November 26th, 2014

Susanne George Research Analyst California Department of Justice Bureau of Gambling Control Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to inform you of my **OPPOSITION** to any proposed regulations that hinder a gambling establishment's ability to collect or waive fees as such regulations would be a **JOB KILLER**.

These proposed regulations could cause me to LOSE my job as an employee in the cardroom industry.

If the Bureau were to require that cardrooms must charge customers certain fees, cardrooms would be at a competitive disadvantage, and would be forced to close due to a drastic loss of revenue. If a customer has a choice of whether they are going to pay a collection fee per wager or not, they will always prefer the casino that does not charge a collection fee. For the past few decades, cardrooms have provided entertainment for the citizens of the community while also **contributing living wage jobs** and vital tax revenue. This all could be lost if these regulations become law.


No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge their customers a fee per wager, and there is no rational basis for the Bureau to institute this discriminatory practice at the bequest of Special Interests that do not want to compete with other small businesses. This proposal is a form of regulatory price fixing, and it should not be tolerated. Responsible business owners in this state should be able to determine the fees that they want to charge their customers.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Rhino Gaming, Inc. Employees at the 500 Club Casino

1. Druglar Male 2. Comming Lanning 3. Hopp Hoop 6. X WAS



November 26th, 2014

Susanne George Research Analyst California Department of Justice Bureau of Gambling Control Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to inform you of my **OPPOSITION** to any proposed regulations that hinder a gambling establishment's ability to collect or waive fees as such regulations would be a **JOB KILLER**.

These proposed regulations could cause me to LOSE my job as an employee in the cardroom industry.

If the Bureau were to require that cardrooms must charge customers certain fees, cardrooms would be at a competitive disadvantage, and would be forced to close due to a drastic loss of revenue. If a customer has a choice of whether they are going to pay a collection fee per wager or not, they will always prefer the casino that does not charge a collection fee. For the past few decades, cardrooms have provided entertainment for the citizens of the community while also **contributing living wage jobs** and vital tax revenue. This all could be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge their customers a fee per wager, and there is no rational basis for the Bureau to institute this discriminatory practice at the bequest of Special Interests that do not want to compete with other small businesses. This proposal is a form of regulatory price fixing, and it should not be tolerated. Responsible business owners in this state should be able to determine the fees that they want to charge their customers.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

L.E. Gaming, Inc. Employees at the Village Club Casino

1.	Irma Aranzanso	
2.	Lloyd D. Marin Jr	(Rex)
3.	Champa W	

4. Frank Mitchell Jr
5. Danny Nguyen
6. Ancifa Kelly
7. Maly Prang
8. JESSAIZ PLETTO
9. ALICE M. CATAPIA
10. Harry Manalus
11. Sandy Sauriphoth
12. Suzanne Bundang
13. Annie Quach
14. ROSENDO FELIPE
15. Elsa m marulid
16. ALAN CASIX
17. PAYMOND CACHO
18. WILL DIZEN XZ
19. Uyen Phung
20. Christie Vanthamaly
21
22