

ENDORSED 1 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General San Francisco County Superior Court of the State of California 2 THEODORA BERGER, State Bar No. 050108 Assistant Deputy Attorney General AUG 1 5 1855 3 EDWARD G. WEIL, State Bar No. 88302 ALAN CARLSON, Clerk BY: CRISTINA E. BAUTISTA SUSAN S. FIERING, State Bar No. 121621 Deputy Attorney General 4 2101 Webster Street, 12th Floor 5 Oakland, CA 94612-3049 Telephone: (510) 286-3892 6 Fax No.: (510) 286-4020 7 Attorneys for People of the State of California 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 971479 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA) Case No. 12 ex rel. DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney CONSENT JUDGMENT General of the State of California, 13 Plaintiffs. 14 15 ARKIE LURES, INC., BULLET WEIGHTS, 16 INC., THE DANIELSON COMPANY, SOUTH BEND SPORTING GOODS and 17 WATER GREMLIN COMPANY 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 1. INTRODUCTION 22 1.0 On August 3, 1995 the People of the State of California ex rel. Daniel E. 23 Lungren ("People") filed a Complaint for Civil Penalty and Injunctive Relief 24 ("Complaint") in San Francisco County Superior Court, against Arkie Lures, Inc., Bullet 25 Weights, Inc., The Danielson Company, South Bend Sporting Goods, and Water 26 Gremlin Company (collectively "Defendants"). The People's Complaint alleges that

27

Defendants violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. ("Unfair Competition Act"), by knowingly exposing persons to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

- Defendants are businesses that employ more than ten persons and manufacture, distribute and sell within the State of California certain fishing products that contain lead, a chemical which the State of California placed on its list of chemicals "known to cause reproductive toxicity" pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.9 on February 27, 1987 and thus have been subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements since February 27, 1988. The products covered by this Consent Judgment ("Covered Products") are listed below:
 - a. split shot lead fishing weights;
 - b. lead or lead alloy fishing weights;
 - c. other fishing products containing lead or lead alloy components, including but not limited to jigs, lures and rigs.
- 1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgement as a resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.
- 1.3 The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of disputed claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation and to insure that the requirements of Proposition 65 are expeditiously carried out. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27

of the complaint, nor may it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing or misconduct or liablity on the part of Defendants.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 2.0 Within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay to the People a civil penalty of \$15,000 pursuant to Health & Safety Code sections 25249.7(b) and 25192 in settlement of the disputed claims referred to in this Consent Judgment.
- 2.1 Within thirty (30) days of the approval of this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay \$15,000 to the Pacific Justice Center ("PJC") representing reasonable costs and attorneys fees incurred by PJC in investigating this matter and negotiating this Consent Judgment.
- 2.3 Payment of the amounts specified in paragraph 2.0 above shall be made to the Attorney General of the State of California, 2101 Webster Street, 12th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612. The payment shall note that the payment is made in connection with the settlement of this action and shall be sent to the attention of Deputy Attorney General Susan S. Fiering.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.0 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Provided the Court enters the Consent Judgment, Defendants and the People waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.0 This Consent Judgment constitutes a full and final settlement of all claims and causes of action for declaratory, injunctive and monetary relief set out in the Complaint filed in this case up to and including the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. The parties believe that this Consent Judgment will further the objectives of Proposition 65.

5.

ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS: CONTINUING OBLIGATIONS

5.0 The People do not waive any right to take further enforcement actions regarding any claims or allegations of violations not addressed by this Consent Judgment.

6. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>

6.0 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. In any action brought by the People to enforce this Consent Judgment, the People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of the Consent Judgment. Any violation of the terms of the Consent Judgment that also violate Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Act or any other laws may subject Defendants to civil penalties under those statutes to be sought in a separate civil action.

7. <u>MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

7.0 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING</u>

- 8.0 As long as any of the Covered Products continue to contain lead Defendants shall provide a clear and reasonable warning under the circumstances and in the manner described in paragraph 8.1 below, that the Covered Product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 8.1 Defendants shall provide a Proposition 65 warning for the Covered Products as follows:

- (a) All defendants shall, within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment:
 - (i) send by first class mail "Proposition 65 Warning Letters," in a form approved by the Attorney General, and the specified enclosures, to distributors and, where known, to retail stores that sell the Covered Products;
 - (ii) The Proposition 65 Warning Letter, when sent directly to a retailer, shall contain as enclosures at least two (2) warning signs printed on 65-pound stock in a size and format to be approved by the Attorney General, to be displayed in front of or next to the Covered Products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected products. When sent to a distributor, the Proposition 65 Warning Letter shall contain at least twenty (20) warning signs as described above and shall indicate that additional signs are available on request. The warnings shall state:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Do not place the product in your mouth. Wash your hands after touching this product.

- (iii) The Proposition 65 Warning Letter, when sent to a distributor, shall include twenty (20) copies of a Retailer Proposition 65 Notice to be forwarded by the distributor to the retailers, along with the warning signs. The Retailer Proposition 65 Notice shall inform the retailer to post the warning signs in front of or next to the Covered Products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected products. The form of the Retailer Proposition 65 Warning Notice shall be approved by the Attorney General.
- (iv) The Proposition 65 Warning Letter shall also contain as an enclosure

a postcard in a form approved by the Attorney General instructing the recipient to sign and return the card to indicate that he/she has received the material and will comply with Proposition 65 by providing the appropriate warnings or by transmitting the material to the retailers and instructing them to provide the appropriate warnings.

- (v) In the event that any Defendant does not receive the signed return postcard within twenty days of mailing, Defendant shall cease shipping any Covered Products to the retailer or distributor until (a) the retailer or distributor subsequently returns the signed postcard to defendant or (b) the Covered Products have a Proposition 65 warning affixed to the label or container as set forth in paragraph (c) below.
- (b) Each Defendant shall repeat the procedure set forth in paragraph (a) above within one year from the original date of mailing and yearly thereafter, except that, any Defendant who properly implements option (c) (label warning) below within 120 days of the date of mailing of warning letters and signs, need not repeat the mailing set forth in paragraph (a) above.
- (c) In addition to complying with paragraph (a) above, Defendants may, at the point of manufacture, or prior to shipment to California or distribution within California, affix to or print on the product container, cap, label, unit package, or (where the product is too small to receive a warning directly on the container) on the display of such Covered Products that are intended for sale in California either of the following warnings:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Do not place the product in your mouth. Wash your hands after

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the label as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other safety warnings on the product container and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the label itself the warning shall be contained in the same section of the label that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the product. The Attorney General agrees to review any labeling proposed to be used under this section and advise any Defendant as to whether he believes such labeling to comply with this section.

(d) The requirement for product labeling, set forth in subparagraph (c) above is imposed pursuant to the terms of this stipulation. The parties recognize that product labeling is not the exclusive method of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.

9. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

9.0 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

10. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

10.0 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

1	11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT
2	11.0 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
3	understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any
4	and prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto.
5	No resentations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained
6	here lave been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically
7	refer to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the
8	parti
9	12. <u>OVERNING LAW</u>
10	.0 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall
11	be go led by the laws of the State of California.
12	IT IS STIPULATED:
13	DATE <u>kingust</u> 1, 1995 KECK, MAHIN & CATE
14	Ω Ω Ω Ω
15	By: J. DENNIS MCOLAD
16	Attorneys for Defendants
17	DATEI Jujust 2,1995 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of
18	the State of California THEODORA BERGER
19	Assistant Attorney General SUSAN S. FIERING
20	Deputy Attorneys General
21	1. Ahren
22	By: SUSAN S. FIERING
23	Attorneys for the People of the State of California
24	IT IS SO PROBRED AND DECREED: STHART & FOLLAR.
25	Dated:PRESIDING JUDGE
26	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT