# Economic Impact Statement

**DEPARTMENT NAME**: Department of Justice  
**CONTACT PERSON**: Melan Noble  
**EMAIL ADDRESS**: Melan.Noble@doj.ca.gov  
**TELEPHONE NUMBER**: 916-322-0908  
**DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400**: Major League Sports Raffle Program

## A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS

Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:
   - [ ] a. Impacts business and/or employees
   - [x] b. Impacts small businesses
   - [ ] c. Impacts jobs or occupations
   - [ ] d. Impacts California competitiveness
   - [ ] e. Imposes reporting requirements
   - [ ] f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   - [ ] g. Impacts individuals
   - [ ] h. None of the above (Explain below):

   *If any box in Items 1a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement. If box in Item 1h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.*

2. The Department of Justice estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
   - [x] Below $10 million
   - [ ] Between $10 and $25 million
   - [ ] Between $25 and $50 million
   - [ ] Over $50 million (if the economic impact is over $50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)).

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 70

   Describe the types of businesses (including nonprofits): eligible nonprofit organizations affiliated with professional sports teams; suppliers

   Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 0

4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 10 eliminated: 0

   Explain: there may be professional sports teams which will have to establish nonprofits in order to participate in program.

5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:
   - [x] Statewide
   - [ ] Local or regional (List areas):

6. Enter the number of jobs created: 1,000 and eliminated: not known

   Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: eligible organizations will have to have trained technical staff to administer the raffles; other related jobs: cashiers; ticket sellers; security; information technicians; promoters

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?
   - [ ] YES
   - [x] NO

   If YES, explain briefly:
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? $1,250,000
   a. Initial costs for a small business: $5,000 Annual ongoing costs: $5,000 Years: 2
   b. Initial costs for a typical business: $6,000 Annual ongoing costs: $6,000 Years: 2
   c. Initial costs for an individual: $10 Annual ongoing costs: $10 Years: 2
   d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: registration fees for eligible nonprofits: $5,000; registration fees for suppliers: $5,000 registration fees for affiliated persons: $10 each; raffle registration fee: $100 per raffle

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted: $500

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES NO
   If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $
   Number of units:

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES NO
   Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:

   Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State-Federal differences: $

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: would enable eligible organizations to raise money to benefit the charitable cause the organization was formed to promote and to provide money to eligible recipient nonprofits with contributions when the eligible organization donates towards those causes.

2. Are the benefits the result of: YES specific statutory requirements, or NO goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
   Explain: The Penal Code section 320.6 permits this new type of raffle for eligible organizations

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? $30,000,000

4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: those nonprofits and foundations created by major league sports teams could expand (if in existence now) or new ones created as a result of new statute. Current businesses providing raffle goods or services expand, or be created.

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: No alternatives were considered.
   Statute requires registration of eligible organizations, raffles, suppliers of goods/services, affiliated persons, and equipment used in raffles.
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative 1</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative 2</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  
   YES  [x]  NO  
   Explain:

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed $10 million?  
   YES  [x]  NO  
   If YES, complete E2. and E3  
   If NO, skip to E4

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
   Alternative 1: 
   Alternative 2: 
   (Attach additional pages for other alternatives)

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Cost-effectiveness ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative 1</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Cost-effectiveness ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative 2</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Cost-effectiveness ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding $50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?  
   YES  [x]  NO  
   If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SR/A) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SR/A in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

5. Briefly describe the following:
   The increase or decrease of investment in the State: This new program provides the opportunity for businesses to use technology that is otherwise not permitted or used (electronic raffle ticket sales units/systems), resulting in potential new businesses.
   The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: None identified at this time.
   The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: This poses a new method for eligible organizations to raise funds for charitable purposes.
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).
   $ ______________________
   ☐ a. Funding provided in
       __________________________________________________________
       Budget Act of ____________________ or Chapter ____________ , Statutes of ______________________
   ☐ b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of
       __________________________________________________________
       Fiscal Year: ______________________

☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).
   $ ______________________
   Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:
   ☐ a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in
       __________________________________________________________
   ☐ b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the _________________________________ Court.
       Case of: _________________________________ vs. _________________________________
   ☐ c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.
       __________________________________________________________________
       Date of Election: _________________________________
   ☐ d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).
       Local entity(s) affected: __________________________________________________________________
   ☐ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:
       __________________________________________________________
       Authorized by Section: ____________________ of the ______________________ Code;
   ☐ f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;
   ☐ g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in
       __________________________________________________________

☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)
   $ ______________________

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

☑ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

☐ 6. Other. Explain
   ____________________________________________________________________________
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

- 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)
  - $85,000
  - It is anticipated that State agencies will:
    - □ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.
    - □ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the __________________ Fiscal Year

- 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)
  - $

- 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

- 4. Other. Explain  A BCP to increase funding by $335,000 beginning in the 2016-17 fiscal year has been included in the Governor’s Budget and is pending before the Legislature.

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

- 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)
  - $

- 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)
  - $

- 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

- 4. Other. Explain

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.