STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

### **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IMIT	CI DIATEMENT		
	ONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS		TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Justice	aimie Tackett	Jaimie.Tackett	:@doj.ca.gov	916-210-5267
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		·		NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Regulations Governing the CalGang Datab	oase			Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACT	TS Include calculations and	essumptions in the rulemakin	a record	
			g record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate				
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes repo	rting requirements		
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prese	riptive instead of performanc	e .	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	🔀 g. Impacts indiv	iduals		
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the a	bove (Explain below):		
· · ·		plete this Economic Impo cal Impact Statement as a		
	cneckeu, complete the ris	cui impuci siaiemeni us i	ippropriate.	
Department of Justice 2. The	estimates that the ec	onomic impact of this regulati	on (which includes:	the fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)	Commutes that the co	morne impact of this regulati	on (which includes	are rised impact, is
Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million		•		
_	ovar ¢50 million, agancias ara r	guired to cuboit a Ctandardisa	d Baardatam Impac	Accorement
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is a as specified in Government		quirea to submit a <u>Standaraize</u>	a <u>kegulatory impaci</u>	Assessment
·				
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	0			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpro	fits): N/A	_		
Enter the number or percentage of total			-	
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be create	ed: <u>0</u>	eliminated: 0		
A1/A				
Explain: N/A				
			•	
_	Statewide			
	Local or regional (List areas):			
5 5 4 3 db				
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: $0$			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact	ed: N/A			
Describe the types of Jose of Octoberions Impact				
		<del>-</del>		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California b	usinesses to compete with			
other states by making it more costly to produce		YES X NO		•
If YES, explain briefly:				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

<b>B. ESTIMATED COSTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in	the rulemaking record.	·		
What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and in	dividuals may incur to comply with this regul	ation over its lifetime? \$ 0		
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:		
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:		
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: No costs	, initial or ongoing, will be incurred	by businesses or individuals.		
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total cost	s for each industry: N/A			
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the ann Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, report				
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  YES	<b>⋈</b> NO			
If YES, ente	r the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_			
	Number of units:			
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	NO			
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abso	ence of Federal regulations: Federal regu	lations govern the security of		
all intelligence databases. State regulations are r	equired by Penal Code section 186	.36 and are specific to gang databases.		
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that	may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	0		
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of bene	efits is not specifically required by rulemaking	law, but encouraged.		
Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may inchealth and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the	lude among others, the e State's environment: The regulations	will protect the privacy rights of		
individuals designated within the CalGang database by expressly prohibiting user agencies from disseminating the data				
for purposes other than criminal investigations of	or the lawful prevention of criminal	gang activity.		
2. Are the benefits the result of: Specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?				
Explain: Penal Code section 186.36 outlines the topics that must be addressed in these regulations.				
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over	its lifetime? \$ U	<del></del>		
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bu	siness within the State of California that wou	ld result from this regulation:N/A		
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	s and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alte	rnatives were considered, explain why not:	he Department is required by Penal		
Code section 186.36 to promulgate regulations t	o govern the use of the CalGang d	atabase. While alternatives to each		
provision of the regulations were considered, the	e Department determined that tho	se included were the best options.		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

2.	Summarize the t	otal statewide co	sts and benefits from th	nis regulation and each a	Iternative considered:		
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	O Cost:	s See attachment	_		
	Alternative 1:			: \$			
	Alternative 2:		Cost				
3.		ny quantification i	ssues that are relevant to	o a comparison		· ·	
4.	regulation man	dates the use of	specific technologies o	ance standards as an alt or equipment, or prescri onsidered to lower comp	bes specific	□ NO	
	Explain: The D	Department n	nade every effort t	o consider perform	ance standards wher	re possible. Th	e areas where specific
	actions are	prescribed ar	e necessary to ens	sure consistency, tr	ansparency to the pu	ıblic, and adhe	rence to statute.
<u> </u>	MAJOR REGUL	ATIONS Include	e calculations and assu	ımptions in the rulemal	sing record.	•	
					A) boards, offices and a ode section 57005). Oth		
1.	Will the estimate	ed costs of this re	gulation to California bu	usiness enterprises <b>exce</b>	ed \$10 million? YES	⊠ NO	
				If YES, complete If NO, skij			
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative,	or combination of alterr	natives, for which a cost-	effectiveness analysis was p	performed:	
	Alternative 1: _						
	Alternative 2: _						
		al pages for other					
_	For the resulet				and and array linear offers	•i	
э.	=				cost and overall cost-effect s ratio: \$		
					s ratio: \$		
	Alternative 1: To		-	Cost-effectivenes			
4.	Will the regulation exceeding \$50 r	on subject to OAL million in any 12-		ted economic impact to the date the major regul	business enterprises and in		n or doing business in California rry of State through 12 months
	YES [	X NO					
				ulatory Impact Assessmen IIA in the Initial Statement			
5.	Briefly describe t	3					
	The increase or	decrease of inves	tment in the State:		See attacl	hment	
	The incentive fo	or innovation in p	roducts, materials or pro	ocesses:		N/A	
	residents, worke	er safety, and the	state's environment and	d quality of life, among a	th, safety, and welfare of Ca ny other benefits identified		The regulations will
	protect the p	privacy rights	of individuals des	ignated within the	CalGang database.		
							PAGE:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOV current year and two subsequent F		rough 6 and attach calculations and assump	otions of fiscal impact for the	
1. Additional expenditures in the (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article)	current State Fiscal Year which are reimburs XIII B of the California Constitution and Sec	able by the State. (Approximate) tions 17500 et seq. of the Government Code)	•	
\$ 548,000 - 1,813,000 (see	attachmen			
a. Funding provided in				
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of		
b. Funding will be requested	in the Governor's Budget Act of	<del></del>		
	Fiscal Year:			
2. Additional expenditures in the (Pursuant to Section 6 of Articles)	•	bursable by the State. (Approximate) tions 17500 et seq. of the Government Code)		
•	t reimbursable and provide the appropriate in	nformation:		
a. Implements the Federal m	andate contained in			
b. Implements the court man	date set forth by the		Court.	
Ca	se of:	vs		
c. Implements a mandate of	he people of this State expressed in their ap	proval of Proposition No.		
Date of Ele	tion:			
d. Issued only in response to	a specific request from affected local entity(	s).		
Local entity(s) affe	cted:	·		
e. Will be fully financed from	the fees, revenue, etc. from:	-		
_	ction:o	f the	Code;	
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;				
g. Creates, eliminates, or cha	ges the penalty for a new crime or infractio	n contained in		
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)				
\$				
4. No additional costs or savings. Ti	is regulation makes only technical, non-subs	tantive or clarifying changes to current law reg	ulations.	
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regu	ation does not affect any local entity or prog	ram.		
6. Other. Explain				

PAGE 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

### **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 2,431,000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
$\overline{\times}$ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	· ·
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	· ·
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	, 'X
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
a minulati	4/30/19
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in S the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
a de Mi Chrie	1/30/2019
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of I	Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE