## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Justice	Jacqueline Dosch	handgunFSDtesting@doj.ca.gov	916-227-5419		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NU					
H <mark>andgun and Firearm Safety Device Testing Z</mark>					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.			
		and the same of th			
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	R)				
a. Impacts business and/or employees		orting requirements			
b. Impacts small businesses		criptive instead of performance			
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indi				
d. Impacts California competitiveness	Committee of the Commit	above (Explain below):			
		ed regulatory action clarifies ambiguities in s more detailed testing requirements.	n existing regulations		
If any hox in Items 1		mplete this Economic Impact Statement.			
		scal Impact Statement as appropriate.			
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ec	onomic impact of this regulation (which includes the f	fiscal impact) is:		
Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
		equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Ass</u>	essment		
as specified in Governme	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]				
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	:	*			
	-				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	profits):				
Enter the number or percentage of total		Α			
businesses impacted that are small businesses:					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	ated:	eliminated:			
Ford days					
Explain:					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	7 Statewide				
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:					
L.	Local or regional (List areas):				
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:				
-					
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:				
		**			
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California					
other states by making it more costly to produc	e goods or services here?	YES X NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					
-					
<del></del>					
		A STATE OF THE STA			

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumption	ons in the rulemaking record.	
What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses an	nd individuals may incur to comply with	n this regulation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$		
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$		
•		Years:
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		
		, 
If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total	costs for each industry:	
If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, re		
Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	S NO	
If YES, e	enter the annual dollar cost per housing	g unit: \$
	Number o	f units:
Are there comparable Federal regulations?		
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or	absence of Federal regulations:	
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals t	that may be due to State - Federal diffe	erences: \$
ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of	benefits is not specifically required by r	ulemaking law, but encouraged.
Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may		
health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and	a the State's environment:	
Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory require	monte or again developed by the	e agency based on broad statutory authority?
Are the benefits the result of: Specific statutory require	ments, or goals developed by the	e agency based on broad statutory authority:
Explain:		
What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation o	over its lifetime? \$	
Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing	a business within the State of Californi	a that would result from this regulation:
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculate specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	tions and assumptions in the rulemaki	ing record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no	alternatives were considered, explain	why not:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAG

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:					
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
3.	ly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison stimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:					
4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  Explain:					
_						
٠	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.					
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES X					
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4					
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:					
	Alternative 1:					
	Alternative 2:					
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)					
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:					
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$					
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$					
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$					
4.	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?					
	YES NO					
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.					
5.	Briefly describe the following:					
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State:					
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes:					
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:					

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

<ol> <li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)         (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).</li> </ol>	
\$	
a. Funding provided in	
Budget Act of or Chapter , Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of	
Fiscal Year:	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	Court.
Case of:vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:	
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the	Code;
<del></del>	<del></del>
Authorized by Section: of the	<del></del>
Authorized by Section: of the f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs	<del>_</del>
Authorized by Section: of the of the f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	<del></del>
Authorized by Section: of the of the f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	to each;
Authorized by Section: of the f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law reg	to each;
Authorized by Section: of the of the f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	to each;

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	*
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	gr. I
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
🗵 4. Other. Explain The proposed regulatory action clarifies ambiguities in existing regulations	and provides more detailed
testing requirements.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attainmpact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sch calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
X 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
x 101	11-28-17
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections.	6.2
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	ry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
mecai	Salary County
In // Charley	12-26-17
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	k