

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

---oOo---

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS  
CLETS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC) MEETING

FOLSOM CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
50 NATOMA STREET  
FOLSOM, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 2018

10:00 A.M.

MICHELLE M WILSON, CSR 14303, RPR

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

---oOo---

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mr. Scott Howland, Chair, CA Highway Patrol

Mr. Mark Pazin, Vice Chair, Office of Emergency Services

Ms. Maria Cranston, CLETS Executive Secretary, Department  
of Justice

Mr. Mark Bonini, CA State Association of Counties

Mr. Mike Carroll, CA Peace Officers' Association

Mr. Marc Shaw, CA Peace Officers' Association

Mr. Greg Park, League of CA Cities

Mr. Joe Dominic, Department of Justice

Ms. Michelle Mitchell, Department of Justice

1 FOLSOM, CALIFORNIA, NOVEMBER 29, 2018

2 ---oOo---

3 CHAIR HOWLAND: To call roll for us.

4 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. Mark

5 Bonini?

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Here.

7 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Scott Howland?

8 CHAIR HOWLAND: Here.

9 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Cynthia Renaud?

10 Mark Pazin?

11 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Here.

12 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mike Carroll?

13 COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Here.

14 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Joe Dominic?

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER DOMINIC: Here.

16 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Kory Honea? Marc

17 Shaw?

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Here.

19 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Tom Wilson? Greg

20 Park?

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Good morning. Here.

22 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. We have a

23 quorum.

24 CHAIR HOWLAND: Excellent. I want to start out

25 this morning with housekeeping. For those who have not

1 been here today, our two exits for the room are at the  
2 rear of the room, if the unfortunate circumstance of  
3 having an emergency and need to evacuate the room. Other  
4 thing to keep in mind is the restrooms, out the door to  
5 the right, directly across the hallway. You'll see both  
6 the men and women's room there.

7           Also I'll remind the Board to please use your  
8 microphone. Make sure the green light is lit, so that we  
9 can make sure that everybody is on the record and here;  
10 and then also when we get to public comment, if we'll ask  
11 the public to also use the microphone, so that we make  
12 sure everybody is aware and can hear.

13           Other thing I'd ask for the Committee is if  
14 you're making a motion, please include your name in that  
15 motion, for either the motion or the second, so that we  
16 have an easier time keeping track, as far as the minutes  
17 as far as who moved and who seconded any motions that we  
18 have for today.

19           I'd like to also have a couple introductions.  
20 We have a couple new -- well, actually, I'll start with  
21 introductions of staff first. When we get to  
22 introductions, it's purely voluntary, although, I can't  
23 say that staff would be voluntary from that standpoint.  
24 So why don't we start with our CLETS Administrative  
25 Section staff introductions.

1 MS. GOHAR: Hi. My name is Madeeha. I'm  
2 Office Technician for CAS.

3 MS. TONKINSON: Hi. Wendy Tonkinson, CLETS  
4 Administration Section.

5 MR. MALLORY: Ryan Mallory, Information  
6 Technology Associate.

7 MS. MITCHELL: Michelle M. Mitchell, Deputy  
8 Attorney General.

9 MS. SCHWEIG: Linda Schweig, Assistant Bureau  
10 Chief.

11 CHAIR HOWLAND: And thank you to the staff for  
12 the work you do to support us. Appreciate all you do to  
13 make sure that our meetings go smoothly and that we have  
14 everything we need.

15 I'd also like to offer that introduction option  
16 to the audience. As I said before, it's purely optional,  
17 but it's always good to know who's interested in CLETS  
18 Committee. So I will toss it out to the audience if you  
19 would like to introduce yourselves.

20 MS. TACKETT: Jaimie Tackett, DOJ.

21 MS. REICH: Jenny Reich, Department of Justice.

22 MR. (UNINTELLIGIBLE): (Unintelligible,) DOJ.

23 MR. BEARDWOOD: Kirk Beardwood, Department of  
24 Justice.

25 CHAIR HOWLAND: Wow. We have a good crowd from

1 DOJ here today.

2 (Laughter.)

3 CHAIR HOWLAND: Welcome. Appreciate your  
4 support. And that takes care of our introductions.

5 We're now going to move on to the Chairman's  
6 Report. We actually have four new members on our  
7 Committee, two of which are here today and who I would  
8 like to introduce. First, is Chief Probation Officer  
9 Mark Bonini, representing the California State  
10 Association of Counties. He's replacing Chief Probation  
11 Officer Lee Seale. Welcome, Chief Bonini. And, as I  
12 told you before, I'm going to embarrass you a little bit  
13 and read a quick bio, just so that we have a little  
14 background on you as we welcome you to the Committee.

15 In 1995, Mark graduated from Fresno State  
16 University with a BS in Criminology and Corrections. He  
17 worked one year for a group home in Fresno County that  
18 attempted to rehabilitate juvenile sex offenders. In  
19 1996, Mark began his career with Amador County Probation  
20 Department as a Juvenile Placement Officer. Through the  
21 years, Mark has worked every position within the  
22 Department, being promoted to unit supervisor in 2002,  
23 Deputy Probation Officer in 2005, eventually becoming  
24 Amador County sixth Chief Probation Officer in 2007.

25 Mark has served on the Executive Committee for

1 the Chief Probation Officers of California since 2009.  
2 Mark served as the CPOC President in 2016, and, in 2015  
3 and 2016, Mark served as the President of Amador County  
4 Peace Officers Association. Mark is a proud member of  
5 the Jackson's Lion -- Lion Club. Mark, welcome to the  
6 Committee. It's great to have you and your background  
7 here to contribute.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Thank you. I'm just  
9 looking forward to getting my feet wet and see what this  
10 is all about and do what I can to help out. So thank you  
11 very much.

12 CHAIR HOWLAND: Welcome aboard.

13 And our second new member is Greg Park, from  
14 Livermore Police Department. Most of us recognize Greg.  
15 He's spent a lot of time here at the CLETS Advisory  
16 Committee in the audience, and so we know he has a great  
17 interest.

18 Greg actually began his career in public safety  
19 as a 911 police/fire dispatcher for the San Leandro  
20 Police Department in the late 1980s, and, in 1994,  
21 completed his training to become a San Leandro Police  
22 Officer. In the year 2000, Greg was reassigned as a San  
23 Leandro City Interim IT Manager, and a year later became  
24 the Deputy Chief Information Officer for the City of San  
25 Jose, supporting police and fire public safety programs.

1           During this time, he also continued to serve as  
2 the San Leandro community as a reserve police officer.  
3 In 2003, Greg took on his current role as information  
4 Technology Coordinator for the Livermore Police  
5 Department, and he currently serves on numerous public  
6 safety and information technology committees. Greg  
7 earned a bachelor of arts degree from the University of  
8 California Berkeley and resides with his wife and family  
9 in the Bay Area. Greg, welcome to the Committee. We're  
10 glad to have you.

11           COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: And thank you for such a  
12 warm welcome. I do admit that it's sad to see one less  
13 audience participant, but it's nice to be here.

14           (Laughter.)

15           COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Thank you very much.

16           CHAIR HOWLAND: Welcome aboard, Greg.

17           Now I'd like to move the Executive Secretary's  
18 Report, and I will refer to Maria.

19           EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Thank you. Good  
20 morning, Committee Members. So today I'll be presenting  
21 the CLETS Executive Secretary's report, which will  
22 address three areas, CLETS traffic, misuse statistics,  
23 and action items from the last meeting.

24           So for CLETS traffic statistics, this is  
25 covering the timeframe of July 1st through September



1 30th. So total messages for CLETS during that quarter  
2 was 267 almost 268 million messages inbound and almost  
3 269 million outbound. The monthly average was a little  
4 over 89 million inbound, as well as outbound. The daily  
5 average was almost 3 million messages inbound, as well as  
6 outbound, and a peak day was a little over 3-and-a-half  
7 million inbound, as well as outbound.

8 The misuse statistics. So when the local  
9 agencies or our subscribing agencies are investigating  
10 misuse, they often reach out to DOJ to run journal  
11 searches. And so this report is just addressing the  
12 journal search request we've received from the local  
13 agencies.

14 So in 2018, we received so far a total of 46  
15 requests for journal searches. Out of those 46, 24 were  
16 determined to not be misuse, and, out of those, there's  
17 still 17 that are pending. There was five instances  
18 where misuse was found. There was no action taken  
19 because they did put the individual on administrative  
20 leave, but they're still investigating. One individual  
21 was suspended, and there were two other which is  
22 training. They were training issues.

23 So often times what happens is it's not blatant  
24 misuse or malicious, but it can be that there's a  
25 violation of CLETS procedures or policy, such as they

1 should have ran fingerprints and instead they ran name  
2 checks; they maybe were conducting training, they ran  
3 themselves. So things like that training is appropriate,  
4 and that's what the two other instances were.

5           There were total of three action items from last  
6 meeting. The first was for CAS to modify the CLETS  
7 Misuse Investigation Reporting Form to include a category  
8 for training. As I just mentioned, often times it's not  
9 malicious but it could be a violation of CLETS policies.  
10 So we did update the form to include a category for  
11 training, and posted it on the CLEW website.

12           The second action item was for CAS to contact  
13 the four agencies that were identified as not reporting  
14 misuse for the last reporting period. After the last  
15 meeting, we were able to get ahold of the four agencies,  
16 and they did submit their misuse reporting form, so we  
17 had 100 percent compliance for last reporting period.

18           And the last action item was CAS was to modify  
19 the CLETS policies, practices, and procedures to add  
20 greater emphasis for misuse reporting, update outdated  
21 information, and add clarifying language on the  
22 administrative requirement of a state and federal level  
23 fingerprint-based background clearance. Also the  
24 Committee requested we add clarifying language as to  
25 exactly what misuse was. We had sent out an information

1 bulletin that included this language, so the Committee  
2 requested we add that also to PPPs, which we did. So  
3 they were updated and posted on CLEW site.

4 And that's it for the action items. Are there  
5 any questions?

6 CHAIR HOWLAND: Quick question for you. With  
7 the modification of the form, and I know we're coming  
8 early next year, reporting will happen I believe in  
9 February.

10 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Yes.

11 CHAIR HOWLAND: From that standpoint, besides  
12 posting on the website, was there any information pushed  
13 out to agencies so that they're aware that form has been  
14 modified?

15 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: No. No. We will  
16 send out notifications again, usually starting January  
17 2nd after the beginning of the year, letting them know  
18 it's time to report their misuse for the previous year.  
19 We'll also let them know there is a new form available on  
20 the CLEW website.

21 CHAIR HOWLAND: Outstanding.

22 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: And we will be  
23 making phone calls and sending emails to every agency.

24 CHAIR HOWLAND: Good. Just want to make sure  
25 folks are aware of the changes in the form, so we get

1 updated information on that, and outstanding job at the  
2 staff of reaching out and getting 100 percent  
3 compliance --

4 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: I agree. Thank  
5 you.

6 CHAIR HOWLAND: -- that's really good to hear.

7 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: I agree. Thank  
8 you. Any additional questions? Okay. Thank you.

9 CHAIR HOWLAND: Thank you, Maria. I'd like to  
10 move now to a legislative update, Jaimie.

11 MS. TACKETT: Good morning. I'm going to be  
12 talking about some of the legislation that DOJ was  
13 following this year that chaptered. We're going to start  
14 with Detention and Release Data. Assembly Bill 1994  
15 amends Penal Code Section 290.013 to require a CDCR  
16 facility or mental institution to inform DOJ within 15  
17 working days of a sex offender registrant being brought  
18 into and released from custody, as specified. The bill  
19 also adds this reporting requirement for a county or  
20 local custodial facility.

21 Assembly Bill 2080, 2-0-8-0, clarifies the Penal  
22 Code Section 13152 requirement that both admission to and  
23 release from detention facilities must be reported by the  
24 detention agency to the DOJ within 30 days.

25 We had four firearms bills chapter that we were

1 following. Assembly Bill 1872 adds a harbor or port  
2 police department or officer to the list of entities able  
3 to purchase unsafe handguns in specified circumstances.  
4 Assembly Bill 1968, commencing January 1st of 2020, the  
5 bill prohibits a person who has been taken into custody,  
6 assessed, and admitted to a mental health facility  
7 pursuant to Welfare and Institution Code Section 5150,  
8 5151, and 5152 as a danger to himself or others, referred  
9 to as a 5150 more than once within a period of one year,  
10 from owning a gun for the remainder of his or her life  
11 unless he or she petition's the court for a hearing and  
12 the firearms prohibition is removed.

13 AB 2222, extends the requirement that police and  
14 sheriffs' departments submit the description of stolen,  
15 lost, found, recovered, or held firearms to apply to all  
16 law enforcement agencies in the state and requires the  
17 reporting to be entered into the DOJ's Automated Firearm  
18 System within seven days of the agency being notified of  
19 the precipitating event.

20 Senate Bill 746, this has a number of  
21 requirements. It requires a new California resident to  
22 apply for a unique serial number within 60 days of  
23 arrival for any firearm that the new resident wishes to  
24 possess in the state that the person previously  
25 manufactured or assembled themselves, or a firearm which

1 the person owns that does not already have a unique  
2 serial number.

3           Effective July 1 of 2020, the bill also allows a  
4 person who is temporarily prohibited from possessing  
5 ammunition to transfer ammunition to an ammunition  
6 vendor, in addition to a licensed firearms dealer. Also  
7 effective July 1, 2020, the bill authorizes a person who  
8 has an outstanding warrant for a specified offense,  
9 resulting in a firearms or ammunition prohibition to  
10 transfer his or her ammunition to a licensed firearms  
11 dealer for the duration of the prohibition.

12           Senate Bill 1100 increases the age at which a  
13 person can purchase a firearm from a licensed dealer from  
14 18 to 21 years of age, with specified exemptions. It  
15 expressly stipulates an exception for individuals between  
16 the ages of 18 and 21 who are able to purchase a firearm  
17 that is not a handgun if the application is submitted to  
18 the DOJ before February 1st of 2019.

19           And then lastly, Assembly Bill 1793, builds on  
20 Prop 64 from a few years back. It requires the DOJ on or  
21 before July 1 of 2019, to review records in its Automated  
22 Criminal History System and identify all past  
23 cannabis-related convictions that are eligible for recall  
24 or dismissal, dismissal and sealing, or re-designation  
25 pursuant to Prop 64. It requires DOJ to notify the

1 prosecution of all cases in their respective  
2 jurisdictions eligible for the aforementioned relief.

3 By July 1, 2020, the prosecution is required to  
4 review all cases, determine whether to challenge the  
5 relief, and advise the court and the public defender's  
6 office in their county of whether or not they are  
7 challenging the relief in each case. The court is then  
8 required to notify the DOJ of the ordered relief, and the  
9 DOJ is required to update each record accordingly.

10 That's all I have for you. Any questions?

11 CHAIR HOWLAND: Any questions from the  
12 Committee? Jaimie, thank you for the update. Appreciate  
13 it. Keeping you busy this year.

14 Next, I'd like to move on to Upgrade  
15 Applications Approved by DOJ. If the Committee can take  
16 a look at your agenda, it lists the 19 CLETS upgrade  
17 applications that were approved by DOJ staff. These  
18 applications will not be voted on, as they were already  
19 approved by staff, but are being presented as information  
20 only.

21 Unless the Committee has any questions --

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just curious. Of these  
23 applications, did we or any of them identified as a  
24 Cloud-Hosted Software Service?

25 MS. TONKINSON: No. None of those are.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. Thank you.

2 MS. TONKINSON: You're welcome.

3 CHAIR HOWLAND: Any other questions? Great.

4 Thank you.

5 We'll now move on to a New Service Application

6 Calendar, and I'll turn it over to our Executive

7 Secretary for presentation.

8 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. We only  
9 had one new service application this -- for this meeting.

10 It is for the San Bernardino Community College District

11 Police Department out of San Bernardino. They qualify

12 under California Penal Code 830.32, and their primary

13 responsibility is to protect members of the entire

14 community college and property of the district.

15 They have seven peace officers. They are

16 requesting one device, but they would have access to the

17 CSU San Bernardino's system, which is there local area

18 network, wide area network, CAD systems, RMS systems, as

19 well as their traffic would traverse the Microwave

20 system.

21 So all of it meets the CLETS security

22 requirements, and staff recommends approval for this

23 application.

24 CHAIR HOWLAND: Any discussion or questions from

25 the Board? Seeing no questions.



1 Any public comment? Seeing no public comment.

2 Do I hear a motion on this?

3 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Mark Pazin --

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: So moved --

5 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: I'm sorry.

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: You're too fast. Go

7 ahead.

8 (Laughter.)

9 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Mark Pazin. I make a motion  
10 to approve.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Second by Shaw.

12 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: First name Marc.

13 CHAIR HOWLAND: And I'll ask our secretary to do  
14 a roll call.

15 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. My list is  
16 up here.

17 (Laughter.)

18 CHAIR HOWLAND: Getting our work out today.

19 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. So for the  
20 approval. Mark Bonini?

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Aye.

22 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Scott Howland?

23 CHAIR HOWLAND: Aye.

24 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mark Pazin?

25 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Aye.

1 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mike Carroll?

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Aye.

3 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Marc Shaw?

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Aye.

5 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Greg Park?

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Aye.

7 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: And Joe Dominic?

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER DOMINIC: Aye.

9 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Thank you.

10 Motion's passed.

11 CHAIR HOWLAND: Thank you, Maria.

12 We'll now move on to the client reports, and

13 I'll again turn it over to Maria for presentation.

14 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Thank you. The  
15 first agency is Signal Hill Police Department. They had  
16 two outstanding noncompliance issues from the last  
17 meeting. Both have since been resolved as of October  
18 2018. So this agency will no longer be required to  
19 report and will be removed from future agendas.

20 The next agency is Chula Vista Police  
21 Department. Their 2017 FBI audit revealed the agency had  
22 nine compliance issues, of which there's one remaining.  
23 Well, one remaining for open session, Security Awareness  
24 Training for unescorted janitorial custodian or  
25 maintenance staff. The issue is expected to be resolved

1 by November 30th.

2 Next agency is Glendale Police Department.  
3 Their 2017 FBI audit revealed the agency had ten  
4 compliance issues, of which there's only one remaining  
5 administrative issue, Identification User ID written  
6 policy for validation process. All -- this issue is  
7 expected to be resolved by December 31st of this year.

8 Long Beach Police Department. Their FBI audit  
9 revealed the agency had seven compliance issues. All of  
10 the closed session items have been resolved, and there's  
11 only one open session item remaining, which is a lack of  
12 a written physical protection policy. This issue was  
13 originally expected to be resolved by August 2018;  
14 however, this date has been extended to May 31st 2019.  
15 And the reason for that, they drafted a policy, it was  
16 reviewed by DOJ, and approved by DOJ, but now they have  
17 to run it before the bargaining units.

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And that's a one-year  
19 timeline to do that?

20 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Well, they  
21 extended it to May 31st. So I think originally they had  
22 -- had it by August 2018, but that's when they submitted  
23 it to DOJ for review, and once we approved it, they sent  
24 it back for their chief to sign off on it, and now they  
25 still have to send it before the bargaining units.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was looking at this.  
2 It says that DOJ had it in May of '18. The policy was  
3 reviewed in May of '18 by DOJ.

4 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay.

5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: A year seems like an  
6 awfully long time to get it through simply either  
7 approval or bargaining unit approval or whatnot.

8 CHAIR HOWLAND: Other thoughts from the  
9 Committee?

10 MR. DOMINIC: Yeah. I would just add that this  
11 is a -- this is just a policy. A normal procedure, not  
12 technical control or something that can breach data or  
13 concerns about, you know, misuse. So it's lower, but  
14 still the points valid that it's taken a year, but it's  
15 more policy procedures, you know. So I don't think the  
16 risk is as high from a security perspective.

17 CHAIR HOWLAND: Do we need to push it with Chief  
18 Luna or let it ride until May 31st? There's no harm in  
19 that, or --

20 MR. DOMINIC: Yeah. I think there's no harm in  
21 letting it ride.

22 CHAIR HOWLAND: Do we have any public comment?  
23 Seeing no public comment.

24 Do I have a motion?

25 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: So moved. Mark Pazin.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are we voting  
2 individually?

3 CHAIR HOWLAND: Yes. We'll be individually  
4 voting on those that need action.

5 So, Mark, could you clarify what your motion is?

6 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: To accept the recommendation  
7 from DOJ or the package therein.

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Second by Shaw.

9 CHAIR HOWLAND: We have a motion with a second.  
10 Secretary, please call the roll.

11 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mark Bonini?

12 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Aye.

13 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Scott Howland?

14 CHAIR HOWLAND: Aye.

15 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mark Pazin?

16 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Yes.

17 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mike Carroll?

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Yes.

19 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Marc Shaw?

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Aye.

21 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Greg Park?

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Aye.

23 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: And Joe Dominic?

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER DOMINIC: Aye.

25 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: We have a motion

1 has passed.

2 CHAIR HOWLAND: Thank you.

3 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. The next  
4 agency Pasadena Police Department. Their 2017 FBI audit  
5 revealed the agency had ten compliance issues, which all  
6 items for open session have been resolved. And then we  
7 have San Diego Harbor Police Department. Their 2017 FBI  
8 audit revealed the agency had 11 compliance issues, of  
9 which there's one remaining issue for open session, which  
10 is the identification user ID.

11 The agency does not have a written policy to  
12 document the process of validating system accounts and  
13 access criminal justice information. This issue was  
14 originally expected to be resolved by September 2018;  
15 however, the date has been extended to December 1st.

16 CHAIR HOWLAND: Any discussion on the Board for  
17 this item? Seeing no discussion.

18 Any public comment on this item? Seeing no  
19 public comment.

20 Is there a motion?

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Move to accept what the  
22 agency has presented, Park.

23 CHAIR HOWLAND: Motion to accept. Is there a  
24 second?

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Second, Carroll.

1 CHAIR HOWLAND: We have a second. Secretary,  
2 can you please call the roll.

3 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Yes. Okay. Joe  
4 Dominic?

5 COMMITTEE MEMBER DOMINIC: Aye.

6 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Greg Park?

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Aye.

8 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Marc Shaw?

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Aye.

10 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mark Pazin?

11 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Yes.

12 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Scott Howland?

13 CHAIR HOWLAND: Aye.

14 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mike Carroll?

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Yes.

16 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mark Bonini?

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Aye.

18 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: The motion has  
19 passed.

20 Okay. The next one is San Diego Police  
21 Department. The 2017 FBI audit revealed the agency had  
22 six compliance issues, of which there are three remaining  
23 for open session, system use notification, identification  
24 user ID for single administrator account, and, lastly,  
25 session lock. All issues for open session are expected

1 to be resolved by December 1st, 2018.

2 We have San Diego County Sheriff's Office. The  
3 2017 FBI audit revealed the agency had eleven compliance  
4 issues, of which there are six remaining issues for open  
5 session, personnel security, security awareness training,  
6 personally owned information systems, system use  
7 notification, identification user ID for a written  
8 policy, and session lock. These issues are expected to  
9 be resolved by December 1st, with the exception of  
10 personnel security and training. These two items are  
11 expected to be resolved by December 31st, 2019.

12 Okay. Next we have a San Diego Unified School  
13 District Police Department. Their 2017 FBI audit  
14 revealed the agency had eight compliance issues. All  
15 issues for open session have been resolved. And lastly,  
16 we have the San Mateo County Sheriff's Office. The 2017  
17 FBI audit revealed the agency had fourteen compliance  
18 issues, of which there are still six remaining  
19 administrative issues, security addendums, personnel  
20 security, security awareness training, and physical  
21 security, as well as their system use notification and  
22 event logging.

23 The agency CLETS coordinator verbally stated all  
24 issues for open session are expected to be resolved by  
25 April 1st, 2019. We are following up to request that in



1 writing signed by the Sheriff.

2 CHAIR HOWLAND: Thank you for that presentation.  
3 It's good to see we're making good progress on getting  
4 all those items resolved, and we're going to have so many  
5 of them done by the end of the year. I appreciate  
6 staff's work on making sure we get those wrapped up. So  
7 thank you.

8 At this point in our agenda, we're going to move  
9 to closed session. In order to do so, we need to say a  
10 few things and take a vote. So I'll turn it over to  
11 Maria.

12 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Okay. Thank you.  
13 Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126 (c)(18)(A), a  
14 closed session is being conducted in order to review  
15 detailed client reports, regarding specific matters that  
16 pose a threat or potential threat of criminal activity  
17 against CLETS and/or CLETS data transmitted between DOJ  
18 and specific client law enforcement agencies.

19 So to go to closed session. Mark Bonini?

20 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Aye.

21 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Scott Howland?

22 CHAIR HOWLAND: Aye.

23 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Cynthia? I'm  
24 sorry. She's not here. Mark Pazin?

25 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: Yes.

1 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Mike Carroll?

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Yes.

3 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Marc Shaw?

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Yes.

5 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Greg Park?

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Aye.

7 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: And Joe Dominic?

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER DOMINIC: Aye.

9 (End of First Recording.)

10 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY CRANSTON: Closed session  
11 was held pursuant to Government Code Section  
12 11126(c)(18)(A). The Committee received status reports  
13 regarding specific matters that pose a threat or  
14 potential threat or criminal activity against CLETS  
15 and/or CLETS data transmitted between the DOJ and  
16 specific client law enforcement agencies. With the  
17 disclosure of these considerations could compromise the  
18 security of CLETS or the transmitted CLETS data.

19 The CLETS Advisory Committee evaluated the  
20 status of compliance efforts and directed dates by which  
21 items needed to be resolved.

22 CHAIR HOWLAND: Thank you, Maria. We'll now  
23 move on to the section of our agenda for member's  
24 reports, and we'll start with Mark Pazin.

25 VICE CHAIR PAZIN: I have nothing to report.

1           COMMITTEE MEMBER CARROLL: Mike Carroll, I have  
2 nothing to report.

3           COMMITTEE MEMBER DOMINIC: Joe Dominic, nothing  
4 to report.

5           COMMITTEE MEMBER SHAW: Marc Shaw, nothing to  
6 report.

7           COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: Greg Park, one item to  
8 report. We had a regional Alameda County Law Enforcement  
9 Technology Meeting yesterday and had the opportunity for  
10 a start up company in the Bay Area to share with us some  
11 of their technology that I will pass around. This is, as  
12 we understand, the only authorized digital license plate  
13 DMV has authorized for use in California. What they  
14 shared with us is an interesting process for the owner of  
15 these plates to report their car stolen and have the  
16 plate switched from a normal mode to a stolen mode.

17           This one that is a demo unit that they shared  
18 with us, that's in stolen mode. The process is that the  
19 owner of the plate contacts the company that manufactures  
20 plates and says, "My car has been stolen." The company  
21 will then instruct the car owner to low -- contact local  
22 law enforcement, file a report, and once that report is  
23 in hand, fax the report or send it secured PDF or  
24 whatever to the company, and then the company will throw  
25 a switch and make that license plate indicate stolen like

1 you see it.

2           What I'd really like to ask if there's an  
3 opportunity for the Committee is to invite perhaps folks  
4 at DMV, the experts at DMV, who are working on this pilot  
5 project and perhaps even the manufacture, if at all  
6 possible to share with us how they would envision  
7 potentially some sort of stolen vehicle SVS connection. So  
8 with an operator or dispatcher enters a vehicle into the  
9 stolen system where we get all our information about  
10 stolen, that there potentially could be some  
11 conversations about what it would be to update the plate  
12 automatically or at least in some sort of electronic  
13 notification from trusted sources, trusted law  
14 enforcement sources, instead of relying on the owner to  
15 fax a form or something.  
16 So that's my report and my request.

17           CHAIR HOWLAND: Interesting concept and  
18 interesting implications as far as CLETS and law  
19 enforcement from the standpoint of will folks be good at  
20 putting it in the system, so the stolen plate matches  
21 what our CLETS return is going to look like, and are they  
22 going to be good at reporting it recovered so that we're  
23 not pulling over folks that say stolen that have been  
24 removed from the system or maybe not removed from the  
25 system. So some interesting ramifications.

1 MR. DOMINIC: Yeah. You know, I think it's  
2 interesting. I would ask more about use cases about  
3 scenarios about how this is really going to be upheld.  
4 Because you know the first thing is car gets stolen,  
5 plates go off. They get thrown away. I mean, so when  
6 you look at it through just looking at it statistically  
7 from data, right, and looking at how -- I know you have a  
8 response.

9 But I always look at when we have technology and  
10 new things that come up; right? Look at what the  
11 outcomes and the value will be and how it can help. I can  
12 definitely see the advantages, but I would also think  
13 that what is the first thing that happens when a car gets  
14 stolen, just understand that. Just a thought.

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: I would say that plates  
16 do come off on stolen vehicles, but our license plate  
17 recognition system identify stolen plates on vehicles. We  
18 made an arrest in Livermore just yesterday. So, I mean,  
19 these things are going to have an interesting new life  
20 cycle of their own; and the legislature has blessed this  
21 project, so I think if we can get involved sooner than  
22 later, it will at least help us prepare for the next one  
23 that's coming down the road.

24 MR. DOMINIC: One last question, Greg.  
25 Do you know what the cost of that is just out of

1 curiosity?

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PARK: So cost ranges. They're  
3 a start up company. They want to get traction, so  
4 they're still kind of working on what that flexibility  
5 is. Conversations were in the potential 2, 300 range up  
6 to maybe 600, 700. There's LTE built into it. There's  
7 cellular service. There's GPS built into it. So there's  
8 some potentially compelling impacts if you have a young  
9 driver or you have a fleet, but the every common-day man,  
10 maybe not.

11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

12 CHAIR HOWLAND: Great. Thank you, Greg.

13 COMMITTEE MEMBER BONINI: Mark Bonini. I have  
14 nothing to report.

15 CHAIR HOWLAND: Great. Thank you. At this  
16 point, I'd like to open it up to the Committee any open  
17 discussion items? Seeing none.

18 I'd like to now open it up to public comment.  
19 Any public comment? Seeing none.

20 That moves us to adjourn. Our next CAC Meeting  
21 will be May or June of 2019. Thank you to our Committee  
22 members and to our support staff. Appreciate everybody's  
23 effort, and, of course, being the chief of the highway  
24 patrol, I always have to remind folks that inclement  
25 weather we need to slow down to make sure we get our to

1 destination safety.

2 So thank you, all, meeting adjourned.

3 (End of Second Recording.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATION AND  
2 DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

3  
4 I, MICHELLE MARIE WILSON, CSR, RPR, and a duly  
5 designated transcriber, do hereby declare and certify  
6 under penalty of perjury that I have transcribed  
7 recording(s) which total two in number and cover a total  
8 of pages numbered 1 through 32, and which recording was  
9 duly recorded at Folsom, California, on the 29th day of  
10 November, 2018, and that the foregoing pages constitute a  
11 true, complete, and accurate transcription of the  
12 aforementioned recording(s) to the best of my ability  
13 within the limits of the quality of the recording.

14 I hereby declare that I am a disinterested  
15 person in the above-captioned matter and have no interest  
16 in the outcome of this meeting.

17 Dated this 11th day of March, 2019, at  
18 Sacramento, California.

19 /s/ MICHELLE MARIE WILSON

20 MICHELLE WILSON, CSR 14303, RPR  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25