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Introduction

In an effort to add perspective to the ongoing discussion of crime in California, we have prepared this report to answer several key questions, including:

- How much crime is there in California?
- What are the short-term and long-term trends in crime?
- How does crime vary within California, and among the states?
- Who are the victims and perpetrators of crime?
- How does California's criminal justice system deal with crime?
- What are the costs of crime?
- What are the policy implications for decision-makers?

Although this report is not designed to present comprehensive answers to all of these questions, it does provide basic information on these issues. It does this through a “quick reference” style that relies heavily on charts to present the information.

This report was originally published in 1994 by the State of California Legislative Analyst's Office. It is with the permission and assistance of the Legislative Analyst's Office that the report has been updated and reprinted.

The State of Crime in California. California began maintaining official crime rates in 1952 and long-term trends showed the rate rising before reaching peak levels in 1980. National surveys conducted by the U.S. Department of Justice in which persons are asked whether they have been the victim of a crime (whether reported to the police or not) confirmed this trend nationwide. However, the California crime rate declined from 1992 through 1999, and violent crimes in the state experienced decreases from 1993 through 1999. Nationally, crime rates declined from 1994 through 1999.
What Is Crime?

In its simplest definition, “crime” is any specific act prohibited by law for which society has provided a formally sanctioned punishment. This also can include the failure of a person to perform an act specifically required by law.

**Types of Offenses.** Crimes are classified by the seriousness of the offenses as follows:

- A **felony** is the most serious offense, for which the offender may be sentenced to state prison. Felonies generally include violent crimes, sex offenses, and many types of drug and property violations.
- A **misdemeanor** is a less serious offense for which the offender may be sentenced to probation, county jail, a fine, or some combination of the three. Misdemeanors generally include crimes such as assault and battery, petty theft, and public drunkenness.
- An **infraction** is the least serious offense and generally is punishable by a fine. Many motor vehicle violations are considered infractions.

California law permits law enforcement and prosecutors to charge many types of crimes as either a felony or misdemeanor (known as a “wobbler”), or as either a misdemeanor or an infraction. Most of the resources of the criminal justice system are devoted to dealing with felonies.

**Categories of Crimes.** In general, felonies, misdemeanors, and infractions are classified in one of three broad categories: violent, property, and drug-related. Violent crime refers to events such as homicide, rape, and assault that result in an injury to a person. Robbery is also considered a violent crime because it involves the use or threat of force against a person.
Property crime refers to events such as burglary and motor vehicle theft. Such crimes are often referred to as "household crimes."

Drug-related crimes, such as possession or sale of illegal narcotics, are generally in a separate category altogether. This is because such offenses do not fall under the definition of either violent or property offenses.

Another area of crime which has gained much attention is that of "Hate Crimes". Hate crimes are not separate, distinct crimes. Rather, they are offenses motivated by the victim's race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, physical or mental disability, or gender. The "Hate Crimes Statistics Act", passed in 1990, required the U.S. Attorney General to collect bias-motivated crime information, with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) publishing its first report in 1992. California began collecting data in 1994 and first published its findings in 1995.

Society's Definition of Crime Can Change. Although many offenses, such as homicide, robbery, and burglary, have been considered crimes for centuries, changes in the values and moral attitudes of society result in some conduct being criminalized while other conduct is decriminalized. For example, in recent years California has chosen to criminalize more severely drunken driving, while lessening criminal penalties for the possession of small amounts of marijuana.

Also, society's attitudes towards the amount of punishment that should be dealt out for particular crimes changes over time. For instance, the state has enacted many laws that increase punishment for felonies and limit the discretion of state and local criminal justice officials in dealing with offenders.

How Much Crime Is There in California?

Although this is a simple question, the answer to it is often confusing because of the bewildering volume of crime statistics. For this reason, it is important to first understand how crimes are counted. Crime is counted in two different ways. One is based on official reports to law enforcement agencies, and is reflected in the national Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) data and the California Crime Index (CCI) data. Crime is also counted based on surveys of individuals to determine if they have been victims of crime, even though the crime may not have been reported to the police. These data are obtained from national victimization surveys.

Crimes as Counted by Law Enforcement Reports. For more than 60 years, the FBI has collected criminal statistics from throughout the nation under the UCR Program. Under this program, law enforcement agencies in California report information on crimes to the state Department of Justice (DOJ), which forwards the data to the FBI. In order to eliminate differences among various states' statutory definitions of crimes, the UCR reports data only on selected crimes, which are chosen because of their seriousness, frequency, and the likelihood of being reported to law enforcement. The UCR crimes are homicide, rape, robbery, assault, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.

The DOJ annually reports on California crime using the CCI. The state's data are similar, but not identical, to the national data. The state's data exclude larceny-theft and arson in order to maintain the long-term consistency in the CCI trend.

Crime as Counted by Victimization Surveys. The U.S. Department of Justice annually surveys households and organizations regarding
whether they have been victims of crimes. These studies, known as victimization surveys, are conducted nationally, not on a state-by-state basis, using scientific survey techniques.

**Crime “Rates.”** Crime data are often presented in terms of “rates.” A rate is defined as the number of occurrences of a criminal event within a given population. For example, the overall crime rate in California (according to the CCI) for 1999 was 1,763.3, which means that there were about 1,763 reported crimes per 100,000 Californians in 1999.

**Crime is Underreported.** Crime statistics from law enforcement agencies don’t tell the entire story for two reasons. Victimization surveys generally show that there is a significant amount of crime committed each year that is not counted in official statistics because it is not reported to law enforcement authorities. However, the proportion of crimes reported to law enforcement agencies has increased steadily since the victimization surveys began in the early 1970s. Improvements in technology and methodology led to a redesign of the survey in 1992, and victims are now reporting more types of crime incidents to survey interviewers.

Some crimes are more likely to be reported than others. For example, crimes involving personal injury or large economic loss are more apt to be reported. Violent crimes that are attempted, but not completed, and property crimes involving small amounts of money are much less likely to be reported. According to the U.S. Department of Justice, in 1999, about 64 percent of all crimes went unreported to police. This figure includes about 56 percent of all violent victimizations and 66 percent of all property crimes.

Another reason for underreporting of crime is that sometimes several crimes are committed by an offender at the same time, but only one (usually the most severe) is counted in the data. For example, if an offender robbed a store, assaulted a clerk, and killed the owner, only the homicide would be reported.

**Most Crime Is Property Crime 1999**

- There were 1,763 reported crimes per 100,000 Californians in 1999.
- Property crime (burglary and motor vehicle theft) accounted for about 65 percent of crimes reported in California in 1999, and violent crime (homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault) accounted for about 35 percent.
- Although the proportion of violent crimes decreased slightly in 1999 from 1998, the general relationship of violent to property crimes (roughly 30 percent to 70 percent) has remained stable in recent years.
What Are the Trends in Crime in California?

When considering the trends in crime, it is important to consider both the long-term and the short-term directions of crime in the state. Changes that occur over the years in demographics, economic conditions and values, lifestyles, and residential patterns have a significant impact on crime trends. In several of the charts that follow, we present California crime data starting with 1952 - the first year in which the DOJ began publishing the statistics.

In addition to the reported crime data, it is also important to consider trends in victimization rates to obtain a truer picture of overall trends in crime. As mentioned earlier, the national victimization survey, which began in 1973, provides valuable data in this area. These data are national in scope, however, and are not broken down specifically for California.
Crime Rate Peaked in California in 1980\textsuperscript{a}  
1952 Through 1999

- California's crime rate, as measured by the California Crime Index (CCI), has increased about 96 percent since 1952. The state's population increased about 192 percent over the same period.

- The crime rate reached its peak in 1980, declined for four years, and began to increase in 1985, with much of the decline due to a significant drop in property crime. Since 1992, the crime rate has been in a general decline, reaching a 34-year low in 1999.

- Property crime - which accounts for the bulk of crime in California - grew at a slower rate (55 percent) than violent crime (299 percent) since 1952. Since 1982, the property crime rate has decreased by 57 percent, while violent crime decreased by 26 percent.

Assault and Robbery Are Most Prevalent Violent Crimes\textsuperscript{a}  
1952 Through 1999

- Assault and robbery account for nearly 95 percent of all violent crimes reported. Both offenses decreased in 1999 (10 percent for assault and 14 percent for robbery).

- The substantial increase in reported assaults that occurred in 1986 was due in large measure to enactment of legislation that reclassified domestic violence from a misdemeanor to a felony. Thus, the spike in the assault rate, and the corresponding increase in the overall violent crime rate, was not due primarily to an increase in the number of crimes committed, but rather a change in the way the crimes were reported.

- The homicide rate, since reaching a high of 12.9 in 1992, has been on the decline. Its 1999 rate of 5.9 is the lowest level since 1968, and accounts for less than one percent of overall violent crime.
Property Crime Down*  
1952 Through 1999

- Property crimes have sustained a pattern of decline since reaching a high in 1980.

- The property crime rate declined for the eighth consecutive year and the motor vehicle theft rate is the lowest it has been since 1967.

- The burglary rate has fallen 71 percent since 1980, including an 18 percent decrease from 1998 to 1999.

National Victimization Studies  
Show Decline in Overall U.S. Crime*  
1973 Through 1999

- The national surveys mirror the decline in rates that California has enjoyed for the last few years. Criminal victimization estimates from 1999 were the lowest since the survey’s inception in 1973.

- Between 1993 and 1999, violent crime rates fell 34 percent, while property crime rates (includes burglary, motor vehicle theft, and larceny) fell 38 percent.

- The national victimization surveys are an important measure of the actual amount of crime because the official crime rate data do not include crimes that go unreported to the police.
Hate Crimes During 1999
By Bias-Motivation

- The number of reported hate crime events rose 12 percent from 1998 to 1999.

- In nearly 60 percent of the cases, the victim's race/ethnicity was the bias motivator. Gender was added as a type of bias motivation in 1999.

How Does Crime Vary Among States and Within California?

Although there is value in comparing crime data among different jurisdictions, one should be cautious with such comparisons. Numerous factors can influence crime rates, such as the composition of the populations of different jurisdictions (particularly the age of the residents), the density and size of the jurisdictions, the mobility of the residents, economic and family conditions, strength and effectiveness of the law enforcement agencies, crime reporting practices, and - most importantly - the laws and criminal justice policies of the jurisdictions. It is especially important not to oversimplify or draw quick conclusions from comparisons without first understanding the differences among the jurisdictions being compared.

The most complete information comparing state crime rates is from the FBI. The most complete information for comparing crime among jurisdictions within California is from the California DOJ and is available on a county-by-county basis. In general, we believe that only large industrial states with diverse populations and economies should be compared. Comparison of counties should be limited to comparing similar counties - for example, large counties (with populations of 1 million or more), medium-sized counties, and small and rural counties.
California’s Crime Rate Close to National Average\(^a\)

- Though decreasing through 1999, California’s crime rate remained higher than the national average and stood as the fourth highest among the large states.

- Florida’s 1999 rate ranked highest among the large states and was about 51 percent higher than California’s rate. The highest crime rate in the nation belonged to the District of Columbia, with a rate that more than doubled California’s rate.

- California ranked third in both the violent crime and property crime rates.

- California’s motor vehicle theft rate ranked second among the large states, though it experienced a 52 percent decrease between 1989 and 1999.

California Experienced Large Decrease in Crime Rate

- From 1989 to 1999, the national and California’s data show a decrease in the crime rate. During this time period, the crime rate in California fell 48 percent.

- The national crime rate fell 31 percent during this time, while the rate for the six most populous states decreased 39 percent.
Crime Rates Vary Among Counties\textsuperscript{a}

1999

Among those counties with populations of 500,000 or more, Fresno had the highest crime rate in 1999 - about 42 percent higher than the statewide rate. San Mateo County was the lowest and was less than half the statewide rate.

From 1998 to 1999, all counties experienced a decrease in their crime rate. Sacramento county’s rate fell 24 percent and its crime rate ranking among the most populous counties fell from the highest to fifth highest.

From 1998 to 1999, the crime rate in Fresno county fell 13 percent, though its rate has remained as one of the highest in California for the past several years.

\textsuperscript{a}Crime rates in counties with populations of 500,000 or more.

Who Are the Victims of Crime?

National victimization surveys (1999) provide a good deal of reliable data about the victims of crime. These surveys reveal that:

- **Groups at the highest risk of becoming victims – young men** – are not the ones who generally express the greatest fear of crime – women and the elderly. Males experienced violent crime at rates 28 percent higher than that of females.

- **Persons age 12 to 24** sustained violent victimizations at rates higher than individuals of all other ages.

- **Teenagers are most often the victims** of both violence and personal theft. Persons age 16 to 19 were twice as likely to be robbed than persons age 25 to 34, and 12 times as likely as persons age 65 or older.

- **Blacks are most often the victims of violence** – the violent victimization rate for blacks is about 30 percent higher than for whites. There is little difference in victimization rates between Hispanics and non-Hispanics in violent offenses, but Hispanics are more likely to be victims of a property crime.

- **Victims and offenders are of the same race** in 75 percent of all violent crimes.

- **Urban dwellers are much more likely to be victims** of all types of crime than are persons who live in suburban and rural areas.

- **The elderly made up 15 percent of the population** age 12 or older, but were victims in only 7 percent of measured crimes.
### How Do Crime Rates in the U.S. Compare With the Rates of Other Life Events? 1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Rate per 1,000 adults per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accidental injury, all circumstances</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental injury at home</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury in a motor vehicle accident</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal theft</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent victimization</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault (aggravated and simple)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death, all causes</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious (aggravated) assault</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease death</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer death</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia/influenza death</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape (women only)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental death, all circumstances</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle accident death</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics.

### Homicide Most Often Committed By Acquaintance, 1999

- Of those homicides for which the offender has been identified, California’s data indicate that the victim and offender are likely to know each other.

- Of the 2,006 homicides reported in 1999, 632 were committed by a friend or acquaintance, which includes an ex-husband or ex-wife, employer, employee, gang member, etc. This represents about 50 percent of all known victim-offender relationships.

- Homicides committed by a stranger accounted for nearly 30 percent of all known relationships.
Who Commits Crime?

National victimization surveys provide information about criminal offenders. These reports show that:

- **About 35 percent of violent victimizations involved an offender who was under the influence of alcohol.** Of these incidents, about 1 in 5 also involved perceived drug use on the part of the offender.

- **Victims identified intimates (spouses, boyfriend or girlfriend) as offenders in 11 percent** of violent crimes, robberies and simple assaults.

- **The proportion of violent crimes committed by juveniles as reported in victimization surveys has changed little since 1980.**

- **Males are much more likely to be the victims of a stranger** in assault and robbery offenses. Females, however, are equally likely to be victimized by a husband or boyfriend as they are by an acquaintance or a stranger.
Juvenile Arrest Rates Nearing Adult Arrest Rates\textsuperscript{a}  
1972 Through 1999

- In 1999, the total juvenile arrest rate fell below the total adult arrest rate for the first time.

- There is evidence that a major reason that arrest rates for juveniles are higher than for adults is that young men tend to be arrested in large groups on suspicion of committing a crime or for being at the scene of a crime, although charges may not ever be filed. (Legislative Analyst's Office)

- Juvenile arrest rates peaked in 1974. This was probably due to demographics; that is, the at-risk juvenile population was a larger proportion of the overall state population. Juvenile arrest rates for violent offenses have declined 23.4 percent since 1994.

Felony Arrests Highest Among The Young\textsuperscript{a}  
1999

- The 15 to 19 year old age group continues to show the highest rate in both violent and property crimes.

- Over half of felony arrests occurred in the 20 to 39 age group. This age category, however, accounts for only 23 percent of the state's total population.

\textsuperscript{a}Felony arrest rate per 100,000 population within each group.

\textsuperscript{a}Rate per 100,000 age-eligible population.
Firearms are the weapons used in 67 percent of reported homicides in 1999, or about five times more often than knives.

Firearms account for more homicides than all other weapons combined.

The age group that suffered the most homicides by firearms was the 18 to 24 year old category.
How Does California’s Criminal Justice System Work?

In general, the criminal justice system does not respond to all crime because about two-thirds of all crimes are not discovered or reported to law enforcement authorities. And of those crimes reported to law enforcement officials, only about one-quarter are solved. In 1999, for example, only about 26 percent of all reported crimes were solved or “cleared” (that is, a person was charged with a crime). This figure has remained relatively stable for a number of years.

Following an arrest, a law enforcement agency may file a complaint against the individual and he or she may be prosecuted. Prosecution may result in the person being convicted. Persons who are convicted are given a fine or are sentenced to county probation, county jail, county probation with a jail term, state prison, or Youth Authority. The vast majority of convicted offenders end up on county probation and/or in jail.

Although the Legislature and Governor enact laws that define crimes and set penalties, criminal justice officials exercise a great deal of discretion in enforcing these laws. The greatest discretion is at the local level, when police decide whether to arrest someone for a crime, prosecutors decide whether or how to charge a person with a crime, and courts adjudicate suspected offenders.
Most Criminal Justice Personnel in Law Enforcement 1999

- Law enforcement personnel (police, sheriffs, CHP) make up about 54 percent of total criminal justice personnel. This proportion has declined from about 60 percent in 1989, although the total number of law enforcement personnel increased by 23 percent.

- Corrections personnel (probation, prisons, Youth Authority) make up about 34 percent of the total, up from 30 percent in 1989.

Prosecution Personnel has Grown Most 1989 through 1999

- Prosecution personnel showed the largest increase (84 percent).

- Law enforcement (police, sheriffs, CHP), which is the largest portion of total criminal justice personnel, grew 23 percent.

- The state's total population increased about 18 percent between 1989 and 1999.
Most Reported Crimes Not Solved
1999

- Only about 26 percent of all reported crimes were solved in 1999. Violent crimes are solved more often than property crimes. In 1999, 61 percent of assaults and 60 percent of homicides were solved; 14 percent of burglaries and 10 percent of motor vehicle thefts were solved.

- The rate of crimes solved in recent years has been increasing, including a 16 percent rise from 1993-99.

- Generally, a crime is considered solved or “cleared” when at least one person is arrested, charged with the crime, and turned over to the court for prosecution or referred to juvenile authorities. In addition, a crime may be considered solved if authorities have ample evidence for arrest, know the location of the offender, but for some reason cannot take the offender into custody.

Who Exercises Discretion in California’s Criminal Justice System?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>These Criminal Justice Officials</th>
<th>Who Are Subject to the Control of</th>
<th>Must Often Decide Whether or not or how to . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police/Sheriffs</td>
<td>Cities/Counties</td>
<td>• Enforce laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Investigate crimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Search people, premises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Arrest or detain people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supervise offenders in local correctional facilities (primarily county sheriffs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Attorneys (prosecutors)</td>
<td>Counties</td>
<td>• File charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduce, modify, or drop charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Prosecute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Set bail or conditions for release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Accept pleas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Determine delinquency for juveniles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Dismiss charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Impose sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Revoke probation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation Officials</td>
<td>Counties or Judges</td>
<td>• Recommend sentences to judges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supervise offenders released to probation in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supervise offenders (especially juveniles) in probation camps and ranches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Recommend probation revocation to judges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional Officials</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Assign offenders to type of correctional facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supervise prisoners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Award privileges, punish for disciplinary infractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parole Officials</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Determine conditions of parole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supervise parolees released to the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Revoke parolees and return offenders to prison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Happened to Adult Felony Arrests In 1999?

- 84% Complaints Filed
- 16% Offenders Released or Complaints Denied
- 13% Not Convicted
- 71% Convictions
- 46% Probation with Jail
- 15% State Prison
- 6% Probation
- 3% Jail
- 1% Fine/Other

Vast Majority of Convicted Felons Supervised by Counties 1999

- About 78 percent of convicted felons are housed and/or supervised by counties.
- Only 22 percent of all convicted felons are sentenced to the Department of Corrections or the Department of the Youth Authority.
Type of Sentencing Received by Felons Has Stabilized\(^a\)

\[\text{Graph showing the rate of different types of sentencing over years.}\]

\(^a\)Rates per 100,000 population, indexed to 1993.

- Since 1993, the type of sentencing showed little variation in each category except jail sentences, which have been declining.

- The proportion of felons sentenced to state institutions ranged from 18 to 22 percent of the total.

- The majority of felons received probation with jail sentences.


\[\text{Bar chart showing prison population and design capacity from 1993 to 2000.}\]

\(^a\)Excludes community-based beds.

\[\text{Source: California Department of Corrections.}\]

- From 1979 to 1999, design bed capacity increased threefold while the institution population increased by a factor of seven. This led to an overcrowding rate of 193 percent.

- Prison population projections from 2000 to 2005 are lower than previously forecast due to lower annual growth rates.

- The slower population growth is due to a decrease or slowing of admissions from court, less parolees returned to prison for new violations and fewer parole violators returned to custody. An increase in time served also played a role in the slower growth.
## A Profile of Criminal Offenders Supervised by Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Offender</th>
<th>General Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Juvenile Probationer</td>
<td>• Male, about 16 years old, equally likely to be white (non-Hispanic), black, or Hispanic&lt;br&gt;• From urban area&lt;br&gt;• At least one year behind in schooling&lt;br&gt;• Committed for property or drug-related offense&lt;br&gt;• Likely to have had two or three other contacts (questioning or arrest) with law enforcement prior to most recent arrest&lt;br&gt;• Likely supervised at home on probation, after short stay in juvenile hall&lt;br&gt;• After completing probation, stands a good chance of not committing a new offense as a juvenile or an adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Adult Probationer</td>
<td>• Male, about 25 years old, more likely to be black or Hispanic&lt;br&gt;• Committed nonviolent offense, usually property or drug-related offense&lt;br&gt;• Served a few months in jail before beginning probation, although many are placed in drug or DUI diversion programs (instead of jail) and then are placed on probation&lt;br&gt;• Generally not supervised during probation period, except to inform probation officer of whereabouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail Inmate</td>
<td>• Male, 18 to 55 years old (usually 25 to 30), more likely to be black or Hispanic&lt;br&gt;• About half awaiting trial on misdemeanor charge, such as DUI, average stay is 19 days&lt;br&gt;• Other half sentenced to jail or jail and probation for less than one year for a misdemeanor or low-level felony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Federal and state reports and interviews with selected counties.

## A Profile of Criminal Offenders Supervised by the State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Offender</th>
<th>General Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Youth Authority Ward</td>
<td>• Male, 19 years old, from Los Angeles or Bay Area, more likely to be Hispanic or black&lt;br&gt;• 65 percent were committed for violent crime&lt;br&gt;• Has abused alcohol and/or drugs, but not incarcerated for drug-related crime&lt;br&gt;• Sixth-grade education level&lt;br&gt;• Likely to be committed only once to the Youth Authority, but has been arrested between 8 and 33 times - although not charged with a crime in many cases&lt;br&gt;• Has been previously incarcerated in county juvenile hall and/or probation camp&lt;br&gt;• Average stay will be 34 months, followed by parole in community&lt;br&gt;• 66 percent chance of completing parole without committing a new offense as a juvenile or adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Prison Inmate</td>
<td>• Male, 20 to 34 years old, from southern California, more likely to be black or Hispanic&lt;br&gt;• 55 percent were committed for nonviolent offense, usually a drug or property crime&lt;br&gt;• Has a history of drug abuse&lt;br&gt;• Eighth-grade education level&lt;br&gt;• In prison for 20 months for nonviolent offense; 37 months for violent offense&lt;br&gt;• Will be supervised in community on parole for one year after discharge from prison&lt;br&gt;• About 55 percent will return to prison for less than one year for a technical violation of parole (a violation that did not result in criminal prosecution)&lt;br&gt;• About 13 percent will return to prison for committing a new felony offense, most likely a property offense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: California Youth Authority and California Department of Corrections.
### Who Is In State Prison?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offenses</th>
<th>Inmates</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
<th>Inmates</th>
<th>Average Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Violent Offenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder, First Degree*</td>
<td>8,908</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder, Second Degree*</td>
<td>9,211</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manslaughter</td>
<td>2,728</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicular Manslaughter</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>16,881</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2,921</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault with Deadly Weapon</td>
<td>9,653</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2,492</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Assault/Battery</td>
<td>8,737</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2,666</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>2,116</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewd Act with Child</td>
<td>6,302</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1,274</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Copulation</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodomy</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration with Object</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sex Offenses</td>
<td>1,813</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnap</td>
<td>2,303</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotals</strong></td>
<td>70,359</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>12,136</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property Offenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary, First Degree</td>
<td>7,900</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary, Second Degree</td>
<td>6,455</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2,032</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Theft</td>
<td>2,666</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Theft with Prior</td>
<td>7,204</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1,910</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Stolen Property</td>
<td>2,906</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Theft</td>
<td>4,494</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgery/Fraud</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Property</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotals</strong></td>
<td>34,076</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>10,193</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Sentence for Murder, First Degree is 25 to life, LWOP, or death.
*b Sentence for Murder, Second Degree is 15 to life.

Source: California Department of Corrections.
Crime Rate Has Dropped While Incarceration Rates Have Risen<sup>a</sup> 1989 Through 1999

- From 1989 to 1999, California's prison incarceration rate rose 58 percent, while the crime rate dropped 49 percent.

- Some researchers argue that incarcerating people for a longer period of time has an effect on the crime rate. In other words, the decrease in the crime rate is a direct result of the increase in the incarceration rate.

- Economic conditions, legislative changes affecting prison sentences, and other factors may also play a role in the reduction of crime.

<sup>a</sup>Change in rate per 100,000 population.
What is the sequence of events in the criminal justice system?

Entry into the system
- Prosecution and pretrial services
- Adjudication and sanctions
- Corrections

Felonies
- Refusal to indict
- Charge dismissed
- Acquitted
- Appeal
- Habeas
- Pardon
- Capital
- Probation
- Clemency
- Grand jury
- Revocation

Misdemeanors
- Information
- Arraignment
- Trial
- Convicted
- Sentencing
- Out of system

Out of system (registration, notification)
- Jail
- Probation
- Parole
- Revocation
- Prison
- Pardon & clemency
- Capital punishment

Note: This chart gives a simplified view of caseflow through the criminal justice system. Procedures vary among jurisdictions. The weights of the lines are not intended to show actual size of caseloads.

Source: Adapted from The challenge of crime in a free society. President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. 1967. This revision, a result of the Symposium on the 30th Anniversary of the President's Commission, was prepared by the Bureau of Justice Statistics in 1997.

U. S. Bureau of Justice Statistics
How Much Does Crime Cost?

There is no simple answer to this difficult question. Although many studies over the years have attempted to quantify the total direct and indirect costs of crime to government and society, the results have varied widely, but all conclude that the nationwide costs are in the tens to hundreds of billions of dollars annually.

Some components of the cost of crime (such as the government’s cost of fighting crime) can be readily estimated. For example, in 1997-98, California spent about $18.1 billion to fight crime, which included costs for police, prosecution, courts, probation, and incarceration. (California Department of Finance)

Other costs cannot be easily measured. For example, many crimes go undetected or unreported – such as fraud, embezzlement, or arson – and thus their costs to society are not captured. Also, some costs are difficult to estimate because the costs are “transferred,” such as the costs for goods and services that are transferred to consumers by manufacturers and retailers to cover their costs for crime prevention activities or losses from crime.
What Is Considered a “Cost” of Crime?

Costs to government to operate the criminal justice system (police, prosecution, courts, probation, incarceration, parole).

Medical costs to individuals and government because of injuries suffered due to crime.

Property stolen or damaged resulting from crime.

Loss of productivity to society because of death, medical and mental disabilities resulting from crime.

Loss of work time by victims of crime and their families.

Loss of property values in neighborhoods with high rates of crime.

Pain and suffering of crime victims, their families, and friends, as well as communities plagued by crime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corrections Per Capita Cost Highest 1999-2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Participants (in Millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections – inmates and wards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education – students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Welfare – beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALWORKS (^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI/SSP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Replaced “Aid to Families with Dependent Children” as of January 1, 1998.

Source: California Department of Finance.

- Youth Authority wards and prison inmates have the highest cost but are the least numerous. For example, it costs over $41,000 to house a Youth Authority ward in 1999-2000, but just over $7,200 to educate a student in K-12 school.

- The costs shown are averages. The range of individual costs is especially large in the Medi-Cal Program. Nursing home patients in the Medi-Cal Program, for example, cost about $25,000 annually to support.
Corrections Is Small Portion of Total 1999-2000 State Spending

- Spending for Corrections is about 6 percent of total state spending in 1999-2000.
- Ten years ago in 1989-90, spending for Corrections was about 4.5 percent of total state spending.

Source: California Department of Finance.

Corrections Spending Has Stabilized 1989-90 Through 1999-2000

- From 1989-90 to 1999-2000, spending for Corrections increased, on average, about 5 percent per year. Total state spending increased about 6 percent annually during this time period.
- During the 1980s, Corrections' spending increased by an average of 19 percent annually, while the state's budget increased by about 9 percent per year.
- Reasons for the stabilization may be the slowing of admissions to State institutions, a lower incarceration rate for the last 2 years, and the overall reduction in crime during the last 8 years.

Source: California Department of Finance.
What Are the Policy Implications?

The major policy implications of the data presented in this report are summarized briefly below.

**Recognize Divergence of Crime Data and Public Perceptions of Crime.** Californians are more likely to be victimized by an accident in their own homes than they are by crime. Both public perceptions and crime data should be taken into account by decision-makers when shaping public policy affecting the state's criminal justice system.

**Recognize That Criminal Justice System Deals With Small Portion of Total Crime.** As we indicated earlier, the criminal justice system deals with only a small portion of all criminal activity in society, principally because about 64 percent of all crimes go unreported to, or undiscovered by, police (U.S. Department of Justice). If policy-makers wish to affect a larger share of crime, it will require a significantly larger investment of funds than is currently being spent by government. Given scarce government resources, such an increased investment will require that policy-makers select new, cost-effective approaches (and new strategies) to crime fighting, not using scarce resources for activities that may result in only marginal changes in the crime rate.

**Recognize Importance of Demographics in Crime.** As we indicated, a large amount of crime is committed by young people, and the decline in crime rates in California in the 1980s was due, at least in part, to the aging of the population (Source: Legislative Analyst's Office). For these reasons, it is important for policy-makers to recognize that the changing demographics of California - particularly the boom in juvenile population that is projected to occur during the early part of the 2000 decade - could result in a return to the high crime rates of the late 1970s and early 1980s.
Recognize the Interrelationships Among the Parts of the Criminal Justice System and the Need for Flexibility. The component parts of the state’s criminal justice system are, by necessity, closely interrelated (persons arrested by police are prosecuted by district attorneys, decisions as to their fate are made by the courts, and punishment/treatment is applied by probation and corrections officials). It is important for policymakers to understand and appreciate these interrelationships.

As we showed in the chart “Who Exercises Discretion in California’s Criminal Justice System” (see page 31), the system includes significant flexibility at various levels. The greatest flexibility is generally at the “front-end” of the process—police and prosecutors. The system functions as a result of this flexibility, and efforts to reduce flexibility could significantly affect the system as a whole. For example, eliminating the ability of prosecutors to reduce the charges against a nonviolent offender could result in greater costs for prosecution, courts, and corrections, thereby limiting resources available to deal with violent offenders or other high-priority matters.