

Hate Crime INE ATTORNEL California and justice 2009

Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General California Department of Justice Division of California Justice Information Services Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Hate Crime in California

2009

Published Annually by the California Department of Justice Division of California Justice Information Services Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Released July 2010

This report and other reports are available on the California Attorney General's website: http://ag.ca.gov



- Collect, analyze, and report statistical data that provide valid measures of crime and the criminal justice process.
- Examine these data on an ongoing basis to better describe crime and the criminal justice system.
- Promote the responsible presentation and use of crime statistics.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General



i
iv
1
1
2
2
3
4
4
5
7
-

nate entrie rioseeution bispositions	
Total Cases Referred	8
Total Cases Filed for Prosecution	8
Total Dispositions	9
Hate Crime Convictions	9

TREND DATA, 2000–2009

Hate Crime Trend Data	11
Bias Motivation	13
Race/Ethnicity/National Origin	
Hate Crimes	14
Religion Hate Crimes	15
Sexual Orientation Hate Crimes	16
Type of Crime	17
Violent Hate Crimes	18
Property Hate Crimes	19
Events by Location	20

DATA TABLES

Table 1	Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by Bias Motivation	23
Table 2	Offenses by Type of Crime	24
Table 3	Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by Location	
Table 4	Victim Type by Bias Motivation	26
Table 5	Victim Type by Location	27
Table 6	Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction	28
Table 7A	Summary of Cases Referred to Prosecutors by Law Enforcement Agencies and Type of Filings	34
Table 7B	Summary of Hate Crime Case Dispositions	34
Table 8	Cases Referred by Law Enforcement Agencies and Type of Filings	35
Table 9	Hate Crime Case Dispositions	37
Table 10	Complaints Filed and Total Convictions	38
Table 11	Events by Bias Motivation	
Table 12	Offenses by Bias Motivation	40
Table 13	Offenses by Type of Crime	41
Table 14	Offenses by Location	42

APPENDICES

Appendix 1	Data Characteristics and Known Limitations	.45
Appendix 2	Criminal Justice Glossary	.47



Hate Crime in California provides statistics on hate crimes that occurred in California during 2009. These statistics include the number of hate crime events, hate crime offenses, and victims of hate crimes. This report also provides statistics from district and city attorneys on the number of hate crime cases referred to prosecutors, the number of cases filed in court, and the dispositions of those cases. Finally, this report puts these statistics in a historical perspective by providing trend information on the number and types of hate crimes during the past 10 years. All police agencies and district attorney's offices in California, in cooperation with the Department of Justice (DOJ), have developed local data collection programs and submitted hate crime statistics for this 2009 edition of *Hate Crime in California*.

The DOJ is responsible for collecting and reporting statistics on crime in California. The requirement for reporting statistics on hate crimes is contained in California Penal Code section 13023. Specifically, California Penal Code section 13023 requires the Attorney General to submit an annual report to the Legislature regarding crimes motivated by the victim's race, ethnicity, religion, gender, sexual orientation, national origin, or physical or mental disability as reported by law enforcement agencies. This report, *Hate Crime in California*, is produced to fulfill that mandate.

The DOJ first identified the importance of hate crime statistics in a report submitted to the Legislature in 1986 in response to Senate Bill 2080 (Watson). That report contained recommendations for preliminary steps to establish a statewide hate crime reporting database. In 1994, the Attorney General's Hate Crime Reporting program was implemented with agencies submitting all reports of hate crimes that had occurred between July 1, 1994, and December 31, 1994. In 1995, California district attorneys began to report hate crime prosecution information. Later in 1995 the DOJ published its first hate crime report.

Hate crimes are not distinct crimes, but are rather traditional offenses motivated by the offender's bias. California Penal Code section 422.55 defines a hate crime as "a criminal act committed, in whole or in part, because of one or more of the following actual or perceived characteristics of the victim: (1) disability, (2) gender, (3) nationality, (4) race or ethnicity, (5) religion, (6) sexual orientation, (7) association with a person or group with one or more of these actual or perceived characteristics."

Hate Crime in California presents statistics in three sections: (1) Crime Data, (2) Prosecutorial Data, and (3) Trend Data. The Crime Data section provides detailed information on the number of hate crimes, hate crime offenses, and victims, including the bias motivation, the type of crime, and the type of victim. The Prosecutorial Data section provides information on the number of cases referred for prosecution, the number of cases filed for prosecution, the number of dispositions, and the number of convictions. The Trend Data section displays the 10-year trends for hate crimes, bias motivations, and specific bias motivations.

In 2009, the DOJ began collecting information on hate crimes involving multiple-bias motivations. Law enforcement agencies were able to report up to five bias motivations for each hate-related event, as long as there was a unique offense for each bias motivation. In 2009, there was one hate crime event reported with a multiple-bias.

Below are highlights from each of the sections.

Crime Data

The Crime Data section (pages 1–5) provides data on reported hate crime in California in 2009.

- Hate crime events decreased 21.3 percent from 1,397 in 2008 to 1,100 in 2009.
- Hate crime offenses decreased 22.3 percent from 1,837 in 2008 to 1,427 in 2009.
- The number of victims of reported hate crimes decreased 22.2 percent from 1,698 in 2008 to 1,321 in 2009.
- The number of suspects of reported hate crimes decreased 18.4 percent from 1,473 in 2008 to 1,202 in 2009.
- Anti-gay hate crime events decreased 22.1 percent from 154 in 2008 to 120 in 2009.
- Anti-black hate crime events decreased 17.7 percent from 457 in 2008 to 376 in 2009.
- Anti-Hispanic hate crime events decreased 44.9 percent from 147 in 2008 to 81 in 2009.
- Anti-Jewish hate crime events decreased 13.0 percent from 184 in 2008 to 160 in 2009.
- Violent crime offenses decreased 22.8 percent from 1,173 in 2008 to 906 in 2009.
- Property crime offenses decreased 21.8 percent from 664 in 2008 to 519 in 2009.

Prosecutorial Data

The Prosecutorial Data section (pages 7–9) provides data on reported cases filed by district attorneys and city attorneys.

- Of the 479 hate crimes that were referred for prosecution, 363 cases were filed by district attorneys and city attorneys for prosecution. Of the 363 cases that were filed for prosecution, 283 were filed as hate crimes and 80 were filed as non-bias motivated crimes.
- Of the 257 cases with a disposition available for this report, 131 were hate crime convictions, 92 were other convictions, and 34 were not convicted.

Trend Data

The Trend Data section (pages 11–20) provides data reported on hate crimes for the previous 10-year period.

Hate crimes with a race/ethnicity/national origin bias are consistently the most common type of hate crime since 2000, accounting for nearly 60 percent of all hate crime events. Within this category, hate crimes with an anti-black bias motivation continue to be the most common hate crime, accounting for at least 26 percent of all hate crime events since 2000.

- In 2009, hate crimes with a sexual orientation bias motivation were the second most common type of hate crime, comprising 22.3 percent of hate crimes. With the exception of 2008, hate crimes with a sexual orientation motivation had consistently been the second most common hate crime following hate crimes with a religious motivation. Within this category, hate crimes with an anti-gay motivation continue to be the most common, accounting for at least 9 percent of all hate crimes since 2000.
- In 2009, hate crimes with a religious bias motivation were the third most common type of hate crime, comprising 19.1 percent of hate crimes. Within this category, hate crimes with an anti-Jewish motivation continue to be the most common, accounting for at least 7 percent of all hate crimes reported since 2000.



HATE CRIME EVENTS IN CALIFORNIA, 2009





Hate Crime in California



Crime, Prosecutorial, and Trend Data



BIAS MOTIVATION



In 2009, there were 1,100 hate crime events reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Race/Ethnicity/ National Origin	626	56.9
Religion	210	19.1
Sexual Orientation	245	22.3
Physical/Mental Disability	4	0.4
Gender	14	1.3
Multiple-Bias	1	0.1

Religion hate crimes decreased 28.6 percent from 294 in 2008 to 210 in 2009. **Race/ethnicity/ national origin** hate crimes decreased 21.8 percent from 800 in 2008 to 626 in 2009.

RACE/ETHNICITY/NATIONAL ORIGIN



*Anti-other ethnicity/national origin includes Arab or Middle Eastern bias-motivated hate crimes.

In 2009, there were 626 race/ethnicity/national origin hate crime events reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Anti-White	39	6.2
Anti-Black	376	60.1
Anti-Hispanic	81	12.9
Anti-American Indian/ Alaskan Native	2	0.3
Anti-Asian/Pacific Islander	27	4.3
Anti-Multiple Races, Group	o 34	5.4
Anti-Other Ethnicity/ National Origin*	67	10.7

Anti-black hate crimes decreased 17.7 percent from 457 in 2008 to 376 in 2009. **Anti-Hispanic** hate crimes decreased 44.9 percent from 147 in 2008 to 81 in 2009.

Hate Crime Events, 2009 By Race/Ethnicity/National Origin Bias



RELIGION

In 2009, there were 210 religion hate crime events reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Anti-Jewish	160	76.2
Anti-Catholic	9	4.3
Anti-Protestant	3	1.4
Anti-Islamic (Muslim)	13	6.2
Anti-Other Religion	22	10.5
Anti-Multiple Races, Group) 3	1.4

Anti-Jewish hate crimes decreased 13.0 percent from 184 in 2008 to 160 in 2009.

SEXUAL ORIENTATION

Hate Crime Events, 2009

By Sexual Orientation Bias



In 2009, there were 245 sexual orientation hate crime events reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Anti-Gay	120	49.0
Anti-Lesbian	29	11.8
Anti-Gay & Lesbian	95	38.8
Anti-Bisexual	1	0.4

Anti-gay hate crimes decreased 22.1 percent from 154 in 2008 to 120 in 2009.

Source: Table 1.

By Type of Crime

Hate Crime Offenses, 2009

Source: Table 2.

TYPE OF CRIME

In 2009, there were 1,427 hate crime offenses reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Violent Crimes	906	63.5
Property Crimes	519	36.4
Multiple-Bias	2	0.1

Violent crime offenses decreased 22.8 percent from 1,173 in 2008 to 906 in 2009. **Property crime** offenses decreased 21.8 percent from 664 in 2008 to 519 in 2009.

VIOLENT CRIME

Hate Crime Offenses, 2009

By Type of Violent Crime



Source: Table 2. Note: Percentages do not add to 100.0 because of rounding. In 2009, there were 906 violent crime offenses reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Murder	2	0.2
Forcible Rape	4	0.4
Robbery	41	4.5
Aggravated Assault	216	23.8
Simple Assault	254	28.0
Intimidation	389	42.9

Aggravated assault hate crime offenses decreased 23.1 percent from 281 in 2008 to 216 in 2009. **Intimidation** hate crime offenses decreased 20.9 percent from 492 in 2008 to 389 in 2009.

PROPERTY CRIME

Hate Crime Offenses, 2009

By Type of Property Crime



In 2009, there were 519 property crime offenses reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Burglary	18	3.5
Larceny-Theft	7	1.3
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0.2
Arson	18	3.5
Destruction/Vandalism	475	91.5

Destruction/vandalism hate crime offenses decreased 23.6 percent from 622 in 2008 to 475 in 2009.

Source: Table 2.

LOCATION



Source: Table 3. Note: Percentages do not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

In 2009, there were 1,427 hate crime offenses reported. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Church/Synagogue	85	6.0
Highway/Road	369	25.9
Parking Lot	80	5.6
Residence	406	28.5
School	177	12.4
All Other Locations	310	21.7

Highway/road/alley/street hate crime offenses decreased 27.5 percent from 509 in 2008 to 369 in 2009. Church/synagogue/temple hate crime offenses decreased 22.7 percent from 110 in 2008 to 85 in 2009.

4

TYPE OF VICTIM



In 2009, there were 1,321 victims of hate crime. Victims were either individuals or institutions. The subtotals are as follows:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Individuals	1,131	85.6
Business/Financial Institutions	44	3.3
Government	82	6.2
Religious Organizations	57	4.3
Other	7	0.5

Government property hate crimes decreased 24.8 percent from 109 in 2008 to 82 in 2009. Hate crimes reported for **individuals** decreased 22.3 percent from 1,455 in 2008 to 1,131 in 2009 (see Appendix 1, Data Characteristics and Known Limitations, item 8).

Source: Table 4. Note: Percentages do not add to 100.0 because of rounding.



In March 1995, the Attorney General asked all district attorneys and city attorneys to submit summary data of complaints filed and convictions secured for hate crimes. The 2009 District Attorney's and City Attorney's Report File of Hate Crime Cases contains summary data on cases referred to each district attorney or city attorney, as well as filings and convictions that occurred between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2009. Keep in mind when reviewing prosecutorial data that the number of crimes reported is not always consistent with the number of prosecutions because crimes are often reported and prosecuted in different years. Also, the number of crimes reported by law enforcement is much higher than the number of crimes that are actually prosecuted.





TOTAL CASES REFERRED

In 2009, law enforcement agencies referred 479 cases for prosecution:

Туре	Number	Percentage			
Cases Filed	363	75.8			
Cases Rejected	116	24.2			

The number of **cases filed** decreased 9.7 percent from 402 in 2008 to 363 in 2009. The number of **cases rejected** decreased 9.4 percent from 128 in 2008 to 116 in 2009.

TOTAL CASES FILED FOR PROSECUTION

Hate Crimes, 2009 Total Cases Filed for Prosecution



In 2009, district attorneys and city attorneys filed 363 cases for prosecution:

Number	Percentage
283	78.0
80	22.0
	283

Hate crime filings decreased 19.8 percent from 353 in 2008 to 283 in 2009. **Non-bias motivated crime filings** increased 63.3 percent from 49 in 2008 to 80 in 2009.

Source: Table 7A.



TOTAL DISPOSITIONS

In 2009, 257 cases reached a disposition:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Hate Crime Convictions	131	51.0
Other Convictions	92	35.8
Not Convicted	34	13.2

Hate crime convictions increased 2.3 percent from 128 in 2008 to 131 in 2009.

Source: Table 7B.

HATE CRIME CONVICTIONS



Hate Crimes, 2009

In 2009, there were 131 hate crime convictions:

Туре	Number	Percentage
Guilty Plea or Nolo		
Contendere	87	66.4
Trial Verdicts	44	33.6

Hate crime convictions as a result of a **guilty plea** or a plea of nolo contendere decreased 13.0 percent from 100 in 2008 to 87 in 2009. Hate crime convictions as a result of **trial verdicts** increased 57.1 percent from 28 in 2008 to 44 in 2009.

Source: Table 7B.



The trends in hate crime events, offenses, victims, and suspects followed similar patterns between 2000 and 2009. Hate crimes increased from 2000 to their peak in 2001. A steady decrease in hate crimes occurred from 2001 to 2006. There was an increase in hate crime events, offenses, victims, and suspects in 2007; however, all four categories decreased in 2008. In 2009, hate crime events, offenses, victims, and suspects all decreased to their lowest levels in the past 10 years.

EVENTS

From 2000 to 2001, reported hate crime events increased 15.5 percent. Hate crime events then began a five-year downward trend, dropping 42.2 percent from 2001 to 2006. This trend was broken in 2007 when hate crime events increased 9.2 percent from the previous year. In 2008, hate crime events decreased 2.0 percent from the previous year. In 2009, hate crime events decreased 21.3 percent from the previous year. This was the largest decrease since 2002.

VICTIMS

From 2000 to 2001, the reported number of hate crime victims increased 19.6 percent. This was followed by a 42.7 percent decline in hate crime victims from 2001 to 2006. The number of hate crime victims then increased 9.5 percent in 2007 from the previous year. In 2008, the number of hate crime victims decreased 3.7 percent from the previous year. In 2009, the number of hate crime victims decreased 22.2 percent from the previous year.

OFFENSES

From 2000 to 2001, reported hate crime offenses increased 13.1 percent. Hate crime offenses decreased 25.3 percent from 2001 to 2005 before leveling in 2006. Hate crime offenses then increased in 2007 by 13.5 percent. In 2008, hate crime offenses decreased 4.9 percent from the previous year. In 2009, hate crime offenses decreased 22.3 percent from the previous year. This was the largest decrease in the 10-year period.

SUSPECTS

From 2000 to 2001, the reported number of suspects increased 17.7 percent. The number of suspects then declined 39.7 percent from 2001 to 2004. This was followed by an 8.8 percent increase in suspects from 2004 to 2007. In 2008, the number of suspects decreased 9.5 percent from the previous year. In 2009, the number of hate crime suspects decreased 18.4 percent from the previous year.

Note: See Appendix 1, Data Characteristics and Known Limitations, item 7.



HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects

Table N-1 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects											
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Events	1,957	2,261	1,659	1,491	1,409	1,397	1,306	1,426	1,397	1,100	
Offenses	2,002	2,265	2,009	1,815	1,770	1,691	1,702	1,931	1,837	1,427	
Victims	2,352	2,812	2,007	1,815	1,741	1,640	1,611	1,764	1,698	1,321	
Suspects	2,107	2,479	1,963	1,629	1,495	1,589	1,612	1,627	1,473	1,202	
		-	-								

12



HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009

Events by Bias Motivation

Table N-2 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Single-Bias Events by Bias Motivation												
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Race/Ethnicity/National Origin	1,234	1,526	1,036	914	921	916	844	932	800	626		
Religion	301	296	239	220	205	205	205	203	294	210		
Sexual Orientation	405	420	366	337	263	255	246	263	283	245		
Physical/Mental Disability	3	4	7	1	4	3	3	3	4	4		
Gender	14	15	11	19	16	18	8	25	16	14		



RACE/ETHNICITY/NATIONAL ORIGIN HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009 Events by Bias Motivation

Table N-3 RACE/ETHNICITY/NATIONAL ORIGIN HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Events by Bias Motivation												
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009												
Anti-White	145	128	91	85	61	77	64	73	42	39		
Anti-Black	606	596	482	463	500	490	432	498	457	376		
Anti-Hispanic	199	206	156	103	138	147	153	160	147	81		
Anti-American Indian/Alaskan Native	2	4	3	2	3	2	4	1	1	2		
Anti-Asian/Pacific Islander	100	93	70	66	69	50	52	53	37	27		
Anti-Multiple Races, Group	86	71	35	34	45	61	45	51	47	34		
Anti-Other Ethnicity/National Origin	96	428	199	161	105	89	94	96	69	67		



RELIGION HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009

Events by Bias Motivation

Table N-4 RELIGION HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Events by Bias Motivation											
	2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009										
Anti-Jewish	236	176	175	155	142	141	129	134	184	160	
Anti-Catholic	9	9	8	10	9	10	11	10	12	9	
Anti-Protestant	18	4	6	7	3	10	13	11	8	3	
Anti-Islamic (Muslim)	3	73	14	19	29	12	14	13	11	13	
Anti-Other Religion	30	19	26	27	19	25	23	24	63	22	
Anti-Multiple Religious, Group	5	14	10	2	3	6	14	9	15	3	
Anti-Atheism/Agnosticism/etc	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	



SEXUAL ORIENTATION HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009 Events by Bias Motivation

Table N-5 SEXUAL ORIENTATION HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Events by Bias Motivation												
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Anti-Gay	325	344	267	218	188	161	163	132	154	120		
Anti-Lesbian	45	55	40	47	37	40	23	26	22	29		
Anti-Gay & Lesbian	28	19	57	71	36	49	57	101	102	95		
Anti-Heterosexual	6	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	3	0		
Anti-Bisexual	1	2	0	1	1	4	3	2	2	1		

16



HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009

Offenses by Type of Crime

Table N-6 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Single-Bias Offenses by Type of Crime											
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 200										2009	
Total Offenses	2,002	2,265	2,009	1,815	1,770	1,691	1,702	1,931	1,837	1,425	
Violent Offenses	1,312	1,662	1,517	1,252	1,135	1,096	1,044	1,252	1,173	906	
Property Offenses	690	603	492	563	635	595	658	679	664	519	



VIOLENT HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009

Offenses by Type of Crime

Table N-7 VIOLENT HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Offenses by Type of Crime											
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Murder	5	2	4	4	0	1	1	2	2	2	
Forcible Rape	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	2	4	
Robbery	55	63	75	61	60	36	39	73	55	41	
Aggravated Assault	321	250	272	179	246	317	376	386	281	216	
Simple Assault	374	524	478	477	360	298	310	320	341	254	
Intimidation	556	822	687	529	469	443	317	471	492	389	



PROPERTY HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009 Offenses by Type of Crime

Table N-8 PROPERTY HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Offenses by Type of Crime											
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Burglary	34	38	33	25	27	27	24	47	14	18	
Larceny-Theft	14	7	4	3	4	5	8	4	14	7	
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	7	2	1	
Arson	10	10	4	5	11	7	12	6	12	18	
Destruction/Vandalism	631	548	451	530	593	553	613	615	622	475	



HATE CRIMES, 2000–2009 Events by Location

Table N-9 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Events by Location											
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Church/Synagogue	80	92	74	64	70	82	80	69	107	76	
Highway	470	597	511	425	398	372	395	405	363	277	
Parking Lot	98	131	68	89	76	107	99	97	110	69	
Residence	717	711	470	454	424	412	350	406	388	303	
School	205	189	156	141	135	152	136	150	148	133	
All Other Locations	387	541	380	318	306	272	246	299	281	242	

Hate Crime in California




		Events			Offenses	6		Victims			Suspects	3
Bias motivation	Number	Percent of total	Percent of bias	Number	Percent of total	Percent of bias	Number	Percent of total	Percent of bias	Number	Percent of total	Percent of bias
Total	1,100	100.0		1,427	100.0		1,321	100.0		1,202	100.0	
Single-bias total	1,099	99.9		1,425	99.9		1,320	99.9		1,202	100.0	
Race/ethnicity/national origin	626	56.9	100.0	862	60.4	100.0	793	60.0	100.0	783	65.1	100.0
Anti-white	39	3.5	6.2	53	3.7	6.1	45	3.4	5.7	53	4.4	6.8
Anti-black	376	34.2	60.1	498	34.9	57.8	453	34.3	57.1	509	42.3	65.0
Anti-Hispanic	81	7.4	12.9	114	8.0	13.2	107	8.1	13.5	105	8.7	13.4
Anti-American Indian/												
Alaskan native	2	0.2	0.3	2	0.1	0.2	2	0.2	0.3	3	0.2	0.4
Anti-Asian/Pacific Islander	27	2.5	4.3	32	2.2	3.7	30	2.3	3.8	29	2.4	3.7
Anti-multiple races, group	34	3.1	5.4	40	2.8	4.6	40	3.0	5.0	26	2.2	3.3
Anti-other ethnicity/												
national origin	67	6.1	10.7	123	8.6	14.3	116	8.8	14.6	58	4.8	7.4
Religion	210	19.1	100.0	235	16.5	100.0	226	17.1	100.0	74	6.2	100.0
Anti-Jewish		14.5	76.2	179	12.5	76.2	173	13.1	76.5	61	5.1	82.4
Anti-Catholic	9	0.8	4.3	9	0.6	3.8	9	0.7	4.0	2	0.1	2.7
Anti-Protestant	3	0.3	1.4	3	0.0	1.3	3	0.2	1.3	1	0.1	1.4
Anti-Islamic (Muslim)	-	1.2	6.2	14	1.0	6.0	13	1.0	5.8	6	0.5	8.1
Anti-other religion	22	2.0	10.5	26	1.8	11.1	25	1.9	11.1	4	0.3	5.4
Anti-multiple religious, group	3	0.3	1.4	4	0.3	1.7	3	0.2	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Anti-atheism/agnosticism/etc	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sexual orientation	245	22.3	100.0	308	21.6	100.0	282	21.3	100.0	315	26.2	100.0
Anti-gay	120	10.9	49.0	152	10.7	49.4	137	10.4	48.6	161	13.4	51.1
Anti-lesbian	29	2.6	11.8	37	2.6	12.0	33	2.5	11.7	34	2.8	10.8
Anti-gay & lesbian	95	8.6	38.8	118	8.3	38.3	111	8.4	39.4	119	9.9	37.8
Anti-heterosexual	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Anti-bisexual	1	0.1	0.4	1	0.1	0.3	1	0.1	0.4	1	0.1	0.3
Physical/mental disability	4	0.4	100.0	4	0.3	100.0	4	0.3	100.0	5	0.4	100.0
Anti-physical disability	2	0.2	50.0	2	0.1	50.0	2	0.2	50.0	2	0.2	40.0
Anti-mental disability	2	0.2	50.0	2	0.1	50.0	2	0.2	50.0	3	0.2	60.0
Gender	14	1.3	100.0	16	1.1	100.0	15	1.1	100.0	25	2.1	100.0
Anti-male	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Anti-female	4	0.4	28.6	5	0.4	31.3	4	0.3	26.7	4	0.3	16.0
Anti-transgender	10	0.9	71.4	11	0.8	68.8	11	0.8	73.3	21	1.7	84.0
Multiple-bias total	1	0.1	100.0	2	0.1	100.0	1	0.1	100.0	0	0.0	0.0

Table 1HATE CRIMES, 2009Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by Bias Motivation

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding.

An event indicates the occurrence of one or more criminal offenses committed against one or more victims by one or more suspects.

Anti-other ethnicity/national origin includes Arab or Middle Eastern bias motiviated hate crimes. For a more complete definition of each criminal justice term, please refer to Appendix 2.

		Offenses	
Type of crime	Number	Percent of	Percent of
	Number	total	offense
Total	1,427	100.0	
Single-bias total	1,425	99.9	
Violent crimes	906	63.5	100.0
Murder	2	0.1	0.2
Forcible rape	4	0.3	0.4
Robbery	41	2.9	4.5
Aggravated assault	216	15.1	23.8
Simple assault	254	17.8	28.0
Intimidation	389	27.3	42.9
Property crimes	519	36.4	100.0
Burglary	18	1.3	3.5
Larceny-theft	7	0.5	1.3
Motor vehicle theft	1	0.1	0.2
Arson	18	1.3	3.5
Destruction/vandalism	475	33.3	91.5
Multiple-bias total	2	0.1	100.0

Table 2 HATE CRIMES, 2009 Offenses by Type of Crime

Note: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding.

Location	Eve	ents	Offe	nses	Vic	tims	Sus	pects
Eccation	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	1,100	100.0	1,427	100.0	1,321	100.0	1,202	100.0
Single-bias total	1,099	99.9	1,425	99.9	1,320	99.9	1,202	100.0
Air/bus/train terminal	9	0.8	10	0.7	10	0.8	16	1.3
Bank/savings and loan	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bar/night club	18	1.6	23	1.6	20	1.5	22	1.8
Church/synagogue/temple	76	6.9	85	6.0	81	6.1	41	3.4
Commercial/office building	34	3.1	38	2.7	38	2.9	19	1.6
Construction site	1	0.1	2	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
Convenience store	10	0.9	12	0.8	10	0.8	20	1.7
Department/discount store	5	0.5	5	0.4	5	0.4	4	0.3
Drug store/Dr.'s office/hospital	4	0.4	4	0.3	4	0.3	2	0.2
Field/woods/park	37	3.4	60	4.2	51	3.9	55	4.6
Government/public building	15	1.4	20	1.4	19	1.4	6	0.5
Grocery/supermarket	7	0.6	11	0.8	9	0.7	9	0.7
Highway/road/alley/street	277	25.2	369	25.9	345	26.1	457	38.0
Hotel/motel/etc	9	0.8	12	0.8	11	0.8	12	1.0
Jail/prison	16	1.5	21	1.5	18	1.4	22	1.8
Lake/waterway/beach	4	0.4	5	0.4	5	0.4	10	0.8
Liquor store	7	0.6	7	0.5	7	0.5	13	1.1
Parking lot/garage	69	6.3	80	5.6	79	6.0	62	5.2
Rental storage facility	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Residence/home/driveway	303	27.5	406	28.5	368	27.9	254	21.1
Restaurant	23	2.1	30	2.1	28	2.1	26	2.2
School/college	133	12.1	177	12.4	166	12.6	111	9.2
Service/gas station	7	0.6	8	0.6	8	0.6	9	0.7
Specialty store (TV, fur, etc.)	15	1.4	16	1.1	16	1.2	9	0.7
Other/unknown	20	1.8	24	1.7	21	1.6	23	1.9
Multiple-bias total	1	0.1	2	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0

Table 3 HATE CRIMES, 2009 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by Location

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotal or 100.0 because of rounding.

An event indicates the occurrence of one or more criminal offenses committed against one or more victims by one or more suspects.

For a more complete definition of each criminal justice term, please refer to Appendix 2.

Bias motivation	Тс	tal	Indiv	vidual	fina	ness/ ncial ution	Gover	nment		gious ization	Ot	her
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	1,321	100.0	1,131	100.0	44	100.0	82	100.0	57	100.0	7	100.0
Single-bias total	1,320	99.9	1,130	99.9	44	100.0	82	100.0	57	100.0	7	100.0
Race/ethnicity/national origin	793	60.0	707	62.5	21	47.7	56	68.3	5	8.8	4	57.1
Anti-white	45	3.4	43	3.8	0	0.0	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-black	453	34.3	400	35.4	8	18.2	40	48.8	3	5.3	2	28.6
Anti-Hispanic Anti-American Indian/	107	8.1	104	9.2	0	0.0	2	2.4	0	0.0	1	14.3
Alaskan native	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-Asian/Pacific Islander	30	2.3	28	2.5	1	2.3	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-multiple races, group	40	3.0	21	1.9	9	20.5	8	9.8	2	3.5	0	0.0
national origin	116	8.8	109	9.6	3	6.8	3	3.7	0	0.0	1	14.3
Religion	226	17.1	138	12.2	21	47.7	21	25.6	43	75.4	3	42.9
Anti-Jewish	173	13.1	117	10.3	17	38.6	21	25.6	15	26.3	3	42.9
Anti-Catholic	9	0.7	1	0.1	1	2.3	0	0.0	7	12.3	0	0.0
Anti-Protestant	3	0.2	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.5	0	0.0
Anti-Islamic (Muslim)	13	1.0	10	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	5.3	0	0.0
Anti-other religion	25	1.9	7	0.6	2	4.5	0	0.0	16	28.1	0	0.0
Anti-multiple religious, group	3	0.2	2	0.2	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-atheism/agnosticism/etc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sexual orientation	282	21.3	267	23.6	1	2.3	5	6.1	9	15.8	0	0.0
Anti-gay	137	10.4	135	11.9	0	0.0	1	1.2	1	1.8	0	0.0
Anti-lesbian	33	2.5	33	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-gay & lesbian	111	8.4	98	8.7	1	2.3	4	4.9	8	14.0	0	0.0
Anti-heterosexual	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-bisexual	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Physical/mental disability	4	0.3	4	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-physical disability	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-mental disability	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gender	15	1.1	14	1.2	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-male	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-female	4	0.3	3	0.3	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Anti-transgender	11	0.8	11	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Multiple-bias total	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Table 4 HATE CRIMES, 2009 Victim Type by Bias Motivation

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding. Crimes committed against property (e.g., a business, government institution, religious organization, etc.) can only be counted as one victim, whereas a crime committed against an individual can have more than one victim per event. Anti-other ethnicity/national origin includes Arab or Middle Eastern bias motiviated hate crimes. For a more complete definition of each criminal justice term, please refer to Appendix 2.

Location	Total		Indiv	Individual		Business/ financial institution		nment	Relig organi		Otl	her
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	1,321	100.0	1,131	100.0	44	100.0	82	100.0	57	100.0	7	100.0
Single-bias total	1,320	99.9	1,130	99.9	44	100.0	82	100.0	57	100.0	7	100.0
Air/bus/train terminal	10	0.8	10	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bank/savings and loan	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bar/night club	20	1.5	20	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Church/synagogue/temple	81	6.1	24	2.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	100.0	0	0.0
Commercial/office building	38	2.9	24	2.1	14	31.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Construction site	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Convenience store	10	0.8	10	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Department/discount store	5	0.4	4	0.4	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Drug store/Dr.'s office/hospital	4	0.3	2	0.2	2	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Field/woods/park	51	3.9	39	3.4	0	0.0	8	9.8	0	0.0	4	57.1
Government/public building	19	1.4	12	1.1	0	0.0	7	8.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grocery/supermarket	9	0.7	8	0.7	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Highway/road/alley/street	345	26.1	338	29.9	0	0.0	7	8.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hotel/motel/etc	11	0.8	8	0.7	3	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Jail/prison	18	1.4	18	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake/waterway/beach	5	0.4	4	0.4	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Liquor store	7	0.5	6	0.5	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Parking lot/garage	79	6.0	75	6.6	2	4.5	1	1.2	0	0.0	1	14.3
Rental storage facility	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Residence/home/driveway	368	27.9	363	32.1	3	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6
Restaurant	28	2.1	26	2.3	2	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
School/college	166	12.6	102	9.0	5	11.4	59	72.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Service/gas station	8	0.6	8	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Specialty store (TV, fur, etc.)	16	1.2	11	1.0	5	11.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other/unknown	21	1.6	18	1.6	3	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Multiple-bias total	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Table 5 HATE CRIMES, 2009 Victim Type by Location

Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding. Crimes committed against property (e.g., a business, government institution, religious organization, etc.) can only be counted as one victim, whereas a crime committed against an individual can have more than one victim per event.

County and jurisdiction	Events	Offenses	Victims	Suspects
fotal	1,100	1,427	1,321	1,202
Single-bias total	1,099	1,425	1,320	1,202
-				
Alameda County	38 2	50 2	45 2	56 2
Albany Berkeley	1	1	1	0
Dublin	2	2	2	1
Fremont	2	2	2	5
Livermore	1	1	1	1
Newark	1	2	2	1
Oakland	21	26	23	22
San Leandro	1	4	2	1
Union City	3	5	5	19
UC Berkeley	4	5	5	4
Alpine County	0	0	0	0
Amador County	4	5	5	7
Sheriff's Dept	3	4	4	6
lone	1	1	1	1
Butte County	3	3	3	9
Chico	1	1	1	2
Gridley	1	1	1	0
Oroville	1	1	1	7
Calaveras County	3	3	3	2
Angels Camp	3	3	3	2
Colusa County	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa County	27	31	30	27
Sheriff's Dept	2	3	3	5
Antioch	2	2	2	4
Brentwood	1	1	1	0
Concord	4	4	4	4
El Cerrito	1	1	1	2
Moraga	1	2	2	0
Orinda	2	3	3	1
Pleasant Hill	3 4	3 5	3 4	0
Walnut Creek	5	5	5	3
Contra Costa BART	5	5	5	4
Contra Costa DART	1	1	1	1
Del Norte County	0	0	0	0
El Dorado County	6	7	7	7
Sheriff's Dept	2	2	2	2
Placerville	4	5	5	5
Fresno County	8	8	8	10
Fresno	7	7	7	9
State Center Community College	1	1	1	1
Glenn County	0	0	0	0
Humboldt County	8	8	8	9
Sheriff's Dept	2	2	2	3
Arcata	1	1	1	1
Eureka	5	5	5	5
Imperial County	1 1	1	1 1	0
Brawley				0
Inyo County	0	0	0	0
Kern County	20	28	27	31
Sheriff's Dept	16	23	22	26
Bakersfield	2	3	3	4
Delano	1	1	1	1
Tehachapi	1	1	1	0

Table 6 HATE CRIMES, 2009 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction

County and	Events	Offenses	Victims	Suspects
jurisdiction Kings County	0	0	0	0
	-			
_ake County	2	3	3	2
Sheriff's Dept	1	2	2	1
Clearlake	1	1	1	1
assen County	0	0	0	0
os Angeles County	426	506	487	492
Sheriff's Dept	35	40	38	24
Agoura Hills	3	3	3	0
Alhambra	6	6	6	10
Avalon	1	1	1	10
				-
Azusa	2	4	4	8
Bellflower	2	2	2	1
Beverly Hills	2	2	2	2
Burbank	2	2	2	4
Carson	2	2	2	1
Cerritos	1	1	1	0
Commerce	1	1	1	3
Compton	2	4	3	9
Covina	2	4	2	9
Cudahy	2	2	1	1
Cudany		2	1	
Culver City	1	2	2	0
Downey	4	4	4	1
Duarte	2	3	2	3
El Monte	4	6	6	6
Gardena	1	2	2	2
Glendale	2	2	2	1
Hawaiian Gardens	3	3	3	5
Hawthorne	2	2	2	4
Hermosa Beach	1	1	1	1
Huntington Park	1	2	2	0
Inglewood	3	5	5	5
La Verne	2	2	2	1
Lakewood	3	4	3	3
Lancaster	10	15	12	15
Lawndale	1	2	1	7
Long Rooph	9	9	9	8
Long Beach Los Angeles	9 219	252	252	233
Los Angeles LA Transit Services Bureau	219	232	252	233
	2	2	2	2
Malibu Manhattan Beach	1	1	1	1
Monrovia	2	2	2	0
Monterey Park	1	2	1	0
Norwalk	2	3	2	0
Palmdale	10	16	15	17
Palos Verdes Estates	1	1	1	0
Paramount	2	2	2	12
Pasadena	9	12	12	8
Pico Rivera	1	1	1	1
Pomona	4	5	4	31
Rancho Palos Verdes	2	2	2	0
Redondo Beach	6	7	7	3
San Fernando	1	2	1	0
Santa Clarita	14	14	14	8
Santa Monica	3	3	3	2
South El Monte	2	2	2	2 1
South Gate	2	3	2	5
Temple City	1	1	1	0
Torrance	8	10	10	6
West Covina	4	4	4	18
	-		11	10

 Table 6 - continued

 HATE CRIMES, 2009

 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Events	Offenses	Victims	Suspects
Whittier	5	5	5	6
CSU Dominguez Hills	1	1	1	0
CSU Northridge	1	3	1	1
UC Los Angeles	1	1	1	0
Madera County	2	6	6	2
Sheriff's Dept	1	3	3	2
Chowchilla	1	3	3	0
Marin County	9	9	9	9
Novato	1	1	1	2
San Rafael	7	7	7	7
Twin Cities	1	1	1	0
Mariposa County	0	0	0	0
Mendocino County	1	1	1	1
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	1	1
Merced County	0	0	0	0
Modoc County	0	0	0	0
Mono County	1	1	1	1
Mammoth Lakes	1	1	1	1
Monterey County	8	10	8	9
Marina	1	1	1	1
Pacific Grove	1	1	1	0
Salinas	3	5	3	5
Soledad	1	1	1	3
CSU Monterey Bay	2	2	2	0
Napa County	0	0	0	0
Nevada County	0	0	0	0
Orange County	68	87	77	86
Sheriff's Dept	4	8	4	7
Anaheim	1	1	1	3
Costa Mesa	3	4	4	5
Cypress	3	3	3	0
Dana Point	2	3	2	1
Fountain Valley	3	3	3	0
Fullerton	7	8	8	19
Garden Grove	6	6	6	3
Huntington Beach	4	4	4	6
Irvine	3	9	9	3
La Habra	2	2	2	2
Laguna Beach	2	2	2	2
Lake Forest	1	1	1	5
Los Alamitos	1	2	1	0
Mission Viejo	2	4	2	1
Newport Beach	5	5	5	14
Orange	4	4	4	6
Placentia	1	1	1	0
San Juan Capistrano	1	2	1	1
Santa Ana	3	3	3	1
Tustin	1	1	1	0
Westminster CSU Fullerton	8 1	10 1	9 1	6 1
	5	5	5	3
Placer County		3	5	3
		2	0	4
Placer County Sheriff's Dept Roseville	2	23	2 3	1 2

Table 6 - continued HATE CRIMES, 2009 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Events	Offenses	Victims	Suspects
Riverside County	55	85	65	41
Sheriff's Dept	15	24	18	8
Blythe	1	1	1	0
Cathedral City	1	1	1	0
Corona	5	7	6	4
Hemet	2	2	2	2
La Quinta	3	4	3	3
Lake Elsinore	1	4	2	0
Moreno Valley	5	10	7	2
Palm Springs	4	10	7	6
Riverside	12	14	12	10
San Jacinto	1	2	1	1
Temecula	1	1	1	4
Wildomar	1	2	1	0
UC Riverside	3	3	3	1
Sacramento County	31	44	37	44
Sheriff's Dept	13	14	13	20
Citrus Heights	3	3	3	5
Elk Grove	3	3	3	2
Galt	2	3	2	3
Sacramento	9	12	11	12
Gold Fields DPR	1	9	5	2
San Benito County	3	6	4	1
Sheriff's Dept	1	2	2	0
Hollister	2	4	2	1
San Bernardino County	16	21	20	10
Sheriff's Dept	4	4	4	0
Fontana	1	1	1	1
Hesperia	2	3	2	2
Ontario	2	2	2	3
Redlands	1	2	2	1
San Bernardino	4	5	5	2
Upland	1	3	3	1
Yucaipa	1	1	1	0
San Diego County	109	132	127	118
Sheriff's Dept	14	19	16	19
Chula Vista	6	6	6	8
Coronado	1	1	1	0
Del Mar	1	1	1	1
Escondido	4	5	5	3
La Mesa	1	3	3	1
Lemon Grove	4	4	4	3
Oceanside	8	11	11	10
Poway	3	3	3	5
San Diego	47	55	55	57
San Marcos	2	5	3	2
Santee	5	5	5	5
Vista	7	8	8	3
UC San Diego	4	4	4	0
San Diego Harbor	1	1	1	1
CSU San Diego	1	1	1	0
San Francisco County	29	38	37	21
San Francisco	28	30	36	20
San Francisco BART	20	1	1	20
San Joaquin County Escalon	8 1	14 1	14 1	6 2
Lodi	3	3	3	1
Stockton	3	8	8	0
	0	5	5	0

 Table 6 - continued

 HATE CRIMES, 2009

 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction

Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction											
County and jurisdiction	Events	Offenses	Victims	Suspects							
San Luis Obispo County	10	13	10	7							
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	1	. 0							
Morro Bay	1	1	1	1							
San Luis Obispo	7	10	7	6							
-	1	10	1	-							
San Luis Obispo Coast DPR	I	1	I	0							
San Mateo County	15	20	16	14							
Atherton	2	3	2	1							
Belmont	2	2	2	2							
Daly City	2	3	3	2							
Millbrae	1	1	1	2							
Pacifica	1	2	1	1							
Redwood City	4	6	4	4							
San Mateo	3	3	3	2							
Santa Barbara County	11	42	42	12							
Sheriff's Dept	3	4	4	1							
Carpinteria	1	1	1	0							
Lompoc	1	30	30	4							
Santa Barbara	5	5	5	4 5							
Santa Maria	1	2	2	2							
Santa Clara County	67	90	84	64							
Sheriff's Dept	1	2	1	0							
Campbell	4	6	5	2							
Cupertino	2	3	2	1							
Gilroy	1	1	1	4							
Los Gatos	1	1	1	1							
Milpitas	1	1	1	0							
Mountain View	2	7	5	5							
Palo Alto	4	6	6	3							
				-							
San Jose	43	52	51	37							
Santa Clara	1	1	1	1							
Saratoga	1	2	2	0							
Sunnyvale	2	3	3	3							
CSU San Jose	4	5	5	7							
Sonto Cruz County	16	20	16	11							
Santa Cruz County	10	20	10	2							
Sheriff's Dept											
Santa Cruz	8	11	8	6							
Scotts Valley	1	1	1	0							
Watsonville	2	3	2	3							
UC Santa Cruz	4	4	4	0							
	17	36	25	24							
Shasta County											
Sheriff's Dept	1	3	2	3							
Redding	16	33	23	21							
Sierra County	0	0	0	0							
Siskiyou County	1	1	1	5							
Mount Shasta	1	1	1	5							
Solano County	13	22	22	7							
Sheriff's Dept	1	3	3	1							
Benicia.	1	5 1	3 1	1							
	1	1	1	0							
Fairfield	-		-	-							
Rio Vista	1	1	1	1							
Vacaville	5	10	10	1							
Vallejo	4	6	6	3							
Sonoma County	2	2	2	1							
-		2 1									
Healdsburg Santa Rosa	1 1	1	1	0 1							
Jania NUSA	I		1	1							
Stanislaus County	7	8	8	8							
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	1	0							
Modesto	1	1	1	2							
Turlock	5	6	6	6							

 Table 6 - continued

 HATE CRIMES, 2009

 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction

Events, Offenses, Victim				
County and jurisdiction	Events	Offenses	Victims	Suspects
Sutter County	2	4	3	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	2	2	0
Yuba City	1	2	1	0
Tehama County	0	0	0	0
Trinity County	0	0	0	0
Tulare County	10	13	11	7
Farmersville	1	2	1	1
Porterville	1	1	1	0
Tulare	1	1	1	0
Visalia	6	7	7	4
Woodlake	1	2	1	2
Tuolumne County	1	1	1	1
Sonora	1	1	1	1
Ventura County	27	31	31	28
Sheriff's Dept	4	4	4	5
Camarillo	1	1	1	0
Moorpark	1	2	2	1
Oxnard	6	8	8	9
Santa Paula	3	4	4	3
Thousand Oaks	11	11	11	9
Ventura	1	1	1	1
Yolo County	7	7	7	7
Davis	3	3	3	4
West Sacramento	2	2	2	2
UC Davis	2	2	2	1
Yuba County	2	3	3	2
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	1	1
Marysville	1	2	2	1
Multiple-bias total	1	2	1	0

Table 6 - continued HATE CRIMES, 2009 Events, Offenses, Victims, and Suspects by County and Jurisdiction

Note: Only those jurisdictions that reported a hate crime are listed in this table.

Table 7A SUMMARY OF CASES REFERRED TO PROSECUTORS BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND TYPE OF FILINGS For the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2009

	Hata	orimo						Type of case filing			
Agency	Hate crime cases referred to prosecutors		Cases rejected		Criminal case filings		Cases filed as hate crimes		non	filed as -bias ed crimes	
	Number Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total	479	100.0	116	24.2	363	75.8	283	78.0	80	22.0	
County District Attorneys	433	100.0	87	20.1	346	79.9	268	77.5	78	22.5	
City Attorneys	46	100.0	29	63.0	17	37.0	15	88.2	2	11.8	

Table 7BSUMMARY OF HATE CRIME CASE DISPOSITIONSFor the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2009

	Hate	crime			All c	thor	Total ba	te crime	Ha	ate crime	convictio	ns
Agency	cases	s with	Not co	nvicted		ctions	convi		Guilty	plea/	Trial v	ordict
	dispos	sitions			CONVI	5110113	CONVI	5110113	nolo cor	tendere	That v	eruici
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	257	100.0	34	13.2	92	35.8	131	51.0	87	66.4	44	33.6
County District Attorneys	244	100.0	32	13.1	84	34.4	128	52.5	84	65.6	44	34.4
City Attorneys	13	100.0	2	15.4	8	61.5	3	23.1	3	100.0	0	0.0

Table 8

CASES REFERRED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND TYPE OF FILINGS AS REPORTED BY COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AND CITY ATTORNEYS

For the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2009

Agency	Total hate crime cases referred	Total cases filed as hate crimes	Total cases filed as non-bias motivated crimes
Total	479	283	80
County District Attorneys	433	268	78
Alameda	7	8	0
Alpine	0	0	0
Amador	1	1	0
Butte	4	2	2
Calaveras	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0
Contra Costa	5	4	1
Del Norte	0	0	0
El Dorado	2	0	2
Fresno	3	3	0
Glenn	0	0	0
Humboldt	1	0	0
Imperial	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0
Kern	0	1	0
Kings	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0
Lassen	1	0	1
Los Angeles	170	99	32
Madera	0	0	0
Marin	2	3	1
Mariposa	0	0	0
Mendocino	1	1	0
Merced	4	2	2
Modoc	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0
Monterey	1	1	0
Napa	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0
Orange	36	19	9
Placer	1	1	0
Plumas	0	0	0
Riverside	26	11	7
Sacramento	5	4	0
San Benito	0	0	0
	•	•	

Table 8 - continued CASES REFERRED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND TYPE OF FILINGS AS REPORTED BY COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AND CITY ATTORNEYS

For the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2009

Agency	Total hate crime cases referred	Total cases filed as hate crimes	Total cases filed as non-bias motivated crimes
San Bernardino	7	7	0
San Diego	31	22	8
San Francisco	29	12	2
San Joaquin	4	4	0
San Luis Obispo	6	4	0
San Mateo	5	5	0
Santa Barbara	2	0	1
Santa Clara	30	12	9
Santa Cruz	3	1	0
Shasta	7	7	0
Sierra	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0
Solano	3	3	0
Sonoma	1	1	0
Stanislaus	1	0	1
Sutter	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0
Tulare	9	9	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0
Ventura	21	17	0
Yolo	1	1	0
Yuba	3	3	0
City Attorneys	46	15	2
Anaheim	0	0	0
Burbank	0	0	0
Inglewood	0	0	0
Long Beach	0	0	0
Los Angeles	27	12	0
Pasadena	0	0	0
San Diego	17	1	2
Torrance	2	2	0

Note: The number of complaints filed by county district attorneys and city attorneys or the number of cases that resulted in hate crime convictions cannot be linked to the number of hate crimes reported by law enforcement agencies.

Table 9 HATE CRIME CASE DISPOSITIONS AS REPORTED BY COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AND CITY ATTORNEYS

For the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2009

				Liet	Convictions	otions	
Agency	Total	Not	Total	Hat	e crime convid Guilty plea/	cuons	All other
Agency	dispositions	convicted	convictions	Total	nolo contendere	Trial verdict	convictions
Fotal	257	34	223	131	87	44	92
County District Attorneys	244	32	212	128	84	44	84
Alameda	8	1	7	2	2	0	5
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Butte	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	5	4	1	0	0	0	1
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	2	0 0	2	0 0	0 0	0	2
Fresno	2	Ő	2	Ő	0	Ő	2
Class	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
Humboldt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Inyo Kern	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	-	-	_		_	-	
Kings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Los Angeles	117	18	99	61	27	34	38
Madera	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
Mendocino		-			-	-	_
Merced	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0
100000	0	U	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	3	0	3	3	3	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	11	0	11	11	9	2	0
Placer	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	10	0	10	7	4	3	3
Sacramento	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
		2	-	-	-	-	
San Diego	21		19	10	10	0	9
San Francisco	6	0	6	4	2	2	2
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	3	1	2	2	2	0	0
San Mateo	4	0	4	3	3	0	1
Santa Barbara	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	9	0	9	8	7	1	1
Santa Cruz	2	1	1	1	1	0	0
Shasta	5	0	5	1	1	0	4
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Solano		-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma Stanislaus	1	0	1 0	0	0	0	1 0
Stat 1151du5	0	0	U	U	0	U	
							(continue)

Table 9 - continued HATE CRIME CASE DISPOSITIONS AS REPORTED BY COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AND CITY ATTORNEYS For the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2009

					Convictions		
	Total	Not		Hate	e crime convid	ctions	
Agency	dispositions		Total		Guilty plea/	Trial	All other
			convictions	Total	nolo	verdict	convictions
					contendere	Voluiot	
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	9	1	8	4	4	0	4
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	8	1	7	7	6	1	0
Yolo	2	1	1	1	1	0	0
Yuba	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
City Attorneys	13	2	11	3	3	0	8
Anaheim	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burbank	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inglewood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Long Beach	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-							
Los Angeles	12	2	10	3	3	0	7
Pasadena	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Torrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: The number of complaints filed by county district attorneys and city attorneys or the number of cases that resulted in hate

crime convictions cannot be linked to the number of hate crimes reported by law enforcement agencies.

Table 10 HATE CRIME CASES, 2000-2009 COMPLAINTS FILED AND TOTAL CONVICTIONS AS REPORTED BY COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AND CITY ATTORNEYS

Type of	20	00	20	01	20	02	20	03
prosecuting	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total
attorney	filed	convictions	filed	convictions	filed	convictions	filed	convictions
Total	360	275	314	207	351	253	304	197
County District Attorneys	341	262	290	187	333	236	293	188
City Attorneys	19	13	24	20	18	17	11	9
· · · · · ·								

Type of	20	04	20	05	20	06	20	07
prosecuting	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total
attorney	filed	convictions	filed	convictions	filed	convictions	filed	convictions
Total	277	242	330	238	272	218	330	213
County District Attorneys	263	229	315	227	262	214	304	192
City Attorneys	14	13	15	11	10	4	26	21

Type of	20	08	20	09
prosecuting	Complaints	Total	Complaints	Total
attorney	filed	convictions	filed	convictions
Total	353	232	283	223
County District Attorneys	315	203	268	212
City Attorneys	38	29	15	11

Notes: The number of complaints filed by county district attorneys and city attorneys or the number of cases that

resulted in hate crime convictions cannot be linked to the number of hate crimes reported by law enforcement agencies. In 2006, adjustments were made to the 2005 conviction data; therefore, counts do not match previously published data. Table 11 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Events by Bias Motivation

Muther Preneric Muther Pre	Bias motivation	2000	0	2001	5	2002	2	2003	3	2004	J 4	2005)5	2006	96	2007	07	2008	8	2009		change
1457 100 1461 100 1497 100 110 <		Number 1	- ercent	Number 1	Percent I	Der				Number	Percent			Number		Number	Percent	Number			^o ercent	2008- 2009
Example rotation 135 100 236 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136 100 136	Total	1,957	100.0		100.0	1,659	100.0		100.0	1,409	100.0	1,397	100.0	1,306	100.0	1,426	100.0	1,397	100.0	1,100	100.0	-21.3
Implementation 124 621 124 621 124 621 623 624 645	Single-bias total	1,957	100.0	2,261	100.0	б	100.0	1,491	100.0	1,409	100.0	1,397	100.0	1,306	100.0	1,426	100.0	1,397	100.0	1,099	6.66	-21.3
Online Index Index <t< td=""><td>Race/ethnicity/national origin</td><td>1,234</td><td>63.1</td><td>1,526</td><td>67.5</td><td>1,036</td><td>62.4</td><td>914</td><td>61.3</td><td>921</td><td>65.4</td><td>916</td><td>65.6</td><td>844</td><td>64.6</td><td>932</td><td>65.4</td><td>800</td><td>57.3</td><td>626</td><td>56.9</td><td>-21.8</td></t<>	Race/ethnicity/national origin	1,234	63.1	1,526	67.5	1,036	62.4	914	61.3	921	65.4	916	65.6	844	64.6	932	65.4	800	57.3	626	56.9	-21.8
Mathematic Image Mathematic Mathmathematic Mathematic Mathmath	Anti-white	145	7.4	128	5.7	91	5.5	85	5.7	61	4.3	77	5.5	64	4.9	73	5.1	42	3.0	39 276	3.5	- r - r
Anomation Indiant/ Manufame Indiant Indiant Indiant Indiant Indiant Indiant Indiant Indiant India	Anti-Viaco	199	31.0 10.2	206 206	9.1	402 156	- 9.4	103	c 6.9	138	9.8 8.6	147	10.5	153	11.7	160 160	11.2	147	10.5 10.5	81	7.4 7.4	-44.9
Answertigeneity D <thd< th=""> D <thd< th=""> <</thd<></thd<>	Anti-American Indian/ Alsekan native	c	- -	~	с с	¢	¢ 0	c	, ,	¢	с <u>с</u>	c	6	~	ۍ د	Ŧ	0	Ţ	, ,	c	0	1
Absolvention 100 11 100 11 11 12		1		t	4.0	כ	4.0	٩	5	C	4	4		t	2.2	-		-		1	9	•
Other withold Be 43 438 139 130 135 134 247 136 137 266 131 239 134 230 231 230 231 230 231 230 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 <th< td=""><td>Anti-Asian/Pacific Islander</td><td>100 86</td><td>5.1 4.4</td><td>93 71</td><td>4.4 3.1</td><td>70 35</td><td>4.2 2.1</td><td>66 34</td><td>4.4 2.3</td><td>69 45</td><td>4.9 3.2</td><td>50 61</td><td>3.6 4.4</td><td>52 45</td><td>4.0 3.4</td><td>53 51</td><td>3.7 3.6</td><td>37 47</td><td>2.6 3.4</td><td>27 34</td><td>2.5 3.1</td><td></td></th<>	Anti-Asian/Pacific Islander	100 86	5.1 4.4	93 71	4.4 3.1	70 35	4.2 2.1	66 34	4.4 2.3	69 45	4.9 3.2	50 61	3.6 4.4	52 45	4.0 3.4	53 51	3.7 3.6	37 47	2.6 3.4	27 34	2.5 3.1	
John 301 154 286 141 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 205 143 101 113 103 103 <td>Anti-other ethnicity/ national origin</td> <td>96</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>428</td> <td>18.9</td> <td>199</td> <td>12.0</td> <td>161</td> <td>10.8</td> <td>105</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>89</td> <td>6.4</td> <td>94</td> <td>7.2</td> <td>96</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>69</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>67</td> <td>6.1</td> <td>-2.9</td>	Anti-other ethnicity/ national origin	96	4.9	428	18.9	199	12.0	161	10.8	105	7.5	89	6.4	94	7.2	96	6.7	69	4.9	67	6.1	-2.9
Defendent 236 211 75 75 75 71 <	Religion	301	15.4	296	13.1	239	14.4	220	14.8	205	14.5	205	14.7	205	15.7	203	14.2	294	21.0	210	19.1	-28.6
Antility 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0<	Anti-Jewish	236	12.1	176	7.8	175	10.5	155	10.4	142	10.1	141	10.1	129	6 ^{.0}	134	9.4	184	13.2	160	14.5	-13.0
Hereinser 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1	Anti-Camolic Anti-Protestant	ۍ م ۵	0.0 0	ף מ	4.0 4.0	αu	C.U	0	0.5	თ ო	0.0	2 6	0.7	= 🤆	0.0	⊇ ₽	0.7 0.8	<u>א</u> מ	0.9 0	თ ო	0.0	
bother religion. 30 15 19 0.0 15 14 0.0 15 14 0.0 15 14 0.0 15 14 0.0 15 0.1 15 0.1 15 0.1 15 0.1 14 0.1 25 0.1 14 0.1 2 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 0 0.0 0<	Anti-Islamic (Muslim).	ი	0.2	73	3.2	, t	0.8	19	1.3	29	2.1	12	0.9	5 4	13	0.9	, 5	0.8	13 0	1.2	'
Immultiple religious, group 5 0.3 14 0.6 10 0 0 1	Anti-other religion	30	1.5	19	0.8	26	1.6	27	1.8	19	1.3	25	1.8	23	1.8	24	1.7	63	4.5	22	2.0	-65.1
Interesting 0 <th< td=""><td>Anti-multiple religious, group</td><td>5</td><td>0.3</td><td>4</td><td>0.6</td><td>10</td><td>0.6</td><td>7</td><td>0.1</td><td>ю</td><td>0.2</td><td>9</td><td>0.4</td><td>14</td><td>1.1</td><td>6</td><td>0.6</td><td>15</td><td>1.1</td><td>ю</td><td>0.3</td><td></td></th<>	Anti-multiple religious, group	5	0.3	4	0.6	10	0.6	7	0.1	ю	0.2	9	0.4	14	1.1	6	0.6	15	1.1	ю	0.3	
all of entration 405 20.7 420 18.6 337 22.6 161 115 163 125 125 126 101 120 103 245 223 18,1 103 203 245 223 243 203 245 223 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 233 203 245 203	Anti-atheism/ agnosticism/etc	0	0.0	-	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	~	0.1	~	0.1	2	0.1	~	0.1	0	0.0	
Head Total Total <th< td=""><td>Sexual orientation</td><td>405</td><td>20.7</td><td>420</td><td>18.6</td><td>366</td><td>22.1</td><td>337</td><td>22 G</td><td>263</td><td>18.7</td><td>255</td><td>18.3</td><td>246</td><td>18.8</td><td>263</td><td>18.4</td><td>283</td><td>20.3</td><td>245</td><td>223</td><td>-13.4</td></th<>	Sexual orientation	405	20.7	420	18.6	366	22.1	337	22 G	263	18.7	255	18.3	246	18.8	263	18.4	283	20.3	245	223	-13.4
Hebitan	Anti-day	325	16.6	344	15.2	267	16.1	218	14.6	188	13.3	161	11.5	163	12.5	132	6.9	154	11.0	120	10.9	-22.1
Heap & lebian 28 114 19 0.8 57 34 71 48 35 25 44 101 71 102 73 395 86 16 thelerosexual 1 0.13 0.0 2 0.1 0 0 0 1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 <td>Anti-lesbian</td> <td>45</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>55</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>40</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>47</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>37</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>40</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>23</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>26</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>22</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>29</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>'</td>	Anti-lesbian	45	2.3	55	2.4	40	2.4	47	3.2	37	2.6	40	2.9	23	1.8	26	1.8	22	1.6	29	2.6	'
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Anti-gay & lesbian	28	1.4	19	0.8	57	3.4	71	4.8	36	2.6	49	3.5	57 2	4.4 0	101	7.1	102	7.3	95 2	8.6 0.0	-6.9
ical/mental disability30.240.270.410.140.330.230.240.3 $i + b h s s c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Anti-heterosexual Anti-bisexual	- ۵	0.3	0 N	0.0	N 0	0.0	o –	0.0		0.1	- 4	0.1 0.3	ე ო	0.0 0.2	2 2	0.1	η	0.7	c	0.0	
It-physical disability	Physica/mental disability	m	0.2	4	0.2	7	0.4	.	0.1	4	0.3	ę	0.2	ę	0.2	ę	0.2	4	0.3	4	0.4	•
timental disability00.000.040.200.020.110.120.120.12der110.7150.7110.71313131611131380.6251.8161114timale00.0000.000<	Anti-physical disability	ŝ	0.2	4	0.2	ŝ	0.2	-	0.1	0	0.1	ŝ	0.2	~	0.1	5	0.1	0	0.1	7	0.2	'
der 14 0.7 15 0.7 14 0.7 15 0.7 19 1.3 16 1.1 18 1.3 8 0.6 25 1.8 16 1.1 14 timale	Anti-mental disability	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	0.2	0	0.0	7	0.1	0	0.0	7	0.2	-	0.1	7	0.1	7	0.2	'
timale	Gender	14	0.7	15	0.7	1	0.7	19	1.3	16	1.1	18	1.3	ø	0.6	25		16	1.1	14	1.3	•
Hemale	Anti-male	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-	0.1	-	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	'
Determined and the complexity matrix of	Anti-female Anti-transciencier	4 (0.2	- 1	0.0	0 0	0.1 7	4 r	0.3	0 ¥	0.0	4 4	0.3	Ο α	0.0	2 12	0.1 9	ი (0.2	4 5	0.4 0	
blebias total		2	2	<u>t</u>	0	2	0	2	2	2	3	2	0	5	0.00	3	2	2	0.0	2	2	
Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding. Dash indicates that percent changes are not calculated when the base number (20 Anti-other ethnicity/national origin includes Arab or Middle Eastern bias motiviated	Multiple-bias total		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	-	0.1	'
Anti-other ethnicity/national origin includes Arab or Middle Eastern bias motiviated hate crimes. For a more complete definition of each criminal justice term, please refer to Appendix 2.		tals or 100.0) because	of roundir, when the b	ig. ase numb	er (2008) is	s less that	ר 50. or th	ət no data	were repo	orted.											
	Anti-other ethnicity/national origin i	includes Ara	b or Midd	le Eastern	bias motiv	viated hate	crimes. 1	or a more-	ac no date	> definition	of each כ	sriminal jus	tice term,	please ref	er to Appe	ndix 2.						

Table 12 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Offenses by Bias Motivation

The contract of the con																					cilaliye
2.002 1000 1,515 1000 1,515 1000 1,515 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,427 1000 1,437 1000 </th <th>2,002 2,002 1,266 620 620</th> <th></th> <th>Number F</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>lumber P</th> <th>ercent h</th> <th>Jumber F</th> <th></th> <th>Number 1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Number F</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Number F</th> <th>Percent</th> <th>2008- 2009</th>	2,002 2,002 1,266 620 620		Number F				lumber P	ercent h	Jumber F		Number 1				Number F				Number F	Percent	2008- 2009
	2,002 1,266 620	100.0						100.0	1,770	100.0	1,691	100.0	1,702	100.0	1,931	100.0	1,837	100.0	1,427	100.0	-22.3
Incredition 156 633 1173 663 1173 663 1173 673 1173 11	,	100.0	2,265			100.0		100.0	1,770	100.0	1,691	100.0	1,702	100.0	1,931	100.0	1,837	100.0	1,425	6.66	-22.4
		63.2	1,529		1,272	63.3	1,150	63.4	1,172	66.2	1,137	67.2	1,145	67.3	1,299	67.3	1,042	56.7	862	60.4	-17.3
		7.6	128		106	5.3	104	5.7	69	3.9	92	5.4	82	4.8 1.8	103	5.3	48	2.6	53	3.7	' (
		31.0 10.2	207 207		203 203	28.9 10.1	080 142	32.3 7.8	613 196	34.0 11.1	607 188	35.9 11.1	288 218	34.5 12.8	680 234	35.2 12.1	199 199	32.3 10.8	498 114	34.9 8.0	-10.2 -42.7
									1				I								
Indetterm 102 51 93 41 78 31 87 31 67 31 67 31 67 31 67 31 71 31 32 32 31 32		0.1	4	0.2	ო	0.1	0	0.1	2	0.3	0	0.1	2	0.3	-	0.1	-	0.1	7	0.1	
		5.1 7 A	93 71	4.4	78 62	3.9	82	4.5	91 72	5.1	58 87	3.4 7	74 60	4.3 7.5	74 71	3.8	47 61	2.6 3.3	32	2.2 2.8	- 76-
		+ ;	-	-	8	- ;	-	0.4	7	- t	6	- ;	8	0.0	-	1.0	5	°.°	t 0	0.2	t. t.
		4.8	428	18.9	240	11.9	193	10.6	126	7.1	103	6.1	118	6.9	136	7.0	92	5.0	123	8.6	33.7
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		15.3	296	13.1	270	13.4	243	13.4	250	14.1	226	13.4	227	13.3	246	12.7	329	17.9	235	16.5	-28.6
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		12.0	176	7.8	194	9.7	174	9.0 0	176	0.0 1	157	0.0	146	9.0 0.0	171	0.0 0.0	201	10.9	179	12.5	-10.9
		c.0 6.0	4 מ	0.2	0 0	0.3 0.3	0	0.0 4.0	ით	0.2	= 9	0.0 0.6	- 6	0.0 0.8	12	0.0 0.0	<u>ი</u> დ	0.7 0.4	ით	0.0	
30 1.5 19 0.8 32 1.6 31 1.7 22 1.2 28 1.7 28 1.6 2.5 1.3 76 4.1 26 1.8 \sqrt{group} 5 0.2 14 0.6 11 0.5 2 0.1 1 1 </th <td></td> <td>0.1</td> <td>73</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>19</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>19</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>37</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>13</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>14</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>14</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>14</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>14</td> <td>1.0</td> <td></td>		0.1	73	3.2	19	0.9	19	1.0	37	2.1	13	0.8	14	0.8	14	0.7	14	0.8	14	1.0	
		1.5	19	0.8	32	1.6	31	1.7	22	1.2	28	1.7	28	1.6	25	1.3	76	4.1	26	1.8	-65.8
		0.2	14	0.6	1	0.5	2	0.1	С	0.2	9	0.4	14	0.8	6	0.5	16	0.9	4	0.3	
	/etc	0.0	-	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-	0.1	-	0.1	4	0.2	-	0.1	0	0.0	'
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		20.6	421	18.6	446	22.2	399	22.0	327	18.5	306	18.1	317	18.6	349	18.1	445	24.2	308	21.6	-30.8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		16.6 2.2	345 55	15.2	320	15.9 2.6	256 50	14.1	231	13.1	192	11.4 4.7	206	12.1	159	8.2 0.5	223	12.1	152	10.7	-31.8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		7 7 7 7	61	7 7 7 7 7 8	02	2.5	20 84	5.4 9.6	40 46	2.4 2.6	7 70	- C C C	67	4.6	14.2 14.3	2.2 7.4	32 185	101	رد 118	0 0 9 0	-36.2
		0.3	0	0.0		0.1	0	0.0	-	0.1	; rr	0.2	0	0.0		0.2	e n	0.2	0	0.0	'
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Anti-bisexual	0.0	2	0.1	0	0.0	-	0.1	-	0.1	5	0.3	с	0.2	2	0.1	2	0.1	-	0.1	'
y_{1} 3 0.1 4 0.2 3 0.1 1 0.1 2 0.1		0.1	4	0.2	10	0.5	-	0.1	4	0.2	e	0.2	4	0.2	e	0.2	4	0.2	4	0.3	'
14 0.7 15 0.7 11 0.5 22 1.2 17 1.0 19 1.1 9 0.5 34 1.8 17 0.9 16 1.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 <td>: :</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>4 0</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>6 2</td> <td>0.1 0.3</td> <td>- 0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>~ ~</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>т О</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>- m</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>~ ~</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>~ ~</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>2 0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>• •</td>	: :	0.0	4 0	0.2	6 2	0.1 0.3	- 0	0.0	~ ~	0.1	т О	0.2	- m	0.1	~ ~	0.1	~ ~	0.1	2 0	0.1	• •
0 0	•	2 0	4 7	2 0	÷	20	22	1 0	17	1	0	, ,	σ	50	74	- 2	17	00	16	, ,	
4 0.2 1 0.0 2 0.1 4 0.2 0 0.0 5 0.3 0 0.0 2 0.1 3 0.2 5 0.4 10 0.5 14 0.6 9 0.4 18 1.0 16 0.9 13 0.8 9 0.5 32 1.7 14 0.8 11 0.8 10 0.5 - - - - - - - 2 0.1 10 0.8 11 0.8 10 0.5 14 0.6 18 1.0 16 0.9 13 0.8 9 0.5 32 1.7 14 0.8 11 0.8 11 - - - - - - - - 2 0.1 10 0.5 0.1 10 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 <td></td> <td>0.0</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>0.0</td> <td>•</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>10</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.1</td> <td><u>-</u></td> <td>0.1</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>; o</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>0.0</td> <td>'</td>		0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	•	0.0	10	0.0	-	0.1	<u>-</u>	0.1	00	0.0	; o	0.0	0	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	'
		0.2	-	0.0	0	0.1	4	0.2	0	0.0	5	0.3	0	0.0	7	0.1	က	0.2	2	0.4	'
		0.5	14	0.6	ი	0.4	18	1.0	16	0.9	13	0.8	ი	0.5	32	1.7	14	0.8	11	0.8	'
	ultiple-bias total	•		•		•		•		•		•		•		•		•	2	0.1	'
	אווי-טוובו כווווונווי/וומוטומו טוקווו וווניותכא אומט טו אווטטה במאפווו טומא וווטויאמכט				ומובח וומום			compress		ו מו בממו	וומנה טווווהס. דטו מ וווטוס טטווטופוס טפווווווטו טו פמטו טוווווומ שטוטס נפוווו, שומסט ופובו וט אטישווע ב.		, prease re								

Table 13 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Offenses by Type of Crime

Tvpe of crime	2000	0(2001	1	200	12	2003	8	2004	14	2005	15	2006	9	2007	7	2008	3	2009) Percent change
	Number	Percent	Number Percent Number Percent Number	ercent 1		Percent I	Number F	Percent I	Number	Percent	Number Percent		Number F	Percent N	Number F	Percent N	Number F	Percent N	Number F	Percent
Total	2,002	100.0	2,265	100.0	2,009	100.0	1,815	100.0	1,770	100.0	1,691	100.0	1,702	100.0	1,931	100.0	1,837	100.0	1,427	100.0
Single-bias total	2,002	100.0	2,265	100.0	2,009	100.0	1,815	100.0	1,770	100.0	1,691	100.0	1,702	100.0	1,931	100.0	1,837	100.0	1,425	6.66
Violent crimes	1,312	65.5	1,662	73.4	1,517	75.5	1,252	69.0	1,135	64.1	1,096	64.8	1,044	61.3	1,252	64.8	1,173	63.9	906	63.5
Murder	2 2	0.2	2	0.1	4	0.2	4	0.2	0	0.0	-	0.1	-	0.1	2	0.1	2	0.1	2	0.1
Forcible rape	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	2	0.1	0	0.0	-	0.1	-	0.1	0	0.0	2	0.1	4	0.3
	55	2.7	63	2.8	75	3.7	61	3.4	60	3.4	36	2.1	39	2.3	73	3.8	55	3.0	41	2.9
Aggravated assault	321	16.0	250	11.0	272	13.5	179	9.9	246	13.9	317	18.7	376	22.1	386	20.0	281	15.3	216	15.1
Simple assault	374	18.7	524	23.1	478	23.8	477	26.3	360	20.3	298	17.6	310	18.2	320	16.6	341	18.6	254	17.8
Intimidation	556	27.8	822	36.3	687	34.2	529	29.1	469	26.5	443	26.2	317	18.6	471	24.4	492	26.8	389	27.3
Property crimes	690	34.5	603	26.6	492	24.5	563	31.0	635	35.9	595	35.2	658	38.7	679	35.2	664	36.1	519	36.4
Burglary	34	1.7	38	1.7	33	1.6	25	1.4	27	1.5	27	1.6	24	1.4	47	2.4	14	0.8	18	1.3
Larceny-theft	14	0.7	7	0.3	4	0.2	ო	0.2	4	0.2	5	0.3	8	0.5	4	0.2	14	0.8	7	0.5
Motor vehicle theft	-	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	e	0.2	-	0.1	7	0.4	2	0.1	-	0.1
Arson	10	0.5	10	0.4	4	0.2	5	0.3	1	0.6	7	0.4	12	0.7	9	0.3	12	0.7	18	1.3
Destruction/vandalism	631	31.5	548	24.2	451	22.4	530	29.2	593	33.5	553	32.7	613	36.0	615	31.8	622	33.9	475	33.3
Multiple-bias total	•	'	•	•	•	'	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7	0.1
/ not :	Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding.	tals or 10.	0.0 becaus	e of round	ing.				1 - 1 4 - 1		1									
nat pe	Dash indicates that percent changes are not calculated when the base number (2008) is less than bu, or that no data were reported	es are not	calculated	when the	base numi	ber (zuug	Dash indicates that percent charges are not calculated when the base number (2008) is ess than bu or that no data were reported.	an bu, or i	inat no gai	a were rep	ontea.	:								

Table 14 HATE CRIMES, 2000-2009 Offenses by Location

change	nt 2008- 2009	0 -22.3	9 -22.4	- 2	-	-	0 -22.7	- 2	'		۰ ج	-	2 15.4	4 -75.0		9 -27.5	'	-	+		3 -39.4		5 -18.8	1 -50.0		'	'	- 2	-	
2009	Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent	7 100.0	5 99.9			3 1.6					5 0.4					9 25.9					0 5.6				7 12.4				2 0.1	
	it Numbe	1,427	1,425					38			2					369					80		7	30	`				2	
2008	r Percer	100.0	100.0			2.1					0.4					27.7					7.2				10.1				•	
2	Numbe	1,837	1,837	19	7	38	110	34	2	13	7	9	52	80	8	509	2	22	4	-	132		500	60	186	20	4	21	•	
2007	Percent	100.0	100.0	0.8	0.2	2.1	3.7	2.0	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.3	4.3	1.5	0.9	29.5	0.5	1.7	0.6	0.6	6.1	0.0	29.6	2.5	9.4	0.7	0.7	1.5	•	
20	Number	1,931	1,931	16	e	41	72	38	n	7	10	5	83	29	18	569	10	33	11	1	117		571	48	182	13	13	28	•	
06	Percent	100.0	100.0	0.4	0.1	1.2	4.9	1.8	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.3	2.2	1.5	0.6	32.0	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	7.9	0.0	29.6	2.4	8.9	0.4	0.7	1.9		
2006	Number	1,702	1,702	9	2	21	84	30	e	12	4	5	38	25	1	545	6	10	6	5	135		504	40	152	7	12	33	•	
15	Percent	100.0	100.0	1.0	0.2	1.4	5.0	2.2	0.1	1.6	0.5	0.4	2.2	1.0	0.8	27.0	0.5	0.8	0.9	0.4	8.2	0.0	30.2	2.8	10.4	0.7	1.1	0.5		
2005	Number	1,691	1,691	17	4	24	84	38	÷	27	6	9	38	17	14	456	8	14	15	7	138	0	511	48	176	5	19	6	•	
4	Percent 1	100.0	100.0	1.8	0.2	1.5	4.2	2.7	0.2	1.5	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.6	0.6	30.3	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.2	4.9	0.2	31.1	2.8	8.8	0.6	2.1	0.5	'	eported.
2004	Number F	1,770	1,770	31	e	27	74	48	e	27	10	11	31	10	1	536	13	18	12	4	86	ε Γ	551	49	155	11	38	8	•	lata were I
3		100.0	100.0	1.5	0.1	1.3	3.6	2.3	0.2	1.5	1.2	0.9	2.8	0.8	0.5	29.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	5.9	0.0	31.4	1.8	8.3	0.5	2.6	1.2	•	x that no c
2003	Number Percent	1,815	1,815	27	-	23	99	42	4	27	21	16	51	15	6	543	10	7	9	80	107	0	570	33	150	6	48	22	•	(2008) is less than 50, or that no data were reported
2	Percent N	100.0	100.0	0.8	0.2	1.7	3.7	4.4	0.0	4	0.6	0.8	1.6	1.0	0.8	32.6	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	3.9	0.0	29.0	2.8	8.7	1.2	2.0	0.4		08) is less
2002	lumber F	2,009	2,009	16	4	34	75	88	.	28	12	16	33	20	16	654	20	10	7	80	19		583	56	175	25	40	8	•	
-	Number Percent Number	100.0	100.0	1.1	0.0	1.2	4.1	3.9	0.6	2.4	0.3	0.4	1.8	0.4	1.0	26.5	0.9	0.3	0.4	1.0	5.8	0.2	31.4	2.4	8.3	1.4	4.0	0.0	'	nding. '1e base nı
2001	umber P	2,265	2,265	26	-	28	92	89	13	54	7	6	41	10	22	600	21	7	10	22	131	4	711	55	189	32	06	-		use of rou ed when t
	ercent N	100.0	100.0	1.2	0.0	1.8	4.1	3.3	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.7	1.4	0.6	0.4	24.2	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	5.0	0.0	36.6	2.6	10.3	1.0	1.6	1.1	'	00.0 beca ot calculati
2000	Number Percent	2,002	2,002	24	-	36	82	67	6	18	6	15	29	12	6	484	14	10	8	9	101	0	733	53	206	20	33	23	•	ototals or 1 iges are no
contion		Total 2		Air/bus/train terminal	Bank/savings and loan	Bar/night club.	Church/synagogue/temple	Commercial/office building	Construction site	Convenience store.	Department/discount store	Drug store/Dr.'s office/hospital	Field/woods/park	Government/public building	Grocery/supermarket	Highway/road/alley/street	Hotel/motel/etc	Jail/prison.	Lake/waterway/beach	Liquor store	Parking lot/garage	Rental storage facility	Residence/home/driveway	Restaurant	School/college	Service/gas station	Specialty store (TV, fur, etc.)	Other/unknown	Multiple-bias total	Notes: Percentages may not add to subtotals or 100.0 because of rounding. Dash indicates that percent changes are not calculated when the base number

Hate Crime in California





A ppendix 1

DATA CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWN LIMITATIONS

Crime Data

Local law enforcement agencies are required to submit monthly copies of hate crime reports to the Department of Justice (DOJ) in compliance with California Penal Code section 13023. California Penal Code section 422.55 defines a hate crime as "a criminal act committed, in whole or in part, because of one or more of the following actual or perceived characteristics of the victim: (1) disability, (2) gender, (3) nationality, (4) race or ethnicity, (5) religion, (6) sexual orientation, (7) association with a person or group with one or more of these actual or perceived characteristics."

The following information and limitations should be considered when using hate crime data:

- 1) A hate crime event contains the occurrence of one or more criminal offenses, committed against one or more victims, by one or more suspects or perpetrators. Victims can have more than one offense committed against them.
- 2) Hate crimes reported by law enforcement agencies are counted in a specific way. In each hate crime event, the DOJ counts the total number of victims, the total number of suspects, and the total number of criminal offenses in one event. These totals are then classified and counted by type of bias motivation (anti-black, anti-Hispanic, anti-Jewish, anti-gay, etc.), type of crime (murder, aggravated assault, burglary, destruction/vandalism, etc.), the location where the crime took place (residence, street, synagogue, school, etc.), and the type of victim (individual or property).
- 3) The hate crime reporting system was implemented by the DOJ in 1994. Law enforcement agencies submit copies of initial crime reports to the DOJ. Crime reports that were submitted as hate crimes, but later determined to be unfounded, were not included.
- 4) The DOJ requested that each law enforcement agency establish procedures incorporating a twotier review (decision-making) process. The first level is done by the initial officer who responds to the suspected hate crime incident. At the second level, each report is reviewed by at least one other officer to confirm that the event was, in fact, a hate crime.
- 5) Caution should be used when making jurisdictional comparisons. The following factors should be considered: cultural diversity and population density; size of law enforcement agencies; and the training received in the identification of hate crimes by law enforcement officers in each jurisdiction.
- 6) The following factors may influence the volume of hate crimes reported to the DOJ:
 - Cultural practices of individuals and their likeliness to report hate crimes to law enforcement agencies.
 - Strength and investigative emphasis of law enforcement agencies.
 - Policies of law enforcement agencies.
 - Community policing policies.

7) From 1995 to 2001, a "hierarchy rule" was used to count the various types of hate crimes (murder, intimidation, vandalism, etc.). This method counted the most serious offense in a hate crime event and counted all additional offenses in multiple-offense events under the most serious crime count. For example, a crime event that had two offenses — a simple assault and an aggravated assault — would be counted as two aggravated assaults. Trend analysis for these years can be performed since the unit of count is consistent.

In 2002, the DOJ began counting *each* offense in *each* hate crime event, whether they had one offense (a majority of events) or multiple offenses (a minority of events). This change was implemented to more accurately count each type of criminal offense. Using this new counting standard, comparisons and trend analysis should be limited to 2002 and forward.

In 2009, the DOJ began collecting information on hate crimes involving multiple-bias motivations. Law enforcement agencies were able to report up to five bias motivations for each hate-related event, as long as there was a unique offense for each bias motivation.

8) A significant reason for the large disparity between individual victims and victims that are an entity is due to the DOJ's Criminal Justice Statistics Center's use of the Federal Bureau of Investigation Uniform Crime Reporting program standards. A property crime against an entity (a business, religious organization, government institution, etc.) can only be counted as one victim, whereas a crime committed against an individual can have more than one victim per crime event.

County District Attorney and City Attorney Prosecutorial Data

The following information and limitations should be considered when interpreting hate crime cases:

- 1) In order to show the criminal justice system's response to hate crimes, in 1995 the Attorney General asked all district attorneys and city attorneys to submit summary data of complaints filed and convictions secured.
- 2) The 2009 District Attorney's and City Attorney's Report File of Hate Crime Cases contains summary data based on cases referred to each district attorney or city attorney, and filings and convictions that occurred from January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009.
- 3) When viewing prosecutorial data, it is not possible to relate the number of hate crimes reported by law enforcement agencies to the number of hate crimes prosecuted by district attorneys and city attorneys. First, crimes often occur in different reporting years than their subsequent prosecutions. Second, the number of crimes reported by law enforcement is much higher than those calling for prosecutorial action since the latter requires an arrested defendant who can be prosecuted in a court of law.
- 4) All prosecutorial data includes hate crimes committed by *both* juvenile and adult defendants.



CRIMINAL JUSTICE GLOSSARY

Aggravated Assault – An unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purposes of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault usually is accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm (FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting [UCR] definition).

Bias – A preformed negative opinion or attitude toward a group of persons based on their race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, gender, sexual orientation, or physical/mental disability.

Bisexual – Of or relating to persons who experience sexual attraction toward and responsiveness to both males and females; (noun) a bisexual person.

Case – A set of facts about a crime that is referred to a district attorney for filing with a court. The case may charge one or more persons with the commission of one or more offenses. (For this report, the case must contain some element of bias.)

Complaints Filed – Any verified written accusation, filed by a district attorney with a criminal court, that charges one or more persons with the commission of one or more offenses. (For this report, the case must contain some element of bias.)

Conviction – A judgment based on the verdict of a jury or a judicial officer or on a guilty plea or a nolo contendere plea of the defendant.

Disposition – In criminal procedure, the sentencing or other final settlement of a criminal case.

Ethnic Bias – A preformed negative opinion or attitude toward a group of persons of the same race or national origin who share common or similar traits in language, custom, and tradition, such as Arabs or Hispanics. **Event** – An occurrence when a hate crime is involved. (In this report, the information about the event is a crime report or source document that meets the criteria for a hate crime.) There may be one or more suspects involved, one or more victims targeted, and one or more offenses involved for each event.

Gay – Of or relating to males who experience a sexual attraction toward and responsiveness to other males; (noun) a homosexual male.

Guilty Plea – A defendant's formal answer in open court stating that the charge is true and that he or she is guilty of the crime charged.

Heterosexual – Of or relating to persons who experience sexual attraction toward and responsiveness to members of the opposite sex; (noun) a heterosexual person.

Homosexual – Of or relating to persons who experience sexual attraction toward and responsiveness to members of their own sex; (noun) a homosexual person.

Known Suspect – Any person alleged to have committed a criminal act or attempted criminal act to cause physical injury, emotional suffering, or property damage. The known suspect category contains the number of suspects that have been identified and/or alleged to have committed hate crimes as stated in the crime report. For example, witnesses observe three suspects fleeing the scene of a crime. The word "known" does not necessarily refer to specific identities.

Lesbian – Of or relating to females who experience sexual attraction toward and responsiveness to other females; (noun) a homosexual female.

Location – The place where the hate crime event occurred. The location categories follow UCR location specifications developed by the FBI. Examples are residence, hotel, bar, church, etc.

Multi-Racial – A hate crime that involves more than one victim or suspect, and where the victims or suspects are from two or more different race groups, such as African American and white or Hispanic and Asian.

Nolo Contendere – A plea or answer in a criminal action in which the accused does not admit guilt but agrees to be subject to the same punishment as if he or she were guilty.

Offenses – Criminal acts that are recorded as follows: murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, arson, simple assault, intimidation, and destruction/ vandalism as defined in the UCR and the national Hate Crimes Statistics Report.

Physical/Mental Disability Bias – A preformed negative opinion or attitude toward a group of persons based on physical or mental impediments/ challenges, whether such disabilities are congenital or acquired by heredity, accident, injury, advanced age, or illness.

Property Crimes – Burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, arson, and destruction/vandalism are reported as property crimes.

Racial Bias – A preformed negative opinion or attitude toward a group of persons, such as Asians, blacks, or whites, based on physical characteristics.

Relationship Between "Complaints Filed" and "Convictions" – The annual prosecutorial report collects data on the total number of hate crime cases filed and the total number of hate crime convictions. There is no direct relationship between "complaints filed" and "convictions" since a case may be filed in one year and the outcome (trial or pleading) may occur in another. **Religious Bias** – A preformed negative opinion or attitude toward a group of persons based on religious beliefs regarding the origin and purpose of the universe and the existence or nonexistence of a supreme being. Examples are Catholics, Jews, Protestants, or Atheists.

Sexual-Orientation Bias – A preformed negative opinion or attitude toward a group of persons based on sexual preferences and/or attractions toward and responsiveness to members of their own or opposite sexes.

Simple Assault – An unlawful attack by one person upon another that does not involve the use of a firearm, knife, cutting instrument, or other dangerous weapon and in which there were no serious or aggravated injuries to the victim (FBI's UCR definition).

Trial Verdict – The finding or answer of a jury or judge concerning a matter submitted to them for their judgment.

Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) – A federal reporting system that provides data on crime based on police statistics submitted by law enforcement agencies throughout the nation. The DOJ administers and forwards the data for California to the federal program.

Victim – An individual, a business or financial institution, a religious organization, government, or other. For example, if a church or synagogue is vandalized or desecrated, the victim would be a religious organization.

Violent Crimes – Murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, simple assault, and intimidation are considered violent crimes in this report. (Robbery is included in crimes against property in the FBI Hate Crimes Statistics Report.)

Acknowledgments

The DOJ is mandated by the Legislature to submit an annual *Hate Crime in California* report. The department extends its appreciation to all the law enforcement agencies that provided complete and timely data. This report would not have been possible without their cooperation.

CJSC PUBLICATIONS

ANNUAL PUBLICATIONS

Anti-Reproductive-Rights Crimes in California* Concealable Firearms Charges in California* Crime in California*

- Crime in California, Advance Release*
- Criminal Justice Profile A Supplement to Crime in California (statewide and individual counties)*
- Hate Crime in California*
- Homicide in California*
- Juvenile Justice in California*
- Preliminary Report, Crime (January through June, January through September, and January through December)*

FOCI AND FORUMS

- The California Experience in American Juvenile Justice: Some Historical Perspectives (December 1988)
- Controlling Plea Bargaining in California (September 1985)
- Coordinating Justice in California: "There ought to be a law about it" (December 1988)
- Crime Control and the Criminal Career (December 1992)
- The Development of California Drunk Driving Legislation (December 1988)
- Employment and Crime (February 1989) The Impact of California's "Prior Felony
- Conviction" Law (September 1987) The Origins and Development of Penalties for Drunk Drivers in California (August
- 1988) A Policy Role for Focus Groups: Community Corrections (September 1991)
- The Prevalence and Incidence of Arrests Among Adult Males in California (August 1988)
- The Social Structure of Street Drug Dealing (December 1988)

OUTLOOKS

Adult Felony Arrest Dispositions in California (1982-1984,1986-1989)

California (1982-1984,1986-1989) Crime in Urban and Rural California (November 1984 and December 1997)* Death in Custody, California (May 2005)*

*Available on the Internet.

- Felony Drug Arrests in California, 1985 (December 1986)
- Juvenile Justice in California, 1983 (June 1984)
- Motor Vehicle Theft in California (December 1987)
- Motor Vehicle Theft Recovery Data, 1983-1989 (October 1990)
- Women in Crime: The Sentencing of Female Defendants (April 1988)

REPORTS

Adult Felony Arrest Dispositions in

- California (April 1992) Crime in California and the United States
- (1983, 1990, 2000)*
- Effectiveness of Statutory Requirements for the Registration of Sex Offenders – A Report to the California State Legislature
- Executive Summary of the Final Report Blue Ribbon Commission on Inmate Population Management (January 1990)
- The Juvenile Justice System in California: An Overview (April 1989)
- Parolees Returned to Prison and the California Prison Population (January 1988)
- Target Hardening: A Literature Review (October 1989)

REPORT SERIES

- Report on Arrests for Burglary in California, 1998*
- Report on Arrests for Domestic Violence in California, 1998*
- Report on Arrests for Driving Under the Influence in California, 1997*
- Report on Drug Arrests in California, From 1990 to 2000 (December 2000)*
- Report on Juvenile Felony Arrests in California, 1998 (March 2000)*
- Report on Violent Crimes Committed Against Senior Citizens in California, 1998*

RESEARCH SERIES

Why Did the Crime Rate Decrease Through 2000? (And Why Might it Decrease or Increase in 2000 and Beyond?) (December 2000)*

- Special Report to the Legislature on Senate Bill 780 (California Freedom of Access to Clinic and Church Entrances Act and Reproductive Rights Law Enforcement Act) (August 2003)
- Special Report to the Legislature on Senate Bill 1608 (Felons and Others with Firearms) (July 2002)
- Special Report to the Legislature on Senate Resolution 18 (Crimes Committed Against Homeless Persons) (October 2002)*

MONOGRAPH SERIES

- Conspicuous Depredation: Automobile Theft in Los Angeles, 1904 to 1987 (March 1990)
- Controlling Felony Plea Bargaining in California: The Impact of the Victim's Bill of Rights (1986)
- Development of a White Collar Crime Index (December 1992)
- Incapacitation Strategies and the Career Criminal (December 1992)
- Measuring White Collar Crime in Depository Institutions (December 1993)
- Prosecutors' Response to Parental Child Stealing: A Statewide Study (April 1995)
- Race & Delinquency in Los Angeles Juvenile Court, 1950 (December 1990) Survey Report: "The Expansion of the Criminal Justice and Penal System in California – Is Greater Coordination Required?" (December 1988)

MISCELLANEOUS

- California Criminal Justice Time Line, 1822-2000 (June 2001)*
- Crime in California (April 2001)*
- Gang Organization and Migration/Drugs, Gangs & Law Enforcement
- Proceedings of the Attorney General's
- Crime Conference '85 (September 1985) Proceedings of Symposium '87: White Collar/Institutional Crime – Its Measurement and Analysis

For publications or assistance in obtaining statistical information or a customized statistical report, please contact:

California Department of Justice Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis Criminal Justice Statistics Center Special Requests Unit P.O. Box 903427 Sacramento, CA 94203-4270

Phone: (916) 227-3509 Fax: (916) 227-0427 E-mail: cjsc@doj.ca.gov Internet: http://ag.ca.gov/crime.php

Annual publications from 2000 through 2009 are also available on CD-ROM, including data tables in Excel spreadsheet format.

Printed on 100% Post-Consumer Recycled Paper 🐴