Pleaders

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California ENDORSED 2 ED WEIL FILED Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALAMEDA COUNTY 3 HARRISON M. POLLAK Deputy Attorney General JAN 0,5 2009 State Bar No. 200879 4 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT TIMOTHY E. SULLIVAN 5 Deputy Attorney General By Haves State Bar No. 197054 Deputy 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor 6 P.O. Box 70550 7 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2183 8 Fax: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Harrison.Pollak@doj.ca.gov 9 ROCKARD J. DELGARDILLO (SBN 125465) Los Angeles City Attorney 10 JEFFREY B. ISAACS (SBN 117104) 11 Chief Assistant City Attorney and Chief, PATTY BILGIN (SBN 164090) Assistant City Attorney 12 ELISE A. RUDEN (SBN 124970) 13 Deputy City Attorney 200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East Los Angeles, California 90012 4131 14 Telephone: (213) 978 8080 15 Fax: (213) 978 8111 Attorneys for Plaintiffs People of the State of 16 California 17 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 18 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 19 20 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF RG07356892 CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDMUND G. 21 BROWN JR., Attorney General, ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE STEVEN A. BRICK 22 Plaintiffs, **DEPARTMENT 17** 23 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ٧. GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE 24 CONSENT JUDGMENTS AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENTS MATTEL, INC., et al., 25 Defendants. December 31, 2008 Date: 26 Time: 10:00 a.m. 17 Dept: 27 Trial Date None Action Filed: November 19, 2007 28

> Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Approve Consent Judgments and Entry of Consent Judgments RG07356892

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL: 1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 31, 2008, the Honorable Steven A. Brick, 2 Judge of the Superior Court, granted the People's Motion for Entry of Consent Judgments as to 3 (1) Defendants Mattel, Inc. and Fisher-Price, Inc., and (2) Defendants A&A Global Industries, 4

Inc., RC2 Corporation, and Toy Investments, Inc. On the same date, the Court entered the consent judgments as to the listed defendants. Copies of the consent judgments are attached to 7 8 this notice as Exhibits 1 and 2.

January 5, 2009

10

11

9

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Respectfully Submitted,

Inc., Amscan, Inc., Cranium, Inc., Eveready Battery Co., Kids II, Inc., Marvel Entertainment,

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California

HARRISON M. POLLAK

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Plaintiffs People of the State of California

OK2007900365 90102376.doc

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
	Attorney General of the State of California	
2	J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ	
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX	
	Senior Assistant Attorney General	
4	EDWARD G. WEIL (SBN 88302)	
_	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
5	TIMOTHY E. SULLIVAN (SBN 197054) HARRISON M. POLLAK (SBN 200879)	ENDORSED FILED
6	Deputy Attorneys General	ALAMEDA COUNTY
_ }	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor	
7	P.O. Box 70550	DEC 3 1 2008
8	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2100	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	Fax: (510) 622-2270	By E. Opelski-Erickson, Deputy
9	E-mail: Harrison.Pollak@doj.ca.gov	Ву Е. Ороюм дина
10	ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO (SBN 125465)	
^	Los Angeles City Attorney	
11	JEFFREY B. ISAACS (SBN 117104)	
12	Chief Assistant City Attorney and Chief,	
12.	PATTY BILGIN (SBN 164090) Assistant City Attorney	
13	ELISE A. RUDEN (SBN 124970)	
	Deputy City Attorney	
14	200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East Los Angeles, California 90012-4131	
15	Telephone: (213) 978-8080	
l	Fax: (213) 978-8111	•
16	C Di CCC Danie estan State of C	olifornia
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs People of the State of C	amoma
	SUPERIOR COUR	T OF CALIFORNIA
18	TOD MITE COLDS	
19	FOR THE COON	TY OF ALAMEDA
ולו		
20	• .	
_,	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. RG07356892
21	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General,, et al.	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PRETRIAL PURPOSES
22	DROWN OR, Attorney Generally, of the	TO: JUDGE STEVEN A. BRICK
	Plaintiffs,	DEPARTMENT 17
23	v.	STIPULATED [MODIFIED PROPOSED]
24	MATTEL, INC., et al.	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MATTEL,
	17124 1 1 1014 10 1014	INC. AND FISHER-PRICE, INC.
25	Defendants.	ALTO: ZALTAY A'ADARAMAN A ANA WANG ANT WIT
26		
20		Complaint filed: November 19, 2007 Trial date: None
27		Trial date: None
	1	J
28	/	
ĺ	/	1

[Modified Proposed] Consent Judgment 2609456 Case No. RG07356892

This Stipulated Consent Judgment (the "Consent Judgment") is between Plaintiffs, the People of the State of California, ex rel. Edmund G. Brown Jr., California Attorney General ("Attorney General") and the Los Angeles City Attorney (collectively, "People"), and Defendants, Mattel, Inc. and Fisher-Price, Inc. (collectively, "Mattel").

Whereas, Mattel identified in 2007 that portions of some toys made by or for Mattel contained lead in excess of applicable U.S. federal and/or state standards and voluntarily recalled and/or acted affirmatively to encourage consumers to return the Recalled Toys; ¹

Whereas, Mattel voluntarily and forthrightly identified the need to recall or withdraw the Recalled Toys, has sought to promote toy safety generally, and has operated in good faith and in the best interests of the consuming public;

Whereas, Mattel acted quickly and voluntarily to develop and adopt additional quality control measures designed to minimize the risk that Covered Products would be sold in the future with Impermissible Lead, and to further enhance toy safety;

Whereas, Mattel has cooperated fully with the People in their investigation and settlement of this matter, in the interest of the consuming public;

THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate as follows:

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 On November 19, 2007, following the receipt of several sixty-day notices of intent to file suit on behalf of the general public pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), the People filed their complaint, captioned *People of the State of California v. Mattel, Inc. et al.*, RG07356892 in the Alameda County Superior Court. The People allege that the defendants violated the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. ("Unfair Competition Law"), by exposing California consumers to lead through the manufacture, distribution and sale of toys made of materials that contain lead or lead compounds, without first providing "clear and

The Recalled Toys are defined at subsection 2.14. (See Section 2.0 for other defined terms.)

 reasonable" warnings. Lead and lead compounds are listed under Proposition 65 as "chemical[s] known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

- 1.2 For purposes of the Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that (a) Mattel employs more than 10 persons, and has employed ten or more persons at some time relevant to the allegations of the Complaint, (b) the Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, (c) the Court has personal jurisdiction over Mattel for the purposes of enforcing the terms of the Consent Judgment, (d) venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and (d) the Court has jurisdiction to enter the Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.
- 1.3 Mattel agrees not to challenge or object to entry of the Consent Judgment by the Court unless the People have notified Mattel in writing that the People no longer support entry of the Consent Judgment or that the People seek to modify or support modification of the Consent Judgment, in which case Mattel may, at its option, withdraw from the Consent Judgment. Mattel agrees not to challenge the Court's jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment once it has been entered.
- 1.4 Mattel disputes the allegations of the Complaint, and contends that Mattel's conduct and all Mattel products sold in California have complied with and comply with all applicable State laws, including Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Law. However, the Parties enter into the Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation, and to resolve all claims arising from the facts alleged in the Complaint. By execution of the Consent Judgment, Mattel does not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, including, but not limited to, any violations of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Law or any other statutory, regulatory, common law or equitable requirements. Neither the Consent Judgment, nor the Parties' compliance with the Consent Judgment, shall be construed as an admission by Mattel of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law.
- 1.5 Except as explicitly set forth herein, nothing in the Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or

any other pending or future legal and/or administrative proceedings; nor shall anything in the Consent Judgment preclude the Parties from opposing any such defense, claim, or argument.

2.0 DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 For Children's Products manufactured before February 10, 2009, "Accessible" shall mean a material that is physically exposed to a child at the time of purchase or that will become physically exposed to a child through normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of the Covered Product as determined pursuant to ASTM F963-07, and only to the extent use and abuse is specified by ASTM F 963-07.
- "Accessible" shall have the same meaning as set forth in Section 2.1 above, provided that any material that is not or does not become physically exposed to a child through normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of a children's product, as use and abuse is specified by ASTM F963-07, solely by reason of paint, electroplating, or other surface coating, shall also be deemed "Accessible." The Parties further agree that, in the event that the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC") by final rule, guidance rule, exclusion, or exception pursuant to Section 101(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 ("CPSIA") determines that the Federal Lead Standards apply to any material that is not "Accessible" under the definition in the immediately preceding sentences, then any such material shall also be deemed "Accessible" under the Consent Judgment. The foregoing definition of "Accessible" was adopted solely for purposes of the Consent Judgment and shall not affect the ability of the People to argue in any other context that materials that are not "Accessible" under the Consent Judgment nonetheless are or ought to be subject to the Federal Lead Standards.
- 2.3 "Children's Product" has the same meaning as that given in Section 3(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA"), 15 U.S.C. 2052 (a), and is manufactured by Mattel or for Mattel by a Vendor and is sold or offered for sale to consumers in California.
 - 2.4 "Court" shall mean the Alameda County Superior Court.

G Consent

- 2.5 "Covered Product" shall mean a finished Children's Product that is manufactured by Mattel or for Mattel by a Vendor on or after the Effective Date, and is sold or offered for sale to consumers in California.
- 2.6 "Effective Date" shall mean November 30, 2008, provided that the Parties to this agreement have executed it at or prior to that time.
- 2.7 "Federal Lead Standards" shall mean any standards set or promulgated, before or after the Effective Date, by the CPSIA or by the Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "CPSC") relating to the maximum permissible levels of lead in Substrates and Surface Coatings, including the products or components to which the standards apply and any exemptions from the application of those standards.
- 2.8 "Government Disclosure Restrictions" shall mean all U.S. federal and foreign government restrictions or requirements existing before or after the Effective Date, including but not limited to CPSC reporting, disclosure and publication obligations, instructions or practices, that prohibit or restrict the publication or disclosure or the timing of the publication or disclosure of information by Mattel. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as preventing Mattel from arguing that Mattel is prohibited from disclosing information, and nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed to restrict any power of the People or Mattel to seek, through court or administrative process, any information from the other Party, subject to whatever defenses that other Party may otherwise have.
 - 2.9 "Impermissible Lead" shall mean lead in excess of the Lead Standards.
- 2.10 "Lead Standards" shall mean the standards contained in Section 3.1 of the Consent Judgment that set the maximum permissible levels of lead in Substrates and Surface Coatings used on or in an Accessible part of a finished Covered Product. For Children's Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date, and are therefore not Covered Products, Lead Standards shall mean those Federal Lead Standards that were in effect at the time of manufacture.

- 2.11 "Mattel" shall mean Mattel, Inc. and Fisher Price, Inc. and all of their United

 States and foreign subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, parents, and assigns that manufacture,
 distribute, market, donate, offer for sale, and/or sell Covered Products.
 - 2.12 "Parties" shall mean Mattel and the People.
- 2.13 "Quality Assurance System" shall mean the totality of Mattel's quality assurance procedures, including but not limited to inspection, auditing and/or testing procedures, designed as a system, even where individual tests or procedures may fail, to identify Impermissible Lead and to prevent the sale of Covered Products with Impermissible Lead in California.
- 2.14 "Recalled Toys" shall mean those products made by or for Mattel that Mattel withdrew from sale or recalled in the United States due to the potential presence of lead in excess of applicable standards, on or after August 1, 2007, and prior to the Effective Date.
- 2.15 "Substrates" shall mean any Accessible materials used in finished Covered Products that are not Surface Coatings.
- 2.16 "Surface Coatings" shall mean those Accessible paints and other similar surface coating materials used on finished Children's Products as defined and limited by 16 C.F.R. § 1303.2(b)(1).
- 2.17 "Toy Testing and Outreach Fund" shall mean a fund established within the California-based Public Health Institute and administered by the Public Health Trust, a project of the Public Health Institute, for the purposes of monitoring compliance with limitations on lead in children's products in California, and identifying and implementing outreach measures with respect to recalls of children's products, including reasonable efforts to communicate information about such recalls to consumers who do not have internet access and/or who do not speak English.
- 2.18 "Vendor" shall mean a third party that manufactures for Mattel finished Covered Products sold at wholesale by Mattel.

9,9

24

25

26

27

28

COMPLIANCE PROVISION / PERMANENT INJUNCTION 3.0

COMPLIANCE WITH LEAD STANDARDS: COVERED PRODUCTS 3.1

Mattel shall not manufacture, distribute, donate, offer for sale or sell in California, Covered Products with a concentration of lead in or on Accessible parts in excess of the following standards.

- 3.1.1 For each Accessible Surface Coating on a finished Covered Product, 90 parts per million (ppm) total lead for finished Covered Products manufactured after the Effective Date.
 - 3.1.2 For each Accessible Substrate in or on a finished Covered Product:
 - 3.1.2.1 300 ppm total lead for finished Covered Products manufactured after the Effective Date; and
 - 3.1.2.2 100 ppm total lead for finished Covered Products manufactured on or after August 14, 2011, unless the CPSC determines that a standard of 100 ppm total lead for finished Covered Products is not technologically feasible, in which case Mattel shall be obligated to comply with the standard established by the CPSC.
 - 3.1.2.3 The Lead Standards shall not apply to electronic components or electronic accessories that are not Accessible "small objects" as described in ASTM F 963-07 § 4.6.1. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, if the CPSC (i) issues requirements to eliminate or minimize the potential for exposure to and accessibility of lead in electronic devices, (ii) establishes a schedule by which such electronic devices shall be in full compliance with the limits described in this subsection, and/or (iii) determines that full compliance will not be technologically feasible, pursuant to Section 101(b)(4) of the CPSIA, and such requirements, schedules or determinations are in effect, then the CPSC rules, exceptions or exclusions pertaining to electronic components or electronic accessories shall be considered Lead Standards under the Consent Judgment. In the event that CPSC does not act pursuant to Section 101(b)(4) of the CPSIA before the third

anniversary of the Effective Date, the exception provided by the first sentence of this subsection shall be deemed to expire at that time.

3.1.2.4 The Lead Standards shall not apply to any Children's Product or constituent components or materials that the CPSC excludes pursuant to Section 101(b) of the CPSIA from the application of Federal Lead Standards.

3.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM

3.2.1 Mattel shall implement a Quality Assurance System that is designed to identify and to segregate Covered Products that contain Impermissible Lead during and subsequent to the manufacturing process in order to prevent distributing, donating, offering for sale or selling Covered Products containing Impermissible Lead in California. Mattel's commitments under this Section 3.2, including with respect to its Quality Assurance System and with respect to Vendors, shall apply only to Covered Products manufactured by Mattel or for Mattel by Vendors. It is expressly understood that individual tests or procedures may be modified, changed or revised by Mattel over time and that no claim can or will be made that this Section of the Consent Judgment has been violated: (i) absent a substantial failure to implement a Quality Assurance System, or (ii) because a specific test or procedure is not followed or performed, as long as the modified Quality Assurance System is designed to perform the same function as described herein.

3.3 RECALLS

- 3.3.1 Mattel shall provide to the Attorney General the information it provides in any written reports to the CPSC concerning any recall of Covered Products because of lead content, as soon as possible, once any such recall is approved and announced by the CPSC, as permitted by and consistent with Government Disclosure Restrictions, and any and all follow-up reports, including information contained in its progress reports on the efficacy of product recalls, subject to confidentiality as permitted by law.
- 3.3.2 Mattel shall provide direct notice of a recall because of lead content involving Covered Products to all consumers of the affected Covered Product for whom

9

6

10

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 Mattel possesses address or e-mail information. The notice shall include, at a minimum, information that is equivalent to the information in the recall notice approved by the CPSC.

3.4 INTERIM MEASURES FOR CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS THAT ARE NOT COVERED PRODUCTS

If the Attorney General or a member of Mattel's U.S. product integrity group obtains reliable information that a Children's Product manufactured before the Effective Date by Mattel or by a Vendor for Mattel that has been offered for sale by a Mattel-authorized retailer in California after the Effective Date and prior to February 10, 2009 contains more than: (A) 600 ppm lead: (i) in or on an Accessible Surface Coating, or (ii) in or on an Accessible Substrate that is plastic, rubber or polyvinyl chloride ("PVC"), or (B) more than 90 ppm of soluble lead in leachate from unplated metal components which are "small objects" and are accessible to a child following assembly as tested and determined pursuant to EN-71, then upon notice thereof. Mattel shall immediately investigate, and upon confirmation but in no event longer than 10 business days, shall: (a) stop distributing the Children's Product(s) for sale in California, (b) promptly notify customers selling in California of the potentially non-conforming Children's Product(s), and (c) within three business days, inform the Attorney General of what action or actions it has taken and will take to investigate and, if applicable, to prevent the Children' Product from being sold by Mattel in California, including which customers it has notified and/or will notify. The use of the word "obtains" in the preceding sentence shall not be deemed to create or impose any affirmative duty or obligation to seek out any Children's Products that are subject to this paragraph. This Section 3.4 does not apply to electronic components or electronic accessories that are not Accessible "small objects" as described in ASTM F 963-07 § 4.6.1. This Section 3.4 shall also not be applicable if, after meeting and conferring, Mattel and the Attorney General agree that any lead exposure arising from a Children's Product otherwise subject to this Section is less than 0.5 micrograms per day based on an assessment conducted pursuant to Section 25821 of Proposition 65's regulations. In the event Mattel undertakes a recall pursuant to CPSC regulations, it shall be deemed to satisfy Mattel's

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

obligations under this Section 3.4. The timing and content of any disclosures of information required under this Section shall be subject to any Government Disclosure Restrictions.

FUTURE ENFORCEMENT 4.0

4.1 GENERAL ENFORCEMENT FRAMEWORK

Under the circumstances set forth herein, and after having provided Mattel with at least fifteen (15) business days written notice during which the Parties will meet and confer, and, on request, at least fifteen (15) additional business days in the event Mattel wishes to exercise subsection 4.1.1, the People may enforce violations of the Consent Judgment or enforce violations of applicable State law regarding the presence of lead or lead compounds in a Children's Product by application to a court of competent jurisdiction for appropriate relief.

- 4.1.1 The People may elect to enforce a violation of applicable State law regarding the presence of lead or lead compounds in a Children's Product, or the Lead Standards in the Consent Judgment, but not both, in accordance with either Sections 4.1 or 4.2. However, if Mattel demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Attorney General, which satisfaction shall not unreasonably be withheld, within thirty (30) days following receipt of notice pursuant to Section 4.1 that any lead exposure arising from a Children's Product is less than 0.5 micrograms per day based on an assessment conducted by a qualified expert pursuant to Section 25821 of the Proposition 65 regulations, then the Attorney General, if he elects to pursue enforcement of the alleged violation at issue, shall do so pursuant to Section 4.2, below; provided that if the Attorney General obtains the opinion of a qualified expert that refutes the assessment conducted by Mattel's expert, which opinion the Attorney General shall make available to Mattel, the Attorney General may proceed pursuant to Section 4.1.
- 4.1.2 Wherever the alleged violation asserted under Section 4.1 or 4.2 concerns a violation of the Lead Standards, then the notice shall include information sufficient to identify the Children's Product at issue, including, at a minimum, if available, its stock keeping unit number and date code. Mattel shall be permitted to inspect the Children's Product at issue upon request.

4.2 NOTICES OF VIOLATION AND ELECTION; STIPULATED PENALTIES

- 4.2.1 Notice of Violation: Within 60 days after the Attorney General learns facts providing a reasonable basis to conclude that a Children's Product that contains Impermissible Lead was sold in California, the Attorney General shall provide Mattel written notice of the alleged violation ("Notice of Violation"). If the Attorney General has information about the alleged violation that is not public or that Mattel does not already have in its possession, including test results, the Notice of Violation shall include such information, except any evidence that has been submitted in support of a certificate of merit pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) need not be provided. In any event, the Attorney General shall make available to Mattel for inspection and copying, upon its request, all information in the possession of the Attorney General pertaining to the alleged violation that is not privileged or subject to confidentiality under State law.
- 4.2.2 <u>Notice of Election</u>: Within 15 business days after Mattel receives the Notice of Violation, and all materials in the possession of the Attorney General relevant to the alleged violation as set forth in subsection 4.2.1, Mattel shall provide written notice to the Attorney General whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in the Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election").
- 4.2.3 Contents of Notice of Election Not to Contest: If Mattel does not contest the allegations in the Notice of Violation, then the Notice of Election shall include: (i) a description of the Quality Assurance System that was in place to prevent the violation from occurring and the corrective action that Mattel has undertaken or proposes to undertake pursuant to subsection 4.2.5; (ii) the name and contact information of the facility or facilities where the Children's Product was manufactured; and (iii) an explanation of why the violation occurred. Within 10 business days after sending the Notice of Election, and if Mattel does not contest the violation, Mattel shall make the payment required under subsection 4.2.7.

4.2.3.1 Mattel may also send the Attorney General a Notice of Election under subsection 4.2.3 in response to a 60-day notice of violation pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1) where the Attorney General has not issued a Notice of Violation, provided that (a) Mattel waits at least 45 days after receipt of the 60-day notice, (b) the Attorney General has not provided notice of the same, or a substantially similar violation, under Section 4.1 or Section 4.2.1, and (c) Mattel serves a copy of the Notice of Election on the person that sent the 60-day notice.

4.2.4 Contents of Notice of Election to Contest: If Mattel contests the Notice of Violation, then the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence in Mattel's possession regarding the alleged violation, including all test data, if any, as permitted by and consistent with Government Disclosure Restrictions. Within 15 business days after serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Mattel and the Attorney General shall meet and confer in good faith to attempt to resolve the dispute. At the conclusion of the meet and confer, one or more of the following may take place: (i) the Attorney General may withdraw the Notice of Violation; (ii) Mattel may issue an amended Notice of Election that does not contest the violations, pursuant to subsections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3, above; or (iii) Mattel may continue to contest the Notice of Violation.

4.2.5 Action Upon Election: Upon election by Mattel not to contest the Notice of Violation, Mattel shall: (i) take corrective action designed to encourage the removal of the Children's Product from sale in California; (ii) if there is no recall in conjunction with the CPSC, inform consumers in California that they may return the affected products for a full refund, replacement toy, repair and/or voucher for replacement toys, at Mattel's option; and (iii) pay to the Attorney General within ten (10) business days the stipulated payments specified in subsection 4.2.7. A Notice of Election that does not contest an alleged violation of the Consent Judgment or of applicable State law shall be considered an offer of compromise under California Evidence Code § 1152 and Federal Rule of

Evidence 408 and shall not otherwise constitute an admission of any fact or issue by Mattel. Such Notice of Election shall also not be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose other than a proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of the Consent Judgment.

- 4.2.6 Upon election by Mattel to contest the Notice of Violation, the People may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in the Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, the People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment and Mattel shall retain the right to present all evidence, arguments, and defenses concerning compliance with the Consent Judgment that it wishes to raise to the Court.
- Violation under subsection 4.2.4 and maintains that election following the process set forth in subsection 4.2.4, then it shall tender payment as further specified in Section 5.2 below, the following stipulated payments: \$20,000 for the first occurrence, \$35,000 for the second occurrence within six months, and \$50,000 for the third or subsequent occurrence within a year. For purposes of this Section, an "Occurrence" shall refer to an event with a duration of less than three weeks at a Mattel or Vendor facility that has resulted in Impermissible Lead in finished Children's Products. Mattel's liability under subsection 4.2.7 of the Consent Judgment for manufacturing, distributing, selling, or offering for sale in California a Children's Product containing Impermissible Lead shall be limited such that Mattel shall be liable for no more than one required payment for each Occurrence that results in Children's Products containing Impermissible Lead being distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California regardless of the number of retailers to whom such Children's Products have been distributed.
- 4.2.8 After Mattel has served a Notice of Election on the Attorney General as provided in this Consent Judgment, compliance by Mattel with subsection 4.2.5 and payment by Mattel pursuant subsection 4.2.7 shall be a full, final and binding resolution

Modified Proposed] Consent Judgment

 of the alleged violation at issue and shall render the alleged violation a Covered Claim under and as defined in Section 6.

4.2.9 A Notice of Election that does not contest an alleged violation of Section 3.1 shall be considered an offer of compromise under California Evidence Code § 1152 and Federal Rule of Evidence 408, and shall not constitute an admission of any fact or issue by Mattel. Such Notice of Election shall not be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose other than a proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment.

4.3 RESERVATIONS REGARDING FUTURE ENFORCEMENT

Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall restrict the Attorney General from exercising his enforcement authority under the CPSIA with respect to future violations of Federal Lead Standards. In addition, except as provided in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, nothing in the Consent Judgment waives an authorized public prosecutor's right to take future enforcement action regarding any violations of applicable State law regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds in Covered Products not covered by the Complaint or addressed by Section 6 of the Consent Judgment, and to seek in such actions whatever fines, costs, attorneys' fees, penalties or remedies are provided by law. The rights of Mattel to defend itself and its actions in law or equity shall not be abrogated or reduced in any fashion by the terms of this Section. Mattel shall be entitled to raise any and all applicable defenses, arising in law or equity, except that Mattel shall not contest its obligation to comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment as long as the Consent Judgment remains in effect. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as diminishing Mattel's continuing obligation to comply with Proposition 65 or the UCL in its future activities, to the extent these statutes are applicable.

5.0 PAYMENTS

5.1 <u>Settlement Payment.</u> The total settlement amount shall be \$1,000,000. Mattel shall pay \$100,000 on or before December 5, 2008. Mattel shall pay the remaining \$900,000 within ten business days of the date of entry of judgment, or by January 15, 2009, whichever is later. The payment shall be allocated as follows:

4_

- 5.1.1 \$300,000 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section § 25249.7, subdivision (b)(1). The 25 percent portion of the civil penalty allocated pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivision (d), shall be divided as follows: \$50,000 to the Attorney General, and \$25,000 to the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office.
- 5.1.2 \$300,000 to the Public Health Institute, including the entirety of the \$100,000 payment made on or before December 5, 2008. These funds, and any interest on the funds, shall be placed in the Toy Testing and Outreach Fund, and administered by the Public Health Trust in a manner consistent with Section 2.17 of this Consent Judgment
- 5.1.3 \$150,000 to the Attorney General to be used for the enforcement of Proposition 65.
- 5.1.4 \$100,000 to the Los Angeles City Attorney to be used for the enforcement of Proposition 65.
- 5.1.5 \$150,000 to reimburse the Attorney General's attorney's fees and costs incurred in investigating, bringing, and resolving the case against Mattel.
- 5.2 <u>Stipulated Payments.</u> Any Stipulated payments pursuant to subsections 4.2.5 and 4.2.7 shall be paid within ten (10) business days after Mattel's election not to contest the Notice of Violations, and shall be allocated as follows:
 - 5.2.1 25 percent shall be a civil penalty, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7, subdivision (b)(1).
 - 5.2.2 75 percent shall be placed in the Toy Testing and Outreach Fund.
- 5.3 Payments to the Attorney General shall be made by check payable to "Office of the California Attorney General," and sent by certified or express mail to:

Robert Thomas Legal Analyst Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay St., 20th Floor Post Office Box 70559 Oakland, California 94612

GO Consent Jude

 5.4 Payments to the Los Angeles City Attorney shall be made by check payable to the Los Angeles City Attorney, and shall be sent to:

Patricia Bilgin Supervising Attorney, Environmental Justice Unit 200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East Los Angeles, CA 90012

5.5 Funds paid to the Attorney General pursuant to subsections 5.1.3 or 5.1.5 shall be placed in an interest-bearing Special Deposit Fund established by the Attorney General. Those funds, including any interest derived therefrom, shall be used by the Attorney General, until all funds are exhausted, for the costs and expenses associated with the enforcement and implementation of Proposition 65, including investigations, enforcement actions, other litigation or activities as determined by the Attorney General to be reasonably necessary to carry out his duties and authority under Proposition 65. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, travel, purchase of written materials, laboratory testing, sample collection or any other cost associated with the Attorney General's duties or authority under Proposition 65. Funding placed in the Special Deposit Fund pursuant to this Section, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget.

6.0 COVERED CLAIMS

The Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between the People and Mattel and Mattel's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, partners, sister companies, employees, shareholders, directors, insurers, licensors, and attorneys and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they have distributed or sold Children's Products manufactured by or for Mattel, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65, the UCL, CPSA, Federal Hazardous Substances Act, or any other statutory or common law claims that have been

This Consent Judgment does not create or give rise to any private right of action of any kind.

7.0 PRESERVATION OF INDUSTRY COMPETITIVENESS.

In the event the People enter into an agreement or consent judgment with any other person manufacturing Children's Products addressing alleged violations of Proposition 65 or the UCL with respect to lead and Children's Products that provides for less stringent standards than the Lead Standards set forth in Section 3.1, above, eliminating or curtailing the Quality Assurance System related-requirements set forth in Section 3.2, eliminating or changing the criteria governing the Interim Measures requirements set forth in Section 3.4, or providing for a lower level of stipulated payments than those set forth in subsection 4.2.7, then the Consent Judgment shall be deemed to have been amended to provide Mattel with the option of exercising those provisions rather than those specified herein. Mattel shall provide the Attorney General with prior written notice of any election made pursuant to this Section.

or could have been asserted in the public interest or by or on behalf of the people of the State of

regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds in Children's Products manufactured by or

for Mattel prior to the Effective Date, or the failure to warn about exposure to, lead or lead

compounds, in Children's Products manufactured by or for Mattel prior to the Effective Date

("Covered Claim"). Compliance with the Lead Standards in the Consent Judgment by Mattel

after the Effective Date constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 and the UCL by Mattel,

lead compounds in Covered Products manufactured by or for Mattel, and the failure to warn

Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees regarding the presence of lead and

about exposure to, lead or lead compounds, in Covered Products manufactured by or for Mattel.

California against Mattel, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees.

8.0 GOVERNMENT DISCLOSURE RESTRICTIONS

Mattel shall immediately notify the Attorney General if, due to a Government Disclosure Restriction, Mattel is unable to publish or disclose any information otherwise required under the Consent Judgment, and at that time Mattel shall specify the Government, Government Entity, and/or Government Disclosure Restriction(s) that Mattel believes prevents the disclosure.

[Modified Proposed] Consent Judgment

9.0 REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

- 9.1 The Parties represent that they are the proper Parties to the Consent Judgment.

 Mattel warrants and represents that the individuals signing the Consent Judgment on its behalf do so in their official capacities and are fully authorized by Mattel to enter into the Consent Judgment and to legally bind Mattel to all of the terms and conditions of the Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 The Consent Judgment contains the complete agreement between the Parties. No promises, representations, or warranties other than those set forth in the Consent Judgment have been made by any Party.

10.0 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 10.1 The terms of the Consent Judgment will be governed by California law.
- 10.2 Any headings or subheadings used herein are for reference purposes only and do not affect the substantive provisions of the Consent Judgment.
- 10.3 The failure of any Party to exercise any rights under the Consent Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver of any right or future rights. If any part of the Consent Judgment shall for any reason be found or held invalid or unenforceable by any Court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect the remainder of the Consent Judgment, which shall survive and be construed as if such invalid or unenforceable part had not been contained herein.
- agreement of the parties or for good cause shown, including, but not limited to, repeated substantial violations by Mattel of this Consent Judgment. After making a good faith effort to obtain the concurrence of the other party for the requested relief, which concurrence shall not be unreasonably withheld, the Party seeking modification or termination may petition the Court for such relief. In addition to the above, the Consent Judgment shall be terminable by Mattel or the Attorney General at any time following the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date, upon the provision of thirty (30) days advanced written notice; such termination shall be effective upon the subsequent filing of a notice of termination with Superior Court of Alameda County.

[Modified Proposed] Consent Judgment

Case No. RG07356892

10.5 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

- 10.6 It is the mutual intent of the Parties to seek and to obtain Court approval of this Consent Judgment without undue delay.
- 10.7 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
- 10.8 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of electronic transmission, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

11.0 SERVICE OF NOTICE AND PROCESS

Service of notices and process required by the Consent Judgment or its enforcement shall be served on the following persons, or any person subsequently designated by the Parties:

For the Attorney General:

Harrison M. Pollak, Deputy Attorney General Office of the California Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 622-2183 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270

E-Mail: harrison.pollak@doj.ca.gov

1	For the Los Angeles City Attorney:
2	Patricia Bilgin, Supervising Attorney, Environmental Justice Unit 200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East
3	Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 978-8080
4	Facsimile: (213) 978-8111 E-Mail: patty.bilgin@lacity.org
5	For Mattel, Inc. and Fisher-Price, Inc.:
6	Lee Papageorge
7	Expert Litigation Counsel Law Department
8	Mattel, Înc. Mail Stop: M1-1518
9	333 Continental Boulevard El Segundo, CA 90245-5012
10	Telephone: (310) 252-4067 Facsimile: (310) 252-4991
11	E-Mail: lee.papageorge@mattel.com
12	and .
13	Robert L. Falk Morrison & Foerster LLP
14	425 Market Street, 32 nd floor San Francisco, CA 94105
15	Telephone: (415) 268-6294 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522
16	E-Mail: <u>Rfalk@mofo.com</u>
17	
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
19	
20	Dated: /2/30/08 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL
21	
22	Ву:
23	EDWARD G. WEIL Supervising Deputy Attorney General
24	Supply values and the second s
25	
26	
27	
28	

[Medified Proposed] Consent Judgment 2609456 Case No. RG07356892

1 2 3 4 5	Dated: 12/30/08	By: PATRICIA BILGIN Supervising Attorney, Environmental Justice Unit
7 8 9 10 11	Dated: <u>/2-22-08</u>	By: Robert Normile Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
13 14 15 16	IT IS SO ORDERED. DEC 3 1 2008	By Honorable Steven A. Brick
17	,	hidge of the Superior Court
17 18 19 20 21		Judge of the Superior Court
18 19		Judge of the Superior Court
18 19 20 21 22 23		Judge of the Superior Court

[Modified Proposed] Consent Judgment 2609456

	4		
I	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of the State of California J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ		
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX		
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General EDWARD G. WEIL (SBN 88302)		
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY E. SULLIVAN (SBN 197054)		
6	HARRISON M. POLLAK (SBN 200879) Deputy Attorneys General	ENDORSED	
7	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550	ALAMEDA COUNTY	
8	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2100	DEC 3 1 2008	
9 .	Fax: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Harrison.Pollak@doj.ca.gov	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
10	ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO (SBN 12546	DV F Onelski Eriokana p	
11	Los Angeles City Attorney JEFFREY B. ISAACS (SBN 117104) Chief Assistant City Attorney and Chief,		
12	PATTY BILGIN (SBN 164090)		
13	Assistant City Attorney ELISE A. RUDEN (SBN 124970)		
14	Deputy City Attorneys 200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East		
15	Los Angeles, California 90012-4131 Telephone: (213) 978-8080 Fax: (213) 978-8111		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs People of the State of	of California	
17			
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
19	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. RG07356892	
20	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General, et al.	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PRETRIAL PURPOSES	
21	Plaintiffs,	TO: JUDGE STEVEN A. BRICK DEPARTMENT 17	_
22	V.	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] CONSENT	2
23	MATTEL, INC., et al.	JUDGMENT AS TO A & A GLOBAL	
24		INDUSTRIES, INC.; AMSCAN, INC.; CRANIUM INC.; EVEREADY BATTERY CO.;	
25	Defendants	KIDS II, INC.; MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; RC2 CORPORATION; and TOY	
26		INVESTMENTS, INC.	
27		Complaint filed: November 19, 2007 Trial date: None	
28			
	1 (A) /	- 1	

Case No. RG07356892

This Stipulated Consent Judgment (the "Consent Judgment") is between Plaintiffs, the People of the State of California, ex rel. Edmund G. Brown Jr., California Attorney General "Attorney General") and A&A Global Industries, Inc., Amscan, Inc., Cranium, Inc., Eveready Battery Co., Kids II, Inc., Marvel Entertainment, Inc., RC2 Corporation, and Toy Investments, Inc. ("Manufacturer Defendant(s)").

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On November 19, 2007, following the receipt of several sixty-day notices of intent to file suit on behalf of the general public pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), the People filed their complaint, captioned *People of the State of California v. Mattel, Inc. et al.*, RG07356892 in the Alameda County Superior Court. The People allege that the defendants violated the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. ("Unfair Competition Law"), by exposing California consumers to lead through the manufacture, distribution and sale of toys made of materials that contain lead or lead compounds, without first providing "clear and reasonable" warnings. Lead and lead compounds are listed under Proposition 65 as "chemical[s] known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."
- 1.2 For purposes of the Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that (a) each Manufacturer Defendant employs more than 10 persons, and has employed ten or more persons at some time relevant to the allegations of the Complaint, (b) the Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, (c) the Court has personal jurisdiction over the Manufacturer Defendants for the purposes of enforcing the terms of the Consent Judgment, (d) venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and (d) the Court has jurisdiction to enter the Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.
- 1.3 The Manufacturer Defendants agree not to challenge or object to entry of the Consent Judgment by the Court unless the People have notified the Manufacturer Defendants in writing that the People no longer support entry of the Consent Judgment or that the People seek

to modify or support modification of the Consent Judgment, in which case the Manufacturer Defendants may, at their option, withdraw from the Consent Judgment. The Manufacturer Defendants agree not to challenge the Court's jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment once it has been entered.

- 1.4 The Manufacturer Defendants dispute the allegations of the Complaint, and contends that the Manufacturer Defendants' conduct and all of their products sold in California have complied with and comply with all applicable State laws, including Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Law. However, the Parties enter into the Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation, and to resolve all claims arising from the facts alleged in the Complaint. By execution of the Consent Judgment, the Manufacturer Defendants do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, including, but not limited to, any violations of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Law or any other statutory, regulatory, common law or equitable requirements. Neither the Consent Judgment, nor the Parties' compliance with the Consent Judgment, shall be construed as an admission by the Manufacturer Defendants of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law.
- 1.5 Except as explicitly set forth herein, nothing in the Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal and/or administrative proceedings; nor shall anything in the Consent Judgment preclude the Parties from opposing any such defense, claim, or argument.

2.0 **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 For Children's Products manufactured before February 10, 2009, "Accessible" shall mean a material that is physically exposed to a child at the time of purchase or that will become physically exposed to a child through normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of the Covered Product as determined pursuant to ASTM F963-07, and only to the extent use and abuse is specified by ASTM F 963-07.
- 2.2 For Children's Products manufactured on or after February 10, 2009,"Accessible" shall have the same meaning as set forth in Section 2.1 above, provided that any

material that is not or does not become physically exposed to a child through normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of a children's product, as use and abuse is specified by ASTM F963-07, solely by reason of paint, electroplating, or other surface coating, shall also be deemed "Accessible." The Parties further agree that, in the event that the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC") by final rule, guidance rule, exclusion, or exception pursuant to Section 101(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 ("CPSIA") determines that the Federal Lead Standards apply to any material that is not "Accessible" under the definition in the immediately preceding sentences, then any such material shall also be deemed "Accessible" under the Consent Judgment. The foregoing definition of "Accessible" was adopted solely for purposes of the Consent Judgment and shall not affect the ability of the People to argue in any other context that materials that are not "Accessible" under the Consent Judgment nonetheless are or ought to be subject to the Federal Lead Standards.

- 2.3 "Children's Product" has the same meaning as that given in Section 3(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA"), 15 U.S.C. 2052 (a) and is manufactured by a Manufacturer Defendant or for a Manufacturer Defendant by a Vendor and is sold or offered for sale to consumers in California.
 - 2.4 "Court" shall mean the Alameda County Superior Court.
- 2.5 "Covered Product" shall mean a finished Children's Product that is manufactured by a Manufacturer Defendant or for a Manufacturer Defendant by a Vendor on or after the Effective Date, is sold or offered for sale to consumers in California, and is designed or intended primarily for use by a child when the child plays.
- 2.6 "Effective Date" shall mean November 30, 2008, provided that the Parties to this agreement have executed it.
- 2.7 "Federal Lead Standards" shall mean any standards set or promulgated, before or after the Effective Date, by the CPSIA or by the Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "CPSC") relating to the maximum permissible levels of lead in Substrates and Surface Coatings, including the products or components to which the standards apply and any exemptions from the application of those standards.

- 2.8 "Government Disclosure Restrictions" shall mean all U.S. federal and foreign government restrictions or requirements existing before or after the Effective Date, including but not limited to CPSC reporting, disclosure and publication obligations, instructions or practices, that prohibit or restrict the publication or disclosure or the timing of the publication or disclosure of information by a Manufacturer Defendant. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as preventing a Manufacturer Defendant from arguing that it is prohibited from disclosing information, and nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed to restrict any power of the People or of any Manufacturer Defendant to seek, through court or administrative process, any information from the other Party, subject to whatever defenses that other Party may otherwise have.
 - 2.9 "Impermissible Lead" shall mean lead in excess of the Lead Standards.
- 2.10 "Lead Standards" shall mean the standards contained in Section 3.1 of the Consent Judgment that set the maximum permissible levels of lead in Substrates and Surface Coatings used on or in an Accessible part of a finished Covered Product. For Children's Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date, and are therefore not Covered Products, Lead Standards shall mean those Federal Lead Standards that were in effect at the time of manufacture.
 - 2.11 "Parties" shall mean the Manufacturer Defendants and the People.
- 2.12 "Quality Assurance System" shall mean the totality of a Manufacturer

 Defendant's quality assurance procedures, including but not limited to inspection, auditing and/or testing procedures, designed as a system, even where individual tests or procedures may fail, to identify Impermissible Lead and to prevent the sale of Covered Products with Impermissible Lead in California.
- 2.13 "Substrates" shall mean any Accessible materials used in finished Covered Products that are not Surface Coatings.
- 2.14 "Surface Coatings" shall mean those Accessible paints and other similar surface coating materials used on finished Children's Products as defined and limited by 16 C.F.R. § 1303.2(b)(1).

 2.15 "Toy Testing and Outreach Fund" shall mean a fund established within the California-based Public Health Institute and administered by the Public Health Trust, a project of the Public Health Institute, for the purposes of monitoring compliance with limitations on lead in children's products in California, and identifying and implementing outreach measures with respect to recalls of children's products, including reasonable efforts to communicate information about such recalls to consumers who do not have internet access and/or who do not speak English.

2.16 "Vendor" shall mean a third party that manufactures for a Manufacturer Defendant finished Covered Products sold at wholesale by the Manufacturer Defendant.

3.0 COMPLIANCE PROVISION / PERMANENT INJUNCTIONS

3.1 COMPLIANCE WITH LEAD STANDARDS: COVERED PRODUCTS

The Manufacturer Defendants shall not manufacture, distribute, donate, offer for sale or sell in California, Covered Products with a concentration of lead in or on Accessible parts in excess of the following standards.

- 3.1.1 For the Manufacturing Defendants except Amscan, Inc. and Kids II, Inc., for each Accessible Surface Coating on a finished Covered Product, 90 parts per million ("ppm") total lead for finished Covered Products manufactured after the Effective Date.
- 3.1.2 For the Manufacturing Defendants except Amscan, Inc. and Kids II, Inc., for each Accessible Substrate in or on a finished product:
 - 3.1.2.1 300 ppm total lead for finished Covered Products manufactured after the Effective Date; and
 - 3.1.2.2 100 ppm total lead for finished Covered Products manufactured on or after August 14, 2011, unless the CPSC determines that a standard of 100 ppm total lead for finished Covered Products is not technologically feasible, in which case the Manufacturer Defendants shall be obligated to comply with the standard established by the CPSC.
- 3.1.3 For Amscan, Inc. and Kids II, Inc., for Covered Products manufactured after the Effective Date, the Federal Lead Standards that will be operative under the

CPSIA on February 10, 2009, and for Covered Products manufactured after February 10, 2009, the Federal Lead Standards.

- 3.1.4 The Lead Standards shall not apply to electronic components or electronic accessories that are not Accessible "small objects" as described in ASTM F 963-07 § 4.6.1. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, if the CPSC (i) issues requirements to eliminate or minimize the potential for exposure to and accessibility of lead in electronic devices, (ii) establishes a schedule by which such electronic devices shall be in full compliance with the limits described in this subsection, and/or (iii) determines that full compliance will not be technologically feasible, pursuant to Section 101(b)(4) of the CPSIA, and such requirements, schedules or determinations are in effect, then the CPSC rules, exceptions or exclusions pertaining to electronic components or electronic accessories shall be considered Lead Standards under the Consent Judgment. In the event that CPSC does not act pursuant to Section 101(b)(4) of the CPSIA before the third anniversary of the Effective Date, the exception provided by the first sentence of this subsection shall be deemed to expire at that time.
- 3.1.5 The Lead Standards shall not apply to any Children's Product or constituent components or materials that the CPSC excludes pursuant to Section 101(b) of the CPSIA from the application of Federal Lead Standards.

3.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM

3.2.1 Each Manufacturer Defendant shall implement a Quality Assurance System that is designed to identify and to segregate Covered Products that contain Impermissible Lead during and subsequent to the manufacturing process in order to prevent distributing, donating, offering for sale or selling Covered Products containing Impermissible Lead in California. The Manufacturer Defendants' commitments under this Section 3.2, including with respect to its Quality Assurance System and with respect to Vendors, shall apply only to Covered Products manufactured by the Manufacturer Defendants or for the Manufacturer Defendants by Vendors. It is expressly understood that individual tests or procedures may be modified, changed or revised by a

Manufacturer Defendant over time and that no claim can or will be made that this Section of the Consent Judgment has been violated: (i) absent a substantial failure to implement a Quality Assurance System, or (ii) because a specific test or procedure is not followed or performed, as long as the modified Quality Assurance System is designed to perform the same function as described herein.

3.3 RECALLS

- 3.3.1 Upon request, the Manufacturer Defendant shall provide to the Attorney General the information it provides in any written reports to the CPSC concerning any recall of Covered Products manufactured by the Manufacturer Defendant because of lead content, as soon as possible, once any such recall is approved and announced by the CPSC, as permitted by and consistent with Government Disclosure Restrictions, and any and all follow-up reports, including information contained in its progress reports on the efficacy of product recalls, subject to confidentiality as permitted by law.
- 3.3.2 The Manufacturer Defendant shall provide direct notice of a recall because of lead content involving Covered Products to all consumers of the affected Covered Product for whom the Manufacturer Defendants possesses address or e-mail information. The notice shall include, at a minimum, information that is equivalent to the information in the recall notice approved by the CPSC.

3.4 INTERIM MEASURES FOR CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS THAT ARE NOT COVERED PRODUCTS

If the Attorney General or a Manufacturer Defendant obtains reliable information that a Children's Product manufactured by the Manufacturer Defendant or by a Vendor for the Manufacturer Defendant before the Effective Date, that has been offered for retail sale in California after the Effective Date and prior to February 10, 2009, contains more than: (A) 600 ppm lead: (i) in or on an Accessible Surface Coating, or (ii) in or on an Accessible Substrate that is plastic, rubber or polyvinyl chloride ("PVC"), or (B) more than 90 ppm of soluable lead in leachate from unplated metal components which are "small objects" and are accessible to a child following assembly as tested and determined pursuant to EN-71, then upon notice thereof, the

19

20

18

21

22

23

25

24

26 27

28

Manufacturer Defendant shall immediately investigate, and upon confirmation but in no event longer than 10 business days, shall: (a) stop distributing the Children's Product(s) for sale in California, (b) promptly notify customers selling in California of the potentially non-conforming Children's Product(s), and (c) within three business days, inform the Attorney General of what action or actions it has taken and will take to investigate and, if applicable, to prevent the Children' Product from being sold by the Manufacturer Defendant in California, including which customers it has notified and/or will notify. The use of the word "obtains" in the preceding sentence shall not be deemed to create or impose any affirmative duty or obligation to seek out any Children's Products that are subject to this paragraph. This Section 3.4 does not apply to electronic components or electronic accessories that are not Accessible "small objects" as described in ASTM F 963-07 § 4.6.1. This Section 3.4 shall also not be applicable if, after meeting and conferring, the Manufacturer Defendant and the Attorney General agree that any lead exposure arising from a Children's Product otherwise subject to this Section is less than 0.5 micrograms per day based on an assessment conducted pursuant to Section 25821 of Proposition 65's regulations. In the event the Manufacturer Defendant undertakes a recall pursuant to CPSC regulations, it shall be deemed to satisfy the Manufacturer Defendant's obligations under this Section 3.4. The timing and content of any disclosures of information required under this Section shall be subject to any Government Disclosure Restrictions.

4.0 **FUTURE ENFORCEMENT**

4.1 GENERAL ENFORCEMENT FRAMEWORK

Under the circumstances set forth herein, and after having provided the Manufacturer Defendant with at least fifteen (15) business days written notice during which the Parties will meet and confer, and, on request, at least fifteen (15) additional business days in the event the Manufacturer Defendant wishes to exercise subsection 4.1.1, the People may enforce violations of the Consent Judgment or enforce violations of applicable State law regarding the presence of lead or lead compounds in a Covered Product by application to a court of competent jurisdiction for appropriate relief.

4.1.1 The People may elect to enforce a violation of applicable State law regarding the presence of lead or lead compounds in a Covered Product, or the Lead Standards in the Consent Judgment, but not both, in accordance with either Sections 4.1 or 4.2. However, if the Manufacturer Defendant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Attorney General, which satisfaction shall not unreasonably be withheld, within thirty (30) days following receipt of notice pursuant to Section 4.1 that any lead exposure arising from a Covered Product is less than 0.5 micrograms per day based on an assessment conducted by a qualified expert pursuant to Section 25821 of the Proposition 65 regulations, then the Attorney General, if he elects to pursue enforcement of the alleged violation at issue, shall do so pursuant to Section 4.2 below; provided that if the Attorney General obtains the opinion of a qualified expert that refutes the assessment conducted by the Manufacturer Defendant's expert, which opinion the Attorney General shall make available to the Manufacturer Defendant, the Attorney General may proceed pursuant to Section 4.1.

4.1.2 Wherever the alleged violation asserted under Section 4.1 or 4.2 concerns a violation of the Lead Standards, then the notice shall include information sufficient to identify the Covered Product at issue, including, at a minimum, if available, its stock keeping unit number and date code. The Manufacturer Defendant shall be permitted to inspect the Covered Product at issue upon request.

4.2 NOTICES OF VIOLATION AND ELECTION; STIPULATED PENALTIES

4.2.1 Notice of Violation: Within 60 days after the Attorney General learns facts providing a reasonable basis to conclude that a Covered Product that contains Impermissible Lead was sold in California, the Attorney General shall provide the Manufacturer Defendant written notice of the alleged violation ("Notice of Violation"). If the Attorney General has information about the alleged violation that is not public or that the Manufacturer Defendant does not already have in its possession, including test results, the Notice of Violation shall include such information, except any evidence that has been submitted in support of a certificate of merit pursuant to Health and Safety Code

Section 25249.7(d) need not be provided. In any event, the Attorney General shall make available to the Manufacturer Defendant for inspection and copying, upon its request, all information in the possession of the Attorney General pertaining to the alleged violation that is not privileged or subject to confidentiality under State law.

- 4.2.2 <u>Notice of Election</u>: Within 15 business days after the Manufacturer Defendant receives the Notice of Violation, and all materials in the possession of the Attorney General relevant to the alleged violation as set forth in subsection 4.2.1, the Manufacturer Defendant shall provide written notice to the Attorney General whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in the Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election").
- 4.2.3 Contents of Notice of Election Not to Contest: If the Manufacturer

 Defendant does not contest the allegations in the Notice of Violation, then the Notice of
 Election shall include: (i) a description of the Quality Assurance System that was in
 place to prevent the violation from occurring and the corrective action that the

 Manufacturer Defendant has undertaken or proposes to undertake pursuant to subsection
 4.2.5; (ii) the name and contact information of the facility or facilities where the Covered

 Product was manufactured; and (iii) an explanation of why the violation occurred.

 Within 10 business days after sending the Notice of Election, and if the Manufacturer

 Defendant does not contest the violation, the Manufacturer Defendant shall make the
 payment required under subsection 4.2.7.
 - 4.2.3.1 The Manufacturer Defendant may also send the Attorney

 General a Notice of Election under subsection 4.2.3 in response to a 60-day notice
 of violation pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1) where the

 Attorney General has not issued a Notice of Violation, provided that (a) the

 Manufacturer Defendant waits at least 45 days after receipt of the 60-day notice,

 (b) the Attorney General has not provided notice of the same, or a substantially
 similar violation, under Section 4.1 or Section 4.2.1, and (c) the Manufacturer

Defendant serves a copy of the Notice of Election on the person that sent the 60day notice.

4.2.4 Contents of Notice of Election to Contest: If the Manufacturer Defendant contests the Notice of Violation, then the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence in the Manufacturer Defendant's possession regarding the alleged violation, including all test data, if any, as permitted by and consistent with Government Disclosure Restrictions. Within 15 business days after serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, the Manufacturer Defendant and the Attorney General shall meet and confer in good faith to attempt to resolve the dispute. At the conclusion of the meet and confer, one or more of the following may take place: (i) the Attorney General may withdraw the Notice of Violation; (ii) the Manufacturer Defendant may issue an amended Notice of Election that does not contest the violations, pursuant to subsections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3, above; or (iii) the Manufacturer Defendant may continue to contest the Notice of Violation.

4.2.5 Action Upon Election: Upon election by the Manufacturer Defendant not to contest the Notice of Violation, the Manufacturer Defendant shall: (i) take corrective action designed to encourage the removal of the Covered Product from sale in California; (ii) if there is no recall in conjunction with the CPSC, inform consumers in California that they may return the affected products for a full refund, replacement toy, repair and/or voucher for replacement toys, at the Manufacturer Defendant's option; and (iii) pay to the Attorney General within ten (10) business days the stipulated payments specified in subsection 4.2.7. A Notice of Election that does not contest an alleged violation of the Consent Judgment or of applicable State law shall be considered an offer of compromise under California Evidence Code § 1152 and Federal Rule of Evidence 408 and shall not otherwise constitute an admission of any fact or issue by the Manufacturer Defendant. Such Notice of Election shall also not be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose other than a proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of the Consent Judgment.

4.2.6 Upon election by the Manufacturer Defendant to contest the Notice of Violation, the People may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in the Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, the People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment and the Manufacturer Defendant shall retain the right to present all evidence, arguments, and defenses concerning compliance with the Consent Judgment that it wishes to raise to the Court.

4.2.7 Payments for Non-Contested Matters: Unless the Manufacturer Defendant contests a Notice of Violation under subsection 4.2.4 and maintains that election following the process set forth in subsection 4.2.4, then it shall tender payment as further specified in Section 5.4 below, the following stipulated payments: \$10,000 for the first occurrence, \$35,000 for the second occurrence within six months, and \$50,000 for the third or subsequent occurrence within a year. For purposes of this Section, an "Occurrence" shall refer to an event with a duration of less than three weeks at the Manufacturer Defendant or Vendor facility that has resulted in Impermissible Lead in finished Covered Products. The Manufacturer Defendant's liability under subsection 4.2.7 of the Consent Judgment for manufacturing, distributing, selling, or offering for sale in California a Covered Product containing Impermissible Lead shall be limited such that the Manufacturer Defendant shall be liable for no more than one required payment for each Occurrence that results in Covered Products containing Impermissible Lead being distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California regardless of the number of retailers to whom such Covered Products have been distributed.

4.2.8 After the Manufacturer Defendant has served a Notice of Election on the Attorney General as provided in this Consent Judgment, compliance by the Manufacturer Defendant with subsection 4.2.5 and payment by the Manufacturer Defendant pursuant subsection 4.2.7 shall be a full, final and binding resolution of the alleged violation at

issue and shall render the alleged violation a Covered Claim under and as defined in Section 6.

4.3 RESERVATIONS REGARDING FUTURE ENFORCEMENT

Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall restrict the Attorney General from exercising his enforcement authority under the CPSIA with respect to future violations of Federal Lead Standards. In addition, except as provided in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, nothing in the Consent Judgment waives an authorized public prosecutor's right to take future enforcement action regarding any violations of applicable State law regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds in Covered Products not covered by the Complaint or addressed by Section 6 of the Consent Judgment, and to seek in such actions whatever fines, costs, attorneys' fees, penalties or remedies are provided by law. The rights of the Manufacturer Defendant to defend itself and its actions in law or equity shall not be abrogated or reduced in any fashion by the terms of this Section. The Manufacturer Defendant shall be entitled to raise any and all applicable defenses. arising in law or equity, except that the Manufacturer Defendant shall not contest its obligation to comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment as long as the Consent Judgment remains in effect. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as diminishing the Manufacturer Defendant's continuing obligation to comply with Proposition 65 or the UCL in its future activities, to the extent these statutes are applicable.

PAYMENTS 5.0

- 5.1 The total settlement amount shall be \$790,726. This total amount shall be allocated as set for this Section 5.2. Each Settling Defendant shall pay the specific amounts as set forth in Section 5.3 within ten business days of the date of entry of judgment.
 - 5.2 The aggregate settlement payments shall be allocated as follows:
 - 5.2.1 \$248,500 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section § 25249.7, subdivision (b)(1). The 25 percent portion of the civil penalty allocated pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivision (d), shall be divided as follows: \$41,415 to the Attorney General, and \$20,710 to the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office.

- 5.2.2 \$251,727 to the Public Health Institute. These funds, and any interest on the funds, shall be placed in the Toy Testing and Outreach Fund, and administered by the Public Health Trust in a manner consistent with Section 2.15 of this Consent Judgment
- 5.2.3 \$125,864 to the Attorney General to be used for the enforcement of Proposition 65.
- 5.2.4 \$83,909 to the Los Angeles City Attorney to be used for the enforcement of Proposition 65.
- 5.2.5 \$52,000 to reimburse the Attorney General's attorney's fees and costs incurred in investigating, bringing, and resolving the case against the Manufacturing Defendants.
- 5.2.6 \$24,000 to Center for Environmental Health as reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Health and Safety Code, § 25249,7, subdivision (j).
- 5.2.7 \$4,726 to As You Sow as reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Health and Safety Code, § 25249,7, subdivision (j).
- 5.3 Each Manufacturer Defendant shall make the payments as set forth in the following table:

Company	Civil Penalty (§ 5.2.1)	Toy Testing & Outreach Fund (§ 5.2.2)	Attorney General Enforcement (§ 5.2.3)	Los Angeles City Attorney Enforcement (§ 5.2.4)	Attorney General Fees & Costs (§ 5.2.5)	Private Party Fees & Costs (§§ 5.2.6, 5.2.7)
A&A	\$8,750	\$8,864	\$4,432	\$2,955	\$6,000	n/a
Amscan	\$10,500	\$10,636	\$5,318	\$3,545	\$6,000	n/a
Cranium	\$17,500	\$17,727	\$8,864	\$5,909	\$6,000	\$12,000
Eveready	\$8,750	\$8,864	\$4,432	\$2,955	\$6,000	n/a
Kids II	\$10,500	\$10,636	\$5,318	\$3,545	\$6,000	n/a
Marvel	\$8,750	\$8, <u>86</u> 4	\$4,432	\$2,955	\$6,000	\$12,000
RC2	\$171,500	\$173,727	\$86,864	\$57,909	\$10,000	n/a
Toy Investments	\$ 12,250	\$12,409	\$6,205	\$4,136	\$6,000	\$4,726

Consent Judgment

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

designed or intended primarily for use by a child when the child plays, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65, the UCL, CPSA, FHSA, or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest or by or on behalf of the people of the State of California against the Manufacturer Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds in Children's Products manufactured by or for the Manufacturer Defendant, and designed or intended primarily for use by a child when the child plays, prior to the Effective Date, or the failure to warn about exposure to, lead or lead compounds, in Children's Products manufactured by or for the Manufacturer Defendant, and designed or intended primarily for use by a child when the child plays, prior to the Effective Date ("Covered Claim"). Compliance with the Lead Standards in Sections 3.1.1, 3.1.2, or 3.1.3 of the Consent Judgment by the Manufacturer Defendant after the Effective Date constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 and the UCL by the Manufacturer Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds in Covered Products manufactured by or for the Manufacturer Defendant, and the failure to warn about exposure to, lead or lead compounds, in Covered Products manufactured by or for the Manufacturer Defendant. This Consent Judgment does not create or give rise to any private right of action of any kind.

7.0 PRESERVATION OF INDUSTRY COMPETITIVENESS.

In the event the People enter into an agreement or consent judgment with any other person manufacturing Covered Products addressing alleged violations of Proposition 65 or the UCL with respect to lead and Children's Products that provides for less stringent standards than the Lead Standards set forth in Section 3.1.3 above, eliminating or curtailing the Quality Assurance System related-requirements set forth in Section 3.2, eliminating or changing the criteria governing the Interim Measures requirements set forth in Section 3.4, or providing for a lower level of stipulated payments than those set forth in subsection 4.2.7, then the Consent Judgment shall be deemed to have been amended to provide the Manufacturer Defendant with

the option of exercising those provisions rather than those specified herein. The Manufacturer Defendant shall provide the Attorney General with prior written notice of any election made pursuant to this Section.

8.0 GOVERNMENT DISCLOSURE RESTRICTIONS

8.1 The Manufacturer Defendant shall immediately notify the Attorney General if, due to a Government Disclosure Restriction, the Manufacturer Defendant is unable to publish or disclose any information otherwise required under the Consent Judgment, and at that time the Manufacturer Defendant shall specify the Government, Government Entity, and/or Government Disclosure Restriction(s) that the Manufacturer Defendant believes prevents the disclosure.

9.0 REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

- 9.1 The Parties represent that they are the proper Parties to the Consent Judgment. the Manufacturer Defendant warrants and represents that the individuals signing the Consent Judgment on its behalf do so in their official capacities and are fully authorized by the Manufacturer Defendant to enter into the Consent Judgment and to legally bind the Manufacturer Defendant to all of the terms and conditions of the Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 The Consent Judgment contains the complete agreement between the Parties. No promises, representations, or warranties other than those set forth in the Consent Judgment have been made by any Party.

10.0 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 10.1 The terms of the Consent Judgment will be governed by California law.
- 10.2 Any headings or subheadings used herein are for reference purposes only and do not affect the substantive provisions of the Consent Judgment.
- 10.3 The failure of any Party to exercise any rights under the Consent Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver of any right or future rights. If any part of the Consent Judgment shall for any reason be found or held invalid or unenforceable by any Court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect the remainder of the Consent Judgment, which shall survive and be construed as if such invalid or unenforceable part had not been contained herein.

agreement of the parties or for good cause shown, including, but not limited to, repeated substantial violations by the Manufacturer Defendant of this Consent Judgment. After making a good faith effort to obtain the concurrence of the other party for the requested relief, which concurrence shall not be unreasonably withheld, the Party seeking modification or termination may petition the Court for such relief. In addition to the above, the Consent Judgment shall be terminable by the Manufacturer Defendant or the Attorney General at any time following the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date, upon the provision of thirty (30) days advanced written notice; such termination shall be effective upon the subsequent filing of a notice of termination with Superior Court of Alameda County.

10.5 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

- 10.6 It is the mutual intent of the Parties to seek and to obtain Court approval of this Consent Judgment without undue delay.
- 10.7 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

-			l			
1		10.8 The stipulations to this Consent Judgm	nent may be executed in counterparts and by			
2	means of electronic transmission, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one					
3	docum	nent.	•			
4	11.0	SERVICE OF NOTICE AND PROCESS				
5		Service of notices and process required by the	Consent Judgment or its enforcement shall			
6	be ser	ved on the persons, or any person subsequently	designated by the Parties:			
7	For th	e ATTORNEY GENERAL				
8		Harrison M. Pollak, Deputy Attorney General Office of the California Attorney General				
9	:	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550				
0		Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 622-2183				
1		Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-Mail: <u>harrison.pollak@doj.ca.gov</u>				
2	For th	e LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY				
3		Patricia Bilgin, Supervising Attorney, Environ	nmental Justice Unit			
4		200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East Los Angeles, CA 90012				
5		Telephone: (213) 978-8080 Facsimile: (213) 978-8111				
6		E-Mail: patty.bilgin@lacity.org				
7	For A	& A GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, INC.				
8		Brian S. Kovens	With a copy to: Andrea Sheridan Ordin			
9		Executive Vice President A&A Global Industries, Inc.	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP			
0		17 Stenersen Lane	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor			
1	•	Cockeysville, MD 21030	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132			
2	For A	MSCAN, INC.				
Ì	<u> </u>		TITIAL a comunidat			
3		Joseph J. Zepf, Esq. Amscan, Inc.	With a copy to: James Robert Maxwell, Esq.			
4		80 Grasslands Road Elmsford, NY 10523	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street			
5		arabania va taj a 1 a var ariv	San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel (415) 956-2828			
6			Fax (415) 956-6457			
.7			jmaxwell@rjo.com			
no I	l					

(Proposed] Consent Judgment

21

For CRANIUM INC.

Chief Executive Officer Cranium, Inc. 2025 First Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98121 Phone: 206-652-9708 Fax: 206-652-1483

With a copy to: Trenton H. Norris Amold & Porter LLP

275 Battery Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: 415,356,3040

Fax: 415.356.3099

Email: trent.norris@aporter.com

For EVEREADY BATTERY CO.

Brian M. Foster Vice President and Division General Counsel **Eveready Battery** 533 Maryville University Drive St. Louis, MO 63141 Phone: (314) 985-2158 Fax: (314) 985-2223 Email: brianm.foster@energizer.com

With a copy to:

Trenton H. Norris Arnold & Porter LLP 275 Battery Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 phone: 415.356.3040 fax: 415.356.3099

email: trent.norris@aporter.com

For KIDS II, INC.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

J. Dwaine Clarke Chief Financial Officer Kids II, Inc. 555 North Point Center East, Ste. 600 Alpharetta, GA 30022-8234 Ph: (770) 751-0442 Fax: (770) 751-0543

With a copy to:

Kurt Weissmuller, Esq. Alston & Bird LLP 333 South Hope Street Sixteenth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Ph: (213) 576-1003 Kurt.Weissmuller@alston.com

For MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.

Mr. John Turitzin Executive Vice President Marvel Entertainment, Inc. 417 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10016

With a copy to: Malcolm C. Weiss Hunton & Williams LLP 550 S. Hope Street 20th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

	For RC2 CORPORATION	
1	Curt Stoelting, CEO	Bart T. Murphy
2	RC2 Corporation	Ice Miller, LLP 2300 Cabot Dr., Ste. 455
3	1111 W. 22nd St., Ste. 320 Oak Brook, IL 60523	Lisle, IL 60532
4	With a copy to:	bart.murphy@icemiller.com
5	For TOY INVESTMENTS, INC.	
6		With a copy to:
7	William R. Smith, President Toy Investments Inc,	John Ryan, Corporate Counsel
8	5110 Frontage Road N.W., Auburn WA 98001	Toy Investments Inc, 5110 Frontage Road N.W.,
9		Auburn WA 98001
10	, , ,	
12	//	•
13	//	
14	//	
15	//	
16	//	
17	//	
18	//	
19	//	
20	//	•
21	//	
22	//	,
23	//	
24	//	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	•
	COI	. 22
	[Proposed] Consent Judgment	23 — Case No. RG07356892
ľ		

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 2 3 5 Deenty EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Dated: 6 ATTORNEY GENERAL 7 8 By: 9 EDWARD G. WEIL Supervising Deputy Attorney General 10 11 ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO 12 Dated: LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY 13 14 PATRICIA BILGIN 15 Supervising Attorney, 16 Environmental Justice Unit 17 18 19 AMSCAN, INC. Dated: 20 21 By: 22 JOSEPH J. ZEPF Vice President, General Counsel 23 & Secretary 24 25 26 27 28

osed] Consent Judgment

IT IS SO STIPULATED. 2 3 4 5 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 6 Dated: ATTORNEY GENERAL 7 8 By:_ EDWARD G. WEIL à Supervising Deputy Attorney General 10 11 Dated: 19/2/08 ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO 12 LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY 13 14 15 Supervising Attorney, 16 Environmental Justice Unit 17 18 19 AMSCAN, INC. Dated: 20 21 By:_ JOSEPH J. ZEPF 22 Vice President, General Counsel 23 & Secretary 24 25 26 27 28

ed | Consent Judgment

• }	4	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		•
4		
5		
6	Dated:	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL
7	,	ATTORIST GENTEROLL
8		Ву:
9		. EDWARD G. WEIL
10		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
11		
12	Dated:	ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY
13		
14		Ву:
15		PATRICIA BILGIN Supervising Attorney,
16	;	Environmental Justice Unit
17		
18	•	•
19	Dated: 12/03/08	AMSCAN, INC.
20	Dates:	AMBUAN, INC.
21		By: Day J
22		JOSEPH J/ZEPF/ Vice President, General Counsel
23		& Secretary
24		
25		
26		
27		·
28	, ,	•
1	900/	23

[Propused] Consent Judgment

Case No. RG07356892 274826.1

Dated: /d-d-OP	A & A GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
	Ву:
	BRIAN S. KOVENS
	Executive Vice President
	,
Dated:	CRANIUM INC.
	Ву:
	BRIAN GOLDNER
	President and Chief Executive Officer
Dated:	EVEREADY BATTERY CO.
	. Ву:
	BRIAN M. FOSTER
	Vice President and Division
The state of the s	KIDS II, INC.
Dated:	EDB II, IIIO
	Ву:
	J. DWAINE CLARKE
·	Chief Financial Officer
m	MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
Dated:	MAKA TOTA TOTAL CONTRACTOR 12 11100
	Ву:
	JOHN TURITZIN
	Executive Vice President
	,
()	25
(Proposed) Consent Judgment	Case No. RG07356892

1		
2	Dated:	A & A GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
3		
4		Ву:
5		BRIAN S. KOVENS Executive Vice President
6		
7		
8		OD 127777 (73/0
9	Dated:	CRANIUM INC
10		
11		BRIAN GOLDNER
12		President and Chief Executive Officer
13		
14	Dated:	EVEREADY BATTERY CO.
15		
16		By:BRIAN M. FOSTER
17		Vice President and Division
18		
19	Dated:	KIDS II, INC.
20		
21		By:
		J. DWAINE CLARKE Chief Financial Officer
22		
23	Detect	MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
24	Dated:	AT EG BATK I THERE BACK I A REATH A A THAN IS FRANCE I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
25		By:
26		By: JOHN TURITZIN
27	,	Executive Vice President
28		
	(9)	25
	[Proposed] Consent Judgment	Case No. RG07356892

l			
1			
2	Dated:	A & A GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, INC.	
3		Dog	
4		By: BRIAN S. KOVENS	
5		Executive Vice President	
6			
7			
8	Dated:	CRANIUM INC.	
9			
10		Ву:	
11		BRIAN GOLDNER President and Chief Executive Officer	
12		1 legistic titis culot massic compa	
13	Dated: 12/2/08	EVEREADY BATTERY CO.	
14	Dated: 12/2/08	EVERENDI BAITEMI OO.	
15	•	By: Brian M Foste	
16		BRIAN M. FOSTER	
17	·	Vice President and Division General Couns	se
18			
19	Dated:	KIDS II, INC.	
20			
21		By: J. DWAINE CLARKE	
22		Chief Financial Officer	
23			
24	Dated:	MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.	
25			
26		By: JOHN TURITZIN	
27		Executive Vice President	
28			
	60.		
	[Proposed] Consent Judgment	25 Case No. RG07356892	
1	To a military and an account of management	1	

1	
Dated:	A & A GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Dated.	
4	BY:BRIAN S. KOVENS
	BRIAN S. KOVENS Executive Vice President
5	EXECUTIAE A INC. LIESTMAN
6	
7	
B Dated:	CRANIUM INC.
9	
0	By: BRIAN GOLDNER
1	President and Chief Executive Officer
2	
Dated:	EVEREADY BATTERY CO.
4	
5	Ву:
6	BRIAN M. FOSTER Vice President and Division
7	Y ICC I ROSIGEIT GIVE DE COMO
12/2/08	wereness with a strategic
Dated: 12/02/08	KIDS II, INC.
0 .	By: Alac Chal
	A. DWAINE CLARKE
2	Chief Financial Officer
Dated:	MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
3	By: JOHN TURITZIN
,	Executive Vice President
3	
99	25 Case No. RG07356892
[Projecti] Consent Judgment	3899 2144 ATHT (PETT -

1			
2	Dated:		A & A GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
3	:		
4		•	Ву:
5			BRIAN S. KOVENS Executive Vice President
6		•	
7			
8			
ı	Dated:		CRANIUM INC.
9			·
0.			Ву:
1		•	BRIAN GOLDNER President and Chief Executive Officer
2			Trondent and Onto 191000110 Officer
3	,	•	·
4	Dated:		EVEREADY BATTERY CO.
5			•
- 1			By: BRIAN M. FOSTER
6			Vice President and Division
7	,		
8			
9	Dated:	http://www.page.com/p	KIDS II, INC.
0		•	
1		,	By:
2			J. DWAINE CLARKE Chief Financial Officer
i I			
3		Dec. 2, 2008	NA A TANATAY MANIMANANA A VANDA AND AND TRACT
4	Dated:	7°C, C, COOO	MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
5			
6		•	By: JOHN TURITZIN
7			Executive Vice President
8		•	
		,	
	<u> </u>		25
- []	2 ropers	Consent Judgment	Case No. RG07356892

1	Dated: 2/2/08	RC2 CORPORATION
2		The The
3		By:
5		Chief Executive Officer
6		
7	Dated:	TOY INVESTMENTS, INC.
8		
9		By:
0		JOHN R. SMITH President
	•	•
2		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4		
15	Dated:	
6		By Honorable Steven A. Brick
7		Judge of the Superior Court
8	,	
9		
20		
21		•
22		
23		
24		•
25		
26		
17		
28	,	
	[Proposed] Consent Judgment	26 Case No. RG07356892
1	Lucation of the Company	www.epr. virtual virtual and and an extra with the

1 2	Dated: RC2 CORPORATION	
3 4 5	By: CURTIS W. STOELTING Chief Executive Officer	
6 7 8 9	Dated: DEC 2 ND Dec 8 TOY INVESTMENTS, INC. By: William Persident President	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: DEC 3 1 2008 STEVEN A. BRICK SAPO	/
16	Honorable Steven A. Brick Judge of the Superior Court	
18		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		•
25 26		
27		
28		
	Proposed) Consent Judgment Case No. RG07356892	
1	Froderi Justin Jack 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	

[Proposed] Consent Judgment

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

Case Name: People of the State of California v. Mattel, Inc., et al.

Case No.: Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG07356892

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, P.O. Box 70550, Oakland, California 94612-0550. On **January 5**, 2009, I served the attached

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT JUDGMENTS AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENTS

documents via electronic equipment transmission (E-mail) on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy to the following E-mail addresses listed under each addressee below.

(SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on **January 5**, 2009 at Oakland, California.

ANN LAUBER
Signature

SERVICE LIST

Case Name: People of the State of California v. Mattel, Inc., et al.

Case No.: Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG07356892

Andrea S. Ordin, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
300 S. Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
E-Mail: aordin@morganlewis.com
Attorneys for A&A Global Indus., Inc.

Betsy McDaniel, Esq.
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
E-Mail: bmcdaniel@sheppardmullin.com,
ddegroot@sheppardmullin.com
Attorneys for RC2 Corp.

Trenton H. Norris, Esq.
Arnold & Porter LLP
275 Battery Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
E-Mail: Trent.Norris@aporter.com,
Sarah.Esmaili@aporter.com
Attorneys for Eveready Battery
Company, Inc.; Cranium, Inc.

Antonia F. Dias, Esq.
Jones Day
500 Grant Street
One Mellon Bank Center, 31st Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2502
E-Mail:afdias@JonesDay.com,
Rlshackelford@jonesday.com
Attorneys for Fisher-Price, Inc.;
Mattel, Inc.

Michael J. Steel, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market Street, 32nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
E-Mail: MSteel@mofo.com
Attorneys for Kmart Corporation;
Sears, Roebuck & Co.;
Sears Holdings Corp.

J. Robert Maxwell, Esq.
Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
311 California Street, 10th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
E-Mail: jmaxwell@rjo.com, amorris@rjo.com
Attorney for Amscan, Inc.;
Amscan Holdings, Inc.

Robert L. Falk, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market Street, 32nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
E-Mail: Rfalk@mofo.com,
Wtarantino@mofo.com
Attorneys for Fisher-Price, Inc.;
Mattel, Inc.; Michaels Stores, Inc.

Lisa Halko, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig LLP
1201 K Street, Suite 1100
Sacramento, CA 95814
E-Mail: HalkoL@GTLaw.com,
CuyunI@GTLaw.com
Attorneys for KB Toys, Inc.;
KB Toys Retail, Inc.

Kurt Weissmuller, Esq.
Alston & Bird LLP
333 South Hope Street
Sixteenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
E-Mail: Kurt.Weissmuller@alston.com
Attorneys for Kids II, Inc.

Malcolm C. Weiss, Esq.
Hunton & Williams LLP
550 South Hope Street, Suite 2000
Los Angeles, California 90071-2627
E-Mail: mweiss@hunton.com
callen@hunton.com
Attorneys for Marvel Entertainment, Inc.

Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq.
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP
555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
E-Mail: jmargulies@fulbright.com,
ilopez@fulbright.com
Attorneys for Target Corp.; Toys 'R' Us,
Delaware Inc.; Toys 'R' Us, Inc.;
Toys 'R' Us

Michael Abraham, Esq.
Bartko Zankel Tarrant, et al.
900 Front Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111
E-Mail: mabraham@bztm.com,
rbunzel@bztm.com
Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Rockard J. Delgadillo
Los Angeles City Attorney
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office
200 North Main Street
500 City Hall East
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4131
E-Mail: Elise.Ruden@lacity.org,
Patty.Bilgin@lacity.org

John J. Ryan, Esq.
Toy Investments, Inc.
5110 Frontage Road
Auburn, WA 98001
E-Mail: johnr@toysmith.com
Attorney for Toy Investments, Inc.

Renee D. Wasserman, Esq.
Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
311 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
E-mail: rwasserman@rjo.com
Attorneys for Costco Wholesale Corp.;