ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME  CONTACT PERSON  EMAIL ADDRESS  TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Justice  Jaimie Tackett  Jaimie.Tackett@doj.ca.gov  916-210-5267

DESCRIPTION TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400
Regulations Governing the CalGang Database

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:
   □ a. Impacts business and/or employees  □ e. Imposes reporting requirements
   □ b. Impacts small businesses  □ f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   □ c. Impacts jobs or occupations  □ g. Impacts individuals
   □ d. Impacts California competitiveness  □ h. None of the above (Explain below):

   If any box in Items 1a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.
   If box in Item 1h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.

2. The Department of Justice estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
   □ Below $10 million  □ Over $50 million
   □ Between $10 and $25 million
   □ Between $25 and $50 million
   [If the economic impact is over $50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 0
   Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): N/A
   Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 0

4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 0 and eliminated: 0
   Explain: N/A

5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: □ Statewide
   □ Local or regional (List areas):

6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0 and eliminated: 0
   Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: N/A

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? □ YES  □ NO
   If YES, explain briefly:

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
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B. ESTIMATED COSTS  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? $
   \begin{align*}
   \text{a. Initial costs for a small business: } & $0 \\
   \text{Annual ongoing costs: } & $0 \\
   \text{Years: } & \\
   \text{b. Initial costs for a typical business: } & $0 \\
   \text{Annual ongoing costs: } & $0 \\
   \text{Years: } & \\
   \text{c. Initial costs for an individual: } & $0 \\
   \text{Annual ongoing costs: } & $0 \\
   \text{Years: } & \\
   \end{align*}

\text{d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: No costs, initial or ongoing, will be incurred by businesses or individuals.}

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: N/A

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. $0

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? \( \square \) YES \( \times \) NO
   
   If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $
   \begin{align*}
   \text{Number of units: } & \\
   \end{align*}

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? \( \times \) YES \( \square \) NO
   
   Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Federal regulations govern the security of all intelligence databases. State regulations are required by Penal Code section 186.36 and are specific to gang databases.

   Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: $0

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS  Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The regulations will protect the privacy rights of individuals designated within the CalGang database by expressly prohibiting user agencies from disseminating the data for purposes other than criminal investigations or the lawful prevention of criminal gang activity.

2. Are the benefits the result of: \( \times \) specific statutory requirements, or \( \square \) goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
   
   Explain: Penal Code section 186.36 outlines the topics that must be addressed in these regulations.

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? $0

4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: N/A

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: The Department is required by Penal Code section 186.36 to promulgate regulations to govern the use of the CalGang database. While alternatives to each provision of the regulations were considered, the Department determined that those included were the best options.
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>See attachment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-------</td>
<td>$----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>Benefit</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-------</td>
<td>$----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>Benefit</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-------</td>
<td>$----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES [X] NO

Explain: The Department made every effort to consider performance standards where possible. The areas where specific actions are prescribed are necessary to ensure consistency, transparency to the public, and adherence to statute.

5. Briefly describe the following:

The increase or decrease of investment in the State: See attachment

The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: N/A

The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The regulations will protect the privacy rights of individuals designated within the CalGang database.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)
STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).
   $ 548,000 - 1,813,000 (see attachment)
   a. Funding provided in _______________________________________________________________________
      Budget Act of __________ or Chapter __________, Statutes of ________________
   b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of _______________________________________________________________________
      Fiscal Year: ____________________________________________________________________________

☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).
   $ ______________________________________________________________________________________
   Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:
   a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in ____________________________________________________________________
   b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the ______________________________________________________________________
      Court.
      Case of: __________________________________________ vs. __________________________________
   c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. __________
      Date of Election: ________________________________________________________________________
   d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).
      Local entity(s) affected: __________________________________________________________________
      ______________________________________________________________________________________
   e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: _________________________________________________________________________________
      Authorized by Section: ________________________ of the ______________ Code;
   f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;
   g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in _______________________________________________________________________

☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)
   $ ______________________________________________________________________________________

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

☐ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

☐ 6. Other. Explain ________________________________________________________________________
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STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)
STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT
   Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

   ☑ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

   $ 2,431,000

   It is anticipated that State agencies will:

   ☑ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

   ☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the ______________ Fiscal Year.

   ☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

   $ ______________

   ☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

   ☐ 4. Other. Explain ____________________________________________________________________________________

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS
   Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

   ☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

   $ ______________

   ☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

   $ ______________

   ☑ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

   ☐ 4. Other. Explain ____________________________________________________________________________________

   FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE

   ____________________________  DATE  1/30/2019

   The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

   AGENCY SECRETARY

   ____________________________  DATE  1/30/2019

   Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.

   DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER