ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

	ECONOMIC IMPA	CTSTATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Justice	Jami L. Cantore	Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov	(213) 897-2569
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Supervision of Trustees and Fundraisers	for Charitable Purposes A	ct	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees		orting requirements	•
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes preso	criptive instead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indiv	viduals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	$\boxed{\times}$ h. None of the a	ibove (Explain below):	
	Codifies and	clarifies existing practice	
		nplete this Economic Impact Statement. cal Impact Statement as appropriate.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the eco	pnomic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	s over \$50 million, agencies are re nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	quired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Imp</u> ac	t.Assess <u>ment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits):		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated:	elimínated:	
Explain:			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	,		
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:		
 Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc If YES, explain briefly: 	e goods or services here?	YES NO	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD, 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in th	he rulemaking record.	8
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indiv	viduals may incur to comply with this reg	ulation over its lifetime? \$
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
		Annual ongoing costs: \$	
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:	B	
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for	or each industry:	*
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annua Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting	al costs a typical business may incur to co g, and other paperwork, whether or not the	mply with these requirements. paperwork must be submitted. \$
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	NO	
	If YES, enter th	he annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
		Number of units:	
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	NO	
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence	ce of Federal regulations:	
3	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that ma	ay be due to State - Federal differences: \$	5
ς.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits	s is not specifically required by rulemakin	ng law, but encouraged.
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may includ health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the St	de among others, the tate's environment: Clarifies that do	onor information is confidential and not
	subject to public inspection. The separate designa	ation of confidential documents	will allow confidential requests to be
	processed more efficiently by the Registry of Charit	table Trusts. Clarifies how annu	al fees are used by the Registry.
2	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements,	, or goals developed by the agency	based on broad statutory authority?
	Explain: Charitable organizations are already required	d to file donor information with	the IRS and with the Registry.
		700 107 5000	3
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its l	lifetime? \$ IN/A	
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing busin	ness within the State of California that wo	ould result from this regulation:
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations ar	nd assumptions in the rulemaking record	d. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
	specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.		
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alterna		
	proposed amendments are necessary to codify the	Attorney General's existing pra	actice of maintaining donor information
	as confidential and to clarify that annual fees will be	e used to enforce registration a	
			PAGE 2

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

						· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
. Summarize the to	otal statewide cos	ts and benefits fror	n this regulation and e	each alternative considered:		
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	N/A c	ost: \$ N/A			
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	N/A c	ost: \$ N/A			
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	N/A c	ost: \$ N/A			
	y quantification is	sues that are relevan	nt to a comparison			
of estimated co	sts and benefits f	or this regulation o	or alternatives: \underline{N}	I/A		
regulation mand actions or proce	dates the use of s	pecific technologie	rmance standards as as or equipment, or pi s considered to lower	rescribes specific	ES NO	
Explain: N/A		·····				
MAJOR REGUL			ssumptions in the rul			
				VEPA) boards, offices a lety Code section 57005).	=	_
. Will the estimate	d costs of this reg	ulation to California	a business enterprises	exceed \$10 million? Y	es 🔀 no	
•				iplete E2. and E3 , skip to E4		
. Briefly describe e	ach alternative, o	r combination of al	ternatives, for which a	cost-effectiveness analysis	was performed:	
Alternative 1:	.=					
Alternative 2:						
(Attach additiona	l pages for other a	lternatives)				
r"				total goet and averall cost (offactivoness vation	
		ative just describe		total cost and overall cost-oreness ratio: \$	enecuveness rado.	
Alternative 1: To				veness ratio: \$		
Alternative 2: To				veness ratio: \$		
		ravious brus an activ			nd individuals located in a	or doing business in California
exceeding \$50 m	nillion in any 12-m		en the date the major			of State through 12 months
YES 🔀	NO					
			<u>legulatory Impact Asses</u> SRIA in the Initial State	ssment (SRIA) as specified in ement of Reasons.		
Briefly describe th	he following:					
The increase or o	decrease of invest	ment in the State: _	<u>. </u>		N/A	
The incentive for	r innovation in pro	oducts, materials or	processes:		N/A	
	(-			
				e health, safety, and welfare ong any other benefits iden		N/A

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

s	current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. 1. Additional expenditures in the current Sta (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of th			nent Code).
a. Funding provided in Budget Act of			·	·
Budget Act of	a. Funding provided in			
D. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of Fliscal Year: 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII 8 of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). 5				
Fiscal Year: 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). 5		ernor's Budget Act of		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT relimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). 5 Check reason(s) this regulation is not relimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Court. Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) 5 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or derifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.				
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Court. Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or darifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	2. Additional expenditures in the current Sta (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the	te Fiscal Year which are NOT re	mbursable by the State. (Approxima	ate) nent Code).
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Caurt. Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. S. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.			information.	
			einformation;	
Case of:		·		
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. \$ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	b. Implements the court mandate set for			Court,
Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	Case of:		vs,	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	c. Implements a mandate of the people	of this State expressed in their	approval of Proposition No.	
Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section:	Date of Election:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	d. Issued only in response to a specific re	quest from affected local entit	y(s).	
Authorized by Section:	Local entity(s) affected:	<u>-</u>		
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. \$ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, re	venue, etc. from:		
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$	Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;
3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$	f. Provides for savings to each affected a	ınit of local government which	will, at a minimum, offset any additi	onal costs to each;
\$	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the pe	nalty for a new crime or infract	ion contained in	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	, 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		***************************************	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations. 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	_			
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.				
	4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation	n makes only technical, non-sut	ostantive or clarifying changes to curr	ent law regulations.
6. Other. Explain	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does	not affect any local entity or pro	gram.	
	6. Other. Explain			
				PAG

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculates year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	lations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
· ·	
\$	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
h Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 throu impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	igh 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
1 Other Fundain	
4. Other. Explain	
0	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
	1500966540
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in	11-4-15
he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Age	
highest ranking official in the organization.	DATE
AGENCY SECRETARY ,	
& Ny Vand	11.24.15
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of	D C
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	ψ PAGE 5