject discontinued using this telephone.
	2015-RIV-1767	274	4,647	11	89	No significant events to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1768	40	24,403	49	51	As a result of this wiretap interceptions, seizures were made of cocaine, white heroin, methamphetamine and narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1769	20	7,102	24	76	As a result of this wiretap investigation, 4.5 pounds of methamphetamine was seized in another state and three people were arrested.
	2015-RIV-1770	9	98	5	95	
	2015-RIV-1771	45	3,032	0	100	The wiretap was terminated due to lack of pertinent calls.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1772	10	5,862	16	84	Agents continue to gather information over the wire intercepts regarding the drug trafficking organization's illegal activities.
	2015-RIV-1773	42	4,955	16	84	
	2015-RIV-1774	0	0	0	0	No significant activity or events to report.
	2015-RIV-1775	21	489	40	60	
	2015-RIV-1776	123	4,092	15	85	The interception led to the arrest of two people and the seizure of 20 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1777	89	5,397	13	87	Two kilograms of heroin and over \$46,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized from this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-1778	8	1,175	1	99	
	2015-RIV-1779	210	9,918	16	84	
	2015-RIV-1780	51	6,982	15	85	
	2015-RIV-1781	0	3	0	100	
	2015-RIV-1782	304	14,546	7	93	Half an ounce of methamphetamine and half an ounce of heroin were seized after the target subject attempted to discard them. Numerous co-conspirators and higher level narcotics sources of supply were identified.
	2015-RIV-1783	60	1,809	8	92	During the duration of this wiretap, several local sources of narcotic supply were identified. A total of 15 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2015-RIV-1784	2	4	50	50	The target subjects discontinued using the phones.
	2015-RIV-1785	30	2,402	14	86	The target subject discontinued using the phones.
	2015-RIV-1786	40	3,258	21	79	
	2015-RIV-1787	3	74	40	60	\$263,100 was seized.
	2015-RIV-1788	4	17	5	95	The target subject discontinued using the phone.
	2015-RIV-1789	79	6,338	9	91	
	2015-RIV-1790	23	700	16	84	
	2015-RIV-1791	33	2,690	10	90	The wiretap interception resulted in the seizure of 28 kilos of cocaine, 60 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$550,000 in narcotics proceeds. Two arrests were made.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1792	28	1,102	49	51	No significant events to report.
	2015-RIV-1793	150	2,873	38	62	
	2015-RIV-1794	31	4,185	35	65	The interceptions identified multiple sources of cocaine supply.
	2015-RIV-1795	32	2,090	5	95	
	2015-RIV-1796	2	83	40	60	A total of \$263,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-1797	25	376	30	70	The interception led to multiple co-conspirators.
	2015-RIV-1798	43	1,149	13	87	Based on intercepted communications, approximately 4.3 pounds of heroin and \$60,730 in suspected narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-1799	17	16,531	24	76	
	2015-RIV-1800	538	2,738	40	60	No seizures or arrests were made during this period.
	2015-RIV-1801	69	16,324	67	33	
	2015-RIV-1802	105	2,112	19	81	The interceptions of target devices enabled investigators to begin to identify a network of narcotics trafficking operations in Mexico and other counties.
	2015-RIV-1803	31	1,854	2	98	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2015-RIV-1805	104	2,022	77	23	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 48 pounds of methamphetamine, 2.5 pounds of heroin, 3.38 pounds of cocaine, and \$39,985.
	2015-RIV-1806	208	22,439	34	66	No significant events to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1807	100	2,701	30	70	Investigators seized narcotics proceeds in the amounts of \$475,134 and \$388,040.
	2015-RIV-1808	230	5,732	23	77	Two pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2015-RIV-1809	56	8,691	3	97	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2015-RIV-1810	51	4,342	6	94	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1811	122	5,927	18	82	Three subjects were arrested (drugs) and agents seized approximately \$380,022 in narcotics proceeds and approximately 22 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1812	34	1,177	10	90	
	2015-RIV-1813	0	0	0	0	The subjects discontinued the use of their phones.
	2015-RIV-1814	48	621	30	70	
	2015-RIV-1815	65	12,445	23	77	Intercepted communications led to the seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine and one arrest.
	2015-RIV-1816	35	9,808	64	36	The interception of these telephones led to the verbal identification of key members within the drug trafficking organization. Subscriber information was not provided.
	2015-RIV-1817	45	4,957	9	91	
	2015-RIV-1818	72	4,564	3	97	Seizures included 55.2 grams of cocaine and 3.9 grams of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1819	123	12,730	13	87	
	2015-RIV-1820	76	2,047	30	70	
	2015-RIV-1821	178	8,575	5	95	
	2015-RIV-1822	0	1	0	100	Use of the target telephone was discontinued.
	2015-RIV-1823	13	2,231	12	76	This wiretap interception order led to the seizure of \$263,100 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1824	24	388	68	32	No significant events or results.
	2015-RIV-1825	67	1,559	10	90	The wiretap interception resulted in the seizure of \$48,080.
	2015-RIV-1826	10	378	19	81	
	2015-RIV-1827	123	343			
	2015-RIV-1828	327	1,241	23	77	Seizures from this wiretap interception include approximately one pound of heroin and \$16,340. The primary impact of the investigation to this point was disruption of street level retailers who were being sourced by the targets of this investigation.
	2015-RIV-1829	132	15,707	6	94	
	2015-RIV-1830	73	23,226	30	70	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1831	95	73,129	41	59	
	2015-RIV-1832	172	3,658	13	87	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents were able to execute search warrants and seize approximately 40 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 kilos of heroin, and \$8,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1833	4	28	57	43	The interception of this telephone led to the seizure of \$644,000 and the arrest of two people.
	2015-RIV-1835	56	2,890	5	95	Interception of the target telephone led to the seizure of two pounds of methamphetamine and one arrest.
	2015-RIV-1836	58	3,906	67	33	
	2015-RIV-1837	73	5,892	17	83	The target subjects discontinued using the telephones.
	2015-RIV-1838	18	2,344	11	89	
	2015-RIV-1839	71	2,354	20	80	Two subjects were arrested and agents seized two pounds of methamphetamine and 2.5 kilograms of heroin.
	2015-RIV-1840	124	6,433	41	59	The interceptions allowed for the identification of several targets associated with a drug trafficking organization, including sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-1841	185	12,812	18	82	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-1842	79	3,446	30	70	Interception of target telephones assisted with developing intelligence to identify additional co-conspirators in the drug trafficking organization and led investigators to a 156 kilogram seizure of cocaine where one person was arrested.
	2015-RIV-1843	75	8,759	27	73	This wiretap interception order led to the arrest of three people, and the seizure of 12 kilos of cocaine.
	2015-RIV-1844		27,289	47	53	As a result of this wiretap investigation, 5.25 pounds of methamphetamine was seized, one person was arrested, and intelligence was gained about the transportation of narcotics throughout the United States.
	2015-RIV-1845	110	6,944	51	49	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1846	77	21,123	10	90	Interception of the target telephones have assisted investigators during this on-going narcotics investigation. Two people were arrested and \$300,000 was seized.
	2015-RIV-1847	2	773	96	4	
	2015-RIV-1848	66	1,192	15	85	The interception of this telephone led to the seizure of approximately 45 pounds of methamphetamine and the arrest of one person.
	2015-RIV-1849	137	2,906	20	80	
	2015-RIV-1850	4	172	0	100	The target subject discontinued the use of the phone. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1851	187	4,400	23	77	
	2015-RIV-1854	43	667	3	97	Numerous drug-coded conversations were intercepted and these communications revealed the identities of other narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-1855	109	6,764	18	82	Wiretap interceptions received assisted in identifying narcotics traffickers and narcotics stash house locations.
	2015-RIV-1856	18	2,874	2	98	The target subject discontinued use of the telephone.
	2015-RIV-1857	0	0	0	0	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2015-RIV-1858	4	1,536	1	99	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2015-RIV-1859	253	17,599	38	62	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of approximately 3.64 kilos of cocaine and \$14,440 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1861	105	3,086	15	85	
	2015-RIV-1862	273	5,696	14	86	
	2015-RIV-1863	146	4,716	46	54	
	2015-RIV-1864	163	10,961	15	85	
	2015-RIV-1865	19	1,660	32	68	
	2015-RIV-1866	129	2,965	24	76	This intercept led to the seizure of \$9,900 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1868	0	0	0	0	The intercept was terminated after two days due to inactivity.
2015-RIV-1869	30	1,882	7	93		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1870	2	38	0	100	
	2015-RIV-1871	43	2,997	21	79	The target subject discontinued using his telephone.
	2015-RIV-1872	87	3,266	12	88	The target subject discontinued using his telephone
	2015-RIV-1873	56	5,556	11	89	No significant events to report; no subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1874	104	8,976	10	90	This intercept resulted in the seizure of 3 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1875	92	15,520	25	75	Interceptors identified cocaine traffickers in other countries.
	2015-RIV-1876	88	893	6	94	
	2015-RIV-1877	86	6,070	10	90	The interception of this telephone has given investigators valuable intelligence on a drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-1878	147	6,652	16	84	As a result of this wiretap investigation, search warrants were served where 22 pounds of methamphetamine and one rifle were seized.
	2015-RIV-1879	25	2,278	14	86	
	2015-RIV-1880	10	8,772	9	91	
	2015-RIV-1881	195	15,395	12	88	
	2015-RIV-1882	68	1,739	15	85	
	2015-RIV-1883	34	585	32	68	There was a seizure of approximately 48 pounds of methamphetamine, 3.38 pounds of cocaine, 2.5 pounds of heroin, and \$39,985 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1884	34	4,163	18	82	No significant events to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1885	120	10,242	15	85	Agents seized \$200,000 as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-1886	10	81	54	46	Interceptions led to the seizure of \$35,412, 26.8 pounds of methamphetamine, 1.8 pounds of heroin, and a stolen firearm. In addition, two people were arrested and co-conspirators were identified.
	2015-RIV-1887	40	1,454	27	73	The target subjects discontinued use of the telephones.
	2015-RIV-1888	18	373	28	72	The target subjects discontinued using the telephones.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1890	45	1,090	1	99	The interception of this telephone has given investigators valuable intelligence on a drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-1891	65	1,967	10	90	
	2015-RIV-1892	12	226	26	74	
	2015-RIV-1893	15	1,734	30	70	
	2015-RIV-1894	765	6,417	51	49	
	2015-RIV-1895	19	409	21	79	
	2015-RIV-1896	75	2,343	30	70	Interception of target telephones led to the seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine and one arrest.
	2015-RIV-1897	17	703	8	92	
	2015-RIV-1898	3	94	20	80	The interception of this telephone led to intelligence on several new targets. No other significant events to report, and no subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1899	16	265	2	98	The targeted subject discontinued the use of phone.
	2015-RIV-1900	25	876	27	73	
	2015-RIV-1901	142	114,236	22	78	
	2015-RIV-1902	93	6,398	33	67	
	2015-RIV-1903	567	4,550	23	77	
	2015-RIV-1904	87	2,739	17	83	The interception was very important and crucial. Due to the intercept, 11 kilograms of cocaine and 19 pounds of methamphetamine were seized.
	2015-RIV-1905	62	2,808	11	89	14 kilograms of heroin was seized.
	2015-RIV-1906	220	5,811	17	83	
	2015-RIV-1907	5	285	26	74	
	2015-RIV-1908	58	3,440	11	89	The target subjects discontinued use of the phones.
	2015-RIV-1909	65	4,622	8	92	The target subjects discontinued using the telephones.
	2015-RIV-1910	27	1,811	30	70	This intercept resulted in the seizure of one kilo of heroin and three pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1911	1	476	1	99	
	2015-RIV-1912	14	1,836	3	97	
	2015-RIV-1913	13	81	4	96	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1914	96	2,397	8	92	
	2015-RIV-1915	27	924	4	96	
	2015-RIV-1916	106	4,243	21	79	
	2015-RIV-1917	70	50,902	46	54	Domestic seizures included 79 kilos of cocaine, 7.7 kilos of white heroin, 8.5 kilos of methamphetamine, and \$287,220 in narcotics proceeds. International seizures included 2780.5 kilos of cocaine, 18.5 kilos of white heroin and \$283,682.
	2015-RIV-1918	0	10	0	0	No significant events or seizures to report.
	2015-RIV-1919	68	23,017	28	72	
	2015-RIV-1920	19	2,555	5	95	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1921	47	1,273	14	86	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of 22 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1922	78	4,883	15	85	The target subjects discontinued using their telephones. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1923	89	21,170	22	78	
	2015-RIV-1924	58	2,394	16	84	As a result of the wiretap intercept, one person was arrested and approximately three kilos of heroin was seized.
	2015-RIV-1925	86	12,484	15	85	Interceptions identified persons and methods used to coordinate a 500 kilogram cocaine shipment.
	2015-RIV-1926	42	24,832	15	85	The interception of these telephones led to the identification of other key members of the drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-1927	240	223,545	19	81	The interception of telephones led to the identification of other key members within the drug trafficking organization and to the seizure of approximately five pounds of methamphetamine and \$1.1 million dollars. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1928	56	1,502	77	23	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1929	263	10,936	11	89	This intercept assisted to identify additional co-conspirators in the drug trafficking organization and led to the separate seizures of 13 and 50 pounds of methamphetamine, one kilo of cocaine, and 8 gallons of liquid methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1930	225	8,987	19	81	
	2015-RIV-1931	189	4,481	43	57	During the wiretap interception order, investigators seized 14 kilograms of heroin, 26.8 pounds of methamphetamine, \$35,411 in narcotics proceeds, one stolen firearm, and arrested three people.
	2015-RIV-1932	23	5,872	50	50	The interception resulted in the seizure of approximately 5.3 pounds of heroin, 196.3 grams of cocaine, 4.11 rock cocaine, three vehicles, and \$25,952 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1933	27	2,957	8	92	As a result of this wiretap intercept, approximately 21 pounds of methamphetamine and 2 kilos of heroin were seized.
	2015-RIV-1934	34	814	30	70	Approximately 14 pounds of methamphetamine was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-1935	364	4,012	40	60	
	2015-RIV-1936	14	4,371	14	86	Wiretap intercepts provided law enforcement officers with intelligence of other narcotic suppliers and international bank accounts used by co-conspirators within this drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-1938	28	4,576	42	58	This intercept provided intelligence identifying co-conspirators and significant narcotics locations as well as one arrest and the seizure of one pound of methamphetamine and 118 grams of heroin.
	2015-RIV-1939	33	3,329	18	82	The interception of the target telephone led to the seizure of 77 pounds of methamphetamine, 14 kilograms of heroin, two kilograms of cocaine, and approximately \$36,000 in narcotics proceeds.
2015-RIV-1940	59	3,202	4	96	This intercept led to the separate seizures of \$539,840 and \$541,969 in narcotics proceeds and five and six kilograms of cocaine.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1941	17	1,257	10	90	As a result of this wiretap interception order, approximately \$120,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-RIV-1942	57	1,662	44	56	
	2015-RIV-1943	47	2,306	30	70	This intercept provided intelligence identifying co-conspirators and significant narcotics locations, as well as one arrest and the seizure of three kilos of cocaine, 8.8 pounds of marijuana, and two handguns.
	2015-RIV-1944	21	1,656	10	90	Numerous communications were intercepted providing intelligence to law enforcement on the identities of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-1945	6	163	28	72	
	2015-RIV-1946	38	1,709	39	61	
	2015-RIV-1947	31	453	27	73	The target subjects discontinued using their telephones. No significant events or results to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1948	25	4,318	30	70	No significant events or results to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1949	116	12,364	10	90	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply. One person was arrested for transporting approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-1950		2,102	41	59	As a result of this wiretap investigation, intelligence was gained about the transportation of narcotics throughout the United States.
	2015-RIV-1952	15	102	27	73	
	2015-RIV-1953	265	4,842	38	62	This interception resulted in the seizure of approximately 5.3 pounds of heroin, 196.3 grams of cocaine, 4.11 grams of rock cocaine, three vehicles, and \$25,952 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1954	10	74,498	3	97	Agents continue to explore all leads from this investigation. No significant events or results to report at this time.
	2015-RIV-1955	25	743	34	66	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1956	27	829	20	80	
	2015-RIV-1957	108	2,725	56	44	
	2015-RIV-1958	109	64,260	20	80	
	2015-RIV-1959	20	2,371	18	82	As a result of the wiretap intercept, approximately 20 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2015-RIV-1960	138	6,207	8	92	The target subject discontinued using the telephones. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1961	47	1,035	6	94	As a result of this wiretap intercept, approximately 11 pounds of methamphetamine and \$301,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-1962	221	10,281	10	90	
	2015-RIV-1963	63	11,539	15	85	This intercept resulted in three arrests and the seizure of 30 kilos of cocaine, 20 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$117,710 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1964	135	4,243	25	75	This wiretap investigation led to the seizure of approximately 6.5 pounds of methamphetamine and 65 pounds of heroin. Subscriber information was not provided.
	2015-RIV-1965	21	2,584	15	85	
	2015-RIV-1966	146	90,957	19	81	The interception of telephones led to the identification of other key members within the drug trafficking organization and to the seizure of approximately one kilo of heroin and \$30,000 in narcotics proceeds. Subscriber information was not provided.
	2015-RIV-1967	19	932	45	55	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-1968	76	2,321	12	88	
	2015-RIV-1969	52	3,232	3	97	
	2015-RIV-1970	605	6,831	7	93	
	2015-RIV-1971	28	1,442	12	88	
	2015-RIV-1973	76	3,122	20	80	
	2015-RIV-1974	18	481	42	58	Interceptions identified multiple individuals involved with cocaine distribution all over the world.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1975	72	4,361	11	89	This intercept resulted in the seizure of four kilograms of cocaine and one kilogram of heroin.
	2015-RIV-1976	193	105,771	16	84	
	2015-RIV-1977	38	517	81	19	As a result of this wiretap intercept, \$20,021 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-RIV-1978	14	2,564	23	77	
	2015-RIV-1979	93	4,540	20	80	This intercept resulted in the seizure of \$140,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1980	84	4,403	2	98	
	2015-RIV-1981	56	8,378	31	69	As a result of the wireless intercept, one arrest was made and \$530,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-RIV-1982	32	2,284	5	95	
	2015-RIV-1983	20	976	26	74	
	2015-RIV-1984	0	5	0	100	
	2015-RIV-1985	241	6,061	6	94	
	2015-RIV-1986	34	776	1	99	
	2015-RIV-1987	33	681	17	83	
	2015-RIV-1988	3	50	8	92	
	2015-RIV-1989	31	462	13	87	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents were able to seize approximately eight kilos of heroin, eight kilos of cocaine, three pounds of methamphetamine, and \$60,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-1990	82	3,711	33	67	
	2015-RIV-1991	74	2,989	11	89	The target subject discontinued using the telephones. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-1992	10	1,096	57	43	No significant events or results to report. No subscriber information was provided.
	2015-RIV-1993	0	2	0	0	Approximately 2.5 kilos of heroin was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
2015-RIV-1994	10	1,050	34	66	This intercept led to the seizure of four kilograms of cocaine, an illegally possessed firearm, and the recovery of a stolen vehicle.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1995	92	3,585	5	95	As a result of the wiretap intercept, an additional source of supply was identified, and thirteen pounds of methamphetamine was seized and one person was arrested.
	2015-RIV-1996	96	10,762	5	95	No significant events or results to report.. No subscriber information provided.
	2015-RIV-1997	764	14,280	7	93	
	2015-RIV-1998	50	2,650	13	87	
	2015-RIV-1999	71	20,556	18	82	The intercepts helped to identify cocaine traffickers.
	2015-RIV-2000	67	16,120	26	74	
	2015-RIV-2001	31	4,268	8	92	The intercept was used to obtain pertinent narcotics related calls used to identify co-conspirators and significant narcotics locations, and resulted in the arrest of one person and the seizure of three kilograms of cocaine, 8.8 pounds of marijuana and two handguns.
	2015-RIV-2002	66	50,294	43	57	This intercept led to the domestic and international seizures of cocaine, white heroin, methamphetamine and narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2003	31	1,208	22	78	As a result of this wiretap interception order, three people were arrested, and 30 kilos of cocaine, 20 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$117,710 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-2004	0	2	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2005	37	675	7	93	
	2015-RIV-2006	0	0	0	0	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
2015-RIV-2007	38	4,178	5	95		
2015-RIV-2008	17	513	10	90	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher level narcotics sources of supply.	
2015-RIV-2009	3	537	10	90		
2015-RIV-2011	30	2,705	12	88	This intercept resulted in the seizure of approximately six kilograms of cocaine and \$150,000 in narcotics proceeds .	
2015-RIV-2012	56	1,093	23	77	No arrests or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	201	107	3,772	20	80	
	2015-RIV-2014	5	8	25	75	
	2015-RIV-2015	9	60	32	68	seven kilograms of cocaine, one pound of methamphetamine and \$158,820 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-2016	49	7,257	2	98	This wiretap interception order resulted in the arrest of five people and the seizure of 21 kilograms of cocaine, one kilogram of heroin, nine pounds of methamphetamine and \$406,645 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2017	294	3,929	8	92	
	2015-RIV-2018	289	51,699	9	91	Wiretap interceptions received during the course of interception allowed law enforcement officers to discover other narcotics suppliers within this drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-2019	55	3,788	9	91	
	2015-RIV-2020	64	5,276	29	71	This intercept resulted in the arrest of three people, and the seizure of \$550,920 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2021	65	6,425	17	83	This intercept resulted in three arrests, and the seizure of 30 kilos of cocaine, 20 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$117,710 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2022	84	7,771	20	80	
	2015-RIV-2023	0	2	0	0	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2024	368	14,947	6	94	The interception of target telephones have assisted investigators during this on-going narcotics investigation. Four arrests were made and approximately 140 pounds of methamphetamine, 500 pounds of marijuana, 13 kilograms of cocaine and 2 gallons of liquid containing methamphetamine were seized.
	2015-RIV-2025	75	2,023	20	80	
	2015-RIV-2026	13	5,125	10	90	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2027	5	26	12	88	As a result of the wiretap intercept, four arrests were made and \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-RIV-2028	81	4,573	22	78	Agents seized approximately seven kilos of cocaine and \$150,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2029	12	303	37	63	
	2015-RIV-2030	72	46,284	27	73	
	2015-RIV-2031	232	3,048	33	67	During the interception, investigators received information that led to the seizure of 26 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-2032	1	48	29	71	
	2015-RIV-2033	38	579	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2034	40	2,099	12	88	As a result of the wiretap intercept, two people were arrested and approximately 44.4 pounds of suspected methamphetamine was seized.
	2015-RIV-2035	9	187	34	66	As a result of the wiretap intercept, co-conspirators and significant narcotics locations were identified arrests were made, and three kilograms of cocaine, 8.8 pounds of marijuana, and two handguns were seized.
	2015-RIV-2036	59	1,052	2	98	The intercept led to one arrest and the seizure of \$41,002 in narcotics proceeds, 130 grams of methamphetamine, and two grams of rock cocaine.
	2015-RIV-2037	3	32	46	54	The wiretap intercept allowed investigators to identify the user of the target telephone as a narcotics coordinator and an associate who assisted in the coordination of the narcotics delivery from Mexico to the United States. The wiretap was terminated early due to lack of telephonic activity.
	2015-RIV-2038	47	4,361	9	91	The interceptions of these target telephones allowed investigators to obtain valuable intelligence on the drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-2039	128	119,295	20	80	
	2015-RIV-2040	16	2,944	1	99	No significant events or results to report..
	2015-RIV-2041	60	170	25	75	No significant events or results to report.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2042	10	250	30	70	The interceptions identified multiple individuals involved with cocaine distribution in other countries.
	2015-RIV-2043	27	2,672	7	93	The target subject discontinued using the telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2044	31	1,306	44	56	As a result of the wiretap intercept, agents seized approximately \$130,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2045	1	5	0	100	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2046	12	1,956	34	66	As a result of the wiretap intercept, 10 kilograms of cocaine and \$173,900 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-2047	84	4,478	14	86	Numerous communications were intercepted that allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2048	4	577	16	84	During the interception of target telephone, three people were arrested and found in possession of five kilograms of heroin.
	2015-RIV-2049	17	688	35	65	
	2015-RIV-2050	306	7,362	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2051	87	4,308	3	97	As a result of the wiretap intercept, four kilos of cocaine, and one illegally possessed firearm were seized, and a stolen vehicle was recovered.
	2015-RIV-2052	93	10,482	10	90	Subscriber information was not provided. The interception of phones led to the identification of other members in the drug trafficking organization.
	2015-RIV-2053	58	42,218	50	50	This intercept led to the domestic and international seizures of cocaine, white heroin, methamphetamine, and narcotic proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2054	143	6,604	10	90	Information and identification obtained from intercept led to the seizure of four pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-2055	48	976	33	67	As a result of the wiretap intercept, two suspects were arrested, and 16.5 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2056	350	34,309	21	79	Approximately \$260,000 in narcotics proceeds, 18 kilos of heroin, 22 kilos of cocaine, 100 pounds of methamphetamine were seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-2057	11	2,294	4	96	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents seized three kilograms of cocaine and one kilogram of heroin.
	2015-RIV-2059	67	3,161	30	70	
	2015-RIV-2060	10	1,164	68	32	
	2015-RIV-2061	28	98	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2062	0	25	0	0	
	2015-RIV-2063	13	78	49	51	
	2015-RIV-2064	30	28,809	31	69	
	2015-RIV-2065	31	18,016	1	99	
	2015-RIV-2066	25	2,216	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2067	56	6,631	16	84	
	2015-RIV-2069	125	1,078	42	58	
	2015-RIV-2070	8	1,674	1	99	
	2015-RIV-2071	3	178	38	62	Interceptions helped to identify multiple individuals involved with cocaine distribution in other countries.
	2015-RIV-2072	1	1	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2073	97	1,624	22	78	During the wiretap interception, investigators seized approximately two kilograms of heroin and three pounds of methamphetamine.
	2015-RIV-2074	29	3,767	30	70	As a result of the wiretap intercept, two people were arrested and approximately \$550,920 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-RIV-2075	86	3,807	23	77	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2076	40	1,200	75	25	
	2015-RIV-2078	92	904	43	57	
	2015-RIV-2079	100	589	39	61	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2080	913	6,088	12	88	As a result of the wiretap intercept, \$334,583 in narcotics proceeds, 12 items valued at \$163,603, and one vehicle were seized.
	2015-RIV-2081	7	94	29	71	As a result of the wiretap intercept, 21 kilograms of cocaine was seized.
	2015-RIV-2082	184	69,165	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2083	43	2,753	10	90	Investigators identified the user of target telephone to be a money launderer. During the course of this wiretap interception, investigators were able to identify several money couriers. This interception resulted in the seizure of \$35,000. The intercept was terminated due to lack of telephonic activity after the seizure.
	2015-RIV-2084	352	4,565	18	82	
	2015-RIV-2085	16	275	58	42	
	2015-RIV-2086	27	520	20	80	Agents seized one kilogram of heroin, two kilograms of cocaine, and \$7,460 in narcotic proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2087	2	314	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2088	1	30	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2089	24	1,541	8	92	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2090	109	4,382	3	97	
	2015-RIV-2091	59	6,932	50	50	
	2015-RIV-2092	3	610	15	85	
	2015-RIV-2093	66	6,901	31	69	
	2015-RIV-2094	17	3,655	11	89	Approximately 58 pounds of methamphetamine and seven kilograms of cocaine were seized pursuant to information obtained from this wiretap.
	2015-RIV-2095	114	72,943	3	97	
	2015-RIV-2096	131	20,193	27	73	
	2015-RIV-2097	91	6,280	16	84	Interception of the target telephone have assisted investigators during this on-going narcotics investigation.
	2015-RIV-2098	12	200	21	79	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2099	38	1,101	12	88	21 kilograms of cocaine, 33 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$357,500 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-2100	60	44,630	34	66	
	2015-RIV-2101	19	215	48	52	
	2015-RIV-2102	117	9,508	23	77	
	2015-RIV-2103	361	36,584	15	85	
	2015-RIV-2104	53	3,789	5	95	Agents seized 14 kilos of heroin.
	2015-RIV-2105	50	10,927	32	68	Two people were arrested and approximately three kilos of heroin, 10 kilos of cocaine and \$173,900 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-2106	348	13,822	10	90	One person was arrested from this wiretap investigation and 10 kilograms of heroin was also seized.
	2015-RIV-2107	1	8	25	75	The interception of this telephone allowed agents to gain valuable intelligence on narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2108	33	1,749	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2109	283	186	70	30	
	2015-RIV-2110	5,180	10,966	5	95	
	2015-RIV-2111	2	15	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2112	107	44,260	22	78	The interception of telephones led to the identification of other key members within the drug trafficking organization and the seizure of approximately 40 kilos of cocaine, 12 pounds of methamphetamine, 3.5 kilos of heroin and \$80,000 in narcotics proceeds. Subscriber information was not provided.
	2015-RIV-2113	190	245,310	14	86	
	2015-RIV-2114	3	19	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2115	115	1,144	41	59	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2015-RIV-2116	161	6,660	19	81	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2117	44	131,559	15	85	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents seized (domestic): 79 kilos of cocaine; 7.7 kilos of white heroin; 8.5 kilos of methamphetamine; and \$347,220 in narcotics proceeds. In international seizures, agents seized: 4,645 kilos of cocaine; 18.5 kilos of white heroin; and \$283,682 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2118	151	49,660	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2119	7	3,413	35	65	
	2015-RIV-2120	51	829	5	95	
	2015-RIV-2121	51	780	35	65	
	2015-RIV-2122	6	606	37	63	As a result of the wiretap intercept, approximately \$525,601 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-RIV-2123	72	13,184	15	85	This wiretap interception resulted in the seizure of \$264,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2124	50	2,735	45	55	The target subject discontinued using the target telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2125	6	364	1	99	
	2015-RIV-2126	18	345	22	78	Two arrests were made and approximately \$264,900 in narcotics proceeds was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-2127	56	3,254	27	73	As a result of the wiretap intercept, agents seized approximately 19 kilos of cocaine and \$20,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2128	7	1,334	30	70	
	2015-RIV-2129	101	2,201	15	85	As a result of the wiretap intercept, additional subjects and sources of supply were identified, one person was arrested, and three pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
2015-RIV-2130	15	41,469	17	83	This intercept produced a domestic seizure of 79 kilos of cocaine, 7.7 kilos of white heroin, 8.5 kilos of methamphetamine, and \$347,220 in narcotics proceeds and an international seizure of 4,645 kilos of cocaine, 18.5 kilos of white heroin, and \$283,682 in narcotics proceeds.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2131	32	5,844	8	92	As a result of the wiretap intercept 33 pounds of methamphetamine and approximately \$27,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-RIV-2132	18	2,735	8	92	
	2015-RIV-2133	195	6,214	5	95	As a result of this wiretap interception order, approximately two pounds of methamphetamine, two ounces of cocaine, \$9,817 in narcotics proceeds, and a vehicle were seized.
	2015-RIV-2134	5	36	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2135	19	5,253	50	50	
	2015-RIV-2136	140	3,432	24	76	
	2015-RIV-2137	1,499	11,225	6	94	
	2015-RIV-2138	150	12,485	19	81	Numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2139	0	0	0	0	
	2015-RIV-2140	33	675	13	87	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2141	9	217	22	78	
	2015-RIV-2142	176	117,459	26	74	
	2015-RIV-2143	69	34,512	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2144	3	1,146	86	14	
	2015-RIV-2145	103	5,445	16	84	The target subject discontinued using the telephone. No significant events or results to report.
2015-RIV-2146	123	1,543	37	63	As a result of the wiretap intercept, two people were arrested, and 10 kilos of cocaine, three kilos of heroin, and \$173,900 in narcotics proceeds were seized.	
2015-RIV-2147	81	8,862	20	80	Based on information obtained from the wiretap, investigators seized narcotics and narcotics proceeds.	
2015-RIV-2148	51	1,348	11	89	As a result of the wiretap intercept, two people were arrested and eight kilograms of heroin was seized.	
2015-RIV-2149	20	2,315	40	60		
2015-RIV-2150	27	546	7	93		
2015-RIV-2151	292	14,580	20	80		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2152	5	75	40	60	Interception of the target telephone has assisted investigators during this on-going narcotics investigation in making one arrest and seizing one kilogram of heroin.
	2015-RIV-2153	5	758	4	96	
	2015-RIV-2154	18	24,575	29	98	Interceptions resulted in domestic and international seizures of cocaine, heroin, white heroin, methamphetamine and narcotics proceeds.
	2015-RIV-2155	26	1,593	6	94	
	2015-RIV-2156	0	11	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2157	164	1,505	35	65	
	2015-RIV-2158	1	47	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2159	162	1,419	1	99	
	2015-RIV-2160	7	201	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2161	74	1,197	2	98	
	2015-RIV-2162	4	183	28	72	
	2015-RIV-2163	1,211	11,798	27	73	As a result of the wiretap intercept, two people were arrested. approximately 58 pounds of suspected methamphetamine and approximately 6.85 kilograms of suspected heroin were seized, and intelligence was received identifying higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2164	7	122	66	34	
	2015-RIV-2165	22	1,685	1	99	
	2015-RIV-2166	53	2,228	17	83	
2015-RIV-2167	219	8,067	5	95	This wiretap investigation resulted in the arrest of two people and the seizure 4 kilograms of heroin.	
2015-RIV-2168	119	37,396	24	76	The interception of telephones led to the identification of other key members within the drug trafficking organization and the seizure of approximately 33 pounds of methamphetamine, one rifle, one vehicle with a hidden compartment and \$423,000 in narcotics proceeds. Subscriber information was not provided.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2169	5	847	2	98	Agents continue to gather information over the wire interceptions regarding the drug trafficking organization's illegal activities. No significant events or results to report at this time.
	2015-RIV-2170	25	423	13	87	
	2015-RIV-2171	21	5,179	24	76	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2172	13	247	62	38	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2173	43	8,121	7	93	
	2015-RIV-2174	0	0	0	0	
	2015-RIV-2175	167	3,174	26	74	No significant events or results to report..
	2015-RIV-2176	13	678	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2177	31	2,821	6	94	
	2015-RIV-2178	0	16	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2179	109	14,296	5	95	Interceptions assisted investigators during this on-going narcotics investigation with the seizure of 30 pounds of methamphetamine and one handgun.
	2015-RIV-2180	21	1,999	36	64	The interceptions allowed investigators to successfully identify several co-conspirators involved in the trafficking of narcotics and narcotics proceeds inside the organization. In addition, stash houses and load vehicles were identified and investigated for future actions.
	2015-RIV-2182	6	1,191	34	66	
	2015-RIV-2183	106	13,922	29	71	
	2015-RIV-2184	297	12,075	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2185	1	18	39	61	The target subject discontinued use of the phone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2187	100	458	0	100	The target subject discontinued using the phone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2188	77	5,006	17	83	
	2015-RIV-2189	7	116	0	100	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2190	333	1,639	9	91	The intercept led to the seizures of seven kilos of cocaine, and approximately \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds and the arrest of two people.
	2015-RIV-2191	115	69,010	17	83	No subscriber information was provided. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2192	14	3,079	10	90	No seizures or arrests were made as a result of this wiretap investigation.
	2015-RIV-2193	12	210	40	60	The intercept led to the seizure of 38 pounds of methamphetamine, 31 kilos of cocaine, and 10 kilos of heroin.
	2015-RIV-2194	38	13,962	29	71	One subject was arrested and approximately two kilograms of suspected heroin was seized following an enforcement stop in connection with this investigation. In addition, numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2195	70	3,814	28	72	
	2015-RIV-2198	569	6,687	10	90	This wiretap investigation order resulted in the arrest of two people and the seizure of 14 kilograms of narcotics.
	2015-RIV-2199	31	1,900	38	62	
	2015-RIV-2200	86	8,623	29	71	One person was arrested and six kilograms of cocaine was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-2201	120	515	23	77	The target subject discontinued using his telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2202	15	21,108	34	66	
	2015-RIV-2203	0	0	0	0	The phone number and account were terminated by the target prior to signing the affidavit for the target telephone. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2204	51	4,507	5	95	
	2015-RIV-2205	42	4,410	11	89	
	2015-RIV-2206	118	6,318	8	92	The target subjects discontinued using their phones. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2209	200	9,138	6	94	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2210	109	1,777	69	31	Two people were arrested and \$27,000 in narcotics proceeds and 7 kilos of cocaine were seized.
	2015-RIV-2211	0	0	0	0	The phone was not active. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2212	81	2,477	40	60	Two people were arrested and approximately \$209,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2015-RIV-2213	2	108	18	82	
	2015-RIV-2214	6	2,005	23	77	
	2015-RIV-2215	0	11	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2216	222	17,950	21	79	This intercept led to the arrest of four people and the seizure of 31 kilos of cocaine, 29 pounds of methamphetamine, and 10 kilos of heroin.
	2015-RIV-2217	0	0	0	0	The target subject discontinued use of the cellular phones. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2218	6	919	5	95	
	2015-RIV-2219	5	1,107	8	92	
	2015-RIV-2220	5	10	0	100	No subscriber information was provided. No significant events or results to report.
	2015-RIV-2221	132	8,551	0	100	
	2015-RIV-2222	30	3,185	7	93	
	2015-RIV-2224	77	37,205	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2225	3,472	30,738	22	78	As a result of the wiretap intercept, one subject was arrested and approximately two pounds of suspected amphetamine was seized. In addition, numerous communications were intercepted which allowed for the identification of higher-level narcotics sources of supply.
	2015-RIV-2226	26	2,210	4	96	
	2015-RIV-2229	18	26,385	38	62	
	2015-RIV-2230	959	3,100	10	90	
	2015-RIV-2233	10	480	8	92	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Sacramento	2015-SAC-62	44	204	25	75	No arrests or seizures were made. Target subject appeared to be having a difficult time obtaining narcotics. Wire was shut down until the investigation can be furthered at a later time.
San Bernardino	2014-SBD-565	39	285	35	65	As a result of the wiretap intercept, eight people were arrested, and 32.6 pounds of heroin, 18 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$43,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2014-SBD-568	525	21,305	23	77	As a result of these interceptions, investigators were able to arrest five suspects, and seized 26.7 pounds of heroin and 4.4 pounds of Fentanyl. These seizures are significant amounts of narcotics that have a direct impact on those individuals in the community that use and sell narcotics.
	2014-SBD-572	2,763	61,839	21	79	Based on information from this affidavit and other simultaneous affidavits, approximately 24 pounds of heroin was seized and two arrests were made.
	2015-SBD-573	35	232	17	83	There have been no seizures directly related to this wiretap. However, due to this wiretap, other target subjects have been identified and this case is on-going.
	2015-SBD-574	53	257	47	53	
	2015-SBD-575	120	1,728	21	79	As a result of the wiretap intercept, 24 pounds of heroin was seized.
	2015-SBD-576	63	4,318	10	90	
	2015-SBD-577	0	0			The phone was dropped prior to installation but was expected to be used. No significant events or results to report at this time.
	2015-SBD-578	13	136	48	52	
	2015-SBD-579	84	6,558	36	64	No arrests were made pursuant to this wiretap.
	2015-SBD-580	128	1,741	25	75	
	2015-SBD-582	494	3,924	25	75	
	2015-SBD-583	599	4,727	11	89	There have been no seizures directly related to this wiretap. However, due to this wiretap, other target subjects have been identified and this case is on-going.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-584	132	1,026	7	93	The intercepts allowed the investigation to be continued to another target.
	2015-SBD-585	22	379	35	65	
	2015-SBD-586	116	6,297	43	57	During the monitoring of this phone, investigators seized 15 pounds of methamphetamine, \$40,000 in narcotics proceeds, and one arrest..
	2015-SBD-587	0	42	0	100	
	2015-SBD-588	114	6,751	63	37	The interceptions assisted investigators in identifying additional members of the drug trafficking organization and their roles.
	2015-SBD-589	11	76	14	86	
	2015-SBD-590	182	8,180	12	88	In conjunction with other wires, investigators arrested street gang members for murder and were able to recover the victim's body.
	2015-SBD-591	46	1,494	31	69	This investigation was terminated when it was determined that the subject was not in contact with narcotic sources of supply. No arrests were made, and the investigation was closed.
	2015-SBD-592	5	82	20	80	See 2015-SBD-590.
	2015-SBD-593	40	3,093	58	42	The interceptions assisted investigators in identifying additional members of the drug trafficking organization and their roles.
	2015-SBD-594	2	4	0	100	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-SBD-595	146	10,361	27	73	This interception order focused specifically on two violent gangs that were involved in many violent crimes in the local area. The leaders and influential members of these gangs are currently incarcerated. This is apparent by statistics that show that violent crimes have dropped dramatically.
	2015-SBD-596	21	339	54	46	No arrests or seizures were made as a result of this wire; however, the investigation was able to be continued to other phone targets.
	2015-SBD-597	17	882	26	74	The interceptions assisted investigators in identifying additional members of the drug trafficking organization and their roles.
2015-SBD-598	7	128	2	98		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-599	91	1,458	27	73	Two people were arrested and two kilograms of methamphetamine was seized.
	2015-SBD-600	84	9,902	49	51	As a result of the wiretap intercept, \$20,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2015-SBD-601	7	31	45	55	
	2015-SBD-602	146	2,091	6	94	See 2015-SBD-595.
	2015-SBD-603	14	66	21	79	Two suspects were arrested for possession of 4.4 pounds of methamphetamine. The intercepted calls assisted investigators in identifying additional members in the drug trafficking organization and their roles in the organization.
	2015-SBD-604	403	7,368	45	55	There are two people presently in custody with another six arrest warrants to be served in the near future. In total, seven arrests were made with \$109,775 in narcotics proceeds and one and a half pounds of methamphetamine being seized.
	2015-SBD-605	24	4,488	7	93	Interception of this target telephone has assisted investigators during this on-going narcotics investigation.
	2015-SBD-606	5	104	2	98	
	2015-SBD-608	28	1,639	1	99	
	2015-SBD-609	16	248	58	42	Interceptions allowed further investigation by identifying new domestic targets.
	2015-SBD-610	16	248	58	42	No arrests were made as a result of this affidavit.
	2015-SBD-611	3,713	13,316	1	99	No seizures were made, and no people were identified.
	2015-SBD-612	72	1,743	20	80	As a result of the wiretap intercept, \$22,000 in narcotics proceeds, one kilogram cocaine, and 43 pounds of methamphetamine were seized.
	2015-SBD-613	377	10,891	16	84	In total, investigators seized approximately one and one half pounds of methamphetamine, and \$109,775 in narcotic proceeds. To date, gang drug activity has significantly decreased.
	2015-SBD-614	322	12,038	10	90	The interceptions assisted investigators in identifying additional members of the drug trafficking organization and their roles.
	2015-SBD-615	121	1,339	48	52	This investigation is on-going.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-616	114	3,990	18	82	No significant seizures were made during this period.
	2015-SBD-617	69	402	9	91	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-SBD-619	85	1,830	16	84	The user of the target telephone coordinated multiple deliveries of narcotic during this wiretap period. No significant events or results to report at this time.
	2015-SBD-620		0			
	2015-SBD-621	53	565	2	98	No significant events or results to report.
	2015-SBD-622	170	3,284	4	96	No enforcement activities were taken as a result of these wire taps.
	2015-SBD-624	44	658	9	91	This wiretap intercept was used to identify and locate suspects involved in a homicide.
	2015-SBD-625	24	217	68	32	
	2015-SBD-626	28	1,339	8	92	Information obtained during intercepted conversations led to other targets.
	2015-SBD-628	26	183	35	65	As a result of the wiretap intercept, target subjects have been identified and this case is on-going.
	2015-SBD-629	103	742	11	89	This intercept led to the seizure of 2.1 ounces of methamphetamine and .5 ounces of cocaine.
	2015-SBD-630	117	1,689	6	94	The interceptions provided information regarding additional person(s) involved or have information that can assist investigators with identifying the suspect(s) involved in a cold case homicide.
	2015-SBD-631	110	3,024	12	88	See 2015-SBD-624.
2016-SBD-632	47	261	34	66	This investigation is on-going.	
San Diego	2015-SD-196	8	2,611	44	56	During this wiretap investigation, approximately 150 pounds of marijuana was seized. In addition, two individuals were arrested.
	2015-SD-197	139	5,495	16	84	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of narcotic proceeds totaling \$159,975 and \$36,877, respectively, from two of the targets. The investigation remains on-going. It is anticipated that arrests will be made.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2015-SD-198	132	2,489	18	82	This wire investigation disrupted a local methamphetamine distributor and several sources of supply. In addition, a short-barreled shotgun was seized and taken off the streets.
	2015-SD-199	156	6,130	16	84	
	2015-SD-200	43	6,816	16	84	
	2015-SD-201	25	905	46	54	During this wiretap investigation, approximately 4 kilograms of heroin and 4.6 ounces of methamphetamine, and \$26,241.00 in narcotics proceeds were seized and fifteen people were arrested.
	2015-SD-202	207	6,937	77	13	
	2015-SD-203	379	11,402	70	30	During the wiretap investigation of a gang-related homicide, detectives made three arrests of gang members for narcotics-related offenses and uncovered another crime. Detectives also obtained additional evidence from conversations between targets on the wiretap including multiple adoptive admissions. Several spin-off search warrants were conducted that yielded further evidence to support the investigative theory. No murder arrests have been made to date but the wiretap did further the investigation and yielded additional evidence for a possible future prosecution of the primary targets.
	2015-SD-204	254	13,504	37	63	
	2015-SD-205	33	850	40	60	
	2015-SD-206	53	1,626	32	68	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2015-SD-208	34	293	38	62	This investigation yielded approximately eight pounds of brown and black tar heroin, two handguns (one stolen), one shotgun, and approximately \$42,000 in narcotics proceeds as well as five arrests. The interceptions allowed agents to gather intelligence and information regarding the mode-of-operation of this drug trafficking organization and served as a guide in identifying customers, runners, couriers, and ultimately the Mexican source of supply, which was consistent with the goals of the investigation.
	2015-SD-209	171	1,782	6	94	The interceptions provided additional investigative leads which are being followed up by law enforcement. The investigation will potentially lead to the arrest and prosecution of those responsible for a double murder.
	2015-SD-210	100	731	18	82	Information obtained during the interception resulted in a second suspect being arrested and charged with murder. The prosecution is pending.
	2015-SD-211	60	4,299	15	85	The interceptions in this investigation led to the seizure of 612 grams of heroin, and one arrest in another state for interstate narcotics offenses. The use of wire interceptions in this case will help reduce the impact of heroin into the other state. Wire intercepts have led to the identification of additional couriers, and a drug trafficking organization located in the other state.
	2015-SD-212	127	3,266	20	80	Through this wiretap, agents seized approximately 75 kilograms of marijuana. This wiretap also led agents to target additional Mexico based drug supply sources.
	2015-SD-213	5	1,129	21	79	Through this wiretap, agents were able to identify additional cellular phones being used by members of this drug trafficking organization. Agents were then able to continue the investigation into additional supply sources.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2015-SD-214	84	1,130	44	56	Through this wiretap, agents seized 25.5 kilograms of marijuana and 16.85 kilograms of methamphetamine. Agents anticipate arresting at least four targets and conducting several search warrants based off information obtained from this investigation. Agents are continuing to target additional Mexico based supply sources.
	2015-SD-215	38	641	34	66	This wiretap assisted in identifying higher level narcotic traffickers within San Diego County. This investigation has also provided information relating to narcotics transportation from Mexico into the United States. Additional wiretap orders have been initiated based on the intercepts of this initial order.
San Joaquin	2015-SJ-54	433	12,347			As a result of wiretap investigation, officers made 31 arrests, including the arrest of a murder suspect, and seized numerous firearms, narcotics, and currency. This report is associated with the following wiretaps: 2015-01, 2015-03, 2015-05, 2015-06, 2015-7, 2015-11, 2015-12, 2015-13, 2015-14, 2015-16, 2015-23, 2015-17, 2015-25, and 2015-22.
	2015-SJ-55	329	6,324			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-56	243	6,289			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-57		0			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-58	224	9,153			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-59	23	64			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-60	23	64			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-61	67	6,543			See 2015-SJ-54..
	2015-SJ-62	169	3,867			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-63	97	1,478			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-64	86	994			See 2015-SJ-54.
	2015-SJ-65	11	108			See 2015-SJ-54.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2015-SJ-66	298	25,530			As a result of the wiretap investigation, officers made 36 arrests, seized 21 firearms, 30 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$58,000 in narcotics proceeds. The suspects in this investigation were arrested for crimes ranging from conspiracy to commit murder to drug and gun trafficking. This report is associated with the following wiretaps: 2015-02, 2015-04, 2015-08, 2015-09, 2015-10, 2015-15, 2015-18, 2015-19, 2015-20, 2015-21, 2015-23, 2015-24, 2015-25, 2015-26, 2015-27, 2015-28, and 2015-29.
	2015-SJ-67	56	1,149			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-69	128	3,721			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-70	176	4,731			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-71	33	180			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-72	102	3,466			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-73	92	2,066			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-74	35	3,806			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-75	16	20			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-76	81	4,259			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-77	62	1,162			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-78	109	2,490			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-79	85	1,966			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-80	59	535			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-81	144	2,031			See 2015-SJ-66.
	2015-SJ-82	28	210			See 2015-SJ-66.
San Luis Obispo	2016-SLO-9	289	14,047	5	95	This wiretap order was part of an investigation by law enforcement regarding suspected international trafficking of narcotics. As a result of this wiretap, 10 defendants were indicted for conspiring to distribute cocaine. Several of those defendants were also charged with distributing cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Luis Obispo (cont'd)	2016-SLO-10	280	1,652	8	92	This wiretap intercept was used to monitor phone calls of a suspected cocaine and methamphetamine dealer which resulted in an arrest and plea to the sale of cocaine.
Santa Barbara	2015-SBA-50	205	33,678	0.71	99	This investigation was into a cold case murder.
	2015-SBA-51	21	4,890	26	74	This wiretap was used to identify a large supplier of methamphetamine and heroin in Santa Barbara County. The drug trafficking organization included a local criminal street gang that was taxing the narcotics in the community. From this wiretap, the investigation identified the supplier and the extent of the organization. The supplier was ultimately arrested in possession of over a kilogram of heroin, over four kilograms of methamphetamine, and ammunition. In addition, a vehicle and approximately \$27,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2015-SBA-52	28	3,404	33.5	66	See 2015-SBA-51.
Santa Clara	2015-SCL-85	57	302	7	93	See WT 15-02, 15-03 and 15-04. The summary of the investigation is reflected in WT 15-04.
	2015-SCL-86	87	14,354	1	99	See WT 15-01, 15-03 and 15-04. The summary of the investigation is reflected in WT 15-04.
	2015-SCL-88	3	41	2	98	The investigation resulted in the seizure of 30 pounds of methamphetamine outside of California. A vehicle was also seized and the target's supply source was dismantled. The investigation is still on-going. See WT 15-01, 15-02 and 15-03.
	2015-SCL-89	100	5,720	58	42	See WT 15-05, WT 15-06 and WT 15-07. The summary of the investigation is reported in WT 15-07.
	2015-SCL-90	50	3,695	22	78	See WT 15-05, 15-06 and 15-07. The investigation summary is reflected in WT 15-07.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2015-SCL-91	17	443	36	64	This investigation (WT 15-05, 15-06 and 15-07) led to the dismantling of a sophisticated heroin and methamphetamine distribution operation that catered to hundreds of substance abusers in Santa Clara County and neighboring Bay Area counties. Hundreds of drug transactions were identified during the course of the investigation. Six people were arrested, \$57,000 in assets were seized, one stolen firearm was located, and approximately one kilogram of heroin and two ounces of methamphetamine were recovered.
	2015-SCL-92	32	1,382	7	93	This wiretap investigation was initiated to solve a string of gang related strong arm robberies that occurred in several counties. Ultimately, 28 armed robberies of local retail establishments were solved as a result of this investigation. Six gang members were arrested and charged.
	2015-SCL-94	17	209	30	70	See WT 15-12 and WT 15-14.
	2015-SCL-95	66	885	1	99	This intercept involved a cold case murder investigation that is on-going.
	2015-SCL-96	44	2,234	31	69	See with WT 15-10 and 15-14. This investigation into a Santa Clara County drug trafficking organization is still pending.
	2015-SCL-97	23	3,638	3	97	This is a homicide investigation that is currently on-going.
	2015-SCL-98	78	1,420	34	66	See WT 15-10 and 15-12. This is an investigation into a drug trafficking organization. The investigation is pending.
Stanislaus	2015-STA-78	143	9,224	95	5	The target was a homicide suspect. The intercepts led to an arrest for drug charges. The investigation is on-going.
	2015-STA-79	67	805	23	77	The intercepted telephone communication detailed intricacies of the methamphetamine trafficking organization and identified criminal co-conspirators, drug- routes, stash houses and location of drugs and proceeds. This information was used to prosecute members of the organization.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2015-STA-80	86	974	23.5	76	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 40 pounds of methamphetamine, 8 pounds of heroin, and \$7,000 in narcotics proceeds and the arrest of three people.
	2015-STA-81	88	8,390	2.81	97.19	The wire intercept was necessary to capture pertinent conversation regarding a murder.
	2015-STA-82	88	4,275	1	99	The wire intercept was necessary to capture pertinent conversation regarding a murder.
Tulare	2015-TUL-27	21	1,105			
	2015-TUL-28	12	133			This intercept led to the seizure of 16,574 grams of methamphetamine, 2 grams of cocaine, 942 grams of heroin, one vehicle, 11 firearms, and \$4,780 in narcotics proceeds.
	2015-TUL-30	96	1,744			
	2015-TUL-33	88	5,333			The wiretap intercept led to the arrest of the target of our investigation for possession of a controlled substance, marijuana, pills, and cocaine.
	2015-TUL-35	211	2,891			
	2015-TUL-36	241	155			Members of the gang targeted by this investigation were responsible for multiple homicides in the greater Tulare County area. During the course of this investigation, gang members continued to plan out and attempted to complete multiple homicides, home invasions, and robberies in furtherance of the gang. The intercepts authorized in this investigation allowed agents to discover and deter many of the violent crimes planned by the gang and allowed agents to gather evidence necessary to prosecute the gang members for their participation in the conspiracies to commit those crimes. The intercepts further allowed agents to discover and seize several firearms during the course of the investigation which were destined to be used in criminal activity.
	2015-TUL-37	159	7,780			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-38	314	10,314			See 2015-TUL-36.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Tulare (cont'd)	2015-TUL-39	159	7,780			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-40	154	643			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-41	80	4,907			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-42	23	28			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-43	146	2,998			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-44	15	23			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-46	62	756			
	2015-TUL-47	145	4,336			
	2015-TUL-48	55	2,121			
	2015-TUL-49	233	14,284			
	2015-TUL-50	74	2,523			
	2015-TUL-51	33	2,430			
	2015-TUL-53	58	3,202			
	2015-TUL-54	62	952			
	2015-TUL-55	100	1,900			
	2015-TUL-56	30	1,456			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-57	28	317			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-58	28	82			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-59	83	735			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-60	91	1,336			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-61	53	1,875			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-62	88	5,471			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-63	23	682			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2015-TUL-64	59	1,599			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-65	46	492			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-66	10	71			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-67	34	1,115			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-68	42	720			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-69	81	1,224			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-70	150	2,576			See 2015-TUL-36.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Tulare (cont'd)	2016-TUL-71	20	543			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-72	25	116			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-73	83	735			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-74	77	1,341			See 2015-TUL-36.
	2016-TUL-75	96	1,744			
	2016-TUL-79	88	5,333			See 2015-TUL-33.
Ventura	2015-VE-114	80	1,563	12	88	This investigation is on-going. Future arrests are anticipated.
	2015-VE-116		0			See 2015-VE-114 for statistics.
	2015-VE-117		0			See 2015-VE-114 for statistics.
	2015-VE-118	65	1,180	4	96	
	2015-VE-119		0			See 2015-VE-118 for statistics.
	2015-VE-120	40	2,007	42	58	Future arrests are anticipated.
	2015-VE-121		0			See 2015-VE-120 for statistics.
	2015-VE-122	18	2,877	5	95	Future arrests are anticipated.
	2015-VE-123	76	2,313	21	79	Future arrests are anticipated.
	2015-VE-124		0			See 2015-VE-123 for statistics.
	2015-VE-125	146	10,045	17	83	As a result of the wiretap intercept, multiple gang members were arrested for possession, and for the sale of heroin and methamphetamine. Multiple pounds of methamphetamine were seized, as well as two assault weapons, and numerous other firearms.
	2015-VE-126		0			See 2015-VE-125 for statistics.
	2015-VE-127		0			See 2015-VE-125 for statistics.
	2015-VE-128		0			See 2015-VE-125 for statistics.
	2015-VE-129	38	2,938	13	87	Future arrests are anticipated.
2015-VE-130		0			See 2015-VE-129 for statistics.	
2015-VE-131	35	5,296	6	94		
2015-VE-132		0			See 2015-VE-131 for statistics.	
2015-VE-133		0			See 2015-VE-131 for statistics.	
2015-VE-134	174	14,092	23	77	Future arrests are anticipated.	
2015-VE-135		0			See 2015-VE-134 for statistics.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-136	217	2,444	3	97	
	2015-VE-137		0			See 2015-VE-136 for statistics.
	2015-VE-139		0			See 2015-VE-141 for statistics..
	2015-VE-140		0			See 2015-VE-141 for statistics.
	2015-VE-141	45	1,025	46	54	
	2015-VE-142		0			See 2015-VE-141 for statistics.
	2015-VE-143	432	14,966	25	75	
	2015-VE-144		0			See 2015-VE-143 for statistics.
	2015-VE-145		0			See 2015-VE-143 for statistics.
	2015-VE-146		0			See 2015-VE-143 for statistics.
	2015-VE-147		0			See 2015-VE-143 for statistics.
	2015-VE-148	89	4,377	19	81	
	2015-VE-149		0			See 2015-VE-148 for statistics.
	2015-VE-150	196	10,134	21	79	
	2015-VE-152		0			See 2015-VE-150 for statistics.
	2015-VE-153		0			See 2015-VE-150 for statistics.
	2015-VE-154		0			See 2015-VE-150 for statistics.
	2015-VE-155		0			See 2015-VE-150 for statistics.
	2015-VE-156	46	807	70	30	
	2015-VE-157		0			See 2015-VE-156 for statistics.
	2015-VE-158		0			See 2015-VE-156 for statistics.
	2015-VE-159	109	4,948	16	84	This wiretap operation led to the seizure of over 40 pounds of methamphetamine and multiple pounds of cocaine and heroin after the identification of stash houses and an interstate trafficking organization. Six individuals were arrested and are currently charged with drug-related offenses.
	2015-VE-160		0			See 2015-VE-159 for statistics.
	2015-VE-161		0			
	2015-VE-162	105	10,477	16	84	Charges are pending.
	2015-VE-163		0			See 2015-VE-162 for statistics.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-164		0			See 2015-VE-162 for statistics.
	2015-VE-165		0			See 2015-VE-162 for statistics.
	2015-VE-166	35	795	46	54	
	2015-VE-167		0			See 2015-VE-166 for statistics.
	2015-VE-168		0			See 2015-VE-166 for statistics.
	2015-VE-169	196	9,578	11	89	
	2015-VE-170		0			See 2015-VE-169 for statistics.
	2015-VE-171		0			See 2015-VE-169 for statistics.
	2015-VE-172	35	1,488	43	57	
	2015-VE-173	111	7,898	20	80	As a result of the wiretap intercept, multiple subjects were arrested following the recovery of several pounds of methamphetamine and heroin from a drug trafficking organization. Future arrests are also anticipated.
	2015-VE-174		0			See 2015-VE-173 for statistics.
	2015-VE-175		0			See 2015-VE-173 for statistics.
Yolo	2015-YO-1	50	5,503	20	80	Intercepts made from the target lines were key in showing the flow of firearms and drugs from gang members. The significant amounts of automatic firearms and drugs seized as a result of the investigation represents a positive impact on crime prevention.

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2014-ALA-21	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	9/18/2015	6/18/2015	9/17/2015	574
	2014-ALA-23	Dunleavy, CADA	Hon. Rolefson	9/18/2015	6/18/2015	9/17/2015	574
	2014-ALA-25	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	9/18/2015	6/18/2015	9/17/2015	574
	2014-ALA-26	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	9/18/2015	6/18/2015	9/17/2015	574
	2014-ALA-27	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	9/18/2015	6/18/2015	9/17/2015	574
	2015-ALA-36	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	9/18/2015	6/18/2015	9/17/2015	574
	2015-ALA-37	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/20/2016	12/22/2015		
	2015-ALA-38	Dunleavy, CADA	Hon. Rolefson	3/20/2016	12/22/2015		
	2015-ALA-39	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/20/2016	12/22/2015		
	2015-ALA-40	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/20/2016	12/22/2015		
	2015-ALA-41	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 6/3/2015	8/3/2015	10/20/2015	992
	2015-ALA-42	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/21/2016		12/16/2015	376
	2015-ALA-43	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	1/21/2016		12/16/2015	376
	2015-ALA-44	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 5/11/2015	8/3/2015	10/20/2015	992
	2015-ALA-45	Dunleavy, CADA	Hon. Rolefson	2/16/2016		12/16/2015	376
	2015-ALA-46	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/18/2016		12/16/2015	376
	2015-ALA-47	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/5/2016		12/16/2015	376
	2015-ALA-48	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/22/2016		12/16/2015	376

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda (cont'd)	2015-ALA-49	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 6/3/2015	8/3/2015	10/20/2015	992
	2015-ALA-50	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 6/3/2015	8/3/2015	10/20/2015	992
	2015-ALA-51	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 6/3/2015	8/3/2015	10/20/2015	992
	2015-ALA-52	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 6/3/2015	8/3/2015	10/20/2015	992
	2015-ALA-53	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-54	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-55	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-56	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-57	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-58	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-59	Dunleavy, CADA	Hon. Rolefson	2/4/2016	11/9/2015	1/8/2016	1350
	2015-ALA-60	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	1/25/2016	10/26/2015		
	2016-ALA-61	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/22/2016		12/16/2015	376
Contra Costa	2015-CC-12	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 6/10/2015		10/9/2015	
	2015-CC-13	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 6/13/2015		10/9/2015	
	2015-CC-14	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 7/5/2015		10/9/2015	
	2015-CC-15	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 7/5/2015		10/9/2015	
	2015-CC-16	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	2/16/2016			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Contra Costa (cont'd)	2015-CC-17	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	2/25/2016			
	2015-CC-18	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 10/18/2015	11/16/2015		
	2015-CC-19	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 11/12/2015	11/16/2015		
	2015-CC-20	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 11/18/2015	11/16/2015		
Fresno	2015-FR-32	Dyer, Chief of Police	Hon. Harrell	8/21/2015		6/25/2015	13
	2015-FR-33	Pingrey, Detective	Hon. Peterson	3/4/2016			
Kern	2016-KE-56	Green, DA	Hon. Lewis	6/18/2015		6/17/2015	3
	2016-KE-57	Green, DA	Hon. Lewis	6/15/2015		6/17/2015	3
	2016-KE-58	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	7/15/2015		6/30/2015	6
	2016-KE-59	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	7/15/2015		6/30/2015	6
	2016-KE-60	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	7/15/2015		6/30/2015	6
	2016-KE-61	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	7/15/2015		6/30/2015	1
	2016-KE-62	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	9/1/2015		9/24/2015	2
	2016-KE-63	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	12/2/2015	2/2/2015		
	2016-KE-64	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	9/23/2015		11/23/2015	11
	2016-KE-65	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	11/23/2015		11/23/2015	11
	2016-KE-66	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	11/23/2015		11/23/2015	11
	2016-KE-67	Green, DA	Hon. Lewis	3/18/2016			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kern (cont'd)	2016-KE-69	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	9/1/2015		7/2/2015	1
	2016-KE-70	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	9/15/2015		7/2/2015	16
Los Angeles	2015-LA-885	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/5/2015			
	2015-LA-886	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/25/2015			
	2015-LA-887	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/28/2015			
	2015-LA-888	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/26/2015			
	2015-LA-889	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/12/2015			
	2015-LA-890	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/4/2015			
	2015-LA-891	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/14/2015			
	2015-LA-892	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/18/2015			
	2015-LA-894	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/24/2015			
	2015-LA-895	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/22/2015			
	2015-LA-896	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/6/2015			
	2015-LA-897	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/27/2015			
	2015-LA-898	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/3/2015			
	2015-LA-900	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/16/2015			
	2015-LA-902	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/9/2015			
	2015-LA-905	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/26/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-907	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/26/2015			
	2015-LA-908	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/7/2015			
	2015-LA-909	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/1/2015			
	2015-LA-910	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/11/2015			
	2015-LA-914	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/28/2015			
	2015-LA-915	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/29/2015			
	2015-LA-916	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/23/2015			
	2015-LA-918	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 12/17/2015			
	2015-LA-919	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/24/2015			
	2015-LA-920	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/23/2015			
	2015-LA-921	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/30/2015			
	2015-LA-922	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/14/2015			
	2015-LA-925	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/25/2016			
	2015-LA-926	Spillane, CDDA	Hon. Fidler	2/3/2016			
	2015-LA-927	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/1/2015			
	2015-LA-928	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/3/2015			
	2015-LA-929	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/4/2015			
	2015-LA-930	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/31/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-931	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/14/2015			
	2016-LA-932	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/12/2015			
	2016-LA-933	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/11/2015			
	2016-LA-934	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/25/2015			
	2016-LA-936	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/6/2015			
	2016-LA-938	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/2/2015			
	2016-LA-940	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/19/2015			
	2016-LA-941	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/25/2015			
	2016-LA-942	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/2/2015			
	2016-LA-943	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/14/2015			
	2016-LA-944	Spillane, CDDA	Hon. Fidler	9/19/2015			
	2016-LA-945	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/15/2015			
	2016-LA-947	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/26/2015			
	2016-LA-948	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/8/2015			
	2016-LA-949	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/20/2015			
	2016-LA-952	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/22/2015			
	2016-LA-953	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/16/2015			
	2016-LA-954	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/20/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-955	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/5/2015			
	2016-LA-956	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/12/2015			
	2016-LA-957	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/28/2015			
	2016-LA-958	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/31/2015			
	2016-LA-959	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/19/2015			
	2016-LA-960	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/13/2015			
	2016-LA-961	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/3/2015			
	2016-LA-962	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/16/2015			
	2016-LA-963	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/24/2015			
	2016-LA-964	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/22/2015			
	2016-LA-966	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/12/2015			
	2016-LA-967	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/17/2015			
	2016-LA-968	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/14/2015			
	2016-LA-969	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/16/2015			
	2016-LA-970	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/2/2015			
	2016-LA-971	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/7/2016			
	2016-LA-973	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/5/2015			
	2016-LA-974	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/6/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-975	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/29/2015			
	2016-LA-976	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/20/2015			
	2016-LA-977	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/7/2016			
	2016-LA-978	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/5/2015			
	2016-LA-979	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/15/2016			
	2016-LA-980	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/28/2016		1/30/2016	
	2016-LA-981	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/4/2016			
	2016-LA-982	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/19/2016			
	2016-LA-983	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/29/2016			
	2016-LA-984	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/19/2015			
	2016-LA-985	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/19/2015			
	2016-LA-986	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/26/2015			
	2016-LA-987	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/2/2015			
	2016-LA-988	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/16/2015			
	2016-LA-989	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/13/2015			
	2016-LA-990	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/17/2015			
	2016-LA-991	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/29/2015			
	2016-LA-993	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/8/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-994	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/15/2015			
	2016-LA-995	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/15/2015			
	2016-LA-996	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/6/2015			
	2016-LA-997	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/16/2015			
	2016-LA-998	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/16/2015			
	2016-LA-999	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/16/2015			
	2016-LA-1000	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/1/2015			
	2016-LA-1002	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/16/2015			
	2016-LA-1003	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/28/2015			
	2016-LA-1004	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/28/2015			
	2016-LA-1005	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2015			
	2016-LA-1006	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/8/2015			
	2016-LA-1007	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/11/2016			
	2016-LA-1008	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/5/2016			
	2016-LA-1009	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2016			
	2016-LA-1010	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/29/2016			
	2016-LA-1011	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/25/2015			
	2016-LA-1013	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1014	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/2/2015			
	2016-LA-1015	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/10/2015			
	2016-LA-1016	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/17/2015			
	2016-LA-1017	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/10/2015			
	2016-LA-1018	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/27/2015			
	2016-LA-1019	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/4/2016			
	2016-LA-1020	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/25/2015			
	2016-LA-1021	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/4/2016			
	2016-LA-1022	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/23/2016			
	2016-LA-1024	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/24/2016			
	2016-LA-1025	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/18/2016			
	2016-LA-1026	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/11/2015			
	2016-LA-1028	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/18/2016			
	2016-LA-1029	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/19/2015			
	2016-LA-1030	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/3/2015			
	2016-LA-1031	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/26/2015			
	2016-LA-1032	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/7/2015			
	2016-LA-1033	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/17/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1035	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/3/2015			
	2016-LA-1036	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/3/2015			
	2016-LA-1037	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/12/2015			
	2016-LA-1038	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/12/2015			
	2016-LA-1039	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/12/2015			
	2016-LA-1040	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2015			
	2016-LA-1041	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/20/2016			
	2016-LA-1042	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/25/2015			
	2016-LA-1043	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/22/2015			
	2016-LA-1046	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/13/2015			
Merced	2015-MER-28	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	9/5/2015		10/6/2015	296
	2016-MER-29	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 6/30/2015			
	2016-MER-30	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 7/19/2015			
	2016-MER-31	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 7/25/2015			
	2016-MER-32	Morse, DA	Hon. Hansen	(Inventory due) 7/19/2015			
	2016-MER-33	Morse, DA	Hon. Hansen	(Inventory due) 7/19/2015			
	2016-MER-34	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 7/19/2015			
	2016-MER-35	Morse, DA		(Inventory due) 7/19/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Merced (cont'd)	2016-MER-36	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-37	Morse, DA	Hon. Hansen	(Inventory due) 8/9/2015			
	2016-MER-38	Morse, DA	Hon. Hansen	(Inventory due) 7/16/2015			
	2016-MER-39	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 7/25/2015			
	2016-MER-40	Morse, DA	Hon. Ash	(Inventory due) 8/4/2015			
	2016-MER-41	Morse, DA	Hon. Kirihara	(Inventory due) 8/7/2015			
	2016-MER-42	Morse, DA	Hon. Kirihara	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-43	Morse, DA	Hon. Kirihara	(Inventory due) 8/9/2015			
	2016-MER-44	Morse, DA	Hon. Kirihara	(Inventory due) 8/7/2015			
	2016-MER-45	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-46	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 8/7/2015			
	2016-MER-47	Morse, DA	Hon. Proetti	(Inventory due) 8/25/2015			
	2016-MER-48	Morse, DA	Hon. Proeitti	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-49	Morse, DA		(Inventory due) 8/16/2015			
	2016-MER-50	Morse, DA	Hon. Hansen	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-51	Morse, DA	Hon. Hansen	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-52	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 8/16/2015			
	2016-MER-53	Morse, DA	Hon. Ash	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Merced (cont'd)	2016-MER-54	Morse, DA	Hon. Ash	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-55	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-56	Morse, DA	Hon. Kiriara	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-57	Morse, DA	Hon. Kiriara	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-58	Morse, DA	Hon. Kiriara	(Inventory due) 8/26/2015			
	2016-MER-59	Morse, DA	Hon. McCabe	(Inventory due) 8/7/2015			
	2016-MER-60	Morse, DA	Hon. Kiriara	(Inventory due) 11/4/2015			
	2016-MER-61	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 12/5/2015			
	2016-MER-62	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 12/10/2015			
	2016-MER-63	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 1/25/2016			
	2016-MER-64	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 2/18/2016			
	2016-MER-65	Morse, DA	Hon. Bacciarini	(Inventory due) 3/3/2016			
Monterey	2015-MTY-24	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	8/19/2015		6/26/2015	246
	2015-MTY-25	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 6/28/2015			
	2015-MTY-26	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 7/28/2015			
	2015-MTY-27	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 7/26/2015			
	2015-MTY-28	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/17/2015			
	2015-MTY-29	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/5/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Monterey (cont'd)	2015-MTY-30	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/17/2015			
	2015-MTY-31	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/17/2015			
	2015-MTY-32	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/17/2015			
	2015-MTY-33	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 6/28/2015			
	2015-MTY-34	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 6/28/2015			
	2015-MTY-35	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 6/28/2015			
	2015-MTY-36	Dunagan, Salinas PD	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 6/29/2015			
Orange	2015-OR-89	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	4/22/2015			
	2015-OR-90	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	6/15/2015			
	2015-OR-91	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	9/1/2015			
	2015-OR-92	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	3/2/2016	12/1/2015		
	2015-OR-93	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	3/16/2016	12/18/2015		
	2015-OR-94	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	12/26/2015	9/25/2015		
	2015-OR-95	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	1/2/2016	10/5/2015		
	2015-OR-96	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	10/28/2015		10/28/2015	1
	2015-OR-97	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	2/3/2016	11/3/2015		
	2015-OR-98	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/10/2016	11/13/2015		
	2015-OR-99	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/4/2016	12/4/2015		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Orange (cont'd)	2015-OR-100	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/4/2016	12/4/2015		
	2015-OR-101	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/22/2016	12/22/2015		
	2015-OR-102	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	1/23/2016			
	2015-OR-103	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	1/6/2016			
	2015-OR-104	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	2/2/2016			
	2015-OR-105	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	2/6/2016			
	2015-OR-106	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	2/23/2016			
	2015-OR-107	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/9/2016			
	2015-OR-108	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/12/2016			
	2015-OR-109	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/2/2016	12/30/2015		
	2015-OR-110	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menniger/Jensen	3/2/2016			
	2015-OR-111	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	1/13/2016			
	2015-OR-112	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	12/30/2015			
	2015-OR-113	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	1/12/2016			
	2015-OR-114	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	1/30/2016			
	2015-OR-115	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	3/18/2016			
	2015-OR-116	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	2/4/2016			
	2015-OR-117	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	2/8/2016			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Orange (cont'd)	2015-OR-118	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Jensen	2/12/2016			
	2015-OR-119	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Menninger	12/4/2015		12/4/2015	3
Riverside	2014-RIV-1318	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/4/2015	5/15/2015	12/8/2015	3
	2014-RIV-1391	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/15/2015	5/15/2015	12/8/2015	1
	2014-RIV-1397	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/13/2015	5/13/2015	12/8/2015	4
	2014-RIV-1422	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/5/2015	5/21/2015	1/12/2016	2
	2014-RIV-1450	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/17/2015	10/19/2015		
	2014-RIV-1454	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/4/2015			
	2014-RIV-1465	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/2/2015		5/21/2015	6
	2014-RIV-1469	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/9/2015	10/19/2015		
	2014-RIV-1531	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/28/2015	5/21/2015	1/12/2016	2
	2014-RIV-1532	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/6/2015			
	2014-RIV-1536	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/9/2015			
	2014-RIV-1537	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/4/2015		6/17/2015	2
	2014-RIV-1543	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/11/2015		6/17/2015	1
	2014-RIV-1547	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/12/2015		6/17/2015	3
	2014-RIV-1548	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/11/2015		6/17/2015	3
	2014-RIV-1556	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/8/2015	10/19/2015		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1562	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/16/2015	7/9/2015	1/12/2016	4
	2014-RIV-1564	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2015			
	2014-RIV-1566	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2015			
	2014-RIV-1567	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/16/2015			
	2014-RIV-1578	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/2/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2014-RIV-1580	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/8/2015			
	2014-RIV-1583	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/2/2015			
	2014-RIV-1584	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/2/2015			
	2014-RIV-1585	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/29/2015			
	2014-RIV-1588	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/7/2015			
	2014-RIV-1590	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/3/2015	10/29/2015		
	2014-RIV-1592	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/4/2015			
	2014-RIV-1593	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/6/2015			
	2014-RIV-1594	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/7/2015			
	2014-RIV-1595	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/8/2015			
	2014-RIV-1596	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/3/2015			
	2014-RIV-1597	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/28/2015			
	2014-RIV-1598	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/23/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1599	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/16/2015			
	2014-RIV-1600	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/13/2015			
	2014-RIV-1601	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/10/2015			
	2014-RIV-1602	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/4/2015			
	2014-RIV-1603	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/1/2015			
	2014-RIV-1604	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/8/2015			
	2014-RIV-1605	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/6/2015			
	2014-RIV-1606	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/15/2015			
	2014-RIV-1607	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/5/2015			
	2014-RIV-1608	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2015			
	2014-RIV-1609	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/15/2015	5/13/2015	12/8/2015	6
	2014-RIV-1610	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/11/2015			
	2014-RIV-1611	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2015			
	2014-RIV-1612	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/7/2015	10/19/2015		
	2014-RIV-1613	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2015			
	2014-RIV-1614	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2015			
	2014-RIV-1615	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/19/2015			
	2014-RIV-1616	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2015		7/14/2015	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1617	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/15/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2014-RIV-1618	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/19/2015			
	2014-RIV-1619	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2015			
	2014-RIV-1620	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2015			
	2014-RIV-1621	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/17/2015			
	2014-RIV-1622	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/25/2015			
	2014-RIV-1623	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/29/2015			
	2014-RIV-1624	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/29/2015	5/15/2015	1/8/2016	146
	2014-RIV-1625	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/24/2015			
	2014-RIV-1626	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/28/2015		12/8/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1627	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/15/2015		4/17/2015	12
	2015-RIV-1628	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	4/6/2015		8/21/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1629	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/5/2015		6/23/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1630	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	4/28/2015		6/23/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1631	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	4/29/2015	1/24/2016	1/6/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1632	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/8/2015	8/14/2015		
	2015-RIV-1633	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/5/2015	8/14/2015		
	2015-RIV-1634	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/6/2015	4/29/2015	12/17/2015	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1635	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/10/2015		5/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1636	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	4/28/2015		6/23/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1637	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/8/2015		6/23/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1638	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		6/11/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1639	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/7/2015		7/7/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1641	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/8/2015		6/23/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1642	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/8/2015		5/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1643	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/6/2015		4/21/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1644	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/12/2015		6/23/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1645	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/15/2015		8/21/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1646	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/9/2015		5/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1647	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/8/2015		8/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1648	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/9/2015		8/21/2015	11
	2015-RIV-1649	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/13/2015		5/13/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1650	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/2/2015		6/23/2015	13
	2015-RIV-1651	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	4/30/2015		4/30/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1652	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/9/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1653	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/12/2015		6/23/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1654	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/19/2015		6/23/2014	1
	2015-RIV-1655	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/14/2015		6/23/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1656	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/20/2015		4/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1657	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/18/2015		8/21/2015	21
	2015-RIV-1658	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/14/2015		6/23/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1659	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/14/2015		6/23/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1660	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/20/2015		5/20/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1661	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/22/2015	5/15/2015	11/17/2015	32
	2015-RIV-1662	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	12
	2015-RIV-1663	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/19/2015	5/15/2015	11/19/2015	160
	2015-RIV-1664	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/21/2015		8/21/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1665	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/20/2015		5/20/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1666	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/16/2015		7/14/2015	16
	2015-RIV-1667	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1668	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1669	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/20/2015	7/9/2015	12/8/2015	24
	2015-RIV-1670	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/7/2015		5/7/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1671	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	4

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1672	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1673	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1674	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1675	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/9/2015		7/14/2015	22
	2015-RIV-1676	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		5/25/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1677	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1678	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/29/2015	5/21/2015	12/8/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1679	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/28/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1680	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/20/2015		8/21/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1681	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/1/2015		7/14/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1682	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/7/2015		7/14/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1683	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/28/2015		8/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1685	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/12/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1686	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/8/2015		8/27/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1687	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/1/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1688	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/18/2015		7/14/2015	126
	2015-RIV-1689	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/4/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1690	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/5/2015		8/21/2015	4

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1691	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/22/2015		4/22/2015	13
	2015-RIV-1692	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015	2/16/2016		
	2015-RIV-1693	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/3/2015		6/23/2014	1
	2015-RIV-1694	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/3/2015	2/26/2016		
	2015-RIV-1695	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/16/2015		6/16/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1696	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/26/2015		5/26/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1697	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/11/2015		8/21/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1698	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/7/2015	4/29/2015	12/17/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1699	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/10/2015		7/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1700	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/24/2015		7/14/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1701	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/7/2015		8/7/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1702	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1703	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/13/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1704	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		6/23/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1706	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1707	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/20/2015		8/20/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1708	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/12/2015		8/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1709	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		8/21/2015	4

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1710	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/12/2015		6/23/2015	8
	2015-RIV-1711	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/12/2015		8/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1712	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/4/2015		6/4/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1713	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/13/2015	5/21/2015	12/8/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1714	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/9/2015		8/21/2015	8
	2015-RIV-1715	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/12/2015		6/12/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1716	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/23/2015		6/23/2014	3
	2015-RIV-1717	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/28/2015	7/9/2015	12/17/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1718	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		6/11/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1719	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/11/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1720	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/13/2015		8/21/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1721	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/1/2015		6/23/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1722	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/25/2015		5/25/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1723	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/18/2015		7/14/2015	19
	2015-RIV-1724	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/19/2015		6/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1725	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/10/2015		6/23/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1726	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/29/2015		6/29/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1727	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/21/2015		6/21/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1728	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/19/2015		6/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1729	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/29/2015		6/29/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1730	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/2/2015		5/20/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1731	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/20/2015		8/21/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1732	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	5/23/2015		5/23/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1733	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/25/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1734	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/21/2015		5/21/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1735	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/21/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1736	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/6/2015		7/14/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1737	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/21/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1738	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/19/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1739	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/26/2015		8/21/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1741	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/21/2015		6/21/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1742	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/21/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1743	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/26/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1744	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/15/2015		8/21/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1745	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/25/2015		9/16/2015	24
	2015-RIV-1746	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/26/2015		6/26/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1747	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/24/2015		8/21/2015	15
	2015-RIV-1748	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/25/2015		7/14/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1749	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/29/2015		6/29/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1750	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/2/2015	5/15/2015	11/19/2015	66
	2015-RIV-1751	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/29/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1752	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/8/2015		6/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1753	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/29/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1754	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/1/2015		8/21/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1755	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/18/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1756	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/3/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1757	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/3/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1758	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/20/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1759	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/3/2015		7/14/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1760	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/4/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1761	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/4/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1762	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/4/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1763	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/4/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1764	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/25/2015		7/14/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1765	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/8/2015		7/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1767	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/8/2015		7/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1768	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/8/2015	4/29/2015	12/17/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1769	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/30/2015		7/14/2015	20
	2015-RIV-1770	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/9/2015		8/21/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1771	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/28/2015	7/9/2015	12/17/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1772	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/8/2015	1/31/2016	1/19/2016	44
	2015-RIV-1773	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/9/2015		7/14/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1774	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	6/16/2015		7/14/2015	12
	2015-RIV-1775	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/10/2015		9/16/2015	11
	2015-RIV-1776	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/11/2015		8/21/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1777	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/7/2015		11/2/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1778	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/10/2015		7/14/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1779	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/10/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1780	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/10/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1781	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/11/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1782	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/6/2015		7/14/2015	18
	2015-RIV-1783	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/1/2015		8/21/2015	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1784	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/12/2015		7/12/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1785	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/12/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1786	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/13/2015		8/21/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1787	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/12/2015		8/21/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1788	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/12/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1789	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/12/2015		8/21/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1790	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/13/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1791	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/12/2015		7/14/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1792	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/30/2015		8/21/2015	20
	2015-RIV-1793	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		8/21/2015	15
	2015-RIV-1794	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/23/2015	7/9/2015	12/17/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1795	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/19/2015		8/27/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1796	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/19/2015		9/16/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1797	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/21/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1798	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/17/2015	7/9/2015	12/17/2015	20
	2015-RIV-1799	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/9/2015	5/13/2015	12/17/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1800	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/17/2015	4/11/2016		
	2015-RIV-1801	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/7/2015		8/7/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1802	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/24/2015		7/24/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1803	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/19/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1805	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		9/16/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1806	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/19/2015		9/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1807	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/23/2015		7/14/2015	20
	2015-RIV-1808	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/24/2015		8/27/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1809	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/24/2015		7/14/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1810	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/24/2015		9/16/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1811	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1812	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/24/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1813	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/24/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1814	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/23/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1815	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/28/2015		9/16/2015	19
	2015-RIV-1816	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		8/30/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1817	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/23/2015		9/16/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1818	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/25/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1819	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/26/2015		9/16/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1820	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/29/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	12

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1821	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/4/2015		9/16/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1822	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/26/2015		9/16/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1823	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		9/16/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1824	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/6/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1825	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		11/2/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1826	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/29/2015		11/2/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1827	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	84
	2015-RIV-1828	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2015		7/14/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1829	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/6/2015		9/16/2015	30
	2015-RIV-1830	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/10/2015		8/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1831	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1832	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2015		11/2/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1833	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2015		8/27/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1835	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2015		9/16/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1836	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/7/2015		7/14/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1837	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1838	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/7/2015		11/2/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1839	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/10/2015		8/27/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1840	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/5/2015	10/29/2015		
	2015-RIV-1841	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/9/2015	10/29/2015		
	2015-RIV-1842	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/9/2015	8/10/2015	1/19/2016	5
	2015-RIV-1843	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/8/2015		9/16/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1844	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/9/2015		9/16/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1845	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	7/30/2015		7/30/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1846	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/26/2015		11/2/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1847	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/15/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-1848	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/10/2015		8/27/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1849	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/9/2015		9/16/2015	20
	2015-RIV-1850	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/10/2015		8/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1851	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/2/2015		7/14/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1854	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/14/2015	10/22/2015	1/19/2016	6
	2015-RIV-1855	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/13/2015		8/27/2015	8
	2015-RIV-1856	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/13/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1857	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/13/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1858	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/13/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1859	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/13/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	6

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1861	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/16/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1862	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/16/2015			
	2015-RIV-1863	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/15/2015		8/27/2015	30
	2015-RIV-1864	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		11/2/2015	30
	2015-RIV-1865	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/16/2015		9/16/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1866	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/17/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1868	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/2/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1869	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		8/27/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1870	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/20/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1871	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/20/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1872	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/20/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1873	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		8/21/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1874	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/20/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1875	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/22/2015		10/22/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1876	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1877	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1878	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/20/2015		11/2/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1879	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/22/2015		9/16/2015	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1880	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/15/2015	12/8/2015		
	2015-RIV-1881	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/21/2015		11/3/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1882	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/22/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1883	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/23/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1884	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/1/2015		9/1/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1885	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/25/2015		11/2/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1886	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/22/2015		9/16/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1887	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1888	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015		9/16/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1890	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/26/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1891	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/26/2015		11/2/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1892	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1893	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015		11/2/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1894	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015	7/9/2015		
	2015-RIV-1895	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/27/2015		11/2/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1896	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/28/2015		2/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-1897	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/28/2015		9/16/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1898	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/26/2015		8/26/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1899	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/29/2015		9/16/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1900	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/29/2015		11/2/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1901	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/20/2015		11/20/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1902	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/15/2015		8/15/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1903	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/29/2015	11/26/2015	12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1904	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/29/2015		11/2/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1905	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		9/16/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1906	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		11/5/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1907	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/11/2015		9/16/2015	14
	2015-RIV-1908	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015			0
	2015-RIV-1909	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		8/30/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1910	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		8/30/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1911	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1912	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1913	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/30/2015		11/3/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1914	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/26/2015		11/2/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1915	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/7/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1916	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/31/2015		9/16/2015	26

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1917	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/11/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1918	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/11/2015		11/3/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1919	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/12/2015		9/12/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1920	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/11/2015		9/16/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1921	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	8/21/2015		9/16/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1922	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/11/2015		9/16/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1923	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/20/2015		9/20/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1924	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/3/2015		11/3/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1925	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		9/13/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1926	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		9/13/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1927	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/4/2015		11/4/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1928	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		9/16/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1929	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/12/2015	10/19/2015	1/19/2016	30
	2015-RIV-1930	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/11/2015		11/2/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1931	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		11/3/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1932	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/11/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	7
	2015-RIV-1933	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/11/2015		11/2/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1934	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/11/2015		10/30/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1935	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/15/2015	8/10/2015	1/13/2016	65
	2015-RIV-1936	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/14/2015		9/16/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1938	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		11/3/2015	28
	2015-RIV-1939	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/14/2015		11/3/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1940	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/11/2015		9/16/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1941	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/13/2015		11/3/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1942	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/18/2015		9/16/2015	5
	2015-RIV-1943	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/7/2015		11/19/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1944	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/12/2015	10/22/2015		
	2015-RIV-1945	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/19/2015		11/3/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1946	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/17/2015		11/2/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1947	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/19/2015		9/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1948	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/19/2015		9/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1949	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/19/2015	10/29/2015		
	2015-RIV-1950	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/21/2015		9/16/2015	10
	2015-RIV-1952	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/19/2015		9/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1953	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/20/2015	7/9/2015	12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1954	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/16/2015	10/29/2015		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1955	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/21/2015		11/3/2015	9
	2015-RIV-1956	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/20/2015		11/3/2015	6
	2015-RIV-1957	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/1/2015	8/10/2015	1/16/2016	18
	2015-RIV-1958	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		9/27/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1959	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		11/3/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1960	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		11/3/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1961	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		11/3/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1962	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		11/3/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1963	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/22/2015		10/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1964	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/28/2015		9/28/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1965	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/28/2015		11/3/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1966	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/1/2015		11/1/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1967	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/16/2015	10/19/2015		
	2015-RIV-1968	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/27/2015		11/3/2015	30
	2015-RIV-1969	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/28/2015		11/3/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1970	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/28/2015		11/3/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1971	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/1/2015	10/29/2015	1/13/2016	2
	2015-RIV-1973	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/2/2015		11/19/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1974	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/23/2015		9/23/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1975	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/2/2015		10/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1976	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/6/2015		11/6/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1977	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/2/2015		10/2/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1978	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		11/17/2015	26
	2015-RIV-1979	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		10/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1980	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		10/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-1981	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/5/2015	10/29/2015	1/13/2016	2
	2015-RIV-1982	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		11/19/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1983	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/30/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1984	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/24/2015		10/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-1985	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/29/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1986	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/4/2015	10/29/2015	1/13/2016	2
	2015-RIV-1987	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/1/2015	8/10/2015	1/13/2016	33
	2015-RIV-1988	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/2/2015		10/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1989	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Carillo	10/8/2015		10/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1990	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/3/2015		11/2/2015	33
	2015-RIV-1991	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/4/2015		11/2/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1992	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/8/2015		10/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1993	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/24/2015		9/24/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1994	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/7/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-1995	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/10/2015		11/2/2015	13
	2015-RIV-1996	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/6/2015		12/6/2015	0
	2015-RIV-1997	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/11/2015	4/6/2016		
	2015-RIV-1998	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/9/2015		11/19/2015	2
	2015-RIV-1999	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/11/2015		11/11/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2000	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/9/2015		11/9/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2001	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/7/2015		10/7/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2002	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/9/2015	10/22/2015	12/30/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2003	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/7/2015		11/19/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2004	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/10/2015		10/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2005	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/10/2015		11/19/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2006	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/10/2015		10/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2007	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/23/2015		9/23/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2008	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/11/2015	10/19/2015		
	2015-RIV-2009	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/16/2015		10/16/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2011	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/15/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2012	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/16/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2013	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/16/2015		12/8/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2014	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/17/2015		11/19/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2015	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/16/2015		11/19/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2016	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/11/2016		1/19/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2017	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/15/2015	1/11/2016	1/13/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2018	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/18/2015		11/19/2015	7
	2015-RIV-2019	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/18/2015	10/19/2015	1/19/2016	33
	2015-RIV-2020	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/21/2015	10/29/2015	1/13/2016	4
	2015-RIV-2021	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/23/2015	10/29/2015	1/13/2016	4
	2015-RIV-2022	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/30/2015		11/19/2015	32
	2015-RIV-2023	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/17/2015		10/17/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2024	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/12/2015		11/19/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2025	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/22/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2026	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/21/2015		11/19/2015	6
	2015-RIV-2027	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/17/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2028	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/17/2015		11/19/2015	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2029	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/19/2015		10/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2030	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	9/21/2015		9/21/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2031	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/19/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2032	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/19/2015		10/19/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2033	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/22/2015		11/19/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2034	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/20/2015	10/19/2015	1/13/2016	27
	2015-RIV-2035	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/7/2015		10/7/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2036	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/17/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2037	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/13/2015	10/29/2015	1/16/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2038	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/23/2015		11/19/2015	6
	2015-RIV-2039	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/7/2016		1/7/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2040	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/24/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2041	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/24/2015		10/24/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2042	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/28/2015		10/28/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2043	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/23/2015		11/19/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2044	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/22/2015		11/19/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2045	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/23/2015		11/19/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2046	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/8/2012		12/30/2015	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2047	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/12/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2048	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/6/2015		12/8/2015	9
	2015-RIV-2049	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/12/2015	11/13/2015	1/13/2016	3
	2015-RIV-2050	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/5/2015		12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2051	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/7/2015		12/8/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2052	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/7/2015		11/7/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2053	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/8/2015	10/22/2015	12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2054	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/8/2015		12/30/2015	25
	2015-RIV-2055	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/24/2015		10/24/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2056	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/9/2016			
	2015-RIV-2057	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/8/2015		12/8/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2059	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/24/2015		12/8/2015	28
	2015-RIV-2060	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/6/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2061	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/31/2015		12/8/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2062	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/11/2015		12/8/2015	6
	2015-RIV-2063	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/31/2015		12/8/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2064	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/6/2015		11/6/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2065	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/6/2015		11/6/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2066	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/9/2015		12/8/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2067	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/14/2016		12/30/2015	6
	2015-RIV-2069	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/13/2015	12/8/2015		
	2015-RIV-2070	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/12/2015		12/8/2015	8
	2015-RIV-2071	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/10/2015		11/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2072	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	10/20/2015			
	2015-RIV-2073	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/13/2015		12/8/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2074	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/12/2015		12/30/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2075	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/12/2015		12/8/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2076	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/13/2015		12/8/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2078	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/14/2015		11/14/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2079	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/15/2015		12/8/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2080	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/18/2015	5/14/2016		
	2015-RIV-2081	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/14/2015		12/8/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2082	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/18/2015		11/18/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2083	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/3/2015		12/30/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2084	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/3/2016		1/19/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2085	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/15/2015		12/30/2015	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2086	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/15/2015		12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2087	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/13/2015		11/13/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2088	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/4/2015		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2089	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/20/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2090	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/28/2015		12/30/2015	13
	2015-RIV-2091	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/28/2015		12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2092	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/23/2015		12/30/2015	6
	2015-RIV-2093	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/28/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2094	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/10/2015		12/10/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2095	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/1/2016		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2096	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/1/2016		12/30/2015	7
	2015-RIV-2097	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/27/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2098	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/17/2015		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2099	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/29/2015		12/30/2015	6
	2015-RIV-2100	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/2/2015		12/2/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2101	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/14/2016		12/30/2015	7
	2015-RIV-2102	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/1/2016		12/30/2015	7
	2015-RIV-2103	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/9/2016			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2104	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/29/2015		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2105	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/25/2016		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2106	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/25/2016		1/19/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2107	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/18/2015		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2108	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/7/2015		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2109	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/30/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2110	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/6/2015		12/30/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2111	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/21/2015		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2112	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/5/2015		12/5/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2113	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/17/2016		2/17/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2114	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/5/2015		12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2115	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/5/2015		12/5/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2116	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/5/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2117	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/8/2015	10/19/2015	12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2118	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/7/2016		1/7/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2119	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/8/2015		12/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2120	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/8/2015		12/8/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2121	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/12/2015		12/30/2015	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2122	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/12/2015		12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2123	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/14/2016		12/30/2015	5
	2015-RIV-2124	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/11/2015		12/11/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2125	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/25/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2126	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/24/2015		12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2127	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/12/2015		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2128	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/15/2015		12/15/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2129	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/13/2015		12/30/2015	27
	2015-RIV-2130	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/10/2015	10/19/2015	12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2131	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/13/2015		12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2132	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/13/2015		12/13/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2133	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/16/2015		12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2134	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/18/2015		12/18/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2135	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/18/2015		12/18/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2136	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/17/2015		12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2137	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/18/2015	10/19/2015		
	2015-RIV-2138	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/19/2015	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2139	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/29/2015		12/29/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2140	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/18/2016		1/18/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2141	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/2/2015		1/19/2016	7
	2015-RIV-2142	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/25/2015		12/25/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2143	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	11/18/2015		11/18/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2144	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/29/2015		12/29/2015	0
	2015-RIV-2145	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/5/2016		2/5/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2146	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/24/2016		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2147	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/25/2015	1/21/2016	1/19/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2148	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/29/2016		1/19/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2149	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/25/2015		1/11/2016	3
	2015-RIV-2150	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/2/2016		1/11/2016	3
	2015-RIV-2151	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/8/2016		1/19/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2152	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/2/2016		1/11/2016	4
	2015-RIV-2153	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/28/2015	9/7/2016		
	2015-RIV-2154	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/8/2016	11/13/2015	12/30/2015	2
	2015-RIV-2155	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/3/2016		1/11/2016	6
	2015-RIV-2156	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/3/2016		1/3/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2157	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/3/2016		1/19/2016	11

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2158	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/9/2016		1/9/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2159	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/9/2016		1/9/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2160	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/4/2016		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2161	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/4/2016		1/11/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2162	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/8/2016			
	2015-RIV-2163	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/13/2016	11/13/2015		
	2015-RIV-2164	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	12/25/2015		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2165	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/9/2016		1/9/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2166	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/20/2016		1/11/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2167	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/12/2016		1/19/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2168	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/15/2016		1/15/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2169	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/14/2016		2/11/2016	36
	2015-RIV-2170	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/17/2016		1/17/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2171	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/17/2016		1/17/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2172	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/16/2016		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2173	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/16/2016		2/11/2016	24
	2015-RIV-2174	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/16/2016		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2175	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/15/2016		2/15/2016	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2176	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/7/2016		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2177	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/27/2016		12/30/2015	4
	2015-RIV-2178	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/14/2016		1/11/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2179	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/13/2016		1/11/2016	9
	2015-RIV-2180	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/17/2016			
	2015-RIV-2182	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/21/2016		1/21/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2183	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/24/2016		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2184	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/20/2016		1/19/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2185	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/24/2016		1/24/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2187	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/27/2016		1/27/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2188	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/28/2016		12/30/2015	24
	2015-RIV-2189	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/28/2016		12/30/2015	1
	2015-RIV-2190	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/28/2016		1/11/2016	3
	2015-RIV-2191	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/1/2016		3/1/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2192	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/4/2016		2/4/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2193	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/29/2016		1/11/2016	4
	2015-RIV-2194	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/2/2016		1/13/2016	8
	2015-RIV-2195	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/6/2016			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2198	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/6/2016		1/19/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2199	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/6/2016		12/30/2015	3
	2015-RIV-2200	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/8/2016		1/19/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2201	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/8/2016		2/8/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2202	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/12/2016	12/8/2015		
	2015-RIV-2203	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/13/2016		1/13/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2204	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/13/2016		1/19/2016	9
	2015-RIV-2205	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/13/2016		1/19/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2206	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/13/2016		1/19/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2209	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/14/2016			
	2015-RIV-2210	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/14/2016		1/11/2016	5
	2015-RIV-2211	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/4/2015		2/11/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2212	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/14/2016		2/14/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2213	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/22/2016	4/28/2016		
	2015-RIV-2214	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/8/2016		2/8/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2215	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/22/2016	4/28/2016		
	2015-RIV-2216	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/15/2016		1/11/2016	9
	2015-RIV-2217	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	1/30/2016		1/30/2016	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2218	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/17/2016		1/19/2016	2
	2015-RIV-2219	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/1/2016			
	2015-RIV-2220	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	2/27/2016			
	2015-RIV-2221	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/1/2016		1/13/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2222	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/3/2016		1/13/2016	1
	2015-RIV-2224	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/9/2016		3/9/2016	0
	2015-RIV-2225	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/5/2016			
	2015-RIV-2226	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/12/2016			
	2015-RIV-2229	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/8/2016	3/8/2016		
	2015-RIV-2230	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/20/2016			
	2015-RIV-2233	Hestrin, DA	Hon. Dugan	3/12/2016			
Sacramento	2015-SAC-62	Schubert, DA	Hon. White	2/14/2016		12/30/2015	20
San Bernardino	2014-SBD-565	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	4/3/2015		10/7/2015	17
	2014-SBD-568	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	4/15/2015		5/21/2015	3
	2014-SBD-572	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/17/2015		10/7/2015	1
	2015-SBD-573	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/29/2015	4/28/2015	9/16/2015	5
	2015-SBD-574	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/15/2015	6/9/2015		
	2015-SBD-575	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/15/2015		10/7/2015	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-576	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/8/2015	6/9/2015		
	2015-SBD-578	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/22/2015	6/9/2015		
	2015-SBD-579	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	6/3/2015		12/29/2015	1
	2015-SBD-580	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	6/4/2015	6/9/2015		
	2015-SBD-582	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/24/2015			
	2015-SBD-583	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	7/31/2015	7/23/2015		
	2015-SBD-584	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/10/2015		7/7/2015	2
	2015-SBD-585	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/13/2015	7/9/2015		
	2015-SBD-586	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	9/25/2015		12/29/2015	2
	2015-SBD-587	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	8/19/2015		8/19/2015	4
	2015-SBD-588	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	10/15/2015		12/2/2015	1
	2015-SBD-589	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	8/21/2015		8/21/2015	4
	2015-SBD-590	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	8/26/2015		8/7/2015	33
	2015-SBD-591	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	9/4/2015		9/3/2015	43
	2015-SBD-592	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	9/8/2015		8/7/2015	33
	2015-SBD-593	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	10/8/2015		11/10/2015	1
	2015-SBD-594	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	9/11/2015		9/10/2015	4
	2015-SBD-595	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	10/16/2015		10/13/2015	144

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-596	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	9/17/2015		12/29/2015	2
	2015-SBD-597	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	10/2/2015		11/10/2015	1
	2015-SBD-598	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Nakata	10/5/2015		9/29/2015	15
	2015-SBD-599	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	10/8/2015		12/29/2015	2
	2015-SBD-600	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	12/18/2015		12/29/2015	1
	2015-SBD-601	Ramos, DA	Hon. Nakata	10/23/2015		11/15/2015	1
	2015-SBD-602	Ramos, DA	Hon. Nakata	10/23/2015		10/13/2015	144
	2015-SBD-603	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	11/4/2015		11/10/2015	2
	2015-SBD-604	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	12/11/2015			
	2015-SBD-605	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	11/11/2015		11/10/2015	1
	2015-SBD-606	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	11/12/2015		11/13/2015	1
	2015-SBD-608	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	11/26/2015		11/30/2015	1
	2015-SBD-609	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	12/2/2015		12/29/2015	2
	2015-SBD-610	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	12/9/2015		12/29/2015	1
	2015-SBD-611	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	12/31/2015			0
	2015-SBD-612	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	12/25/2015		12/29/2015	3
	2015-SBD-613	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	1/28/2016		1/5/2016	12
	2015-SBD-614	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/5/2016			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-615	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	3/14/2016			
	2015-SBD-616	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/12/2016			
	2015-SBD-617	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	1/25/2016			
	2015-SBD-619	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/2/2016			
	2015-SBD-620	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/19/2016			
	2015-SBD-621	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/19/2016			
	2015-SBD-622	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/19/2016			
	2015-SBD-624	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	2/26/2016			
	2015-SBD-625	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	3/31/2016			
	2015-SBD-626	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	3/3/2016			
	2015-SBD-628	Ramos, DA	Hon. Powell	3/9/2016			
	2015-SBD-629	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	3/16/2016			
	2015-SBD-630	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	3/22/2016			
	2015-SBD-631	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	3/18/2016			
	2016-SBD-632	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Powell	4/7/2016			
San Diego	2015-SD-196	Sherman, DEA Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 12/24/2015	12/4/2015		
	2015-SD-197	Sherman, DEA Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 11/24/2015	11/25/2015		
	2015-SD-198	Hill, DEA Acting Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	9/1/2015			102

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	2015-SD-199	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 6/10/2015			
	2015-SD-200	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 8/4/2015			
	2015-SD-201	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	7/31/2015			99
	2015-SD-202	Marsh, Chief Bureau of Investigation	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 9/17/2015			
	2015-SD-203	Marsh, Chief Bureau of Investigations	Hon. Rogers	9/8/2015			174
	2015-SD-204	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 9/16/2015	7/31/2015		
	2015-SD-205	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 8/11/2015	7/31/2015		
	2015-SD-206	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 9/27/2015	7/31/2015		
	2015-SD-208	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 1/14/2016	7/31/2015		
	2015-SD-209	Zimmerman, Chief of Police	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 7/21/2015	7/8/2015		
	2015-SD-210	Gore, Sheriff	Hon. Rogers	9/21/2015			29
	2015-SD-211	Torres, Acting Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	3/18/2016			
	2015-SD-212	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Fraser	3/2/2016			
	2015-SD-213	Torres, Acting Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	3/21/2016			
	2015-SD-214	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	3/17/2016			
	2015-SD-215	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Rogers	2/29/2016			
San Joaquin	2015-SJ-54	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-55	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2015-SJ-56	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-57	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	(Inventory due) 10/22/2015			
	2015-SJ-58	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-59	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-60	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-61	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-62	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-63	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-64	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-65	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-66	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-67	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-69	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-70	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-71	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-72	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-73	Salazar, DA	Hon. Hoyt	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-74	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2015-SJ-75	Salazar, DA	Hon. Guiliani	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-76	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-77	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-78	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-79	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	8/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-80	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-81	Salazar, DA	Hon. Lucaccini	12/16/2015			
	2015-SJ-82	Salazar, DA	Hon. Hoyt	12/16/2015			
San Luis Obispo	2016-SLO-9	Gran, CDDA	Hon. Trice	11/4/2015		10/26/2015	185
	2016-SLO-10	Gran, CDDA	Hon. Trice	1/20/2016			
Santa Barbara	2015-SBA-50	Dudley, DA	Hon. Carrazzo	(Inventory due) 8/19/2015	8/11/2015		
	2015-SBA-51	Dudley, DA	Hon. Kelly	8/6/2015		8/6/2015	21
	2015-SBA-52	Dudley, DA	Hon. Kelly	8/28/2015		8/28/2015	28
Santa Clara	2015-SCL-85	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	(Inventory due) 5/25/2015	6/30/2015	8/10/2015	23
	2015-SCL-86	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	(Inventory due) 6/16/2015	6/30/2015	8/10/2015	23
	2015-SCL-88	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	(Inventory due) 6/17/2015	6/30/2015	8/10/2015	23
	2015-SCL-89	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	9/27/2015		9/25/2015	253
	2015-SCL-90	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	9/27/2015		9/25/2015	253

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2015-SCL-91	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	9/27/2015		9/25/2015	253
	2015-SCL-92	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	11/15/2015		11/13/2015	60
	2015-SCL-94	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	1/23/2016			
	2015-SCL-95	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	2/18/2016			
	2015-SCL-96	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	3/10/2016			
	2015-SCL-97	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	1/26/2016			
	2015-SCL-98	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	3/9/2016			
Stanislaus	2015-STA-78	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	3/11/2015	3/5/2015	7/11/2015	94
	2015-STA-79	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	4/6/2015		5/6/2015	6
	2015-STA-80	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	(Inventory due) 4/29/2015			
	2015-STA-81	Fladager, DA	Hon. Rigby	6/11/2015		6/18/2015	88
	2015-STA-82	Fladager, DA	Hon. Rigby	6/11/2015		6/18/2015	88
Tulare	2015-TUL-27	Underwood, ADA	Hon. Montejano	(Inventory due) 5/3/2015			
	2015-TUL-28	Underwood, ADA	Hon. Montejano	(Inventory due) 6/18/2015			
	2015-TUL-30	Underwood, ADA	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 10/26/2015			
	2015-TUL-33	Underwood, ADA	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 1/6/2016			
	2015-TUL-35	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/15/2015			
	2015-TUL-36	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/15/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Tulare (cont'd)	2015-TUL-37	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/27/2015			
	2015-TUL-38	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/20/2015			
	2015-TUL-39	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/27/2015			
	2015-TUL-40	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/1/2015			
	2015-TUL-41	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-42	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/15/2015			
	2015-TUL-43	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-44	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/15/2015			
	2015-TUL-46	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/15/2015			
	2015-TUL-47	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/1/2015			
	2015-TUL-48	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/15/2015			
	2015-TUL-49	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-50	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-51	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-53	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/1/2015			
	2015-TUL-54	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/26/2015			
	2015-TUL-55	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-56	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/23/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Tulare (cont'd)	2015-TUL-57	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/21/2015			
	2015-TUL-58	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/26/2015			
	2015-TUL-59	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 11/26/2015			
	2015-TUL-60	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/1/2015			
	2015-TUL-61	Marsh, chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/8/2015			
	2015-TUL-62	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-63	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2015-TUL-64	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-65	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-66	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-67	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-68	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-69	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-70	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-71	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-72	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-73	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			
	2016-TUL-74	Marsh, Chief	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 12/31/2015			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Tulare (cont'd)	2016-TUL-75	Underwood, ADA	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 10/26/2015			
	2016-TUL-79	Underwood, ADA	Hon. Gorelick	(Inventory due) 1/6/2016			
Ventura	2015-VE-114	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-116	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-117	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-118	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	1/31/2016	12/11/2015		
	2015-VE-119	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	1/31/2015	12/11/2015		
	2015-VE-120	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	6/3/2015		12/11/2015	24
	2015-VE-121	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	6/3/2015		12/11/2015	24
	2015-VE-122	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-123	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-124	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-125	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	9/2/2015		8/12/2015	61
	2015-VE-126	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	9/2/2015		8/12/2015	61
	2015-VE-127	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	9/3/2015		8/12/2015	61
	2015-VE-128	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	9/2/2015		8/12/2015	61
	2015-VE-129	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-130	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-131	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-132	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-133	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-134	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-135	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-136	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	3/16/2016	12/17/2015		
	2015-VE-137	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	3/16/2016	12/17/2015		
	2015-VE-139	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-140	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-141	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-142	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/11/2015	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-143	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	3/23/2016	12/23/2015		
	2015-VE-144	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	3/23/2016	12/23/2015		
	2015-VE-145	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	3/23/2016	12/23/2015		
	2015-VE-146	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	3/23/2016	12/23/2015		
	2015-VE-147	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/23/2016	12/23/2015		
	2015-VE-148	Totten, DA	Hon. Bennett	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		
	2015-VE-149	Totten, DA	Hon. Murphy	1/11/2016	10/13/2015		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-150	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	4/6/2016	12/30/2015		
	2015-VE-152	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	4/6/2016	12/30/2015		
	2015-VE-153	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	4/6/2016	12/30/2015		
	2015-VE-154	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	4/6/2016	12/30/2015		
	2015-VE-155	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	4/6/2016	12/30/2015		
	2015-VE-156	Totten, DA	Hon. Guasco	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-157	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-158	Totten, DA	Hon. Guasco	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-159	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/1/2016			
	2015-VE-160	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/1/2016			
	2015-VE-161	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/1/2016			
	2015-VE-162	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/17/2016			
	2015-VE-163	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/17/2016			
	2015-VE-164	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/17/2015			
	2015-VE-165	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	2/17/2016			
	2015-VE-166	Totten, DA	Hon. Guasco	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-167	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-168	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/11/2015	12/10/2015		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-169	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/13/2016			
	2015-VE-170	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	3/13/2016			
	2015-VE-171	Totten, DA	Hon. Coleman	3/13/2016			
	2015-VE-172	Totten, DA	Hon. Guasco	3/11/2016	12/10/2015		
	2015-VE-173	Totten, DA	Hon. Coleman	3/24/2016			
	2015-VE-174	Totten, DA	Hon. Coleman	3/25/2016			
	2015-VE-175	Totten, DA	Hon. Coleman	3/25/2016			
Yolo	2015-YO-1	Reisig, DA	Hon. Mock	2/4/2016			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Alameda	2014-ALA-21	2 monitors, 1 wire room manager	67,600	3,200	70,800
	2014-ALA-23	1 monitor, 1 wire room manager	113,100	1,600	114,700
	2014-ALA-25	1 wire room manager, 1 monitor	36,400	1,600	38,000
	2014-ALA-26	1 wire room manager, 1 monitor	52,000	1,600	53,600
	2014-ALA-27	1 wire room manager, 1 monitor	35,100	1,600	36,700
	2015-ALA-36	1 monitor	36,400	1,600	38,000
	2015-ALA-37	1 monitor	50,700	3,200	53,900
	2015-ALA-38	1 monitor	11,700	1,600	13,300
	2015-ALA-39	1 monitor	37,700	1,600	39,300
	2015-ALA-40	1 monitor	18,200	1,600	19,800
	2015-ALA-41	3 monitors	139,100	4,800	143,900
	2015-ALA-42	1 wire room manager, 1 monitor	55,900	1,600	57,500
	2015-ALA-43	1 monitor	13,000	1,600	14,600
	2015-ALA-44	1 monitor	15,600	1,600	17,200
	2015-ALA-45	1 monitor	39,000	1,600	40,600
	2015-ALA-46	1 monitor	39,000	1,600	40,600
	2015-ALA-47	1 monitor	13,000	1,600	14,600
	2015-ALA-48	1 monitor	20,800	1,600	22,400
	2015-ALA-49	1 monitor	31,200	1,600	32,800
	2015-ALA-50	1 monitor	31,200	1,600	32,800
	2015-ALA-51	1 monitor	19,500	1,600	21,100

⁴ The Department of Justice did not receive specified quantities and types of personnel for several counties.

⁵ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Alameda (cont'd)	2015-ALA-52	2 monitors	36,400	3,200	39,600
	2015-ALA-53	2 monitors	52,000	3,200	55,200
	2015-ALA-54	1 monitor	48,100	1,600	49,700
	2015-ALA-55	1 monitor	59,800	1,600	61,400
	2015-ALA-56	1 monitor	10,400	1,600	12,000
	2015-ALA-57	1 monitor	39,000	1,600	40,600
	2015-ALA-58	2 monitors	75,400	3,200	78,600
	2015-ALA-59	1 monitor	35,100	1,600	36,700
	2015-ALA-60	1 monitor	9,100	1,600	10,700
	2016-ALA-61	1 monitor	45,500	1,600	47,100
Alameda Reported Total			1,287,000	60,800	1,347,800
Contra Costa	2015-CC-12	Cost not available			
	2015-CC-13	Costs related to 15-001			
	2015-CC-14	Costs related to 15-001			
	2015-CC-15	Costs related to 15-001			
	2015-CC-16	Cost not available			
	2015-CC-17	Cost not available			
	2015-CC-18	20	50,000	6,000	56,000
	2015-CC-19	20	40,000	6,400	46,400
	2015-CC-20	20	20,000	800	20,800
Contra Costa Reported Total			110,000	13,200	123,200
Fresno	2015-FR-32	8 police detectives	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2015-FR-33	20 agents	33,600	10,000	43,600
Fresno Reported Total			53,600	11,500	65,100

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Kern	2016-KE-56	15	35,000	16,000	51,000
	2016-KE-57	Costs related to 15-01			
	2016-KE-58	45	43,000	50,000	93,000
	2016-KE-59	Costs related to 15-03			
	2016-KE-60	Costs related to 15-03			
	2016-KE-61	Costs related to 15.03			
	2016-KE-62	10	35,000	10,000	45,000
	2016-KE-63	2	24,568	1,875	26,443
	2016-KE-64	11	0	18,323	18,323
	2016-KE-65	Costs related to 15-12			
	2016-KE-66	Costs related to 15-12			
	2016-KE-67	2	23,028	625	23,653
	2016-KE-69	Costs related to 15-07			
	2016-KE-70	Costs related to 15-07			
Kern Reported Total			160,596	96,823	257,419
Los Angeles	2015-LA-885	monitors	97,128	4,200	101,328
	2015-LA-886	installer, monitor	12,000	1,700	13,700
	2015-LA-887	installer	0	1,013	1,013
	2015-LA-888	monitor, installer	24,000	2,026	26,026
	2015-LA-889	installer, monitor	22,000	2,026	24,026
	2015-LA-890	installer, monitor	24,000	900	24,900
	2015-LA-891	installer, monitor	24,000	325	24,325
	2015-LA-892	installer, monitor	36,000	750	36,750
	2015-LA-894	installer, monitor	12,000	1,013	13,013
	2015-LA-895	installer, monitor	40,000	700	40,700

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-896	2 monitors	24,900	1,100	26,000
	2015-LA-897	1 technician, 2 monitors	23,200	0	23,200
	2015-LA-898	2 monitors, 1 technician	11,413	700	12,113
	2015-LA-900	12 monitors, 2 technicians, 4 detectives	450,000	20,000	470,000
	2015-LA-902	2 monitors, 1 technician	30,189	700	30,889
	2015-LA-905	Costs related to 15-20			
	2015-LA-907	Costs related to 15-20			
	2015-LA-908	1 install, 2 monitors	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-LA-909	2 monitors, 1 technician	11,413	700	12,113
	2015-LA-910	1 technician, 2 monitors	23,200	0	23,200
	2015-LA-914	installer, monitor, transcriber	49,800	3,300	53,100
	2015-LA-915	2 monitors	24,550	3,000	27,550
	2015-LA-916	1 technician, 2 monitors	23,200	0	23,200
	2015-LA-918	2 monitors, 1 technician	13,661	700	14,361
	2015-LA-919	installer	0	2,026	2,026
	2015-LA-920	Cost not available			
	2015-LA-921	Installer, monitor	1,013	0	1,013
	2015-LA-922	monitor, installer	1,013	0	1,013
	2015-LA-925	installer, monitor	12,000	1,000	13,000
	2015-LA-926	installer	0	1,650	1,650
	2015-LA-927	installer	0	1,650	1,650
	2015-LA-928	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2015-LA-929	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2015-LA-930	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015-LA-931	Cost not available			
	2016-LA-932	2 monitors	23,000	700	23,700
	2016-LA-933	Installer, monitors	44,000	1,013	45,013
	2016-LA-934	installer, monitors	44,000	1,013	45,013
	2016-LA-936	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-938	installer, monitor	124,000	10,000	134,000
	2016-LA-940	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-941	Installer, monitor	12,000	1,700	13,700
	2016-LA-942	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-943	installer, monitor	22,000	2,026	24,026
	2016-LA-944	Installer, monitor	50,000	4,052	54,052
	2016-LA-945	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-947	installer, monitors	44,000	1,013	45,013
	2016-LA-948	Installer, monitor	12,000	1,013	13,013
	2016-LA-949	installer, monitor	148,000	16,000	164,000
	2016-LA-952	installer, monitor	22,000	700	22,700
	2016-LA-953	12 monitors, 2 technicians, 4 detectives	450,000	20,000	470,000
	2016-LA-954	installer, monitor	148,000	16,000	164,000
	2016-LA-955	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-956	Costs related to 14-148			
	2016-LA-957	installer, monitor	124,000	4,000	128,000
	2016-LA-958	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-959	install, monitor	124,000	2,500	126,500
	2016-LA-960	Costs related to 14-148			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-961	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-962	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-963	installer, monitor	12,000	1,013	13,013
	2016-LA-964	2 monitors	14,484	700	15,184
	2016-LA-966	installer, monitor	48,000	1,800	49,800
	2016-LA-967	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-968	Cost not available			
	2016-LA-969	2 monitors	10,000	6,000	16,000
	2016-LA-970	installer, monitors	3,200	1,013	4,213
	2016-LA-971	Cost not available			
	2016-LA-973	installer, monitors	48,000	1,800	49,800
	2016-LA-974	installer	40,000	2,026	42,026
	2016-LA-975	2 monitors	27,000	750	27,750
	2016-LA-976	installer, monitor, transcriber	195,000	5,000	200,000
	2016-LA-977	translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2016-LA-978	installer, monitor	12,000	500	12,500
	2016-LA-979	installer, monitors	44,000	1,013	45,013
	2016-LA-980	installer, monitor	10,000	1,013	11,013
	2016-LA-981	installer, monitor	12,000	500	12,500
	2016-LA-982	installer, monitor	12,000	1,000	13,000
	2016-LA-983	installer	0	1,650	1,650
	2016-LA-984	2 monitors	26,819	1,700	28,519
	2016-LA-985	7	54,330	1,400	55,730
	2016-LA-986	7	61,060	1,400	62,460

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-987	installer, monitor, transcriber	26,000	2,000	28,000
	2016-LA-988		26,000	2,000	28,000
	2016-LA-989	1 technician, 1 monitor	18,400	650	19,050
	2016-LA-990	Cost not available			
	2016-LA-991		26,000	2,000	28,000
	2016-LA-993	7	61,060	1,400	62,460
	2016-LA-994	7	25,560	700	26,260
	2016-LA-995	7	77,280	4,200	81,480
	2016-LA-996	7	51,120	1,400	52,520
	2016-LA-997	7	25,680	2,100	27,780
	2016-LA-998	7	25,680	2,100	27,780
	2016-LA-999	2 interpreters	50,800	1,862	52,662
	2016-LA-1000	2 linguists	102,000	1,700	103,700
	2016-LA-1002	7	102,720	2,800	105,520
	2016-LA-1003	2 linguists	0	1,700	1,700
	2016-LA-1004	7	61,060	1,400	62,460
	2016-LA-1005	7	102,720	2,800	105,520
	2016-LA-1006	Cost not available			
	2016-LA-1007	7	51,160	2,800	53,960
	2016-LA-1008	7	85,680	2,100	87,780
	2016-LA-1009	7	51,360	1,400	52,760
	2016-LA-1010	7	25,680	700	26,380
	2016-LA-1011	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2016-LA-1013	19	25,000	7,500	32,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1014		3,000,000	7,500	3,007,500
	2016-LA-1015	2 linguists	25,500	1,700	27,200
	2016-LA-1016	installer, monitor, transcriber	50,000	7,500	57,500
	2016-LA-1017	installer, monitor, transcriber	12,500	7,500	20,000
	2016-LA-1018	installer, monitor	172,000	2,000	174,000
	2016-LA-1019	installer, monitor	48,000	1,000	49,000
	2016-LA-1020	installer, monitor, transcriber	26,000	2,000	28,000
	2016-LA-1021	installer, monitor	56,960	2,000	58,960
	2016-LA-1022	8	300,000	50,000	350,000
	2016-LA-1024	14 monitors, 1 technician, 2 detectives	250,000	20,000	270,000
	2016-LA-1025	8	300,000	50,000	350,000
	2016-LA-1026	1 technician, 4 linguists	53,139	2,269	55,408
	2016-LA-1028	8	300,000	50,000	350,000
	2016-LA-1029	12	60,000	2,500	62,500
	2016-LA-1030	7	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2016-LA-1031	7	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2016-LA-1032	12	60,000	2,500	62,500
	2016-LA-1033	7	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2016-LA-1035	7	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2016-LA-1036	6	30,000	2,500	32,500
	2016-LA-1037	7	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2016-LA-1038	6	30,000	2,500	32,500
	2016-LA-1039	6	30,000	2,500	32,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2016-LA-1040	2 monitors	10,224	1,650	11,874
	2016-LA-1041	7	25,680	700	26,380
	2016-LA-1042	7	51,040	2,100	53,140
	2016-LA-1043	7	129,900	4,200	134,100
	2016-LA-1046	1 technician, 8 linguists	105,257	4,021	109,278
Los Angeles Reported Total			9,716,733	518,639	10,235,372
Merced	2016-MER-28	1 install technician, 6 agents	160,000	53,000	213,000
	2016-MER-29	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-30	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-31	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-32	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-33	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-34	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-35	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-36	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-37	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-38	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-39	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-40	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-41	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-42	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-43	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-44	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-45	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Merced (cont'd)	2016-MER-46	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-47	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-48	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-49	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-50	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-51	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-52	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-53	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-54	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-55	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-56	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-57	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-58	Costs related to 2015-MER-28			
	2016-MER-59	1 install technician, 2 agents	19,000	13,000	32,000
	2016-MER-60	1 installer, 2 monitors	22,700	7,500	30,200
	2016-MER-61	1 installer, 5 monitors	23,028	20,975	44,003
	2016-MER-62	1 installer, 2 monitors	11,360	3,200	14,560
	2016-MER-63	1 installer, 5 monitors	23,028	24,925	47,953
	2016-MER-64	1 installer, 2 monitors	23,086	6,975	30,061
	2016-MER-65	1 installer, 2 monitors	23,086	9,600	32,686
Merced Reported Total			305,288	139,175	444,463
Monterey	2015-MTY-24	1 installer, 28 monitors	227,750	0	227,750
	2015-MTY-25	Costs related to WT: 15-001			
	2015-MTY-26	Costs related to WT: 15-001			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Monterey (cont'd)	2015-MTY-27		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-28		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-29		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-30		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-31		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-32		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-33		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-34		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-35		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
	2015-MTY-36		Costs related to WT: 15-001		
Monterey Reported Total			227,750	0	227,750
Orange	2015-OR-89	linguistic, monitors	5,585	3,250	8,835
	2015-OR-90	10 monitors	12,000	1,542	13,542
	2015-OR-91	10 agents, 4 linguist, 1 technician	14,000	0	14,000
	2015-OR-92	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn monitors	6,000	1,200	7,200
	2015-OR-93	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn monitors	6,000	2,400	8,400
	2015-OR-94	2 civilian monitors	23,000	2,000	25,000
	2015-OR-95	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn monitors	6,000	2,400	8,400
	2015-OR-96	4 civilian monitors	10,000	2,500	12,500
	2015-OR-97	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	3,600	9,600
	2015-OR-98	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	1,200	7,200
	2015-OR-99	3 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	1,600	2,000	3,600
	2015-OR-100	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	3,600	9,600

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Orange (cont'd)	2015-OR-101	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	4,800	10,800
	2015-OR-102	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	3,600	9,600
	2015-OR-103	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	2,400	8,400
	2015-OR-104	Cost not available			
	2015-OR-105	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	2,400	8,400
	2015-OR-106	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	3,000	9,000
	2015-OR-107	2 civilian monitors, 2 sworn	6,000	1,200	7,200
	2015-OR-108	3 civilian monitors, multiple agents	23,000	1,700	24,700
	2015-OR-109	7 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	1,600	2,000	3,600
	2015-OR-110	1 install technician, 2 civilian linguists, monitors	55,000	4,000	59,000
	2015-OR-111	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,000	7,000
	2015-OR-112	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,000	7,000
	2015-OR-113	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,000	7,000
	2015-OR-114	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,000	7,000
	2015-OR-115	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	2,000	8,000
	2015-OR-116	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,200	7,200
	2015-OR-117	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,200	7,200
	2015-OR-118	6 civilian monitors, multiple sworn	6,000	1,200	7,200
	2015-OR-119	10 sworn monitors	24,000	1,600	25,600
Orange Reported Total			289,785	61,992	351,777
Riverside	2014-RIV-1318	12 installers, monitors translators	108,000	12,000	120,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1391	Cost not available			
	2014-RIV-1397	14 installers, monitors, transcribers	126,000	14,000	140,000
	2014-RIV-1422	14 installers, monitors	126,000	14,000	140,000
	2014-RIV-1450	2 monitors	80,000	6,000	86,000
	2014-RIV-1454	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1465	1 officer, 4 monitors, 1 technician	76,680	6,000	82,680
	2014-RIV-1469	2 monitors	60,000	4,500	64,500
	2014-RIV-1531	10 installers, monitors, transcribers	90,000	10,000	100,000
	2014-RIV-1532	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	25,560	643	26,203
	2014-RIV-1536	2 monitors	50,880	3,530	54,410
	2014-RIV-1537	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2014-RIV-1543	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2014-RIV-1547	2 monitors	50,850	1,575	52,425
	2014-RIV-1548	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2014-RIV-1556	2 monitors	25,500	3,500	29,000
	2014-RIV-1562	5 monitors	55,000	5,000	60,000
	2014-RIV-1564	2 monitors	76,680	7,350	84,030
	2014-RIV-1566	6 monitors	54,000	12,000	66,000
	2014-RIV-1567	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2014-RIV-1578	3 monitors	55,000	5,000	60,000
	2014-RIV-1580	2 civilian monitors	36,938	625	37,563
	2014-RIV-1583	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1584	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1585	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1588	2 Spanish linguists	105,000	20,000	125,000
	2014-RIV-1590	5 monitors	33,228	2,090	35,318
	2014-RIV-1592	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1593	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	643	26,203
	2014-RIV-1594	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	25,560	343	25,903
	2014-RIV-1595	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1596	Cost not available			
	2014-RIV-1597	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1598	2 monitors	23,900	1,015	24,915
	2014-RIV-1599	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1600	1 installer, 2 monitors	26,000	4,000	30,000
	2014-RIV-1601	2 monitors	49,071	3,300	52,371
	2014-RIV-1602	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1603	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1604	2 monitors	51,120	5,200	56,320
	2014-RIV-1605	1 officer, 2 monitors and 1 technician	22,152	643	22,795
	2014-RIV-1606	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1607	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1608	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1609	4 civilian monitors	61,810	11,000	72,810
	2014-RIV-1610	2 monitors	89,000	5,800	94,800
	2014-RIV-1611	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2014-RIV-1612	2 monitors	25,000	3,000	28,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2014-RIV-1613	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1614	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1615	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1616	2 monitors	22,000	3,100	25,100
	2014-RIV-1617	5 monitors	55,000	5,000	60,000
	2014-RIV-1618	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1619	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1620	2 monitors	26,182	800	26,982
	2014-RIV-1621	2 monitors	25,550	2,100	27,650
	2014-RIV-1622	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1623	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2014-RIV-1624	6 monitors	62,853	3,900	66,753
	2014-RIV-1625	4 monitors	77,940	7,800	85,740
	2014-RIV-1626	2 monitors	26,690	1,800	28,490
	2015-RIV-1627	2 officers, 3 monitors	134,014	6,500	140,514
	2015-RIV-1628	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1629	2 monitors	18,000	200	18,200
	2015-RIV-1630	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1631	2 civilian monitors	31,203	1,700	32,903
	2015-RIV-1632	8 monitors	102,240	1,600	103,840
	2015-RIV-1633	2 monitors	25,560	1,600	27,160
	2015-RIV-1634	2 monitors, translators	104,752	22,500	127,252
	2015-RIV-1635	10 monitors	76,722	2,595	79,317
	2015-RIV-1636	2 monitors	15,666	2,000	17,666

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1637	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1638	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1639	2 Spanish linguists\	60,000	7,500	67,500
	2015-RIV-1641	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1642	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1643	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-1644	2 drug enforcement agents, special agents, 7 taskforces and 2 monitors	125,400	2,000	127,400
	2015-RIV-1645	3 drug enforcement agents; 7 taskforces and 2 monitors	25,560	1,200	26,760
	2015-RIV-1646	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1647	1 install; 2 monitors	90,000	6,000	96,000
	2015-RIV-1648	8 monitors	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2015-RIV-1649	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors and 1 technician	51,120	2,018	53,138
	2015-RIV-1650	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors and 1 technician	17,892	643	18,535
	2015-RIV-1651	2 monitors	23,900	1,015	24,915
	2015-RIV-1652	2 monitors	17,871	3,775	21,646
	2015-RIV-1653	1 monitor	28,600	2,600	31,200
	2015-RIV-1654	2 monitors	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2015-RIV-1655	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1656	2 monitors	26,950	100	27,050
	2015-RIV-1657	3 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	25,560	1,200	26,760
	2015-RIV-1658	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1659	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1660	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1661	17 people used for installation, monitoring and translation	67,612	10,500	78,112
	2015-RIV-1662	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2015-RIV-1663	8 persons used for installation and monitoring	107,374	6,400	113,774
	2015-RIV-1664	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1665	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1666	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors and 1 technician	22,152	643	22,795
	2015-RIV-1667	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1668	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1669	2 monitors	26,690	1,100	27,790
	2015-RIV-1670	1 drug enforcement agent, 2 monitors	28,624	2,600	31,224
	2015-RIV-1671	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1672	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1673	5 monitors	35,000	5,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1674	2 monitors	18,000	6,000	24,000
	2015-RIV-1675	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	11,928	643	12,571
	2015-RIV-1676	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1677	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1678	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1679	3 monitors	30,000	3,000	33,000
	2015-RIV-1680	2 monitors	25,550	4,800	30,350
	2015-RIV-1681	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-1682	2 investigators, 2 monitors	46,000	4,000	50,000
	2015-RIV-1683	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1685	1 install, 2 monitors	10,000	3,000	13,000
	2015-RIV-1686	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1687	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1688	1 installer, 7 linguists	159,592	6,025	165,617
	2015-RIV-1689	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1690	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1691	1 officer, 1 monitor	16,752	1,000	17,752
	2015-RIV-1692	2 monitors	45,000	20,000	65,000
	2015-RIV-1693	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1694	4 civilian monitors	88,629	11,800	100,429
	2015-RIV-1695	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	44,304	4,000	48,304
	2015-RIV-1696	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	17,048	2,000	19,048
	2015-RIV-1697	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-1698	2 monitors, translators	104,752	22,500	127,252
	2015-RIV-1699	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	50,160	2,000	52,160
	2015-RIV-1700	2 monitors	44,000	5,200	49,200
	2015-RIV-1701	2 Spanish linguists	60,000	7,500	67,500
	2015-RIV-1702	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1703	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1704	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,160	1,929	53,089
	2015-RIV-1706	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1707	6 monitors	51,148	2,595	53,743
	2015-RIV-1708	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1709	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1710	2 monitors	18,000	6,000	24,000
	2015-RIV-1711	2 monitors	25,550	2,400	27,950
	2015-RIV-1712	1 agent, 2 civilian monitors 1 technician	25,560	643	26,203
	2015-RIV-1713	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1714	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-1715	2 Spanish linguists	21,000	7,000	28,000
	2015-RIV-1716	2 monitors	12,780	1,500	14,280
	2015-RIV-1717	2 monitors	26,690	1,100	27,790
	2015-RIV-1718	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1719	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1720	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1721	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	10,224	643	10,867
	2015-RIV-1722	1 monitor	10,000	2,600	12,600
	2015-RIV-1723	1 installer, 3 monitors	53,380	3,750	57,130
	2015-RIV-1724	2 monitors	29,300	1,015	30,315
	2015-RIV-1725	4 monitors	114,500	8,200	122,700
	2015-RIV-1726	2 monitors	23,900	1,015	24,915
	2015-RIV-1727	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1728	1 monitor	0	1,015	1,015
	2015-RIV-1729	1 monitor	0	1,015	1,015
	2015-RIV-1730	1 monitor	12,500	700	13,200
	2015-RIV-1731	4 linguists, interpreters	51,120	2,400	53,520
	2015-RIV-1732	none	1,500	1,500	3,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1733	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1734	3 monitors	39,784	4,500	44,284
	2015-RIV-1735	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1736	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	42,600	1,286	43,886
	2015-RIV-1737	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1738	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1739	4 monitors	50,850	10,956	61,806
	2015-RIV-1741	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1742	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1743	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-1744	2 drug enforcement agents, 2 monitors	18,400	2,600	21,000
	2015-RIV-1745	3 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	125,400	2,000	127,400
	2015-RIV-1746	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors and 1 technician	44,304	4,000	48,304
	2015-RIV-1747	2 investigators, 2 monitors	46,000	4,000	50,000
	2015-RIV-1748	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2015-RIV-1749	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1750	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-1751	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1752	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	0	1,286	1,286
	2015-RIV-1753	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1754	1 monitor	25,425	1,700	27,125
	2015-RIV-1755	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1756	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1757	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1758	2 monitors	10,224	3,000	13,224
	2015-RIV-1759	2 monitors	18,000	12,000	30,000
	2015-RIV-1760	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1761	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1762	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1763	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1764	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1765	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1767	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1768	2 translators	104,034	27,500	131,534
	2015-RIV-1769	1 installer	0	2,200	2,200
	2015-RIV-1770	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1771	2 monitors	25,560	1,100	26,660
	2015-RIV-1772	2 monitors	45,000	20,000	65,000
	2015-RIV-1773	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1774	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	5,112	643	5,755
	2015-RIV-1775	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	25,560	643	26,203
	2015-RIV-1776	2 monitors	25,425	1,475	26,900
	2015-RIV-1777	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1778	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1779	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1780	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1781	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1782	22 linguists	66,123	3,500	69,623
	2015-RIV-1783	2 drug enforcement agents, 2 monitors	19,252	2,600	21,852
	2015-RIV-1784	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1785	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1786	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1787	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1788	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1789	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1790	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1791	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1792	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	29,820	943	30,763
	2015-RIV-1793	1 monitor	58,000	1,200	59,200
	2015-RIV-1794	2 Spanish linguists	50,000	5,000	55,000
	2015-RIV-1795	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1796	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1797	2 monitors	25,425	2,581	28,006
	2015-RIV-1798	2 monitors	25,560	1,100	26,660
	2015-RIV-1799	2 monitors	65,470	12,500	77,970
	2015-RIV-1800	4 civilian monitors	61,451	7,275	68,726
	2015-RIV-1801	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	42,600	4,000	46,600
	2015-RIV-1802	2 Spanish linguists	21,000	7,000	28,000
	2015-RIV-1803	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1805	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1806	2 monitors	75,000	7,500	82,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1807	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,120	3,086	54,206
	2015-RIV-1808	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1809	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1810	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1811	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1812	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1813	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1814	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1815	3 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	125,400	2,000	127,400
	2015-RIV-1816	8 monitors	51,148	1,813	52,961
	2015-RIV-1817	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1818	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1819	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1820	2 monitors	25,560	3,400	28,960
	2015-RIV-1821	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1822	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1823	2 monitors	54,000	2,000	56,000
	2015-RIV-1824	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1825	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-1826	2 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces	56,874	2,000	58,874
	2015-RIV-1827	8 installers, 8 monitors	150,149	9,013	159,162
	2015-RIV-1828	3 monitors	38,340	2,450	40,790
	2015-RIV-1829	4 monitors	114,500	8,200	122,700
	2015-RIV-1830	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	53,138	4,000	57,138

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1831	2 monitors	209,504	45,000	254,504
	2015-RIV-1832	4 monitors	52,879	700	53,579
	2015-RIV-1833	1 install, 2 monitors	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-RIV-1835	2 monitors	18,000	6,000	24,000
	2015-RIV-1836	2 monitors	35,580	4,500	40,080
	2015-RIV-1837	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1838	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1839	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1840	4 Spanish translators	76,680	1,600	78,280
	2015-RIV-1841	17 linguists	72,101	6,300	78,401
	2015-RIV-1842	1 officer, 2 monitors	71,794	3,200	74,994
	2015-RIV-1843	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1844	1 installer; 4 monitors	11,911	12,600	24,511
	2015-RIV-1845	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,080	2,000	27,080
	2015-RIV-1846	6 monitors	54,000	10,000	64,000
	2015-RIV-1847	2 monitors	26,690	1,700	28,390
	2015-RIV-1848	1 installer, 2 monitors	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-RIV-1849	2 monitors	58,000	5,200	63,200
	2015-RIV-1850	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1851	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,533	1,286	26,819
	2015-RIV-1854	9 linguists	20,514	700	21,214
	2015-RIV-1855	6 monitors	76,500	4,800	81,300
	2015-RIV-1856	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1857	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1858	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1859	2 monitors	25,560	4,700	30,260
	2015-RIV-1861	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1862	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1863	1 officer, 3 civilian monitors, 1 technician	29,580	6,086	35,666
	2015-RIV-1864	4 monitors	114,500	8,200	122,700
	2015-RIV-1865	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1866	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1868	1 installer	0	400	400
	2015-RIV-1869	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1870	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1871	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1872	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1873	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1874	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1875	2 linguists	75,000	15,000	90,000
	2015-RIV-1876	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1877	1 installer, 2 monitors	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-RIV-1878	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1879	2 monitors	18,000	6,000	24,000
	2015-RIV-1880	2 monitors	65,000	35,000	100,000
	2015-RIV-1881	4 monitors	36,000	8,000	44,000
	2015-RIV-1882	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1883	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1884	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1885	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1886	2 monitors	25,250	2,175	27,425
	2015-RIV-1887	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1888	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1890	1 installer, 2 monitors	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-RIV-1891	4 monitors	36,000	6,000	42,000
	2015-RIV-1892	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1893	2 investigators, 2 monitors	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-RIV-1894	4 civilian monitors	61,481	7,275	68,756
	2015-RIV-1895	2 monitors	25,560	2,600	28,160
	2015-RIV-1896	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2015-RIV-1897	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1898	1 install, 2 monitors	30,000	2,000	32,000
	2015-RIV-1899	2 monitors	24,550	3,000	27,550
	2015-RIV-1900	3 monitors	2,000	1,500	3,500
	2015-RIV-1901	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 technician	102,240	10,000	112,240
	2015-RIV-1902	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	12,780	5,000	17,780
	2015-RIV-1903	1 officer, 6 civilian monitors, 1 technician	91,327	3,215	94,542
	2015-RIV-1904	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1905	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1906	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1907	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	8,520	643	9,163

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1908	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1909	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1910	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1911	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1912	2 monitors	14,707	51,120	65,827
	2015-RIV-1913	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1914	4 monitors	36,000	6,000	42,000
	2015-RIV-1915	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1916	8 monitors	36,000	5,200	41,200
	2015-RIV-1917	2 metropolitan translators	130,940	25,000	155,940
	2015-RIV-1918	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1919	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	3,000	28,560
	2015-RIV-1920	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1921	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1922	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1923	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,120	5,000	56,120
	2015-RIV-1924	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1925	2 linguists	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2015-RIV-1926	2 monitors	25,574	1,013	26,587
	2015-RIV-1927	8 monitors	307,620	2,595	310,215
	2015-RIV-1928	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1929	3 officers, 3 monitors	123,051	8,100	131,151
	2015-RIV-1930	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1931	4 monitors	50,280	4,025	54,305

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1932	2 monitors	1,800	26,819	28,619
	2015-RIV-1934	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-1936	2 monitors	24,550	3,000	27,550
	2015-RIV-1939	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1941	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1942	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	
	2015-RIV-1943	2 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	51,120	2,400	53,520
	2015-RIV-1944	9 monitors	18,871	700	
	2015-RIV-1945	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1947	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1949	28 monitors	77,012	12,775	89,787
	2015-RIV-1952	4 monitors	28,600	2,600	31,200
	2015-RIV-1954	2 monitors	50,000	18,000	68,000
	2015-RIV-1956	Cost not available			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1958	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,120	4,000	55,120
	2015-RIV-1959	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1960	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1961	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1962	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1963	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1964	2 monitors	25,560	5,075	30,635
	2015-RIV-1965	2 monitors	25,560	2,600	28,160
	2015-RIV-1966	2 monitors	51,273	1,013	52,286
	2015-RIV-1967	2 monitors	0	700	700
	2015-RIV-1968	2 monitors	28,600	2,600	31,200
	2015-RIV-1969	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1970	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1971	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1973	4 monitors	41,000	800	41,800
	2015-RIV-1974	2 monitors	13,660	2,500	16,160
	2015-RIV-1975	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-1976	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	76,680	8,000	84,680
	2015-RIV-1977	2 monitors	25,000	34,000	59,000
	2015-RIV-1978	2 investigators, 2 monitors	46,000	4,000	50,000
	2015-RIV-1979	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1980	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-1981	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1982	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-1983	3 monitors	48,000	4,000	52,000
	2015-RIV-1984	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1985	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-1986	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1987	4 monitors	43,648	2,300	45,948
	2015-RIV-1988	2 monitors	38,000	3,200	41,200
	2015-RIV-1989	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1990	2 monitors	23,900	2,600	26,500
	2015-RIV-1991	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1992	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1993	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-1994	1 translator, 1 analyst	40,267	2,500	42,767
	2015-RIV-1995	12 monitors	28,624	4,800	33,424
	2015-RIV-1996	2 monitors	54,000	2,000	56,000
	2015-RIV-1997	4 civilian monitors	61,451	2,200	63,651
	2015-RIV-1998	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-1999	2 linguists	50,000	5,000	55,000
	2015-RIV-2000	4 monitors	102,547	2,595	105,142
	2015-RIV-2001	2 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	25,560	1,200	26,760
	2015-RIV-2002	2 translators	130,940	25,000	155,940
	2015-RIV-2003	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2004	2 monitor	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2005	2 linguists	51,120	3,600	54,720
	2015-RIV-2006	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2007	2 monitors	15,800	2,600	18,400
	2015-RIV-2008	10 linguists	24,109	4,100	28,209
	2015-RIV-2009	2 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	25,560	1,200	26,760
	2015-RIV-2011	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2012	2 monitors	25,560	2,600	28,160
	2015-RIV-2013	4 monitors	36,000	8,000	44,000
	2015-RIV-2014	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2015	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2016	8 monitors	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2015-RIV-2017	1 officer, 6 civilian monitors, 1 technician	59,710	3,858	63,568
	2015-RIV-2018	4 monitors	49,100	12,000	61,100
	2015-RIV-2019	26 monitors	70,021	10,500	80,521
	2015-RIV-2020	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2021	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2022	4 monitors	57,200	5,200	62,400
	2015-RIV-2023	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2024	6 monitors	54,000	14,000	68,000
	2015-RIV-2025	2 monitors	124,000	2,000	126,000
	2015-RIV-2026	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2027	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2028	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2029	2 monitors	25,000	3,400	28,400
	2015-RIV-2030	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,120	4,000	55,120
	2015-RIV-2031	2 monitors	25,560	5,075	30,635

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2032	2 monitors	25,000	3,000	28,000
	2015-RIV-2033	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2034	2 monitors, linguists	0	700	700
	2015-RIV-2035	2 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces 2 monitors	25,560	1,200	26,760
	2015-RIV-2036	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-2037	2 monitors	26,820	1,800	28,620
	2015-RIV-2038	3 monitors	52,000	6,000	58,000
	2015-RIV-2039	1 officer, 3 civilian monitors, 1 technician	102,240	9,000	111,240
	2015-RIV-2040	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2041	2 monitors	25,560	5,075	30,635
	2015-RIV-2042	2 linguists	25,000	5,000	30,000
	2015-RIV-2043	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2044	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2045	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2046	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2047	12 monitors	21,997	2,100	24,097
	2015-RIV-2048	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2049	2 monitors	25,817	3,050	28,867
	2015-RIV-2050	4 monitors	36,000	8,000	44,000
	2015-RIV-2051	1 translator, 1 analyst	20,134	2,500	22,634
	2015-RIV-2052	2 monitors	514,808	1,015	515,823
	2015-RIV-2053	2 monitors	157,128	30,000	187,128
	2015-RIV-2054	2 officers, 2 monitors	47,140	3,300	50,440
	2015-RIV-2055	2 monitors	25,000	2,500	27,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2056	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2057	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2059	2 monitors	22,600	2,600	25,200
	2015-RIV-2060	2 monitors	65,000	35,000	100,000
	2015-RIV-2061	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2062	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2063	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2064	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	2,000	27,560
	2015-RIV-2065	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	1,000	26,560
	2015-RIV-2066	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2067	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2069	4 Spanish translators	25,560	1,600	27,160
	2015-RIV-2070	2 investigators, 2 monitors	23,000	2,000	25,000
	2015-RIV-2071	2 linguists	25,000	5,000	30,000
	2015-RIV-2072	2 monitors	8,300	3,000	11,300
	2015-RIV-2073	2 monitors	25,560	5,075	30,635
	2015-RIV-2074	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2075	1 officer, 1 monitor, 1 technician	25,560	8,000	33,560
	2015-RIV-2076	2 Spanish monitors	2,200	1,400	3,600
	2015-RIV-2078	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2079	2 monitors	25,560	5,075	30,635
	2015-RIV-2080	6 civilian monitors	61,451	10,200	71,651
	2015-RIV-2081	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2082	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	2,000	27,560
	2015-RIV-2083	2 monitors	26,820	1,900	28,720
	2015-RIV-2084	10 installers, 10 monitors, 10 transcribers	90,000	10,000	100,000
	2015-RIV-2085	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2086	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2087	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2088	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2089		0	1,400	1,400
	2015-RIV-2090	12 monitors	65,840	7,500	73,340
	2015-RIV-2091	2 monitors	25,560	1,500	27,060
	2015-RIV-2092	2 drug enforcement agents, 7 taskforces, 2 monitors	175,583	2,000	177,583
	2015-RIV-2093	2 monitors	0	1,500	1,500
	2015-RIV-2094	2 monitors	51,120	5,200	56,320
	2015-RIV-2095	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2096	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2097	4 monitors	36,000	8,000	44,000
	2015-RIV-2098	2 monitors	49,000	4,900	53,900
	2015-RIV-2099	8 monitors	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2015-RIV-2100	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,120	4,000	55,120
	2015-RIV-2101	2 monitors	26,820	2,200	29,020
	2015-RIV-2102	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2103	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2104	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2105	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2106	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-2107	3 monitors	26,000	2,000	28,000
	2015-RIV-2108	2 monitors	26,189	2,600	28,789
	2015-RIV-2109	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2110	2 monitors	25,560	1,640	27,200
	2015-RIV-2111	2 Spanish monitors	2,200	1,400	3,600
	2015-RIV-2112	8 monitors	102,547	2,595	105,142
	2015-RIV-2113	1 officer, 3 civilian monitors, 1 technician	184,993	6,073	191,066
	2015-RIV-2114	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2115	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2116	10 monitors	25,206	3,825	29,031
	2015-RIV-2117	2 monitors, translators	117,846	25,000	142,846
	2015-RIV-2118	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	2,000	27,560
	2015-RIV-2119	2 monitors	25,000	3,400	28,400
	2015-RIV-2120	2 monitors	25,000	3,400	28,400
	2015-RIV-2121	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2122	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2123	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2124	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2125	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2126	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2127	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2128	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2129	4 monitors	13,606	1,700	15,306

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2130	2 translators	21,824	5,000	26,824
	2015-RIV-2131	6 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-2132	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2133	2 officers, 2 monitors	47,140	3,925	51,065
	2015-RIV-2134	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2135	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2136	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2137	6 civilian monitors	61,451	10,200	71,651
	2015-RIV-2138	2 monitors	32,041	4,525	36,566
	2015-RIV-2139	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2140	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2141	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-2142	3 monitors	51,408	1,015	52,423
	2015-RIV-2143	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	2,000	27,560
	2015-RIV-2144	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2145	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2146	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2147	2 monitors	26,820	4,300	31,120
	2015-RIV-2148	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-2149	2 monitors	19,596	3,000	22,596
	2015-RIV-2150	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2151	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2152	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2153	2 monitors	1,600	6,000	7,600

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2154	2 monitors translators	52,376	20,000	72,376
	2015-RIV-2155	6 monitors	108,000	6,000	114,000
	2015-RIV-2156	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2157	Cost not available			
	2015-RIV-2158	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2159	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2160	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2161	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2162	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2163	44 monitors	98,902	18,700	117,602
	2015-RIV-2164	2 monitors	0	1,500	1,500
	2015-RIV-2165	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2166	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2167	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-2168	10 monitors	128,160	2,595	130,755
	2015-RIV-2169	2 monitors	30,000	4,500	34,500
	2015-RIV-2170	2 monitors	51,120	5,200	56,320
	2015-RIV-2171	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2172	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2173	2 monitors	53,640	3,200	56,840
	2015-RIV-2174	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2175	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2176	2 monitors	26,820	1,900	28,720
	2015-RIV-2177	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2178	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2179	4 monitors	36,000	8,000	44,000
	2015-RIV-2180	2 monitors	23,000	2,600	25,600
	2015-RIV-2182	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2183	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2184	4 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2015-RIV-2185	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2187	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2188	14 monitors	25,759	4,800	30,559
	2015-RIV-2189	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2190	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2191	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	51,300	6,000	57,300
	2015-RIV-2192	2 monitors	25,560	2,600	28,160
	2015-RIV-2193	9 persons used re: installation and monitoring	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2015-RIV-2194	13 monitors	30,444	7,500	37,944
	2015-RIV-2195	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2198	4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-RIV-2199	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2200	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2201	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2202	2 monitors	104,752	22,500	127,252
	2015-RIV-2203	2 monitors	8,730	900	9,630
	2015-RIV-2204	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2205	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2015-RIV-2206	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2209	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2210	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2211	2 monitors	41,365	1,200	42,565
	2015-RIV-2212	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2213	1 technician	500	2,000	2,500
	2015-RIV-2214	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2215	1 technician	500	2,000	2,500
	2015-RIV-2216	30 installers, 30 monitors	27,000	30,000	57,000
	2015-RIV-2217	2 monitors	26,690	1,700	28,390
	2015-RIV-2218	2 monitors	26,820	1,900	28,720
	2015-RIV-2219	2 monitors	25,000	2,000	27,000
	2015-RIV-2220	2 monitors	26,189	1,600	27,789
	2015-RIV-2221	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-RIV-2222	2 monitors	25,560	2,600	28,160
	2015-RIV-2224	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	51,300	6,000	57,300
	2015-RIV-2225	21 monitors	132,094	18,875	150,969
	2015-RIV-2226	1 installer, 2 monitors	54,000	2,000	56,000
	2015-RIV-2229	2 monitors	104,752	17,500	122,252
	2015-RIV-2230	10 monitors	26,690	7,175	33,865
	2015-RIV-2233	2 monitors	1,000	6,000	7,000
Riverside Reported Total			21,944,396	2,538,179	24,482,575
Sacramento	2015-SAC-62	4 monitors, 12 detectives	21,000	5,400	26,400
Sacramento Reported Total			21,000	5,400	26,400

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Bernardino	2014-SBD-565	2 monitors, 1 technician	162,000	6,000	168,000
	2014-SBD-568	8 monitors, 14 officers	180,936	6,000	186,936
	2014-SBD-572	2 monitors	50,000	6,000	56,000
	2015-SBD-573	2 monitors	36,000	2,000	38,000
	2015-SBD-574	2 monitors	54,642	3,300	57,942
	2015-SBD-575	2 monitors, 2 technicians	108,000	4,000	112,000
	2015-SBD-576	2 monitors	26,188	1,600	27,788
	2015-SBD-577	1 monitor, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-578	2 monitors	26,188	1,600	27,788
	2015-SBD-579	1 monitor, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-580	2 monitors	26,188	1,600	27,788
	2015-SBD-582	8 officers	50,000	10,000	60,000
	2015-SBD-583	4 monitors	36,000	2,000	38,000
	2015-SBD-584	1 monitor, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-585	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	25,560	1,600	27,160
	2015-SBD-586	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	81,000	6,000	87,000
	2015-SBD-587	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	16,700	800	17,500
	2015-SBD-588	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	225,000	18,000	243,000
	2015-SBD-589	1 officer, 1 technician	0	1,750	1,750
	2015-SBD-590	15 investigators	107,676	6,100	113,776
	2015-SBD-591	10 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2015-SBD-592	15 investigators	32,622	1,500	34,122
	2015-SBD-593	15 officers, 1 technician, 3 monitors	150,000	6,000	156,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-594	1 officer, 1 technician	0	1,750	1,750
	2015-SBD-595	8 officers, 2 technicians	194,000	25,000	219,000
	2015-SBD-596	2 monitors, 1 technician	54,000	4,000	58,000
	2015-SBD-597	4 monitors, 1 technician	81,000	6,000	87,000
	2015-SBD-598	1 officer, 2 civilian monitor, 1 technician	25,561	1,600	27,161
	2015-SBD-599	1 monitors, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-600	3 monitors, 1 technician	81,000	6,000	87,000
	2015-SBD-601	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,668	1,750	9,418
	2015-SBD-602	8 officers, 2 technicians	194,000	25,000	219,000
	2015-SBD-603	2 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	75,000	6,000	81,000
	2015-SBD-604	2 investigators, 6 monitors, 1 technician	51,120	1,950	53,070
	2015-SBD-605	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-SBD-606	3 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-SBD-608	2 officers, 4 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2015-SBD-609	2 monitors, 1 technician	54,000	4,000	58,000
	2015-SBD-610	1 monitor, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-611	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	51,120	5,000	56,120
	2015-SBD-612	2 monitors, 1 technician	55,400	4,000	59,400
	2015-SBD-613	6 monitors, 2 investigators, 1 technician	95,196	21,504	116,700
	2015-SBD-614	3 monitors, 2 technician, 1 transcriptionist	150,000	12,000	162,000
	2015-SBD-615	2 investigators, 6 monitors, 1 transcriber, 1 technician	56,700	7,500	64,200
	2015-SBD-616	3 monitors, 1 technician	56,638	3,400	60,038

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2015-SBD-617	1 monitor, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-619	3 civilian monitors	625	17,961	18,586
	2015-SBD-620	Cost not available			
	2015-SBD-621	3 monitors	8,358	775	9,133
	2015-SBD-622	15 officers, 2 monitors, 2 technicians	54,000	4,000	58,000
	2015-SBD-624	1 technician, 10 monitors, 2 transcribers, 10 officers	107,000	8,000	115,000
	2015-SBD-625	1 technician, 1 monitor	23,000	1,200	24,200
	2015-SBD-626	2 monitors, 1 officer, 1 technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2015-SBD-628	1 technician, 3 monitors, 2 officers	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2015-SBD-629	1 technician, 3 monitors	26,820	1,400	28,220
	2015-SBD-630	3 officers, 1 technician	15,000	1,800	16,800
	2015-SBD-631	1 technician, 10 monitors, 2 transcribers, 10 officers	107,000	8,000	115,000
	2016-SBD-632	2 investigators, 1 technician, 6 monitors, 1 transcriber	37,800	5,000	42,800
San Bernardino Reported Total			3,354,606	296,940	3,651,546
San Diego	2015-SD-196		25,000	4,000	29,000
	2015-SD-197		41,261	6,476	47,737
	2015-SD-198		22,700	2,150	24,850
	2015-SD-199	Costs related to Eicos 2015-SD-201 (Wire 15-09)			
	2015-SD-200	Costs related to Eicos 2015-SD-201 (Wire 15-09)			
	2015-SD-201	monitors	77,359	17,140	94,499
	2015-SD-202	Costs related to Eicos 2015-SD-203 (Wire 15-10)			
	2015-SD-203	monitors	48,600	1,500	50,100

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Diego (cont'd)	2015-SD-204	monitors	57,613	5,250	62,863
	2015-SD-205	monitor	2,924	625	3,549
	2015-SD-206	monitor	16,668	625	17,293
	2015-SD-208	monitors	22,808	3,350	26,158
	2015-SD-209	Peace Officers	30,240	15,000	45,240
	2015-SD-210	NCIA Agents, Sheriff, DAI's used as monitors	8,190	800	8,990
	2015-SD-211	2 monitors	52,637	4,400	57,037
	2015-SD-212	2 monitors	52,896	6,200	59,096
	2015-SD-213	2 monitors	39,477	1,250	40,727
	2015-SD-214	2 monitors	26,318	1,600	27,918
	2015-SD-215	linguists	8,150	2,225	10,375
San Diego Reported Total			532,841	72,591	605,432
San Joaquin	2015-SJ-54	7 law enforcement officers	214,400	214,400	428,800
	2015-SJ-55	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-56	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-57	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-58	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-59	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-60	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-61	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-62	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-63	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-64	Costs related to 2015-01			
	2015-SJ-65	Costs related to 2015-01			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2015-SJ-66	7 law enforcement officers	272,000	27,000	299,000
	2015-SJ-67		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-69		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-70		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-71		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-72		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-73		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-74		Costs related to 201-02		
	2015-SJ-75		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-76		Costs related to 2015-04		
	2015-SJ-77		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-78		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-79		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-80		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-81		Costs related to 2015-02		
	2015-SJ-82		Costs related to 2015-02		
San Joaquin Reported Total			486,400	241,400	727,800
San Luis Obispo	2016-SLO-9	14 monitors/translators	50,526	15,000	65,526
	2016-SLO-10	7 monitors/translators	17,522	0	17,522
San Luis Obispo Reported Total			68,048	15,000	83,048
Santa Barbara	2015-SBA-50	5 monitors, 5 detectives	198,074	52,370	250,444
	2015-SBA-51	2 monitors, 1 technician	30,000	1,700	31,700
	2015-SBA-52	2 monitors, 1 technician	30,000	1,700	31,700
Santa Barbara Reported Total			258,074	55,770	313,844
Santa Clara	2015-SCL-85	2 monitors	46,000	4,800	50,800

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2015-SCL-86	2 monitors	23,000	1,600	24,600
	2015-SCL-88	2 monitors	7,000	1,600	8,600
	2015-SCL-89	Costs related to See WT 15-07			
	2015-SCL-90	Costs related to WT 15-07			
	2015-SCL-91	Cost not available			
	2015-SCL-92	Overtime for law enforcement officers as monitors and costs to service providers for installation. Cost reflected WT 15-09.	15,000	1,600	16,600
	2015-SCL-94	2 monitors	14,000	1,300	15,300
	2015-SCL-95	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	143,503	2,790	146,293
	2015-SCL-96	2 monitors	37,000	1,600	38,600
	2015-SCL-97	15 law enforcement officers	30,000	2,000	32,000
	2015-SCL-98	4 monitors	40,000	2,800	42,800
Santa Clara Reported Total			355,503	20,090	375,593
Stanislaus	2015-STA-78	17	22,800	700	23,500
	2015-STA-79	3 monitors	24,000	1,500	25,500
	2015-STA-80	3 monitors	12,000	1,500	13,500
	2015-STA-81	1	50,000	5,000	55,000
	2015-STA-82	Costs related to 15-03			
Stanislaus Reported Total			108,800	8,700	117,500
Tulare	2015-TUL-27	2 monitors	14,678	650	15,328
	2015-TUL-28	2 monitors	9,724	3,500	13,224
	2015-TUL-30		53,425	3,000	56,425
	2015-TUL-33	surveillance teams, conduit monitors	106,850	6,000	112,850

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Tulare (cont'd)	2015-TUL-35		9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-36		9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-37	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-38	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-39	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-40		9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-41	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-42	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-43	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-44	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-46	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-47	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-48	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-49	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-50	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-51	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-53	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-54	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-55	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-56	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-57	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-58	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-59	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-60	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Tulare (cont'd)	2015-TUL-61	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-62	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-63	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2015-TUL-64	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-65	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-66	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-67	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-68	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-69	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-70	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-71	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-72	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-73	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-74	11 agents	9,810	756	10,566
	2016-TUL-75		53,425	3,000	56,425
	2016-TUL-79		106,850	6,000	112,850
Tulare Reported Total			747,542	58,634	806,176
Ventura	2015-VE-114	2 monitors, 1 technician	50,000	3,400	53,400
	2015-VE-116	Costs related to 2015-VE-114			
	2015-VE-117	Costs related to 2015-VE-114			
	2015-VE-118	2 monitors, 1 technician	11,387	7,067	18,454
	2015-VE-119	Costs related to 2015-VE-118			
	2015-VE-120	4 monitors	47,771	2,500	50,271
	2015-VE-121	Costs related to 2015-VE-120			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-122	3 monitors, 1 technician	75,000	5,100	80,100
	2015-VE-123	4 monitors, 1 technician	100,000	6,800	106,800
	2015-VE-124	Costs related to 2015-VE-123			
	2015-VE-125	1 monitor, 1 technician	25,254	25,412	50,666
	2015-VE-126	Costs related to 2015-VE-125			
	2015-VE-127	Costs related to 2015-VE-125			
	2015-VE-128	Costs related to 2015-VE-125			
	2015-VE-129	4 monitors, 1 technician	100,000	6,800	106,800
	2015-VE-130	Costs related to 2015-VE-129			
	2015-VE-131	4 monitors, 1 technician	53,194	700	53,894
	2015-VE-132	Costs related to 2015-VE-131			
	2015-VE-133	Costs related to 2015-VE-131			
	2015-VE-134	2 monitors technician	50,000	3,400	53,400
	2015-VE-135	Costs related to 2015-VE-134			
	2015-VE-136	6 monitors, 2 technicians	8,256	5,700	13,956
	2015-VE-137	Costs related to 2015-VE-136			
	2015-VE-139	Costs related to 2015-VE-141			
	2015-VE-140	Costs related to 2015-VE-141			
	2015-VE-141	2 monitors, 1 technician	48,993	5,100	54,093
	2015-VE-142	Costs related to 2015-VE-141			
	2015-VE-143	2 monitors, 1 technician	20,810	18,540	39,350
	2015-VE-144	Costs related to 2015-VE-143			
	2015-VE-145	Costs related to 2015-VE-143			
	2015-VE-146	Costs related to 2015-VE-143			
	2015-VE-147	Costs related to 2015-VE-143			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-148	3 monitors, 1 technician	75,000	5,100	80,100
	2015-VE-149	Costs related to 2015-VE-148			
	2015-VE-150	2 monitors technician	11,780	20,997	32,777
	2015-VE-152	Costs related to 2015-VE-150			
	2015-VE-153	Costs related to 2015-VE-150			
	2015-VE-154	Costs related to 2015-VE-150			
	2015-VE-155	Costs related to 2015-VE-150			
	2015-VE-156	1 monitor, 1 technician	26,305	5,960	32,265
	2015-VE-157	Costs related to 2015-VE-156			
	2015-VE-158	Costs related to 2015-VE-156			
	2015-VE-159	4 monitors, 1 technician	100,000	5,100	105,100
	2015-VE-160	Costs related to 2015-VE-159			
	2015-VE-161	Costs related to 2015-VE-159			
	2015-VE-162	2 monitors, 1 technician	20,810	18,546	39,356
	2015-VE-163	Costs related to 2015-VE-162			
	2015-VE-164	Costs related to 2015-VE-162			
	2015-VE-165	Costs related to 2015-VE-162			
	2015-VE-166	2 monitors, 1 technician	12,512	3,040	15,552
	2015-VE-167	Costs related to 2015-VE-166			
	2015-VE-168	Costs related to 2015-VE-166			
	2015-VE-169	2 monitors, 1 technician	11,717	20,997	32,714
	2015-VE-170	Costs related to 2015-VE-169			
	2015-VE-171	Costs related to 2015-VE-169			
	2015-VE-172	4 monitors, 1 technician	56,982	1,700	58,682
	2015-VE-173	2 monitors, 1 technician	20,810	18,546	39,356

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used ⁴	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Ventura (cont'd)	2015-VE-174	Costs related to 2015-VE-173			
	2015-VE-175	Costs related to 2015-VE-173			
Ventura Reported Total			926,581	190,505	1,117,086
Yolo	2015-YO-1	15 monitors, 3 agents, 2 technicians	15,000	5,000	20,000
Yolo Reported Total			15,000	5,000	20,000
Grand Total			40,969,543	4,410,338	45,379,881

Table 7
Jurisdiction Reporting No
Electronic Interception Activity During
Calendar Year 2015

Alpine	Lassen	San Mateo
Amador	Madera	Santa Cruz
Butte	Marin	Shasta
Calaveras	Mariposa	Sierra
Colusa	Mendocino	Siskiyou
Del Norte	Modoc	Solano
El Dorado	Mono	Sonoma
Glenn	Napa	Sutter
Humboldt	Nevada	Tehama
Imperial	Placer	Trinity
Inyo	Plumas	Tuolumne
Kings	San Benito	Yuba
Lake	San Francisco	

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
Alameda	2013		13-02	13-02					1		6	Attempted murder;	
	2013		13-16	13-16					1		4	Conspiracy; accessory; unlawful firearm possession	
Los Angeles	2015	8/16/2008	08-168			4	Special circumstance murder				3	Special circumstance murder life without the possibility of parole	
	2015	7/20/2012	12-109			2	Conspiracy; possession for sale of methamphetamine; conspiracy for sale of cocaine; hidden compartment	0			1	Conspiracy; possession for sale of methamphetamine; conspiracy for sale of cocaine; hidden compartment	
	2015	4/22/2008	08-41			2	Possession for						
	2015	7/13/2012	12-05	2012-LA-435	\$22,600.00	1	Conspiracy; possession for sale of methamphetamine	0			1	Possession for sale of methamphetamine	
	2015		09-162			1	Murder				1	Murder	

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2015	1/14/2011	10-184	2011-LA-226	\$358,873.00	6	Attempted robbery; robbery; use of tear gas					2	Attempted robbery; robbery; use of tear gas
	2015	11/2/2012	12-143; 12-160, 12-164, 12-166, 12-179, 12-182, 12-197, 13-04	2013-LA-607	\$82,500.00	2	Murder					2	Murder
San Diego	2007		07-68, 07-70, 07-73									1	Voluntary manslaughter; gangs
	2013		13-03, 13-06, 13-08	2013-SD-168								1	Narcotics
	2013		13-14	2013-SD-171				1				1	Murder
	2013		13-15	2013-SD-178		2	Murder						
	2014		14-05, 14-08, 14-12	2014-SD-190								1	Narcotics
	2014		14-14	2014-SD-180		1	Narcotics					3	Narcotics
	2014		14-03, 14-07	2014-SD-185								2	Narcotics
	2014		14-04, 14-09	2014-SD-193								1	Child endangerment
Stanislaus	2012	6/21/2012	12-10194 Wire 12-21 (Additional Wires 21-21A, 12-22, 13-10, 13-11, 15-03)	2012-STA-46		9	Murder/accessory to murder	0					
	2012	12/30/2011	11-107483 (Wire Order#12-01 (Additional 12-02A, 12-02B, 12-03)	2012-STA-22		6	Murder, narcotics, conspiracy	0					

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2012	2/13/2012	12-12006 Wire #12-04 (Additional 12-05)	2012-STA-26		2	Murder	0					
	2012	3/4/2012	12-18310 Wire#12-07A (Additional 12-07B, 12-08A, 12-08B, 12-09, 12-10, 12-12, 12-13, 12-14, 12-15, 12-16A, 12-16B, 12-17)	2012-STA-28		11	Murder, narcotics, conspiracy, accessory	0					
	2012	3/14/2012	N12-27 Wire #12-11 (Additional 12-18, 12-19, 12-20)	2012-STA-34		5	Narcotics	5				5	Narcotics
	2013	2/18/2013	13-009717 Wire#13-01 (Additional 13-02, 13-03)	2013-STA-58									
	2013	8/14/2013	SCEA N13-005 wire# 13-08	2013-STA-54		4	Narcotics - dismissed state charges/being federally prosecuted						
	2013	7/25/2013	SDEA N12-191 Wire #13-06 (Additional 13-07, 13-09, 13-12, 13-14)	2013-STA-49		2	Narcotics	2				2	Narcotics

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2015

Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed	Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Used
2015-ALA-35	2016-KE-68
2016-LA-965	2015-LA-903
2015-RIV-1640	2015-LA-911
2015-RIV-1705	2015-LA-912
2015-RIV-1766	2015-LA-917
2015-RIV-1834	2015-LA-923
2015-RIV-1852	2015-LA-972
2015-RIV-1853	2015-RIV-1684
2015-RIV-1860	2015-RIV-1740
2015-RIV-1889	2015-RIV-1804
2015-RIV-1972	2015-RIV-1867
2015-RIV-2010	2015-SBD-577
2015-RIV-2058	2015-SBD-607
2015-RIV-2068	2015-SCL-87
2015-RIV-2077	2015-SD-207
2015-RIV-2181	2015-SD-216
2015-SBD-581	
2015-SCL-93	
2015-TUL-31	
2015-TUL-32	
2015-TUL-34	
2016-TUL-76	

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2015

Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed	Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Used
2016-TUL-77	
2016-TUL-78	

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62

“Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (c) the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (d) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.