1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
3	000
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS
10	CLETS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
11	Held on
12	DECEMBER 1, 2020
13	1:00 p.m.
14	HELD VIRTUALLY
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Transcribed by: Mary Ellen Edd, CSR 9755
25	

APPEARANCES --000--MARK PAZIN, Vice Chair, Office of Emergency Services MARIA CRANSTON, CLETS Executive Secretary MARK BONINI, California State Associaton of Counties KELLY BRANNIGAN, California Department of Justice CHRIS CHILDS, California Highway Patrol JOE DOMINIC, California Department of Justice RICK HILLMAN, California Police Chiefs Association KORY HONEA, California State Sheriffs' Association GREG PARK, League of California Cities ANDREW WHITE, California Peace Officers Association THOMAS WILSON, Department of Motor Vehicles

```
FOLSOM, CALIFORNIA, DECEMBER 17, 2019
 1
                             1:00 p.m.
 2
                              --000--
 3
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: We'll go ahead and call the
 4
   meeting to order, and I'll go ahead and roll it over to
 5
   Maria Cranston for our roll call.
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay, thank you. Let's start with
 7
   Chris Childs?
 8
            MR. CHILDS: I'm here, yes, I'm safely parked in my
 9
   car behind a building in Modesto. Lovely.
10
            MS. CRANSTON: I'm glad you're parked. That's good
11
   to hear.
12
            Chief Pazin?
13
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Here.
14
            MS. CRANSTON: Sheriff Kory Honea?
15
            MR. HONEA: Present.
16
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay. Chief Rick Hillman?
17
            MR. HILLMAN: (No audible response.)
18
            MS. CRANSTON: Mark Bonini?
19
            MR. BONINI: Here.
20
            MS. CRANSTON: Chief Andrew White?
21
            MR. WHITE: Present.
22
            MS. CRANSTON: Thomas Wilson?
23
            MR. WILSON: Good morning, Tom Wilson is here.
24
            MS. CRANSTON: Greg Park?
25
```

MR. PARK: Present. 1 MS. CRANSTON: Joe Dominic? 2 MR. DOMINIC: (No audible response.) 3 MS. CRANSTON: Joe? I see you. 4 MR. DOMINIC: Here. 5 MS. CRANSTON: Okay, thank you. We have a quorum. 6 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Oh, that's great news. 7 good. Okay, thank you, Maria. 8 So we'll go ahead now that that's been taken care 9 of, some housekeeping, please. Before anyone speaks, please 10 identify yourself before speaking or making a motion or 11 second for our transcript, as this is being recorded. 12 Microphones for the attendees will be muted during the 13 meeting except for the committee members, the CLETS 14 executive secretary and legal counsel. 15 There will be an opportunity for public comment 16 prior to any vote and towards the end of the agenda. 17 For the chairman's report, I'm pleased to announce 18 that we have two new members. They were sworn in. 19 that, I'd like to, um, with that, there were three vacancies 20 on the CLETS Advisory Committee. Two have been filled. 21 shall introduce those two new members now. 22 From the California Highway Patrol, representing 2.3 the California Highway Patrol and replacing Scott Howland, 2.4 will be Chris Childs. And if you'll indulge me real quick, 25

I have a quick file for those persons that may not know these two gentlemen, but I do, and two great additions.

2.4

Chief Chris Childs has been a member of the California Highway Patrol for 26 years, having worked in the Los Angeles, Sacramento, and San Francisco Bay Area. He survived all that trifecta. Good for you. He is a graduate of the FBI's National Academy for law enforcement executives and has served as commander of multiple CHP areas, including Napa, Santa Rosa, and Golden Gate Communications Center.

His most recent assignment was at the CHP's

Enforcement and Planning Division where he represented the department in implementation of the autonomous vehicles in California. He must have been doing pretty good work.

Haven't seen any cracks up and down 99 or State Route I-5, so congratulations, Chris Childs. And he will now take command of the CHP's Information Management Division and will serve as its Chief Information Officer.

Congratulations, and welcome aboard, Chris.

MR. CHILDS: Thank you, Mark. Appreciate it.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Representing, uh, Cal Peace, CPOA, will be Andrew White, succeeded Mark Shaw upon his retirement.

Chief White began his law enforcement career as a public safety dispatcher with the Napa Police Department.

He used to be a little common denominator there with Napa in

2.3

2002. In 2004, he went on to serve as a police officer with Suisun City Police Department. In addition to working patrol, he served as a school resource officer, recruit training officer and traffic. He was promoted to Sergeant, then in 2015 to commander overseeing and managing the operations of the department.

In 2018, he was appointed as chief of police with Clear Lake Police Department and has the dubious honor of working with Sheriff Brian Martin as an ad lib, but I'm going to stick with it as it's recorded.

He has served as an instructor with the Napa Valley College Criminal Justice Training Center for 13 years instructing both the police and dispatch academies and is a CLETS instructor. Chef White has an extensive experience with technology and his integration into law enforcement and, uh, we actually worked together at Cal OES and Chief White a couple years ago on a little issue.

Chief White has served on the California Police
Chiefs Association technology committee since 2016, and I'd
like to congratulations -- to congratulate Chief White on
his role with us.

Thank you very much, gentlemen. Great addition.

Uh, we now go on to Item No. 5 on our agenda, and the vice-chairman will initiate the discussion for the election of a new CAC chair in the absence of Scott Howland

```
and solicit a nomination. This position was previously held
 1
   by Scott who has since retired. And per Government Code
 2
   1557, the committee shall select a chair. I shall stay on
 3
   as vice-chair.
 4
            And with that, I'd like to, um, nominate Joe
 5
   Dominic for that chairperson's position.
 6
            MR. DOMINIC: Thank you, Chief Pazin, I accept the
   nomination.
 8
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you. And I will need a
 9
   second, and then we can go into discussion.
10
            MR. WILSON: Tom Wilson here. I'll second that.
11
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you, Tom.
12
            Per our agenda, we'll go into discussion. Are
13
   there any public comments prior to our vote?
14
            (No audible response.)
15
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Hearing none, I will ask
16
   Maria Cranston to initiate a roll call and vote for the
17
   applicant.
18
            MS. CRANSTON: I'm sorry, can you repeat the first
19
   and the second to the motion?
20
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: The first was Chief Mark
21
   Pazin.
           The second was Chief Tom Wilson.
22
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay, perfect. Thank you.
23
            So we have Chris Childs.
2.4
            MR. CHILDS: Aye.
25
```

```
MS. CRANSTON: Mark, you made the motion.
1
            Kory Honea?
 2
            MR. HONEA: Aye.
 3
            MS. CRANSTON: Rick Hillman is still not on.
 4
            Mark Bonini?
 5
            MR. BONINI: Aye.
 6
            MS. CRANSTON: Andrew White?
 7
            MR. WHITE: Aye.
8
            MS. CRANSTON: Tom, you made the second.
 9
            Greg Park?
10
            MR. PARK: Aye.
11
            MS. CRANSTON: And Joe?
12
            MR. DOMINIC: Aye.
13
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay. The motion passes.
14
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you everybody.
15
   congratulations to you, Joe. I know that you do a
16
   (unintelligible), and I'll back you up when needed.
17
            It'll then take us to item number sick -- six on
18
   the Secretary's report, and I'll kick it over to Maria.
19
            MS. CRANSTON: Thank you. And, um, if you guys
20
   could load the slides for me. Perfect.
21
            Next slide. I'll be going over the items, the
22
   CLETS traffic for the last quarter of misuse statistics and
2.3
   the action items. Unfortunately, there weren't any action
2.4
   items from the last meeting, so we'll slide over that part.
25
```

CLETS traffic statistics for the last quarter, July 1st through September 30th, we've had a total of inbound/outbound messages around 233 million and 234 million outbound. The monthly average was approximately 78 million both inbound and outbound. Daily average both ways was about two and a half million. And peak day was about 2.9 million, which is down from the previous quarter, which was about three and a half.

Next slide, please. Misuse statistics. This is for the annual misuse forms that local agencies are required to submit. Um, we did things a little bit differently as was presented last meeting.

Normally, we would report based on agencies. With COVID, and we were out of the office, it was a little bit more challenging to do that. So this time, we're reporting based on ORIs.

And what that means is, some agencies such as district attorneys offices and probation offices can have an ORI for each physical location. So, if you were to use L.A. County Probation, for example, they could have 50 to 100 different ORIs.

So that's why the number of agencies submitting the form is significantly higher for last reporting period versus 2018.

So we still have not received 216 forms for various

Ŭ

ORIs. We are still reaching out. However, one of the problems is, uh, we have either -- the agencies have either changed their reps and we're having a difficult time getting ahold of somebody at the agency, or the numbers have changed and so we're trying to find numbers and contact people for the agencies. So we are continuing to work on that.

Hopefully, we can get this result by the end of the year.

We've had 1,459 agencies' ORIs that did submit the forms, and no misuse was reported in 1,307. 152 agencies did report misuse, and there were 198 investigations performed. And then it's identified what type of action was taken. There can be multiple actions in one scenario. For example, somebody may have been counseled and also retrained, or in some cases, maybe they were counseled and then they ended up resigning.

And then we do go through and conduct analytics on all of the documents submitted. So there were -- this is the first time we had an online submission, so there were a lot of errors that were submitted. So we've gone through and made sure to work with the agencies on correcting any of the errors that we noticed.

For some agencies that identified that there was misuse, um, but they had marked no action taken, we did reach out to the agencies to ensure, if there's no action taken, what does that mean, what happened, and, you know, we

were able to clarify all of those. So, if there was misuse, 1 there was always action taken. 2 All right, next slide. 3 Now, this slide represents these journal searches 4 that we perform in-house at DOJ related to potential misuse. 5 So for 2020, we've done 33 misuse investigations; 6 19 of those resulted in no CLETS misuse; 13 are still pending, and sometimes when they're pending, they can go on for years, especially if it goes to trial or there's an investigation. 10 And then CLETS misuse so far was only found in one 11 case, and the employee was counseled. 12 Next slide, please. Action items, there are no 13 action items, so that concludes the Executive Secretary's 14 report. 15 Any questions? 16 (No audible response.) 17 MS. CRANSTON: No? Okay. Thank you. 18 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you, Maria. 19 We'll go on to Item No. 7 on our agenda, which will 20 be the update of pending legislation. 21 Kelly Brannigan, please? 22 MS. BRANNIGAN: Good morning, everyone. I'm Kelly 2.3 Brannigan with the Department of Justice. 2.4 I'd like to provide some status updates on the 25

bills that were covered in the previous meeting's legislative summary. I'll be going by topic beginning with peace officers lease (phonetic) practices.

2.3

2.4

Assembly Bill, AB-846, Public Employment. Public officers are employees declared by law to be peace officers.

AB-846 requires that a potential peace officer be evaluated by a physician and surgeon or psychologist and be found to be free from bias against race or ethnicity, gender, nationality, religion, disability, and sexual orientation, in addition to other factors currently evaluated.

It also requires every department or agency that employs peace officers to review the job descriptions used to recruit and hire those peace officers and make changes that emphasize community interaction and collaborative problem solving and de-emphasize the paramilitary aspects of the job. This bill chaptered.

AB-1196, Peace Officers' Use of Force.

AB-1196 prohibits a law enforcement agency, or LEA, from authorizing the use of a carotid restraint or chokehold. This bill chaptered.

AB-1506, Police Use of Force.

AB-1506 subject to appropriation requires the

Attorney General to investigate incidents of an

office-involved shooting that results in the death of an

unarmed civilian. As defined, it authorizes the Attorney

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 2.3

2.4

25

General to prepare and submit a written report and, if one is completed, requires the Attorney General to post the report to a publicly-accessible website.

Commencing July 1, 2023, AB-1506 requires the Department of Justice to, upon request of a local LEA, review the use of deadly force policies of that agency and make recommendations on those policies. This bill also chaptered.

Next we have the firearms bills.

AB-2061, Firearms Inspections.

Beginning July 1, 2022, AB-2061 allows the DOJ to inspect firearms dealers, ammunition vendors, or manufacturers participating in a gun show or event to ensure all transfers or sales are conducted in compliance with State and local laws. It also allows the DOJ to inspect ammunition vendors to ensure compliance with applicable State and Federal laws. This bill chaptered.

AB-2617, Firearms Prohibited Persons.

AB-2617 requires a law enforcement officer who requests a temporary emergency gun violence restraining order to file a copy of the order with the court within three court days of the issuance.

The bill makes it a misdemeanor for a person to own or possess a firearm or ammunition with the knowledge that they are prohibited from doing so by an out-of-state gun

_

Τ 0

2.3

violence restraining order. It also extends the period for which the person is prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm or ammunition for five years beyond the expiration of the existing gun violence restraining order. This bill chaptered.

AB-2699. By March 1, 2021, AB-2699 requires the DOJ to issue a one-time notification to all individuals and LEAs that are in possession of an unsafe handgun, according to the DOJ's current records. Thereafter, it requires the DOJ to issue a notice to the individual or LEA taking possession of an unsafe handgun pursuant to an exemption at the time of purchase or transfer.

Lastly, it requires individuals and LEAs in possession of an unsafe handgun to notify the DOJ of any sale or transfer of that handgun within 72 hours of the transaction. This bill chaptered.

AB-2847, Firearms Unsafe Handguns.

Existing law requires manufacturers to imprint a microstamp in two or more places on the internal working parts of a newly manufactured automatic pistol.

By July 21, 2022, AB-2847 requires firearms manufacturers to imprint a microstamp in one place on the interior of a newly manufactured semi-automatic pistol. For each new firearm added to the roster of handguns that have been tested and determined not to be unsafe handguns, the

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2.3 2.4

25

bill requires the DOJ to remove three firearms from the roster that are not compliant with the microstamping requirements. This bill also chaptered.

And then SB, or Senate Bill, 914, Firearms.

This bill failed passage. It would have required the DOJ to confirm the validity of a hunting license with the Department of Fish and Wildlife during a background check when a person is under the age of 21 and using the hunting license for just a firearm. But, again, this bill failed passage.

And lastly, the miscellaneous bills.

AB-1969, Secondhand Goods, Tangible Personal Property Reporting Requirements.

Beginning July 1, 2023, AB-1969 exempts a seller or pledger who verifies their identity using a matricular consular (phonetic) from their requirements that their personal identifying information, or PII, be reported to the California Pawn and Secondhand dealer system, or CAPS.

It requires each secondhand dealer or coin dealer to record and maintain a specified PII of such seller or pledger for three years from the date the item was reported to CAPS and provided to the law enforcement upon receiving notification that the item has been reported lost, stolen, or embezzled. This bill chaptered.

AB-2606, Criminal Justice Supervised Release File.

2.4

Every ten days, AB-2606 requires each County

Probation Department or other supervising County agency to

update any supervised release file that is available through

CLETS by entering any person that is placed on

post-conviction supervision in their jurisdiction. This

bill chaptered.

And that concludes my legislative update.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you -- (clearing throat) excuse me, Kelly.

Are there any questions or comments? Please -- if there are, please identify yourself from the committee.

(No audible response.)

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: There being none, we will move on to Item No. 8, the CLETS message header changes under AB-1747. I would like to introduce Erin Choi (phonetic), to give us an update on that.

MS. CHOI: Good morning, everyone. Thank you for this opportunity to be with you all this morning.

For today's agenda topic, I just wanted to spend a few minutes to give you an update. I know that AB-1747 is a topic of great interest in the law enforcement community, and we always want to be as -- as transparent as possible.

So, just to, you know, kick things off as a quick recap, um, all of your agencies should have received an information bulletin about AB-1747 back in the spring. We

issued that in early April. And, really, we wanted to get the word out to let everyone know what to expect as far as upcoming changes to CLETS.

So effective July 1, 2021, all incoming CLETS transactions must be accompanied with a purpose code. And there's three options. We're trying to keep this simple and straighforward, but you must send us that purpose code.

So since the information bulletin went out, um, our focus has been on readying the CLETS system, and we have some additional DOJ resources on the line that can speak to that. Kirk Beardwood (phonetic) has joined us this morning from our IT team, and Maria -- Maria's area in the CLETS administration section has been involved with that as well.

So we have some agencies that are already submitting successful transactions with that new format, and what our focus is going to be on right now is continued testing and outreach to make sure that all agencies are ready to go by July 1st in getting us this additional purpose code information.

Um, and then related to that, we've also been having a series of internal meetings just so far about how we may start conducting audits on this information, because that's another component that is part of the legislation as well, that agencies will not only give us this information, but then it could be subject to audit by Cal DOJ. So this

1.5

is a type of topic that we're pulling together a lot of resources from within the department to make sure that we address it the right way. So not only are IT sources within my area, I oversee the audit team, but as well our legal team and representatives from the DOJ research center.

As I'm sure you can all appreciate from the stats that Maria shared a little while ago, since we're receiving 2.5 million daily transactions for CLETs, we have to really come up with a smart strategy on how to audit those in a meaningful way and make sure that agencies are prepared to be successful.

So if it can give you any kind of peace of mind, if you haven't heard from us, it's not for lack of wanting to -- to reach out, but we are still trying to finalize what that approach is going to be, and there have been representatives from all of your associations as well as some vendors that have reached out. If you have any questions, please, please don't hesitate, so you can pick up the phone, e-mail me, or give me a call, and I'm happy to -- to go over some things with you. It has been really helpful to get feedback from agencies about particular scenarios that they're worried about the most.

So just as an example, one thing that was passed along to me is that, um, a very popular type of inquiry is going to be just on a plate number only. And the plate is

being run to make sure that it's not a stolen vehicle. And if there's no hit, then nothing else may typically be documented, and it doesn't have a ticket number or a case number or something else that could -- you know, it could be tied back to. So that's an example of a really helpful scenario that we're trying to make sure would be accounted for before we started doing some sampling of records for potential audits.

2.3

2.4

Um, some other things just to kind of put out there, we have been able to be successful partners with the CLETS user groups before. I was just thinking back over the last ten years that I've been working on audits within CJIS, we have rolled out three other new audit types, so this is going to be our fourth, just another evolution of our existing audit process, and we approach it not to ding agencies, not to try to have a gotcha, but more of a training and outreach to always make sure that the policies that are being set are clear. So that's gonna be how we approach this new audit for AB-1747 as well as a collaborative process.

And, you know, I'm happy to announce that we have some staff that are going to be coming onboard, new hires to focus just on this project. So we have a new manager that will be leading up this section within client services program. She starts tomorrow, so she is not able to join us

today, but some of you may have worked with her in the past. For the last several years, she's been working on DOJ legislation and other things.

2.3

2.4

So Jamie Tackett (phonetic) is going to be the new manager effective tomorrow heading up the specific AB-1747 audit team. So with that addition of Jamie to the team, we'll really be able to ramp up our outreach and communication over the next several weeks.

Um, you know, I'm speaking to someone yesterday who mentioned that it might be helpful just to send out an e-mail blast to all of our agency CLETS coordinators to share this information, so that's something that we'll take as an action item. We're developing our communication plan, but one of the things that we are planning is, starting in January, to start sending out monthly clinter (phonetic) messages, and we'll also be running reports and do target outreach to those agencies that have not yet tested this new CLETS format.

So that kind of gives you an idea of where we're at, but happy to hear any more feedback or suggestions that you have. And, really, this is going to be the kickoff of a lot more to come within the next six months.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: So I'll go ahead and maybe start --

MS. CHOI: Yeah.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: -- for those agencies through 1 Cal State Sheriffs, Cal Chiefs, CPOA, will there be a central depository for any questions for e-mail, or will you 3 put that out rather than having your phone blow up or we get into the weeds here at this meeting? 5 MS. CHOI: Uh, yes, there is a central e-mail, and 6 I'm pulling it up on my screen right now just to make sure I don't misread it accidentally. Um, and this is on the 8 information bulletin as well which was attached as one of the reference materials for today's meeting. 10 But, if anyone has any -- any questions, they can 11 always reach us at DOJCSP for client services program at DOJ 12 dot CA dot gov. So dojcsp@doj.ca.gov. 13 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: No, I saw that on the 14 I just want to make sure maybe for future use bulletin. 15 prospectively, get that out --16 MS. CHOI: Uh-huh. 17 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: -- to those individual law 18 enforcement groups. 19 MS. CHOI: Uh-huh. Yes, please go on. 20 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you, Erin. 21 I'll open it up, Moderator, maybe any questions, 22 you can signal by raising your hand. Comments? 2.3 (No audible response.) 2.4 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Erin? Looks like you did a 25

great job, with other than that one comment, and we'll move 1 on to Item No. 9. 2 Thank you, Chief --MS. CHOI: 3 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Upgrades applications 4 approved by the DOJ. That is listed, and we can refer that 5 to the committee to the eight CLETS upgrade applications on the agenda. Maybe, Maria, you have any comments on that for us? 8 MS. CRANSTON: Uh, no, these were just applications 9 that were already approved by DOJ. We are just letting the 10 committee know that we are actively working on applications 11 and, as agencies upgrade their systems and move their 12 applications to the Cloud, so --13 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay, very good. 14 Understanding was information only, but I thought there 15 might have been something else between now and then. 16 Okay, very good. Thank you. 17 Speaking of Maria, we go into Item No. 10, uh, and 18 to present information about the two applicant agencies and 19 what was found out about that. 20 Maria? 21 MS. CRANSTON: The first one is the California 22 Health & Human Services, Office of Law Enforcement Support. 2.3 Do you guys want to pull up the first sheet? 2.4

Thank you.

25

2.3

2.4

Okay. This is, staff recommends approval. It's for a location in Sacramento. They're what is known as a Class 3 agency, which means they are a law enforcement sub-unit of a non-law enforcement agency. They meet the requirements per California Penal Code 830.3(b) and Welfare & Institutions Code 4023.6.

Their primary responsibility is to investigate incidents at developmental centers or state hospitals that involve developmental center or state hospital law enforcement personnel that meets the criteria of Section 4023 or 4427.5 or alleges serious misconduct by law enforcement personnel.

They do have five sworn officers, and they are moving into a location that currently has a CLETS terminal, so they won't be adding their own access. They'll just be using a device that's already in that facility.

So staff recommends approval for this new service request.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you. I know we have two, but we'll go with each one individually as our normal procedure.

Discussion?

(No audible response.)

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Is there any public comment?
(No audible response.)

```
VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Hearing none, I will now ask
1
   for a motion and a second from the committee. Please
   identify yourself and your agency upon doing so.
 3
            MR. PARK: This is Park. I'll move for adoption of
 4
   this request.
 5
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: We have a motion.
                                                      We would
 6
   need a second. Please identify yourself.
            (Multiple voices, unintelligible.)
8
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Go ahead, Tom.
 9
            MR. WILSON: Tom Wilson. I'll second that one.
10
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay. We have a motion and a
11
   second for our minutes. Will you please initiate a roll
12
   call?
13
            MS. CRANSTON:
                           Thank you.
14
            Chris Childs?
15
            MR. CHILDS: Chris Childs here. Aye.
16
            MS. CRANSTON: Mark Pazin?
17
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN:
                                  Aye.
18
            MS. CRANSTON: Sheriff Kory Honea?
19
            MR. HONEA: Aye.
20
            MS. CRANSTON: Rich Hillman is not on the call.
21
            Mark Bonini?
22
            MR. BONINI: Aye.
23
            MS. CRANSTON: Andrew White?
24
            MR. WHITE: Aye.
25
```

MS. CRANSTON: Um, Wilson gave us the second, and 1 Greg Park made the motion. Joe Dominic? 3 MR. DOMINIC: Aye. 4 MS. CRANSTON: Okay, motion passes. 5 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you. 6 We'll go on to item ten, subsection (b), Clovis Unified School District. Go ahead, Maria, with that one. 8 MS. CRANSTON: Okay. If you'll pull up that second 9 sheet, please? 10 Thank you. 11 Okay. This is for the Clovis Unified School 12 District Police Department out of Fresno County. It's also 13 a Class 3 agency, which means a law enforcement unit of a 14 non-law enforcement agency. They qualified for California 15 Penal Code 830.32. 16 Their primary function under 830.32 is to perform 17 traditional law enforcement duties for K through 12 Unified 18 School District within Clovis, California. They have 16 19 peace officers, and they are accessing behind Clovis PD's 20 direct connection to DOJ. 21 Staff recommends approval. 22 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay, thank you. 2.3 So we will open up for any discussion. 2.4 (No audible response.) 25

```
VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: We will now open up for any
1
   public comment.
            (No audible response.)
 3
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Hearing none, I will now
 4
   entertain a motion and a second on this.
 5
            (Multiple voices, unintelligible).
 6
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: We have Andrew White has made
 7
   the motion. Second, please?
            MR. WILSON: Tom Wilson will second.
 9
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Tom Wilson with a second.
10
            Maria, please initiate the roll call for this
11
   application.
12
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay, Chris Childs?
13
            MR. CHILDS: Aye.
14
            MS. CRANSTON: Mark Pazin?
15
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Yes.
16
            MS. CRANSTON: Kory Honea?
17
            MR. HONEA: Aye.
18
            MS. CRANSTON: Mark Bonini?
19
            (No audible response.)
20
            MS. CRANSTON: Mark Bonini, are you still on the
21
   call?
22
            (No audible response.)
2.3
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay.
24
            Andrew White made the motion. Tom Wilson made the
25
```

```
second.
1
            Greg Park?
 2
            MR. PARK:
                       Aye.
 3
            MS. CRANSTON:
                          Joe Dominic?
 4
            MR. DOMINIC: (No audible response.)
 5
            MS. CRANSTON:
                           Okay, motion passes.
 6
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN:
                                   Thank you, Maria.
                                                       And I'm
 7
   sure you'll notify them upon completion of this meeting.
8
   Thank you very much.
            MS. CRANSTON:
                            Thank you.
10
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN:
                                  We'll go on to Item No. 11.
11
   It pains me to introduce this person, Budge Courier
12
   (phonetic) -- I mean did I say that? We still being
13
   recorded?
             Okay, sorry.
14
            Budge Courier is a good friend over here at Cal
15
         We'll go ahead and pursue it anyway, and Greg Parks
16
   and I will talk about him later on after this presentation.
17
            But in all sincerity, we have something on the
18
   horizon with us that Budge has and we kid each other quite a
19
   bit, but this is very important for the next Gen 911
20
   (phonetic) as we move into the funding and deployment, and
21
   we have a competent person in Budge. And I'll kick it over
22
   to you for this quick overview for the advisory committee.
2.3
   Budge?
2.4
            MR. COURIER: (No audible response.)
25
```

```
VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Maria?
1
            MS. CRANSTON: I see Budge on the call, but I don't
 2
   hear anything.
 3
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: I want to assure everyone and
 4
   record this for -- that this will not be an indictment on
 5
   the next Gen 911. I'm sure it's a glitch on the DOJ part.
 6
            Budge?
 7
            (No audible response.)
8
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: I'll refer to the moderator.
 9
   Is there something on our end, or connective-wise?
10
            MODERATOR: It looked like Budge (unintelligible)
11
   for a second. I went ahead and unmuted his microphone.
12
            You there, Budge?
13
            MR. COURIER: Yeah, can you hear me now?
14
            MODERATOR: Perfect.
15
            MS. CRANSTON: We can.
16
            MR. COURIER: All right. And can we see my video
17
   as well?
18
            MS. CRANSTON: Yes, we can see it.
19
            MR. COURIER: All right, perfect. So I just had to
20
   change microphones.
21
            So, thank you, Chief Pazin for the introduction.
22
   apologize for the technical difficulties there.
2.3
            I'm going to go through a couple of slides.
24
   of you have probably heard some of this presentation at some
25
```

of the outreach meetings we've had. But really, the purpose of today is just to start the collaboration with your board and with the members that you represent.

So if you go on to the next slide. We -- we have a network that we're building out in California.

We selected four vendors to provide next Gen 911 services, and this is just a snapshot of which vendors are providing services in different parts of the state. The northern part of the state will by Synergen (phonetic). The central area and L.A. will be provided by MGA (phonetic), and down south, we're working with Lumen (phonetic). And then Autos (phonetic) will be connected to every single public safety answering point in the state.

We started our project last August when we signed contracts, and we expect to have the network built out by July of 2021, and we're on track with the schedule in spite of all the disasters we've had and COVID and the pandemic and everything else. We have had to move some of the dates, but we are still tracking here.

So on the next slide, for those of you that have an IT background, you may appreciate the complexity of this project. But we -- we broke it up into different lanes.

And this gives you kind of a high level of where we're at in the process. So you can see we've made a significant amount of progress in the schedules.

_

And we're at the point now where we're connecting IP services through the public safety answering points to the peace apps around the state, and we're starting to conduct the testing that needs to happen. And between the different service providers and to make sure that we can integrate with the equipment that's already at your peace app.

We anticipate in January will be our earliest go live, and then we have a cut-over schedule that takes us from January through July.

On the next slide is really one I want to focus on, and I'm certainly not going to go through everything on this graphic. It's -- it's quite complex.

However, the point is, we're building out a redundant (unintelligible) reliable IP network to every single public safety answering point in the state. And this is just a high-level network topology, but to make it a little simpler, if you go to the next slide, this is what it looks like from a peace app perspective.

Every peace app will have two fixed IP connections, if available, from their region provider shown in the kinda reddish or orange on the bottom there, and two from the prime network service provider. So that's Autos.

And then we're building out a robust LTE solution as well. And all this is integrated into a (unintelligible)

controller to make it appear like one connection. And this pipe that we're putting in will be secure. We're bringing in a third party vendor.

Matter of fact, we just hired Net Force (phonetic) to come in and, um, to come in and do the -- the cyber security assessment on the network. And so we'll have a path in every peace app that is very reliable, and it's secure. Everything on this network is encrypted and -- and runs through out, um, you know, firewalls and through the -- the network topology.

So really what we're asking and considering is, once this network's built and in and stable, which should happen by this summer, what other things could this network be used for? And that's really the question that we're asking. We know it's going to be used for 911 calls. That's certainly what it's designed for, but there are other applications that could potentially run across this network, including things like CLETS.

And so the idea is to start the conversation to see that that might look like, if there's synergies between what we're doing, uh, with this network and the actions that are being taken to provide CLETS data statewide as well.

So, really, that's -- that's the purpose of the conversation, to see how could this project be used as a catalyst. And then, certainly to answer any questions you

might have about next Gen 911 and what's happening in your specific peace app, those are absolutely important, and we can certainly entertain those questions as well.

1.3

But that's really the high-level overview we wanted to provide and just give you a timeline of where we're at.

And with that, Chief, I'll turn it over to you for -- for questions from either you or -- or the board members.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Again, Budge, thank you. I got to tell you, Budge came into this like a couple years ago and just appreciate all the work, the perseverance and focus. This is a huge tasking, 58 counties, multiple jurisdictions, multiple peace apps, and, um, just a yeoman's task.

And, Budge, I know we kid around with each other a lot, but congratulations to you and your team that has got us here. I know Director Gilarducci (phonetic) is very focused on this because this will help everybody and making sure we are connected and that whatever spinoff usages we have will be well worth it.

So I will open up for any comments of the committee members. Please identify yourselves.

MR. DOMINIC: Yeah, hi, it's Joe Dominic. I've just got to thank you for the presentation. I appreciated that.

Just a question when you mentioned running, uh, using this for other purposes, and I think it's great to leverage, you know, all this infrastructure and services that were pretty overlaying here.

Uh, it would be good to have kind of more of a deeper discussion from that perspective, just kind of a end-to-end security and looking at confidentiality. You know, there's all these things we -- somebody (unintelligible) look at network security or infrastructure security. We don't -- we don't think about the personnel screening and security. Anybody who has physical or logical access to the data that's traversing across the network, whoever de- -- you know, decription points are happening across that travel, and so I was, um, a part of the CGA and kind of AT&T, Verizon, whole thing with CDT early on, ten years ago, and it was still problematic because they came and did this bolt-on, and I did this assessment, and it was quite complicated.

But I would just, um, I think it's great to -- to look at that, and I'm glad you brought that up. So, um, I would -- I would welcome maybe more of a conversation with Maria and the CLETS administration section initially to get that conversation started to kinda get deeper into the weeds, not necessarily in this forum, but appreciate you showing that. I think it's fantastic. Thank you.

MR. COURIER: Yeah. Thank you for that feedback, and we certainly would welcome an in-depth conversation. I, you know, in a forum like this, it's very difficult to get down to the technical weeds, but we have the, you know, the staff members to actually have this conversation and to begin those types of deliberate planning processes that would have to go in place. So we're looking forward to that.

great question.

MR. PARK: Thank you, Joe. This is Park. And a quick question for Budge related to a little more detail on disaster recovery.

I know a number of our agencies just over the last year and a half or so have had some challenges with backhoes and other related items on the CLETS lines. How would perhaps this new network overcome some of those challenges?

MR. COURIER: Yes. So thank you, Greg. That's a

The network has, um, multiple IP connections in every peace app, and then we overlay that with -- with LTE.

And we also got the approval to build out our microwave network, the state-owned microwave network, out into each of these peace apps.

So, essentially, you've got three connections from a region provider independent of four connections from the prime provider. So in areas where all of those connections

are available, that's seven different paths in, all managed through a (unintelligible) and controller, and we can do, um, a -- a -- a VPN (phonetic) tunnel through that to make sure it's secure, and nobody has access to it. So, really, we're talking about transport layer redundancy that we then apply application layer security through, and so we're really just the pipe provider at that point.

We'd have to look at -- as Joe mentioned, there's a number of things we have to look at. But it would make sense to have those conversations. And in the end, it might not be possible. There may be a barrier somewhere that we don't see. But it's certainly a more redundant and reliable path than what you have today. I -- I can guarantee that.

As we've presented this to our vendors, they -they cannot believe the lengths we're going to to ensure
that these calls continue to be delivered, and our response
is, this is 911. It can't go down. And so we're hoping to
leverage that type of reliability and other critical
connections provided, you can make security work and all the
other, you know, approvals that need to be in place.

And those are not insignificant. We understand that. But we want to begin to have those conversations to just see if it's possible.

MR. PARK: Thank you.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you everybody.

MR. WHITE: I just had a couple comments and questions.

1.3

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Go ahead, sir.

MR. WHITE: Okay. Um, you know, I think to -- back on what Greg was talking about, you know, I've been really impressed with the way your team's handled this project, and I think it's a model of, you know, as we look with this group and the DOJ systems and, obviously, as Chief Dominic mentioned, you know, security's prime within this.

However, I think, you know, you guys are leveraging the latest in technology, specifically SD (unintelligible) and redundancy and being a person who's been out there on the street numerous times when CLETS goes down, um, you know, it's a strong network, but it's brittle when you look at what's available now, leveraging LTE and these other things for the, uh, the back haul.

So I do hope that the discussions continue. I'm not sure what the process is with this group, but I'd like to see a continual update, um, as to how those discussions are going with Chief Dominic's team, um, because, again, you know, I think that with technology, almost anything's possible. It's just figuring out the funding and, obviously, maintaining security.

I was glad to hear about the band width. It sounds like you planned it out to, uh, to accommodate other stuff.

- 1 I think that looking at CLETS, where it's at now and where
- 2 | we see it, you know, 10, 20, 30 years in the future, I think
- 3 band width's going to be huge, um, as well as, uh,
- 4 redundancy, particularly, you know, different reasons and
- 5 different parts of this state. Rural has different issues
- 6 than, um, the more urban areas.
- 7 | So, anyhow, I really appreciate you coming out and
- 8 educating everybody on a massive project, but something, you
- 9 know, I see economy of scale here where we can benefit from
- 10 what you've done so far.
- 11 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you, Chief White. And,
- 12 certainly, with our new chairperson, we can maybe get that
- 13 on the agenda for the next meeting.
- 14 Any other comments or thoughts regarding Item
- 15 No. 11?
- 16 (No audible response.)
- 17 VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Thank you, everybody.
- 18 Moving on, we will go on to Item No. 12. That will
- 19 be the members' reports. And maybe just because we are in a
- 20 | Zoom, maybe I can call upon Maria just to go down the line
- 21 | rather than maybe people trying to talk over each other, and
- 22 ask if they have anything to discuss or bring up to the
- 23 group.
- MS. CRANSTON: Okay, not a problem.
- 25 Chris Childs?

```
MR. CHILDS: I have nothing for the group at this
1
   time.
 2
                           Mark, do you have anything?
 3
            MS. CRANSTON:
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN:
                                   No.
 4
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay, Sheriff Kory Honea?
 5
            MR. HONEA: I have no report.
                                            Thank you.
 6
 7
            MS. CRANSTON:
                            Okay. Mark Bonini?
            MR. BONINI: Nothing to report. Thank you.
 8
            MS. CRANSTON: Andrew White?
 9
            MR. WHITE: Yeah, I just wanted to follow up with
10
   more of a question as far as getting agenda items.
11
   the adopted process to add items to the agenda for future
12
13
   meetings?
            MS. CRANSTON: You would go ahead and send them to
14
   me.
15
            MR. WHITE: Okay. Um, the second thing would be
16
   is, as far as the CLETS policies and procedures, I think it
17
   would be worthy to have a discussion maybe at a future
18
19
   meeting regarding that.
            I know there was -- it looks like last year there
20
21
   was discussion around the encryption language. I know Chief
   Dominic and the team have been working with that.
                                                       I think
22
   it's something that I'm hearing a lot about from law
23
   enforcement agencies. Um, so I think it's time to relook at
24
```

those and see how that language gets updated so we're all on

25

the same page so to speak. 1 MS. CRANSTON: Okay. 2 Um, the second one, I was curious if MR. WHITE: 3 there's ever reports on down time. I know for the 911 4 group, they provide reports on how often the parts of the 5 system are down. Does DOJ track any of that for agencies, 6 like when a county's link goes down? 7 Um, I thought they did. MS. CRANSTON: I'm not a 8 hundred percent sure. I'd have to double check that. 9 but if it's something we do track, I could definitely 10 include it in the executive secretary's report. 11 MR. WHITE: That'd be awesome. Thank you. 12 1.3 MS. CRANSTON: You are welcome. Sorry, I'm taking notes real quick. 14 Okay. Um, Thomas Wilson? 15 MR. WILSON: I have nothing to report today. 16 you. 17 Okay. Greg Park? 18 MS. CRANSTON: 19 MR. PARK: Thank you. Just wanted to report that the California Police Chiefs, California Sheriffs 20 21 data-sharing task force met a week ago, and as part of that meeting, we received an update from Mark St. Pierre 22 23 (phonetic) and the master offense (phonetic) code subcommittee group who are working toward making a 24 25 technology based master offense code system available for

vendors and users in California.

We continue making progress. The Penal Code has been completed as of the first part of this year, and the working group assigned to reviewing statutes look like they'll be moving toward the Vehicle Code next. We anticipate a report from DOJ in the next few weeks. The plan is, over the next couple of years to complete this project, but we continue to applaud and thank the efforts of Mark St. Pierre and his group on making this effort a success and anticipate even in January having the new codes available that have been passed by the Legislature this year.

So thank you again, DOJ, for your support and work on that.

And the second item, this body here has adopted strategic plans in the past. I know they've been prepared and presented to the CLETS advisory committee and was just curious that perhaps at the next meeting, we can get a report on what the most recent strategic plan status is and the elements of that that we've been able to adopt and successfully deploy and determine whether or not we might need to start work on updating that.

Thank you.

MS. CRANSTON: Thank you. And then Joe Dominic?

MR. DOMINIC: I have nothing to report at this

```
time, thank you.
1
            MS. CRANSTON: That's everyone.
2
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN:
                                   Thank you, Maria.
 3
            MS. CRANSTON: You're welcome.
 4
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: (Unintelligible). Way to
5
   pivot. Gotcha. Okay.
 6
7
            Uh, Number 13, the CAC discussion, open forum, and
   public comment moderator, please identify anyone that may be
8
   from the public that wishes to make a comment.
9
            THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Chief. I just went
10
   ahead and invited Sheriff Don Barnes to request interact
11
   live. He mentioned in a message that he was interested.
12
13
   So, and Sheriff Barnes, you should see a request interact
   live.
                      Otherwise, if you are an attendee, you
14
   should see a raise hand feature on the side of your screen.
15
   You go ahead and click that button, then I can go ahead and
16
   accept you, and you should be brought in to be able to
17
   interact. So we'll go ahead and wait on those people.
18
19
   if we do not see any in the next few minutes, then I'm
   assuming that that means no one has requested to interact
20
   live.
2.1
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Did I understand Sheriff
22
23
   Barnes wishes a few moments?
            THE MODERATOR: It, uh, it looks like he's on the
24
   phone. I -- I don't know if he's on the other line or if
25
```

he's using his phone to, um, participate. So I'm not quite sure.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Maybe I can text him.

THE MODERATOR: He says he's not seeing a raised hand feature and that (unintelligible) isn't working. Um, I went ahead and tried to send an invitation to him. Um, and it looks like he's not being able to, um, to accept that. Otherwise, (unintelligible) can hear me, and it looks like you're able to type. So if you would go ahead, if you want to go ahead and type into the, um, event chat, we can have someone read that out for you as your public comment.

While we wait for Sheriff Barnes, um, it does not look like there are any other people who have requested to interact.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay. While we're waiting for Sheriff Barnes to type in his comments and -- or questions, uh, I want to entertain for the group, our committee, to maybe have our next meeting in May. And the reason I advocate for that is to maybe have a presentation of further discuss the message header pages that Erin had already presented us and maybe some of the issues that are coming down the pipe or how we are going to alleviate that with -- since we have that on the, uh, on CLEN, and just entertain that for the committee group while we wait for Sheriff Barnes, or we can pause for station identification

and go to a commercial break.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

THE MODERATOR: It -- it looks like Sheriff Barnes just sent me his comment. He would like to know, he says

Orange County submitted a CLETS application for Lexus Nexus

(phonetic) 15 months ago and would like to know the status of that.

MS. CRANSTON: Um, I can respond to that. I, off the top of my head, I don't know the status, but after the meeting, I am more than happy to research that and figure out what's going on with it and get back to him. If that's acceptable.

(Multiple voices, unintelligible)

THE MODERATOR: I'll wait a couple more seconds to see if Sheriff Barnes responds. There is typically, between presenters and attendees, there's typically about a 20-second delay.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: I'm sure the magnanimous
Sheriff Barnes will accept that.

MR. HONEA: Hey, and this is Kory Honea -20 can I speak?

THE MODERATOR: Yeah, Kory, you betcha.

MR. HONEA: I have Sheriff Barnes on my cell phone.

23 If you'll allow it, I will hold it up to the speaker of my

24 computer so that he can make his comments.

UNID. MALE: Oh, very good. Yeah, that'd be great.

Absolutely. 1 MR. HONEA: Sheriff Barnes, go ahead. 2 SHERIFF BARNES: Mark, thank you very much for the 3 opportunity. 4 My concern is we've had this application in for 15 5 This board, I believe, meets only twice a year. months. 6 7 We've been waiting for a contract (unintelligible) Lexus Nexus, and they're a national organization that collects 8 access throughout the country, and I believe it's been --9 it's a long time, and we have been really nonre- -- I should 10 say DOJ's been nonresponsive to our request, update request, 11 over the last several months. 12 1.3 Um, I'd like to get a response back before the next meeting so we can put our contract with Lexus Nexus in 14 place with IOJ (phonetic) Orange County, which represents 15 3.2 million people, the transition Lexus Nexus, and this has 16 been -- this has placed us on hold for 15 months. 17 THE MODERATOR: (Unintelligible). 18 19 MS. CRANSTON: Definitely, after the meeting, I will look at the status and get back to you right away of 20 21 what's going on with that. Um, but as an upgraded application, unless it's completely new technology, the 22

44

Department of Justice can review and approve that

application. It does not have to wait for the next

23

24

25

committee meeting.

```
SHERIFF BARNES: That would be great. I would like
1
   for it not to wait till the next committee meeting, but to
 2
   get a response would be appreciated.
 3
            MS. CRANSTON:
                          Okay. As soon as we get off -- or
 4
   out of this meeting, I'll research that and get right back
 5
   to you today.
 6
 7
            SHERIFF BARNES: Thank you. And I think Mark has
   my cell phone number. He can give it to you.
 8
 9
            MS. CRANSTON: Okay.
            UNID. MALE: Very good.
10
            SHERIFF BARNES: Or Sheriff Honea has it.
11
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Sheriff Barnes, I'm going to
12
13
   have my best people on it as soon as we hang up. Got it.
            SHERIFF BARNES: Thank you, Mark.
14
            UNID. MALE: You betcha.
15
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay. Moderator, uh, no
16
   persons out there for the public comment, is that still
17
   correct?
18
19
            MODERATOR: Correct, we do not have any other
   public comment requesters.
20
            VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay. So we'll go on to
21
   number -- that was 13 -- uh, 14, the next CAC meeting.
22
23
   defer to our new chairperson, Joe Dominic, but I really
   would like to advocate for a meeting in May, maybe the
24
   second or third week in May prior to the Memorial weekend,
25
```

and then after somebody's birthday May 1st. And so we can -- and if we have to, maybe do another overview of the message header changes. I'm sure there'll be some input from Cal State Sheriffs, Cal Chiefs and CPOA.

So, Maria, how would we go about that? Or would you just send out some dates to the group for those two weeks barring no conflicts that we see right now from the committee?

MS. CRANSTON: Right. So our office technician,
Madeeha, has already sent out an e-mail to everyone asking
for availability for May and June. So, hopefully, if we can
get a quorum in May, we can have the meeting in May. So we
just need everyone to respond to her request.

VICE-CHAIRMAN PAZIN: Okay. Again, just one last (unintelligible). I don't want to wait till June prior to something taking effect July 1st. That'll give us some weeks to work on anything that we, uh, collectively believe is a hurdle. So, again, I'll defer to the committee. But certainly mid-May would be a sweet spot for all of us.

With that, uh, I thank everybody. Our next meeting will be chaired by Joe Dominic, and I will go ahead and adjourn the meeting pending nobody staying -- no.

Very good. Everybody get back to work, darn it. I got a state to run.

MS. CRANSTON: Thank you.

```
UNID. MALE: Thank you.
 1
              UNID. MALE: Thank you very much.
 2
             (Meeting concluded.)
 3
                                  --000--
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION AND

DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

--000--

I, MARY ELLEN EDD, CSR, and a duly designated transcriber, do hereby declare and certify under penalty of perjury that I have transcribed recording(s) which total one in number and cover a total of pages numbered 1 through 48 and which recording was duly recorded virtually on the 1st day of December, 2020, and that the foregoing pages constitute a true, complete, and accurate transcription of the aforementioned recording(s) to the best of my ability within the limits of the quality of the recording(s).

I hereby declare that I am a disinterested person in the above-captioned matter and have no interest in the outcome of this proceeding.

Dated this 5th day of February, 2021 at Sacramento, California.

/s/ Mary Ellen Edd

20 MARY ELLEN EDD, CSR NO. 9755