## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

	ECONOMIC IMPACT	T STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Justice	Marlon Martinez	Marlon.Martinez@doj.ca.gov	(213) 269-6437
Police Body Armor  SL22-1004			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assu	mptions in the rulemaking record.	9 10 11 12 13 14
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat  a. Impacts business and/or employees  b. Impacts small businesses  c. Impacts jobs or occupations  d. Impacts California competitiveness  If any box in Items 1  If box in Item 1.h. in Department of Justice	e whether this regulation:  e. Imposes reporting f. Imposes prescript g. Impacts individua h. None of the abov (Please see atta	g requirements ive instead of performance als e (Explain below):	CHIEF DEPUTY  10/7/22  THORNEY GENERAL  Sectors of the control of
2. The(Agency/Department)    X   Below \$10 million   Between \$10 and \$25 million   Between \$25 and \$50 million   Over \$50 million [If the economic impact)		nic impact of this regulation (which includes th	
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted  Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre		inated: 0	
Explain: These regulations are not exposed.  5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide	e any businesses	
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0  Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa			any iohs or occupations
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc	businesses to compete with	YES X NO	, jobs of occupations

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

В.	<b>ESTIMATED COSTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.				
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indivic	duals may incur to comply with this regulation over i	ts lifetime? \$ 0			
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: N/A			
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0					
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: N/A			
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: None.					
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for	each industry: There are no costs imposed	on businesses or individuals.			
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual of line line and line line and line					
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES	O NO				
	If YES, enter the	e annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$				
		Number of units:				
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	NO				
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence current regulations.	of Federal regulations: The Department seek	s to repeal the state's			
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may	be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0				
C.	<b>ESTIMATED BENEFITS</b> Estimation of the dollar value of benefits	is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but er	ocouraged.			
1.	. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:  These regulations would be repealed as their standards for police body armor are outdated. The federal standards are up-to-date. Police safety and thereby the health and					
	welfare of California's residents would benefit from	n following the federal standards.				
2.	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, o	or $ig ig $ goals developed by the agency based on bro	ad statutory authority?			
	Explain: The benefits are based on the regulations have	ing been superseded on multiple occasion	ons since their adoption.			
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its life	fetime? \$ unquantifiable				
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing busine	ess within the State of California that would result fro	m this regulation: N/A			
D.	<b>ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION</b> Include calculations and specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	d assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation	of the dollar value of benefits is not			
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternation	<u> </u>	atives were considered as			
	the current regulations do not conform with currer	nt tederal standards.				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

2.	Summarize the	total statewide costs and b	penefits from this reg	gulation and each alternative co	nsidered:		
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$ unquanti	fiable Cost: \$ 0				
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$ N/A	Cost: \$	N/A			
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$ N/A	Cost: \$	I/A			
3.	•	ny quantification issues tha		•			
	of estimated co	osts and benefits for this	regulation or alterna	atives:			
	_		•	standards as an alternative, if a uipment, or prescribes specific			
	•	•		ered to lower compliance costs	? YES	⊠ NO	
	Explain: Thes	e regulations are be	eing repealed.				
	MAJOR REGU	LATIONS Include calcula	itions and assumpti	ions in the rulemaking record.			
				Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, o	offices and de	partments are r	equired to
				alth and Safety Code section			
1.	Will the estimat	ed costs of this regulation	to California busine	ss enterprises <b>exceed \$10 millic</b>	on? YES	× NO	
				If YES, complete E2. and E If NO, skip to E4	3		
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative, or comb	ination of alternative	es, for which a cost-effectiveness	analysis was pe	rformed:	
	Alternative 1: _						
	Alternative 2: _						
	(Attach addition	al pages for other alternati	ves)				
3.	For the regulati	ion, and each alternative j	ust described, enter	the estimated total cost and ove	rall cost-effectiv	eness ratio:	
		Fotal Cost \$					
				Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
	Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
4. \	exceeding \$50		eriod between the d	conomic impact to business ente late the major regulation is estimed?			
	YES [	× NO					
				<u>y Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as spe the Initial Statement of Reasons.	ecified in		
5.	Briefly describe	the following:					
	The increase or	decrease of investment in	the State:		N/A		
						N1/A	
	The incentive for	or innovation in products,	materials or process	es:		N/A	
	The benefits of	the regulations, including	, but not limited to	benefits to the health, safety, and	d welfare of Cali	fornia	
	residents, work	er safety, and the state's e	nvironment and qua	ality of life, among any other ben	efits identified k	by the agency:	The repeal of these
	regulations	allow the Departme	ent to follow fed	deral standards for police	e body armo	r that will inci	rease police safety.

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	<ol> <li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)</li> <li>(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).</li> </ol>					
\$						
	a. Funding provided in					
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of			
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu	ıdget Act of				
		Fiscal Year:				
	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal \(\) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ					
\$			in farmer attings			
<i>Cr.</i>	neck reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and $\mu$ .  \[ \] a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	roviae tne appropriate i	ntormation:			
_						
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.		
	Case of:		V5			
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	ate expressed in their ap	oproval of Proposition No.			
	Date of Election:					
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro	om affected local entity	(s).			
	Local entity(s) affected:					
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, et	c. from:				
	Authorized by Section:	0	f the	Code;		
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loc	cal government which v	vill, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each;		
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infraction	on contained in			
□ 3.	Annual Savings. (approximate)					
	3 (11)					
\$						
4.	No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non-subs	stantive or clarifying changes to curr	rent law regulations.		
₹ 5.	No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affec	t any local entity or prog	gram.			
	Other. Explain					

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the cu	rrent
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)		
\$		
\$ It is anticipated that State agencies will:		
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.		
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the		
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)		
\$		
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.		
4. Other. Explain		
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate by	hoxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of i	 fisca
impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.		
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)		
\$		
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)		
\$		
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency o	or program.	
4. Other. Explain		
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE	
Chris Ryan  Digitally signed by Chris Ryan  Date: 2022.09.08 11:31:24 -07'0	00'	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the in the influence of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not undighest ranking official in the organization.		
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE	
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require of	completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.	
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE	
	I I	