1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT	
2	NICKLAS A. AKERS Senior Assistant Attorney General	CODE SECTION 6103]	
3	STACEY D. SCHESSER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	YEN P. NGUYEN (SBN 239095) Deputy Attorney General		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6 7	Telephone: (415) 510-3497 Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: TiTi.Nguyen@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for The People of the State of California		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
12			
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No.	
14	CALIFORNIA,		
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, CIVIL	
16	v.	PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF	
17	ANTHEM, INC., a corporation,	(BUS & PROF. CODE, § 17200 et seq.)	
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	1 THE DEODI E OF THE STATE (DE CALIEODNIA (horoinafter "Dlaintiff") by	
21	1. THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by		
22	and through Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, brings this action against		
23	Anthem, Inc. ("Anthem") for violating Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq.		
24	("UCL") and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), Pub.		
25	L. No. 104-191, 110 Stat. 1938, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic		
26	and Clinical Health Act, Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat. 226, as well as the Department of Health		
27	and Human Services Regulations, 45 C.F.R. § 160 et seq., and alleges the following upon information and belief:		
28	information and belief:		

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is the People of the State of California. Plaintiff brings this action by and through Xavier Becerra, Attorney General. The Attorney General is authorized by Business and Professions Code sections 17204, 17206, and 17207 to bring actions to enforce the Unfair Competition Law, and by 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-5(d)(1) to bring actions to enforce the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-191, 110 Stat. 1938, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat. 226, as well as the Department of Health and Human Services Regulations, 45 C.F.R. § 160 et seq.
- 3. Defendant Anthem, Inc. is a domestic corporation with its principal place of business at 120 Monument Circle, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.
- 4. Anthem is a "covered entity" and a "business associate" within the meaning of 45 C.F.R. § 160.103, and is required to comply with HIPAA federal standards governing the privacy and security of electronic personal health information (ePHI), including the Privacy and Security Rules. (See 45 C.F.R. § 164.032.)
- 5. In the course of its business, Anthem collects, maintains, and/or processes sensitive personal data and health information including personal information, protected health information (PHI), and electronic personal health information (ePHI) (collectively, "Sensitive Data").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Jurisdiction is proper because Anthem has transacted business within the State of California, including the County of Alameda, or has engaged in conduct impacting the State of California or its residents at all times relevant to this complaint. The violations of law described herein occurred in the County of Alameda and elsewhere in the State of California.

FACTS

7. On February 4, 2015, Anthem publicly announced that it had discovered unauthorized access to its computer network, which exposed the Sensitive Data of approximately 78,800,000 individuals, identifying at least 13.5 million of whom were California residents.

- 8. On or about January 29, 2015, Anthem discovered that an unauthorized party (or unauthorized parties) had gained access to Anthem's computer network and infiltrated an essential database containing Sensitive Data of Anthem plan members and other individuals. The Sensitive Data accessed in unencrypted form by the unauthorized party (or unauthorized parties) included names, dates of birth, Social Security numbers, healthcare identification numbers, home addresses, email addresses, phone numbers, and employment information, including income data.
- 9. The unauthorized access, which was the result of spearphishing, began on or about February 18, 2014, and continued until shortly after Anthem discovered it, exploiting Anthem accounts and compromising numerous systems within the Anthem network.
- 10. The unauthorized party (or parties) took advantage of multiple weaknesses in Anthem's data security that could have prevented or mitigated the impact of the breach. For example, Anthem failed to: properly segment its network; sufficiently log and monitor system activity; regularly and accurately assess and mitigate risks to sensitive information; update its security program to protect against known cybersecurity threats; and implement access controls. Anthem did not properly configure some of its security tools to issue any alerts on the unauthorized activity. Where Anthem had enabled its security tools to issue alerts, Anthem failed to follow up on and respond to alerts regarding backdoor installation, unauthorized device scanning that illicitly mapped Anthem's network, malware execution, and unauthorized queries that should have led to detection of the breach.
- 11. Furthermore, Anthem failed to implement security policies in certain areas, including policies requiring: up-to-date anti-virus protection; strong password practices and secure password storage; encryption; and two-factor authentication for remote access to privileged accounts. And even where Anthem had security policies in place, Anthem failed to follow them.
- 12. Anthem's security failures occurred in spite of state and federal privacy laws that mandate reasonable data security and other safeguards to protect Sensitive Data. For example, California has long recognized a duty to safeguard consumer's personal and medical information in its privacy laws. Similarly, HIPAA sets forth strict rules and standards that require healthcare

1	19. Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1320d-		
2	5(d)(2) and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1320d-5(d)(3).		
3	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
4	VIOLATIONS OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW		
5	BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200		
6	20. The People reallege and incorporate by reference each of the paragraphs above as		
7	though fully set forth herein.		
8	21. Anthem has engaged in unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent acts or practices, which		
9	constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Section 17200 of the Business and		
10	Professions Code. Anthem's acts or practices include, but are not limited to, the following:		
11	(a) Violating HIPAA and its Privacy and Security Rules, as alleged in the Firs		
12	Cause of Action; and		
13	(b) Failing to implement, maintain, and/or follow reasonable security		
14	procedures and practices to protect the personal information from		
15	unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure.		
16	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:		
18	1. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, that the Court enter all		
19	orders necessary to prevent Anthem, its successors, agents, representatives, employees, and all		
20	persons who act in concert with Anthem from engaging in any act or practice that constitutes		
21	unfair competition in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200, including as		
22	alleged in this Complaint;		
23	2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, that the Court assess a		
24	civil penalty of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500) for each violation of Business and		
25	Professions Code section 17200, as proved at trial;		
26	3. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-5(d)(1), that the Court assess a civil penalty of		
27	\$100, not to exceed \$25,000 per calendar year, for each violation of the Health Insurance		
28	Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-191, 110 Stat. 1938, as amended by		

1	the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, Pub. L. No. 111-5,		
2	123 Stat. 226, as well as the Department of Health and Human Services Regulations, 45 C.F.R.		
3	§ 160 et seq.		
4	4. That Plaintiff red	covers its cost of suit herein, including costs of investigation; and	
5	5. For such other a	nd further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
6			
7	Šc.		
8	Dated: September 30, 2020	Respectfully Submitted,	
9		XAVIER BECERRA	
10		Attorney General of California NICKLAS A. AKERS	
11		Senior Assistant Attorney General STACEY D. SCHESSER Supervising Deputy Attorney Congress	
12		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
13		Carry	
14		YEN P. NGUYEN	
15		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for The People of the State of	
16		California	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	4		
22	1		
23			
24			
25			
26	"		
27			
28			