

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

**TITLE 11. LAW
DIVISION 1. ATTORNEY GENERAL
CHAPTER 11. POLICE BODY ARMOR**

FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

UPDATE OF INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

There is not an update from the Initial Statement of Reasons.

CORRECTIONS AND NON-SUBSTANTIAL EDITS

A “non-substantial change is one that clarifies without materially altering the requirements, rights, responsibilities, conditions or prescriptions contained in the original text. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 40.)

Section 950 was inadvertently included in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as one of the provisions being repealed by this rulemaking. However, section 950 was repealed in 1978. It will not be included as part of the final rulemaking. This change does not materially alter the requirements, rights, responsibilities, conditions, or prescriptions contained in the original text.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND DEPARTMENT RESPONSES

No comments were received during the 45-day comment period.

LOCAL MANDATE DETERMINATION

The proposed regulation does not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts.

ALTERNATIVES DETERMINATIONS

In accordance with Government Code section 111346.9, subdivision (a)(4), the Department has determined that no alternative it considered, or that it otherwise identified, or was brought to its attention, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

This determination is based on the fact that this proposal would repeal California’s outdated police body armor regulations. There are no provisions within the proposed regulations that place additional burdens, obligations, or expenses on existing businesses. Although the proposed regulations mandate the use of specific technologies and equipment and prescribe specific actions or procedures, they are outdated and do not reflect the state’s current procurement policies and practices.

ALTERNATIVES THAT WOULD LESSEN ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES

The Department determines that the proposed regulations do not affect small businesses.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.