

California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)
Advisory Committee (CAC)
Meeting Minutes

March 25, 2015

Folsom City Council Chambers

Present: Chair: Sam Spiegel (California Peace Officers' Association)

Members: James Mele (California State Sheriffs' Association)
Frank Alvarez (Department of Motor Vehicles)
Cynthia Renaud (California Police Chiefs' Association)
Karen Wong (Office of Emergency Services)
Evert Palmer (League of California Cities)
Marc Shaw (California Peace Officers' Association)

Absent: Scott Howland (California Highway Patrol)
Larry Spikes (California State Association of Counties)

Vacant: California Department of Justice Representative

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Spiegel called the meeting to order at 1:02 p.m.

ROLL CALL

CLETS Executive Secretary Keith Dann called roll; a quorum was present.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made to approve the minutes from the meeting of December 2, 2014.

Motion: Evert Palmer
Second: Marc Shaw
Discussion: None
Vote: Approved unanimously

CHAIR'S REPORT

Chair Spiegel welcomed Tuolumne County Sheriff James Mele, representing the California State Sheriffs' Association to the Committee and read his biography. Chair Spiegel provided a brief history of the CAC, which was codified in statute in 1965 and remains the oldest standing Advisory Committee in California, with every meeting adhering to the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act mandates, which include advanced notice of meetings and public access. Moreover, Chair Spiegel noted that the CAC as outlined in statute, is an Advisory Committee, which makes recommendations/advises the Office of the Attorney General

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY'S REPORT

a. Action Items

1. Chief Renaud to contact the Stockton Police Department Chief regarding encryption requirements. *Result: Chief Renaud spoke to the Stockton Police Department Chief. A solution to the encryption issue has been identified and the projected completion date has been advanced from December 2016 to June 2015.*
2. Chair Spiegel to contact the Monterey Police Department Chief regarding encryption requirements. *Result: Chair Spiegel spoke to the Monterey Police Department Chief. A solution to the encryption issue has been identified and the projected completion date was advanced from July 2015 to March 31, 2015.*

b. CLETS System Misuse Statistics

Possible cases of CLETS misuse processed by the Department of Justice (DOJ) from November 1, 2014 through February 28, 2015:

- | | |
|--|-----|
| • Journal search requests | 56 |
| • Searches for possible misuse within own agency | 98 |
| • Searches for possible misuse within another agency | 12 |
| • Searches for other purposes | 173 |

c. CLETS Traffic Statistics (October 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014)

	<u>Inbound</u>	<u>Outbound</u>
• Total messages	225,824,066	236,873,331
• Monthly average	78,608,022	78,957,777
• Daily average	2,563,305	2,574,710
• Peak day	3,038,019	3,050,191
• Peak hour	202,849	203,592

CALIFORNIA PAWN AND SECONDHAND DEALER SYSTEM UPDATE (CAPSS)

The DOJ's Chief Information Officer Adrian Farley gave an update on the CAPSS, which presented core functionality to meet Legislative mandates and was implemented in December 2014. Since then, the DOJ and its contractor have been working on phased-in improvements that will provide pawn and secondhand dealers with vastly improved user capabilities, including an automated registration component, multiple property transaction bulk upload and an advanced licensing application for law enforcement that will streamline the licensing process. The DOJ anticipates several waves of releases between April and June 2015. The DOJ sent a letter, currently on the Attorney General's public website, to all secondhand dealers in the state, making them aware of requirements.

STANDING STRATEGIC PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE (SSPS) UPDATE

SSPS Chair Tom Bruce gave an update on the SSPS, which met before the CAC meeting and heard a presentation from San Diego Law Enforcement Communications Center Director Leslie Gardner. Additionally, the Subcommittee discussed the 2009 CLETS Strategic Plan and the following four remaining topics for future consideration/discussion:

Goal 3

Make a mobile device multi-modal for traffic citation generation and interface to traffic courts and the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV).

Goal 4

Transmit "Failure to Appear" (FTA) bench warrants to DOJ and the FBI and have a fingerprint associated with the warrant for positive identification.

New Goal One

Capture and share Global Positioning System (GPS) based geospatial data on offenders statewide.

Goal 8

Representatives from the California Police Chiefs' Association, the California State Sheriffs' Association, the California Peace Officers' Association and the DOJ CAC or SSPS representatives will meet with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) Director to discuss:

1. Electronic, interstate exchange of driver license and identification photos for law enforcement purposes; and,
2. Facial recognition technology.

Chair Bruce read the entirety of Goal 8 to the CAC, which was intended merely as an exploratory discussion with the DMV Director to establish a platform for the field to share their needs/wants related to sharing photos and utilizing facial recognition as an investigative tool. CLETS Executive Secretary Keith Dann gave an update that the proposed Goal 8 meeting with the DMV Director did not occur due to potential open meeting concerns. Chair Spiegel stated that the methodology of Goal 8, as written, was not possible and perhaps the language should be removed from the 2009 CLETS Strategic Plan. A motion was made to remove Goal 8 from the amended Strategic Plan update.

Motion: Cynthia Renaud
Second: James Mele
Discussion: David Maass, an investigative researcher with the Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) asked to address the CAC. A complete transcript of Mr. Maass' statements is attached. SSPS Chair Bruce stated that the SSPS makes no decisions on policies, practices and procedures and that the Subcommittee's role is strictly advisory.
Vote: Approved unanimously

UPDATE: UPGRADE APPLICATIONS APPROVED BY THE DOJ

The following 11 applications were not voted on and were presented as information only because they were previously approved by the DOJ:

- a. Carlsbad Police Department (San Diego County)
- b. California State University, Channel Islands Police Department (Ventura County)
- c. Grass Valley Police Department (Nevada County)
- d. La Mesa Police Department (San Diego County)
- e. Madera Police Department (Madera County)
- f. Red Bluff Police Department (Tehama County)
- g. Riverside Police Department (Riverside County)
- h. San Luis Obispo Police Department (San Luis Obispo County)
- i. Tulare County Sheriff’s Department (Tulare County)
- j. University of California Davis Police Department (Yolo County)
- k. United States (U.S.) Department of Veterans Affairs, Long Beach (Los Angeles County)

NEW SERVICE APPLICATIONS

- a. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, Security and Law Enforcement Division-Los Angeles District. *This item was pulled from the agenda since it was previously approved by the CAC.*
- b. U.S. Treasury Department, Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program (SIGTARP) – CLETS Administration Section (CAS) analyst Mark Hayward reported that the applicant is a law enforcement sub-unit of a non-law enforcement agency that qualifies for CLETS based upon the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, TARP Act of 2009, Section 6. The host agency and DOJ recommended approval. A motion was made to approve the application.

Motion: Evert Palmer
Second: James Mele
Discussion: None
Vote: Approved unanimously

CLIENT REPORTS

The first nine agencies are now compliant and will be removed from future agendas

- a. California State Licensing Board-Special Investigations Unit (Orange County)
- b. La Palma Police Department (Orange County)
- c. Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Los Angeles County)
- d. Madera County Department of Corrections (Madera County)
- e. Orange County District Attorney (Orange County)
- f. Seal Beach Police Department (Orange County)
- g. U.S. Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigations (Orange County)
- h. U.S. Probation Department (Orange County)
- i. Westminster Police Department (Orange County)

- j. Lodi Police Department
CAS Analyst Mark Hayward reported the March 2014 Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) audit revealed 15 compliance issues. Of which, seven issues have been resolved. Two of the remaining eight issues: private contractors and media disposal policy are expected to be resolved by March 31, 2015. The outstanding six issues: physical security policy, network configuration diagram, system use notification, lack of user identification (ID) validation documentation, event logging and advanced authentication and an additional encryption issue are expected to be resolved by June 30, 2015.
- k. Los Angeles Police Department
CAS Analyst Mark Hayward reported the March 2014 FBI audit revealed seven compliance issues. The first compliance response indicated all issues would be resolved by December 31, 2014; however, in the second response, dated February 23, 2015, the agency indicated one encryption issue will not be resolved until May 29, 2015. A motion was made to approve an extension until May 29 for the encryption issue.
- Motion: Karen Wong
Second: Marc Shaw
Discussion: None
Vote: Approved unanimously
- l. Stockton Police Department
CAS Analyst Mark Hayward reported the March 2014 FBI audit revealed 12 compliance issues. Of which, two issues remain: advanced authentication-vendor and encryption. A possible solution to the encryption issue has been identified and is being verified. If successful, the new projected completion date would be moved from December 2016 to June 2015.
- m. UC Davis Police Department
CAS Analyst Mark Hayward reported a DOJ audit revealed six compliance issues. Of which, one issue remains: authentication-password compliance, which is expected to be resolved by June 30, 2015.
- n. Monterey Police Department
The March 2014 FBI audit revealed six original compliance issues. Of which, the remaining issue, encryption, was resolved on March 24, 2015.
- o. Anaheim Police Department
CAS Analyst Michelle Mitchell reported the March 2014 FBI audit revealed eight compliance issues. Of which, five issues remain: system use notification, private contractors, personnel security, security awareness training and authentication are expected to be resolved by July 2015.

p. Orange County Sheriff's Department

CAS analyst Michelle Mitchell reported that a review of a 2014 CLETS application indicated 11 of the Orange County Sheriff's Department's downstream agencies were not compliant with strong password requirements. Of which, only one agency, Orange County Probation Department remained until further discussion with the Sheriff's Department indicated two additional agencies, Orange County District Attorney (DA) and the Superior Court of California, Orange County did not meet the encryption.

The Orange County Probation Department originally reported a compliance date of December 31, 2014; however, the agency did not meet the compliance date and Sheriff's Department Chief Information Officer Kirk Wilkerson requested and was granted an extension until April 1, 2015. The Orange County DA and the Superior Court of California, Orange County expect to be compliant by October 1, 2015. Two motions were made to approve extensions.

Motion for Probation extension to April 15:	Marc Shaw
Second:	Evert Palmer
Discussion:	None
Vote:	Approved unanimously

Motion for DA/Superior Court extension to April 1:	Marc Shaw
Second:	James Mele
Discussion:	None
Vote:	Approved unanimously

q. San Francisco County Sheriff's Department

CAS Analyst Michelle Mitchell reported the March 2014 FBI audit revealed six compliance issues. Of which, two issues remain: private contractors and the system use notification. The agency reported a compliance date of January 1, 2015; however, the agency did not meet the compliance date and Lieutenant Dave Hardy was scheduled to request an extension until March 31, 2015, but an emergency prevented his attendance. Member Mele asked if he could reach out to the Sheriff about the issue. A motion was made to grant the extension.

Motion:	James Mele
Second:	Karen Wong
Discussion:	None
Vote:	Approved unanimously

r. Santa Ana Police Department

CAS Analyst Michelle Mitchell reported the March 2014 FBI audit revealed seven compliance issues. Of which, one remains: event logging. Though the agency is on target to meet its projected compliance date of November 1, 2016, Member Renaud inquired whether the timeline was realistic and Chair Spiegel stated the proposed compliance date was unacceptable. Member Renaud said she would contact the Santa Ana Police Department

Chief about the compliance date and determine if a temporary resolution can be explored. A motion was made to grant an extension until the July 22, 2015 CAC meeting.

Motion: Cynthia Renaud
Second: James Mele
Discussion: Evert Palmer suggested receiving a progress report in May.
Vote: Approved unanimously

MEMBERS' REPORTS

Karen Wong reported the Office of Emergency Services hosted a town hall in San Jose for approximately 200 people on March 2, 2015, where the focus of the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) was reaffirmed. The vision is to provide emergency responders with the first nationwide, high-speed, wireless broadband network dedicated to public safety.

Cynthia Renaud paid respects to San Jose Police Department Officer Michael Johnson, killed in the line of duty March 24.

CAC DISCUSSION/OPEN FORUM/PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Spiegel referred the Committee to the EFF letter included in each Member's packet, which was submitted as public comment and will be entered into the public record for the CAC meeting.

EFF's Dave Maass reiterated his earlier statements. A complete transcript of Mr. Maass' statements is attached.

Brian Barnes, the Executive Director of the California CLETS Users Group, CCUG, asked to address the Committee. A complete transcript of Mr. Barnes comments is attached.

NEXT CAC MEETING/ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 2:11 p.m. in honor of Michael Johnson of the San Jose Police Department. The next CAC meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, July 22, 2015.

Action Items

1. Sheriff James Mele will contact the San Francisco Sheriff's Department about compliance issues.
2. Chief Renaud will contact the Santa Ana Police Department Chief regarding the agency's compliance date and potential resolution.



ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

SHERIFF-CORONER
SANDRA HUTCHENS

March 3, 2015

Ms. Michelle Mitchell
CLETS Administration
Department of Justice
Audits, Inspections and Training Program
Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigative Services
4949 Broadway, B114
Sacramento, California 95820

Re: Contractor's State License Board & Strong Password Compliance

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

The intent of this letter is to provide the Department of Justice with the status of Contractors State License Board (CA0193J00) and the DOJ/FBI mandated CLETS/NCIC login utilizing strong passwords.

Contractor's State License Board utilizes a CLETS interface application called ELETE. In order to be compliant with strong passwords the agency had to upgrade their ELETE version. This upgrade was completed in mid-January. By January 30th, all users had a chance to login to the new ELETE and update their passwords from the old 4 character password to the CLETS/FBI compliant strong password. This agency is now fully compliant.

Thank you for your patience during their transition.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Tina Winterburn".

Tina Winterburn, Agency CLETS Coordinator
Orange County Sheriff

Cc: Karen McDaniel, OCSD IT Manager
Bridgette Hall, CSLB CLETS ACC

320 N. FLOWER STREET, SANTA ANA, CA 92703 (714) 834-6454



March 4, 2015

State of California Department of Justice
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis
4949 Broadway
PO Box 903387
Sacramento, CA 94203-4170

Dear Michelle

We are happy to inform you that we have upgraded all computers within the police department to the newest ELETE software version (2204) effective February 28, 2015. The upgrade complies with the following mandates:

1. OCSD ELETE version 2204 has been downloaded on all department computers.
2. All employees have reset their password and now comply with the new requirement. Per Policy 5.6.2.1.1, employees will comply with the following:
 - a. Password will be a minimum length of eight (8) characters for all end-users
 - b. Not be a dictionary word or proper name
 - c. Not be the same as the User I.D.
 - d. Expire within a maximum of 90 calendar days
 - e. Not be identical to the previous ten (10) passwords
 - f. Not be transmitted in the clear outside the secure location
 - g. Not be displayed when entered

Please feel free to contact me, or the Agency CLETS Coordinator, Captain Jim Engen, if you have any further questions or concerns.

Very Best;


ERIC R. NUNEZ
Police Chief



Jim McDonnell, Sheriff

County of Los Angeles
Sheriff's Department Headquarters

*4700 Ramona Boulevard
Monterey Park, California 91754-2169*



February 19, 2015

Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 903387
Sacramento, California 94203-3870

Dear Ms. Harris:

I am responding to you regarding your October 21, 2014, letter to the Sheriff's Department in reference to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS), Information Technology Security Audit (ITSA) Report.

There are two areas that the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department (LASD) were not in compliance with the FBI CJIS Security Policy.

1. Security Awareness Training Records

The LASD does not ensure:

- a) Personnel who manage or have access to criminal justice information receive the required security awareness training within six months of initial assignment and biennially thereafter (local agency personnel and private contractors)
- b) Does not have the required topics covered in the security awareness training.

2. Identification/User ID

The LASD does not document the validation process of system accounts.


In regards to Security Awareness Training, LASD now has a process in place to lock the user account if the user doesn't attend training within six months of the initial activation and biennially thereafter. This process was implemented in September 2014.

Security Awareness Training modules have been developed and mandated for all employee's accessing CLETS/JDIC. This training was implemented January 2015.

In regards to documentation of validation of system accounts, new users will be required to fill out a User Request form with proper signatures. The form will be submitted to the JDIC unit for processing. User accounts will be validated annually by the local site security officers and the results of the annual validations will be provided to the Agency CLETS Coordinator. This process was implemented January 2015.

Sincerely,


JIM MCDONNELL, SHERIFF

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul E. Drake". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "P" and "D".

Paul E. Drake, Captain
Data Systems Bureau



Madera County
Department of Corrections



Manuel Perez
Director of Corrections
maperez@madera-county.com

January 1, 2015

From: Manuel Perez; Director

To: Michelle D. Mitchell

Re: CLETS re-certification and compliance quarterly report

The Madera County Department of Corrections is dedicated to assuring every CLETS user in our department is in full compliance with the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, CLETS Administration Section. As of January 1, 2015 all CLETS users are in full compliance.

- ❖ Alonzo Lopez, he just got hired so he will be added to User list and will be trained on the CLETS system.



TONY RACKAUCKAS
ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
401 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST • SANTA ANA, CA 92701 (714) 834-3636

March 11, 2015

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC & U.S. MAIL AND FAX

MICHELLE MITCHELL, CLETS Staff Systems Analyst
CLETS Administration Section, Department of Justice
4949 Broadway, B114
Sacramento, Ca. 95820

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

As follow-up to previous correspondence from August 2014, the Orange County District Attorney's Office worked with the Orange County Sheriff's Department to implement Security Policy 5.6.2.1.1 – (Strong Password Requirement). As had been noted, the OCSD Application Specialist had informed OCDA technical staff that two ports on the OCSD firewall configuration had been opened, which until that time had blocked traffic from our agency with regards to implementing 5.6.2.1.1.

Once these configurations were corrected, we found through testing that we were able to implement the required change on our test client machine. Likewise, the following implementation dates were provided with the expected completion date of December 31, 2014.

401 Civic Center Dr. West (DA Headquarters)	September – 2014
700 Civic Center Dr. West (Central Courthouse)	October – 2014
801 Civic Center Dr. West (DNA & Cons. Env)	October – 2014
OCATT (Orange County Auto Theft Task Force)	November – 2014
All PAD (Public Assistance) Countywide	November – 2014
All DA Users assigned to NJC	December – 2014
All DA Users assigned to WJC and Juvi	December – 2014
All DA Users assigned to HJC	December – 2014

This letter is to serve notice that the Orange County District Attorney's Office Information Systems met the dates as provided and are now in compliance. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tony Rackauckas
District Attorney

RS/TR:vlb

City of Seal Beach

POLICE DEPARTMENT



911 SEAL BEACH BOULEVARD
SEAL BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90740-5699
PHONE (562) 799-4100 / FAX (562) 493-0634

February 3, 2015

To: Michelle D. Mitchell
From: Seal Beach Police Department
Re: AG Letter of Non/Compliance of FBI CJIS/NCIC Security Policy
5.6.2.1.1

Dear Michelle Mitchell,

This letter is to update the Department of Justice on the Seal Beach Police Department's compliance with CLETS / NCIC strong password requirements. The Orange County Sheriff's Department provides ELETE software to our agency and developed a new version of ELETE to implement the use of strong passwords. As of January 15th, 2015 our agency has reached full compliance regarding the FBI CJIS/NCIC Policy.

As of January 15th, 2015 all mobile workstations and internal workstations including firewalls have been tested and are equipped with a strong password compliant version of ELETE. In addition, all users have received instruction and training and upgraded their passwords to meet the components of Policy 5.6.2.1.1.

Sincerely,

Joe Stilinovich
Chief of Police



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

Criminal Investigation

January 30, 2015

Ms. Michelle Mitchell
CLETS Staff Information Systems Analyst
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis
CLETS Administration Section
4949 Broadway, B114
Sacramento, CA 95820

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

Re: Implementation Of Strong Password- ORI #CAIRS82S0

This letter is to update the Department of Justice on the CLETS/NCIC strong word requirements. The Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation in Santa Ana is used by nine employees. At this time, all nine employees comply with the strong password requirements. The IRS-CI CLETS Coordinator will biennially reaffirm that strong password requirements are being maintained. If you have any questions, please contact me at 714-347-9226.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David Nichols".

David Nichols
Supervisory Special Agent



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA PROBATION OFFICE

January 13, 2015

Michelle A. Carey
Chief U.S. Probation Officer

Douglas B. Bys
Deputy Chief U.S. Probation Officer

Ms. Michelle Mitchell
CLETS Administration
Department of Justice
Audits, Inspections and Training Program
Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigative Services
4949 Broadway, B114
Sacramento, California 95820

JAN 26 2015

Re: Implementation of Strong Passwords – ORI #CA030017G

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

This letter is to update the Department of Justice on the US Probation Office, Santa Ana, Orange County branch, compliance with CLETS/NCIC strong password requirements. Our Santa Ana branch uses the Orange County Sheriff's Department's ELETE software. On December 16, 2014, our Agency upgraded ELETE to version 2.2.0.4 which implements the use of strong passwords, and is now compliant with the CLETS/NCIC strong password requirements.

Sincerely,

Michelle A. Carey
Michelle A. Carey
2015.01.14
13:30:48 -08'00'

Chief Michelle Carey
US Probation Office
Central District of California

Cc:



Westminster Police Department

Kevin Baker, Chief of Police

8200 Westminster Boulevard, Westminster, CA 92683 • 714.898.3315
www.westminster-ca.gov

January 1, 2015

Michelle Mitchell
CLETS Administration Section
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis
4949 Broadway
PO Box 903387
Sacramento, CA 94203-4170

RE: Follow up: Non-Compliance with FBI CJIS/NCIC Security Policy 5.6.2.1.1 - Strong Password Requirement

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

This letter is to provide you with a final report regarding the installation of ELETE.net for the Westminster Police Department. In order to comply with the new FBI CJIS/NCIC security requirements, the County of Orange ELETE.net software needed to be installed at all primary workstations replacing any older versions of the program. As of this date, the project has been completed.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "K. Baker", written over a horizontal line.

Kevin Baker
Chief of Police

Ref: DOJ/NCIC Compliance Letter
cc: Marc Contreras



LODI POLICE DEPARTMENT

215 West Elm Street
Lodi, California 95240
(209) 333-6725

Mark A. Helms
Chief of Police

February 19, 2015

Keith Dann, Assistant Chief
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis
P.O. Box 903387
Sacramento, CA 94203-3870

Re: March status update regarding our Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Information Technology Security Audit (ITSA) Report implementation plan

Dear Assistant Chief Dann:

The Lodi Police Department received the FBI's CJIS Division audit results identifying areas where our agency was not in compliance with the FBI CJIS Security Policy. This letter is intended to outline updates regarding our implementation plan, identifying what steps will be taken to resolve each audit finding identified below as not meeting the requirements:

- 1. Noncriminal Justice Agency:** *The Lodi Police Department received Information Technology services from its respective noncriminal justice agency without a current Management Control Agreement on file with the City of Lodi Information Technology (IT) Department; Completed. CLETS Management Control Agreement completed and signed by all parties on February 9, 2015. Copy of the MCA attached as attachment 1.*
- 2. Private Contactors:** *The Lodi Police Department receives information technology services from private contractor(s) without obtaining an FBI CJIS Security Addendum with the required certification of private contractor personnel and their representative (Secure Link, SunGard, and Delta Wireless); Update: In the process of sending CLETS Private Contractor Management Control Agreement forms to those contractors who have a need to access our systems or networks on our behalf. Estimated completion date is March 31, 2015.*
- 3. Personnel Security:** *The Lodi Police Department does not fingerprint all personnel, who have unescorted access within the perimeter of their physically secure location, with access to criminal justice information (city IT staff, OSSI, Secure Link, and Delta Wireless); Completed. All personnel who have not been fingerprinted are no longer allowed unescorted access within the*

perimeter of our physically secure location, with access to criminal justice information. All personnel are checked in, provided an identification badge, and assigned an escort. We have also identified personnel who require frequent access and have had them fingerprinted.

4. **Security Awareness Training Records:** *The Lodi Police Department does not ensure personnel who manage or have access to criminal justice information receive the required security awareness training within six months of initial assignment, and biennially thereafter (local agency personnel, city IT staff, OSSI, Secure Link, and Delta Wireless); Completed. Currently our department CLETS Trainers provide the required 4 hour or 8 hour (depending upon the access level of the employee) training course and document exam scores in our CLETS training log, including all recertification training. Our CLETS Trainers are enrolled to attend the NexTEST certification course hosted at the Elk Grove Police Department on March 10, 2015. Once our trainers are certified all CLETS training and recertification will be conducted via NexTEST.*

5. **Physical Security:** *The Lodi Police Department does not have a written physical protection policy. Update. In the process of preparing and implementing a physical protection policy in our new department policy manual. Estimated completion date is June 30, 2015.*

6. **Media Protection:** *The Lodi Police Department does not have a written policy for electronic and physical media that restricts access to authorized individuals; Completed. The City of Lodi does have written policy for electronic and physical media that restricts access to authorized individuals. City of Lodi Information Services policy section number 1, Electronic Media Acceptable Usage, has been attached as attachment 2 SECTION 1.doc for review.*

7. **Media Disposal:** *The Lodi Police Department does not have 1) written policy for sanitization and destruction of electronic media, and 2) written procedures for physical media disposal; Update. Policy completed. The City of Lodi does have written policy for sanitization and destruction of electronic media and written procedures for physical media disposal. City of Lodi Information Services policy section number 8, E-Waste Disposal, has been attached as attachment 3 SECTION 8.doc for review. Information Services is in the process of purchasing a drive duplicator that meets D.O.D. 5520.22-M certification for sanitizing hard drives for disposal. Update: In progress, estimated completion date is March 31, 2015.*

8. **Network Configuration:** *The Lodi Police Department does not have a current network diagram; Update. IT in the progress of a data center move. Network diagram to be completed by June 30, 2015.*

9. **System Use Notification:** *The Lodi Police Department does not display an approved system use notification message to identify the device restrictions and consent on all information systems accessing criminal justice information;* **Update.** System Use Notification message was enabled; however, it was not law enforcement specific. We have an updated law enforcement specific notification and Information Services is in the process of making the change. System Use Notification attached as attachment 4 Electronic Media Use Notice.doc for review. Estimated completion date is February 27, 2015.
10. **Identification/UserId:** *The Lodi Police Department does not document the validation process of system accounts;* **Update.** IT in the process of establishing a validation process of system accounts. Estimated completion date is June 30, 2015.
11. **Authentication:** *The Lodi Police Department's passwords were less than eight characters and did not have a password history of at least ten;* **Completed.** All passwords expire in 90 days and are now required to meet the listed standards including a history of at least ten.
12. **Event Logging:** *The Lodi Police Department does not log successful and unsuccessful attempts to access, create, write, delete or change permission on user account, file, directory or other system resource for all information systems accessing criminal justice information;* **Update.** IT in the process of an Internal History Log and tracking CLETS user identification. Estimated completion date is June 30, 2015.
13. **Advanced Authentication:** *The Lodi Police Department does not provide advanced authentication for remote access to criminal justice information from non-secure locations, for information technology staff and private contractors;* **Update.** IT in the process of installing 2FA, Inc. on all mobile devices with CLETS access. Estimated completion date is March 31, 2015.


An additional encryption issue was discovered during this process, regarding the encryption between our PD building and the City Hall Annex building. The City's Virtual Infrastructure is physically located in the City Hall Annex which is across the street from our PD building. Therefore our RMS is split between two sites. IT is in the process of identifying how to ensure that data between the two sites is properly encrypted. Estimated completion date is June 30, 2015.

14. **Encryption:** *The Lodi Police Department does not encrypt its data backup and was unable to provide verification that the encryption used on the wireless and Internet network segments were at least 128-bit NIST certified;* **Completed.** Wireless and Internet segments are 128-bit NIST 140-2 verified.

15. Personal Firewalls: *The Lodi Police Department did not implement personal firewalls on their wireless access devices. Completed. NetMotion and Windows 7 firewall protection is now installed on all wireless access devices. Personal Firewalls completed.*

If you require additional information or have questions, please contact Lieutenant Chris Jacobson at (209) 333-6788.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Helms", written over a faint, larger signature.

Mark Helms
Chief of Police

MH:cj89

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

CHARLIE BECK
Chief of Police



ERIC GARCETTI
Mayor

P. O. Box 30158
Los Angeles, Calif. 90030
Telephone: (213) 486-0150
TDD: (877) 275-5273
Ref #: 17.1

FEB 27 2015

February 23, 2015

Keith Dann
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis
Post Office Box 903387
Sacramento, California 94203-3870

Dear Mr. Dann:

This correspondence is in response to the letter dated, October 21, 2014, informing the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) of the results of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) division Information Technology Security Audit (ITSA) inspection/review conducted earlier this year.

The Bureau's findings show that our agency was **not in compliance** with FBI CJIS security policies in regard to several areas detailed in your correspondence.

This letter is to inform you of the status of our agency's compliance with the following findings.

1. **Private Contractors:** We anticipate receiving signed FBI CJIS Security Addendum documents from most of the contractors identified in the findings by December 1, 2014. The contractors from whom we are receiving signed Security Addenda are Palantir, Praescient Analytics and JSS Contractors. We will not be receiving a Security Addendum from Iron Mountain as we are no longer using this firm for any information technology services related to CJIS data and their personnel are not allowed to enter any locations within our facilities containing CJIS-related systems for removal of confidential or sensitive documents.

COMPLETED

2. **Personnel Security:** Fingerprinting of Iron Mountain personnel will no longer be necessary since they will not be permitted to enter any secured location within our agency's facilities. LAPD personnel will take over the destruction of all CJIS-related materials. Any non-CJIS materials that Iron Mountain may continue to collect for destruction will be provided to their personnel by LAPD staff at delivery or loading zones for pickup.

COMPLETED


3. **Security Awareness Training Records:** Security Awareness training is being provided to the following populations identified in the findings:
 - a. Local agency personnel: training is up to date. **COMPLETED**
 - b. City Information Technology Agency: training to be completed by December 31, 2014. **COMPLETED**
 - c. Palantir, Praescient Analytics and JSS Contractors: training to be completed by December 5, 2014. **COMPLETED**
 - d. Iron Mountain personnel will no longer require this training since LAPD staff is taking over their functions. **COMPLETED**
4. **Media Disposal:** The Los Angeles Police Department does not agree with this finding. Our agency provided our agency's media disposal policy to the FBI inspector, and which is attached with this letter. **COMPLETED**
5. **System Usage Warning:** All agency computers at the LAPD have displayed a system usage warning since June 2014. **COMPLETED**
6. **Identification/UserID:** An agency policy regarding this matter will be issued by December 31, 2014. **COMPLETED**
7. **Encryption:** The following encryption modules are used for encryption of LAPD CJIS-related traffic over the City network (the reference number for each module refers to National Institute of Standards and Technology's Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 140 vendor list at <http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/STM/cmvp/documents/140-1/1401vend.htm>):
 - a. #1051 OpenSSL FIPS Object Module - *Module installed on server/mainframe; awaiting LAPD NSS rollout schedule for BlueZone* **IN-PROGRESS** - May 29, 2015
 - b. #989 Windows XP Enhanced Cryptographic Provider **COMPLETED**
 - c. #1330 Windows 7 Enhanced Cryptographic Provider **COMPLETED**

The LAPD has taken, or will take, action on all the above items identified by the FBI's ITSA inspection, and all items will be **in compliance** by May 29, 2015 or earlier, as indicated above.

If you have any questions concerning the actions taken above, please have a member of your staff contact the Agency Security Point of Contact, Mr. Sanjoy Datta, at (213) 486-0287.

Respectfully,

CHARLIE BECK
Chief of Police



JEFFREY M. JANTZ, Director of Systems
Commanding Officer, Agency CLETS Coordinator
Information Technology Division



CITY OF STOCKTON

POLICE DEPARTMENT
22 East Market Street • Stockton, CA 95202-2876
www.stocktongov.com
(209) 937-8367

March 10, 2015

Keith Dann, Assistant Chief
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis
For Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General
State of California Department of Justice
P.O. Box 903387
Sacramento, CA 94203-3870

FBI/CJIS AUDIT RESULTS AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

In response to your letter of October 30, 2014, detailing twelve FBI CJIS policy mandates with which the Stockton Police Department was not in compliance, the following corrective measures have been taken or will be implemented.

Item 1:

Noncriminal Justice Agency: *The Stockton Police Department received Information Technology services from its respective noncriminal justice agency without a current Management Control Agreement on file with the City of Stockton Information Technology (IT) Department.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department has a Management Control Agreement for the City of Stockton Information Technology (IT) that was sent to the City Manager's Office on October 9, 2014. We are waiting for the City Manager's signature. We anticipate approval and receipt of this document by December 15, 2014.

Update – 01/30/15:

This document is still pending signature from the City Manager. Expected date of completion is February 15, 2015.

Update – 03/12/15:

Completed.

Item 2:

Private Contractors: *The Stockton Police Department receives information technology services from private contractor(s) without obtaining an FBI CJIS Security Addendum with the required certification of private contractor personnel and their representative (Tiburon, Iron Mountain, Delta Wireless and NEKO).*

Response – 11/06/14:

Private Contractor Agreements and Security Addendums are currently on file from Tiburon and NEKO Industries. We are in the process of obtaining these documents from Iron Mountain and Delta Wireless. These documents are expected to be received by December 15, 2014.

Update – 01/30/15:

Private Contractor Agreements and Security Addendums are currently on file for Tiburon, NEKO Industries and Delta Wireless. We are expecting completion of the required documents from Iron Mountain by February 15, 2015.

Update – 03/12/15:

Completed documents have been received.

Item 3:

Personnel Security: *The Stockton Police Department does not fingerprint all personnel, who have unescorted access within the perimeter of their physically secure location, with access to criminal justice information (Tiburon, Iron Mountain, Delta Wireless and NEKO personnel).*

Response 11/06/14:

There are no unauthorized or unescorted personnel allowed within the perimeter of a physically secure location that have not been fingerprinted, including Tiburon, Iron Mountain, Delta Wireless, and NEKO. All non-Stockton Police Department personnel are escorted in and out of the main police facility and the SEB (Investigations Building), except janitorial and IT staff, who are fingerprinted.

Update – 01/30/15

Completed.

Item 4:

Security Awareness Training Records: *The Stockton Police Department does not: 1) ensure personnel, who manage or have access to criminal justice information receive the required security awareness training within six months of initial assignment, and biennially thereafter (IT personnel, Tiburon, Iron Mountain, Delta Wireless and NEKO); and 2) provide the first tier of security awareness training to unescorted janitorial staff.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department is currently providing security awareness training to all personnel, who manage or have access to criminal justice information, within six months of initial assignment and biennially thereafter. This training is being combined with CLETS training; and 2) IT personnel, Tiburon, NEKO personnel and janitorial staff have been provided security awareness training. We are currently using the security awareness training materials from the CLEW website. We will be setting up a schedule of employees and others with criminal justices access on NexTEST by March 15, 2015. Note: Delta Wireless and Iron Mountain do not have direct access to criminal justice information. However, we are currently seeking compliance with Delta Wireless and Iron Mountain to receive the security awareness training document. It is anticipated that this will be completed by January 31, 2015.

Update – 01/30/15:

- 1) Completed with the exception of Iron Mountain security awareness training. They have been contacted, and we are expecting completion by February 15, 2015. Delta Wireless security awareness training has been completed and documents received.
- 2) Completed with the exception of Iron Mountain. We are still expecting to set up employees with criminal justice access on NexTEST by March 15, 2015.

Update – 03/12/15:

Iron Mountain Security Awareness training completed.

Item 5:

Physical Security: *The Stockton Police Department does not have a written physical protection policy.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department has a new physical protection policy, currently in draft form, "SECURITY MEASURES FOR MAIN POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SEB." We anticipate the approval of this document by December 15, 2014.

Update – 01/30/15:

Completed.

Item 6:

Media Protection: *The Stockton Police Department does not have a written policy for electronic and physical media that restricts access to authorized individuals.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department has a current draft version of our security policy, "MEDIA PROTECTION AND DESTRUCTION POLICY," which includes electronic and physical media that restricts access to authorized individuals. We anticipate the completion of this document by December 15, 2014.

Update – 01/30/15:

Completed.

Item 7:

Media Disposal: *The Stockton Police Department does not have 1) written policy for sanitization and destruction of electronic media, and 2) written procedures for physical media disposal.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department has a current draft version of our security policy, "MEDIA PROTECTION AND DESTRUCTION POLICY," which includes 1) sanitization and destruction of electronic media, and 2) written procedures for physical media disposal. We anticipate the completion of this document by December 15, 2014.

Update – 01/30/15

- 1) Completed.
- 2) Completed.

Item 8:

System Use Notification: *The Stockton Police Department does not display an approved system use notification message to identify the device restrictions and consent on all information systems accessing criminal justice information.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department has a system use notification message, “-WARNING-THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY POLICY ADVISES THAT UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS OR MISUSE OF INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM ANY CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEMS MAY RESULT IN ADVSERSE ACTION AND/OR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION. ALL ACTIVITY IS SUBJECT TO MONITORING.” We anticipate implementation to be completed by December 15, 2014.

Update – 01/30/15:

Information Technology is expected to push out the SYSTEM USE NOTIFICATION to all CLETS terminals by February 15, 2015. This is just pending final approval.

Update – 01/30/15

Completed.

Item 9:

Identification/User ID: *The Stockton Police Department does not document the validation process of system accounts.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department **does** document the validation process of system accounts. IT uses the LOGR utility on CAD.

Update – 01/30/15:

Completed.

Item 10:

Authentication: *The Stockton Police Department’s Tiburon passwords did not expire within a maximum of 90 days.*

Response – 11/06/14:

Tiburon passwords have been set to expire every 90 days.

Update 01/30/15:

Completed.

Item 11:

Advanced Authentication: *The Stockton Police Department does not provide advanced authentication for remote access to its NEKO and Tiburon systems from non-secure locations.*

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department currently has access by VPN with strong usernames and passwords, which are completely different than CLETS. We are in the process of setting up a multi-factor authentication. The user will be given a CISCO VPN account to access the Windows authenticate server over the internet. Each user must enter a user name and strong password. The server will then call a phone number associated with the user account. A message will ask the user to type a code in on the phone. The user will then be granted access to our network with the correct code. The anticipated date of completion is March 15, 2015.

Update – 01/30/15:

This is still in progress and expected to be completed by March 15, 2015.

Update – 03/12/15:

The solution City of Stockton Information Technology Department previously presented does not work with our current system. Network staff has developed a plan to purchase a different Virtual Private Network (VPN) for the vendors to use. The new VPN will work with three solutions we have found. The anticipated date of completion is June 30, 2015.

Item 12:

Encryption: *The Stockton Police Department does not encrypt the public network segment between its buildings and was unable to provide verification the encryption used on the wireless network segments was at least 128-bit NIST certified.*

Keith Dann, Assistant Chief

March 10, 2015

Page 7

Response – 11/06/14:

The Stockton Police Department is in the process of obtaining quotes for switches that will support encryption. Funding to purchase the switches has not yet been identified. This project may take up to 24 months to complete. The estimated completion date is December, 2016.

Wireless network segments are 128-bit NIST; NetMotion Mobility XE. The NIST certificate numbers are 237, 441 and 493.

Update – 01/30/15:

Information Technology is working with DOJ to put a solution in place. Expected date of completion is undetermined, but work is in progress.

Update – 03/12/15:

The City of Stockton Information Technology Department anticipates using Netmotion as an encryption solution and to have it in place by June 30, 2015. The City of Stockton Information Technology Department has concerns that the Netmotion solution may not meet the encryption standard required. Information Technology Supervisor Ray Miller is scheduled for a conference call with DOJ on March 17, 2015, at 9:00 AM to address the concerns.

These actions bring the Stockton Police Department into compliance with the FBI/CJIS policies.



ERIC JONES
CHIEF OF POLICE

EJ/RW:ta

emc: Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis CLETS Admin Section Mark Hayward
Supervising Police Records Assistant Rhonda Winkler



Police Department
One Shields Avenue
Davis, CA 95616

March 11, 2015

Mark Hayward
California Department of Justice
Sacramento, CA 94203

Re: CLETS Audit Letter Update-ORI CA0579700

Dear Mr. Hayward,

This letter is an updated response to the Department of Justice's security concerns related to the UC Davis Police Department's 2012 CLETS audit.

Below you will find our answers to the Department of Justice's specific concerns outlined in your previous correspondence.

- **Please include a Reciprocity Agreement signed by your agency and Davis Police Department**

Completed October 2014

- **Please include the FBI CJIS Security Policy 5.1.1.1 that goes along with the Private Contractor Management Control Agreement**

Completed documentation process January 2015

Fingerprints will be resent to DOJ once billing and addressing issues are cleared up on the cards. Estimated completion date by end of March, 2015.

- **When passwords are used to authenticate an individual's unique ID, it is critical that your agency meets all requirements. Based on your ACC's response to question 3.14, your agency does not currently meet the requirements outlined in the FBI CJIS Security Policy 5.6.2.1**

Our current version of software does not run with the 90 day requirement change. It is a known bug that can only be fixed with updated versions of the software. However, we cannot update to the newer versions of the software due to our antiquated server system. The updated version of the software that meet the security requirements can only run on newer servers with the appropriate OS platform.



We have requested the DOJ AT&T network connection for the CLETS server.
Estimated completion date of installation and testing by mid of April 2015.

After testing, the software installation and version updates should be complete by June 2015.

This will ensure all of the security requirements are met and we are in compliance.

- **The Dispatch Area's terminals with CLETS are facing a window, which has Foot Traffic. The window for the dispatch area must be tinted.**

Completed June 2012.

- **Ensure the background check for VisionAir vendor was completed with UC Davis's ORI.**

Fingerprints will be resent to DOJ once billing and addressing issues are cleared up.
Estimated completion date by end of March, 2015.

- **Please include the Training Logs for Full Access, Less than full Access Operators, and Practitioners**

Completed October 2014

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in purple ink that reads "Leticia Garcia-Hernandez".

Leticia Garcia-Hernandez
Dispatch Supervisor
Agency CLETS Coordinator
(530)752-1727



Philip J. Penko
Chief of Police
Monterey Police Department

351 Madison Street
Monterey, CA 93940
(831) 646-3800

MONTEREY POLICE DEPARTMENT

February 10, 2015

Keith Dann, Executive Secretary
State of California Department of Justice
P.O. Box 903387
Sacramento, California 94203-3870

Re: Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS)
Division, Information Technology Security Audit (ITSA) Response

Dear Mr. Dann:

In response to the results of the March 2014 FBI CJIS ITSA audit results, the City of Monterey and the Monterey Police Department (MPD) have implemented the following steps to bring the Department into compliance with the audit findings.

Audit Finding 6: Encryption

Response: Monterey is in the process of implementing encryption with regards to the backup data center. There are four high-speed links that connect the two data centers. Encryption to enable three of the links will be completed with existing equipment. The module to connect the fourth link has been purchased and received. Installation is currently in process with an expected completion date of March 31.

If you have any questions, please contact Administrative Analyst Karen Faurot at 831.646.3827 or via email at faurot@monterey.org.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Philip J. Penko".

Philip J. Penko
Chief of Police

Monterey Police Department's Mission

Responsive to All ★ Second to None ★ Every Time



City of Anaheim
POLICE DEPARTMENT

January 15, 2015

Keith Dann, Assistant Chief
CLETS Executive Secretary
CA Department of Justice
Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis
Sacramento, CA 94203-3870

Re: Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Information Technology Security Audit (ITSA) Quarterly Compliance Report – January 2015

Dear Assistant Chief Dann:

As requested in your letter dated October 9, 2014, the Anaheim Police Department is presenting the following Quarterly Status Report for January 2015 as part of our steps towards compliance with FBI CJIS Security Policy.

The following lists the updates from the original Implementation Plan:

5. System Use Notification:

The Anaheim Police Department has successfully tested the implementation of the system use notification message used throughout the City of Anaheim. The Police Department has submitted a custom System Use Notification to the City of Anaheim Information Technology Manager. We are awaiting authorization for use of this customized message. As a result, this item needs to be rescheduled for completion with the submission of the July 2015 Quarterly Report.

6. Identification/Userid:

Included with this report is documentation of the processes followed by the Anaheim Police Department.

8. Session Lock:

We have successfully completed testing of a session lock pilot group. Implementation of a 30 minute inactivity Session Lock for our Versaterm users, excluding those on Mobile Data Terminals and in Dispatch, in accordance with existing policy took effect on January 14, 2015.


The listed item topics are scheduled for future completion, as indicated in our letter and as such there is no additional update on them.

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 1. Private Contractors | Scheduled completion April 2015 Quarterly Report |
| 2. Personnel Security | Scheduled completion July 2015 Quarterly Report |
| 3. Security Awareness Training | Scheduled completion July 2015 Quarterly Report |
| 4. Media Disposal | Completed and submitted with Implementation Plan |
| 7. Authentication | Scheduled completion July 2015 Quarterly Report |

The Anaheim Police Department will continue to work toward resolution of the remaining outstanding issues to bring us into full compliance. It is our understanding that our next deadline is submission of the April 2015 Quarterly Report.

If any further information is needed, please contact our Agency CLETS Coordinator (AAC), Charmaine Darmour, at 714-765-1838 or cdarmour@anaheim.net.

Sincerely,



RAUL QUEZADA
CHIEF OF POLICE

Enclosures: October 9, 2014 Letter
October 28, 2014 Letter
Identification/Userid

Anaheim Police Department

ACCOUNT VALIDATION POLICY

The addition and deletion of new Anaheim Police Department network accounts is the responsibility of the Anaheim Police Department IT Bureau. The following outlines the process for adding and deleting network accounts.

Addition of New Account

When a new employee joins the Anaheim Police Department (APD), a new account request is forwarded to the APD IT Bureau in one of two ways:

- 1) The supervisor of the new user emails a request to APD IT;
- 2) The Personnel Sgt. forwards a copy of the new user's "offer of employment" memo

Either type of request will include the following:

- 1) user (employee) name
- 2) permission level needed for shared network folders and files, and
- 3) identification of the email and security group(s) the user needs.

APD IT staff will process the request and notify the employee's supervisor when the account is established.

Deletion of Account

When an employee separates their employment with APD, the Personnel Sgt. or the employee's supervisor will notify the APD IT Bureau of their separation. IT staff will disable the logon account and email address.

An audit will be conducted by APD IT staff every six months to identify and delete any/all obsolete user accounts should they exist.

The Anaheim Police Department's Account Validation policy upholds the City of Anaheim's Administrative Regulation 155 pertaining to creating strong passwords specifically "it is the responsibility of each user to create a strong password when prompted by the system. Users should avoid using passwords that are easy to guess. The system will reject passwords that have been previously used by the user over the past 12 selections."



ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

550 N. FLOWER STREET
SANTA ANA, CA 92703
(714) 647-7000
WWW.OCS.D.ORG

SHERIFF-CORONER
SANDRA HUTCHENS

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

February 18, 2015

Ms. Michelle Mitchell
CLETS Administration
Department of Justice
Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis
4949 Broadway, B114
Sacramento, California 95820

Re: County Data Line Connections & Encryption

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

This letter is to provide DOJ with an implementation plan for encrypting CLETS traffic, from end to end, for each of our County partners. Our plan for each agency is as follows:

1. *Orange County Probation:* In December 2014, Probation purchased a Cisco Firewall which has been placed outside the OCS.D firewall to unencrypt CLETS data. Once implemented, CLETS data will travel encrypted once the user logs in to use CLETS via VPN technology. This project is 85% complete and the expected completion date is April 1, 2015.
2. *Orange County District Attorney:* OCS.D was contacted by DOJ on 2/3/15 notifying us that CLETS data traveling on "private" fiber lines must be encrypted. OCS.D is recommending that a Cisco Firewall be purchased and installed on each end of the CLETS data path to encrypt CLETS traffic. To allow adequate time for both agencies to acquire funding and coordinate installation, the completion date of this project is October 1, 2015.
3. *Orange County Superior Court:* OCS.D will be following the same plan as item 2 above. The expected completion date will also be October 1, 2015.

OCS.D asks DOJ that this letter serve as our first quarterly update and that DOJ not require any of the agencies involved attend the CLETS Advisory Committee meeting, thus saving law enforcement funds for other purposes. Another letter will be mailed out as each of these encryption projects are completed, or as required by DOJ. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sandra Hutchens
Sheriff-Coroner

Cc: Orange County District Attorney
Orange County Probation
Orange County Superior Court



STEVEN J. SENTMAN
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

TELEPHONE: (714) 646-7000

1055 N. MAIN STREET, 5TH FLOOR
SANTA ANA, CA 92701

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. BOX 10280
SANTA ANA, CA 92711-0280

February 19, 2015

Michelle Mitchell
CLETS Administration
Department of Justice
Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis
4949 Broadway, 8114
Sacramento, California 95820

Re: FBI CJIS Security Policy 5.10.1.2 Compliance

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

This letter is to inform you that the project for encryption of CLETS traffic between this Department's ELETE workstations and the Orange County Sheriff Department is currently beyond the scope and control of Orange County Probation Department, and is pending the completion of network and device configurations on OCSD side.

As such, OCSD will be providing a letter to your attention, stating the status and estimated completion date of the project. We ask that Orange County Probation Department be relieved of the requirement to send a representative to address the CLETS Advisory Committee in person, as requested in your electronic mail communication on February 6, 2015, and to let OCSD's letter serve as the official status report on this project.

Sincerely,

STEVEN J. SENTMAN
Chief Probation Officer

SJS

cc: Orange County Sheriff Department



**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

**1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
ROOM 456, CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102**



**Ross Mirkarimi
SHERIFF**

**February 5, 2015
Reference: 2015-016**

**Michelle D. Mitchell
California Department of Justice
CLETS Administration
4949 Broadway
Sacramento, CA 95817**

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

This letter is to provide you an updated compliance report for outstanding issues which came to light as a result of the FBI CJIS audits conducted in 2014.

Issue 1: REVIEW COMPLETE: Private Contractors: We have a new vendor for paper document storage. A review of our document retention policies revealed that there is a category of documents that are stored in sealed boxes sent for storage to the new vendor (GRM) that most likely contain CII and FBI numbers. At the time of the audit it was unclear as to whether these paper records contained CORI data in an accessible format. We are undertaking a change to this policy and will be retrieving these documents that may contain CLETS derived numbers, as well as prohibiting the storage of these documents at GRM. We expect to be in compliance after this review on March 31, 2015.

Issue 2: RESOLVED: Media Protection: The Department implemented a policy in 2013 for electronic and physical media that restricts access to authorized personnel. However we did not provide a copy of this document in a timely manner to the auditor when the visited.

Issue 3: IN PROGRESS (DELAYED): System Use Notification: We have signed off on an agreed method for this delivery. We are prevented from implementation until the main virtual servers can be patched. We expect a resolution by March 1, 2015.

Issue 4: RESOLVED: Identification/Userid: At the time of the audit we were unsure of our compliance to all aspects of this requirement. The SFSD conducted a review and

PHONE: 415-554-7225 FAX: 415-554-7050

WEBSITE: WWW.SFSHERIFF.COM

EMAIL: SHERIFF@SFGOV.ORG

found that our process does keep records for the issuance and revocation of identification/userids in compliance with CJIS regulations as follows:

1. We uniquely identify each user.
2. We verify the identity of each user.
3. We receive authorization to issue a user identifier from an appropriate agency official.
4. We issue the user identifier to the intended party.
5. We disable the user identifier after a specified period of inactivity.
6. We archive user identifiers.

Issue 5: RESOLVED: Event Logging: In consultation with our Department of Telecommunication we have implemented logging and a log review process to comply with this requirement. We also have implemented email notifications to admin staff for unusual activity (i.e. multiple password failures). We were in compliance 12/15/2014.

Issue 6: RESOLVED: Advanced Authentication: We are now employing advanced authentication implemented in June 2014.

Please contact Lieutenant Dave Hardy should you or your staff have any additional questions regarding this information. Lieutenant Hardy can be reached at (415) 575-4449.

Sincerely,



ROSS MIRKARIMI
Sheriff

cc: Chief of Staff, Chief Deputy



**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

**1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
ROOM 456, CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102**



**Ross Mirkarimi
SHERIFF**

**February 11, 2015
Reference: 2015-020**

**Michelle D. Mitchell
California Department of Justice
CLETS Administration
4949 Broadway
Sacramento, CA 95817**

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

This letter is an addendum to our earlier report dated February 6, 2015, Ref: 2015-016.

In our last quarterly update we had targeted January 1, 2015 as our compliance date for the issues. We are requesting an extension to March 31, 2015. The reason for the requested extension is the time it took to do our paper document review was underestimated in our last quarterly report. In addition, the technology to allow us to display a System Notification to all users was not deployable due to software constraints.

We therefore respectfully request that the CAC authorize an extension to March 31, 2015. We understand that we will need to send a representative to the CAC to request the extension in person.

In addition, you asked for our validation process for validating user accounts. Our Microsoft Active Directory system is linked to the City's personnel data real-time. This adds an employee to the Sheriff's Organizational Unit (OU) in Active Directory.

This AD process allows us to verify the identity of each user. The Sheriff has authorized the SFSD IT to issue a user identifier based on this AD entry, and we assign a temporary password. We then use internal means to insure that these credentials are issued to the intended party. We disable the user identifier after 30 days of inactivity. We archive user identifiers by using AD to mark them "Inactive."

PHONE: 415-554-7225 FAX: 415-554-7050

WEBSITE: WWW.SFSHERIFF.COM

EMAIL: SHERIFF@SFGOV.ORG

Please contact Lieutenant Dave Hardy should you or your staff have any additional questions regarding this information. Lieutenant Hardy can be reached at (415) 575-4449.

Sincerely,



ROSS MIRKARIMI
Sheriff

cc: Chief of Staff, Chief Deputy Gorwood

MAYOR
Miguel A. Pulido
MAYOR PRO TEM
Vincent F. Sarmiento
COUNCILMEMBERS
Angelica Amezcua
P. David Benavides
Michele Martínez
Roman Reyna
Sal Tinajero



CITY MANAGER
David Cavazos
CITY ATTORNEY
Sonia R. Carvalho
CLERK OF THE COUNCIL
Maria D. Huizar

CITY OF SANTA ANA

POLICE DEPARTMENT
60 Civic Center Plaza • P.O. Box 1981
Santa Ana, California 92702
www.santa-ana.org

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

March 4, 2015

Keith Dann, Assistant Chief
Bureau of Information and Analysis
State of California Department of Justice
P.O. Box 903387
Sacramento, CA 94203-3870

Re. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Information Technology Security Audit (ITSA) Report

Dear Asst. Chief Dann:

This letter is in response to Santa Ana Police Department's remaining areas of non-compliance following the ITSA completed in March 2014. The following is our current implementation plan or noted compliance with FBI CJIS Security policy:

1. **Security Awareness Training Records:** The Santa Ana Police Department does not ensure personnel, who manage or have access to criminal justice information receive the required security awareness training within six months of initial assignment, and biennially thereafter (local agency personnel; City IT; Softmaster; Crossroads Software, Inc.; Tiburon and Paper Recycling Shredding Services); Security Awareness Training for all staff was completed by February 26, 2015.
2. **Media Disposal:** The Santa Ana Police Department does not have 1) written policy for sanitization and destruction of electronic media, and 2) did not witness physical media destruction by unauthorized individuals;
The Santa Ana Police Department now has a written policy and procedure that specifically addresses information technology matters. This includes a policy that addresses the sanitization and destruction of electronic media. Policy completed on February 24, 2015.

March 4, 2015

Page 2

3. **Session Lock:** The Santa Ana Police Department did not initiate a session lock after a maximum of 30 minutes of inactivity on all information systems accessing criminal justice information.
Session lock feature added to Department computers. The installation of this feature was completed on February 12, 2015.
4. **Event Logging:** The Santa Ana Police Department does not review its system audit logs, at a minimum of once a week, for appropriate, unusual or suspicious activity; Santa Ana Police Department's CAD system/IQ CAD is outdated and is unable to support this level of auditing. The Department is in the process of procuring a new CAD/RMS solution that will have this feature. The new CAD/RMS system is projected to be operational by November 1, 2016. Our agency is aware this change will require a CLETS application since it is upgrading our agency's computer aided dispatch/records management system. We anticipate submitting an application to DOJ by April 15, 2015, if Council approves the recommended vendor. If the timeline changes due to a delay in Council approval, we notify DOJ in a timely manner.
Status remains unchanged from January's report.

We will submit a status report by May 5, 2015, to note our progress related to the selection and Council approval of the purchase of the new CAD/RMS system. Please feel free to contact our CLETS Coordinator, Christina Holland at (714)245-8620 or cholland@santa-ana.org if you need any further information or have additional concerns.

Sincerely,



CARLOS ROJAS
Chief of Police

cc: Michelle D. Mitchell, DOJ, CLETS Analyst



March 10, 2015

VIA EMAIL

CLETS Administration Section
California Department of Justice
4949 Broadway Room J231
Sacramento, CA 95820
Email: steve.kennedy@doj.ca.gov

RE: CLETS Advisory Committee/Standing Strategic Planning Subcommittee

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of the Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF), a San Francisco-based non-profit that defends civil liberties in the digital age. Having reviewed recent meeting minutes from the CLETS Advisory Committee (CAC) and its Standing Strategic Planning Subcommittee (SSPS), as well as documents obtained through the California Public Records Act, EFF is deeply concerned about the privacy and civil rights implications of CAC/SSPS's recent actions and proposals. Please enter this letter into the public record for the March 25 meetings of both CAC and SSPS.

The public records indicate that these bodies are moving beyond mere advisory roles by applying for grants and meeting with heads of law enforcement agencies to expand both the collection of personal information and the sharing of this sensitive information with outside entities. In particular, CAC/SSPS appears headed towards a process of sharing facial images held by the California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) and enabling facial recognition for investigative purposes, despite DMV concerns that some of these steps may be insecure and inconsistent with existing statutory authorization.

First, EFF is greatly concerned about CAC/SSPS's recent efforts to obtain funding to build out DMV's infrastructure and to allow the state to access driver license photos from other states through the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (NLETS). While this may not directly affect California drivers, it is clear from the documents that CAC/SSPS believes that this first step will open the door—both in terms of policy and technology—for the sharing of California drivers' photos nationwide. We share the concerns of the director of the DMV, who stated in response to CAC/SSPS inquiries:

[T]he transmission and wholesale sharing of DL/ID photos between Cal-Photo and NLETS raises significant concerns. DMV has a statutory and regulatory obligation to protect all information, including photos that are maintained in the department's database. There is no viable method for DMV to account for each disclosure of California DL/ID photos via NLETS under this proposal. The proposed expansion of photo-sharing between CLETS/Cal-Photo and NLETS may open the door to random accessing of photos without providing identifying points of information. The inability to account for each California DL/ID photo disclosure via NLETS would make it difficult, if not impossible to track the source of a security breach involving the NLETS network.

At this time, DMV cannot support Goal #8 to the extent it depends on the use of any California DMV information, specifically DL/ID photos. Existing statute and regulations require a vast array of security measures to protect DMV record information for the reasons stated.

815 Eddy Street • San Francisco, CA 94109 USA

voice +1 415 436 9333

fax +1 415 436 9993

web www.eff.org

email information@eff.org

Despite this warning from the DMV, CAC/SSPS is continuing to move forward with this proposal, as well as pursuing the ability for law enforcement to leverage facial recognition technology against DMV records for investigations.

Beyond the obvious civil liberties concerns, there are also data security issues with these CAC/SSPS proposals. CAC meeting minutes regularly outline deficiencies in encryption and other security compliance failures among California law enforcement agencies, including problems in the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office and the Los Angeles Police Department, two of the largest law enforcement agencies in the state. No personal information should be collected, stored, or shared without effective security techniques and detailed auditing to ensure this sensitive information is adequately safeguarded.


Finally, we are concerned with CAC/SSPS efforts to collect thumbprints from Californians during traffic stops for low-level infractions and misdemeanors, as well as the expansion of GPS tracking and sharing of locational data statewide. Although CAC/SSPS cited Proposition 47 as justification for these measures, the primary purpose of Proposition 47 was to reduce the consequences of non-violent and less serious crimes. These proposals simply serve as an end-run around the will of California voters.

Given the specific privacy and security concerns surrounding these proposals, and the growing concerns about privacy and government surveillance generally among the public and state legislators, it was surprising to see CAC/SSPS is scheduling meetings with law enforcement officials on these issues without engaging civil liberties advocates and other stakeholders.

EFF asks CAC/SSPS to immediately put the brakes on these plans. These policies will have substantial and long-lasting ramifications for both law enforcement and the public. Therefore, decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement associations.

In the coming days, we plan to publicize our position on these issues in anticipation of the CAC/SSPS March 25 meetings, with a goal of generating letters for public comment. If representatives of these committees would like to speak with us directly, you can reach me at dm@eff.org.

Sincerely,



Dave Maass
Investigative Researcher

CC: Attorney General Kamala Harris
attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov

815 Eddy Street • San Francisco, CA 94109 USA

voice +1 415 436 9333

fax +1 415 436 9993

web www.eff.org

email information@eff.org



California CLETS Users Group

P O Box 294 Lak Elsinore CA 92531

Tax ID # 20-4416174

Hello, I'm Brian Barnes, Executive Director of the California CLETS Users Group, more commonly referred to as CCUG or C-CUG. I wanted to take a few moments today to share a little about our organization.

In 1983, California DOJ fostered users group to provide CLETS training, news, and updates to better communicate with law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. Since CCUG originated, our mission has been to represent the approximately 1,300 law enforcement and criminal justice agencies in California. Our membership includes management, supervisory, technical staff, and line level users representing agencies that access CLETS to do their daily jobs.

CCUG's chapters divide the state geographically; northern, central, and southern. Each chapter has their own board to coordinate training and communicate with local users. We currently have 110+ agencies and over 350 members registered with us. Our membership is 44% dispatch, 38% records, 7% IT, 6% training, and 5% courts, probation, and federal agencies. Our 14 board members are elected by our membership and volunteer their time to CCUG in addition to their full time law enforcement employment.

We provide two types of training; quarterly chapter training and an annual seminar. Each chapter hosts 3 quarterly trainings each year. Chapter training topics vary throughout the year and typically include one if not two DOJ/CLETS topics. So far this year our Southern Chapter presented *New Laws for 2015* and *Tactical Stress Response, Why Peer Support Works*. Last month, the central chapter had DOJ present on *nexTest* and *Smart Justice/Justice Mobile*.

Once a year CCUG hosts our 24-hour Annual Training & Technology Seminar. We alternate between northern and southern California each year with last year in Sacramento and this year in Anaheim. Our seminar is certified by POST and gives each attendee 24 hours of CPT credit. It is a great opportunity to meet and receive training from DOJ, DMV, NCIC, and local law enforcement leaders.

If you looked at last year's training topics, you would have noticed about 10% were not directly CLETS related. We try to incorporate training topics that focus on professionalism, career development, and empowering a well-rounded person. We strongly believe training that enhances person's life on any level improves their performance in the workplace.

Lastly, we provide a space for DOJ to present their Training for Trainers, commonly referred to as T4T, immediately preceding our annual seminar. Several of the T4T attendees also attend the seminar.

Since CCUG's inception we have continued to provide CLETS users the best forums available for CLETS training and information exchange. Considering the events of the last several years and the added emphasis on tightened security, system training, and other related issues, training is still a smart investment for our CLETS users. We take pride in providing our member agencies with CLETS and NCIC training and connecting them with the experts that have the right answers. I appreciate this opportunity to speak with you today, thank you!

Executive Director
Brian Barnes

Northern Chapter Director
Dawn Shepherd

Central Chapter Director
Mila Baranov

Southern Chapter Director
Debbie Konstantakos

Northern Chapter Assistant Director
Peggy Mobley

Central Chapter Assistant Director
Chris Guerrero

Southern Chapter Assistant Director
Jessica Moore

Electronic Frontier Foundation – Dave Maass Public Comment

My name is Dave Maass and I am an investigative researcher at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, a San Francisco non-profit that defends civil liberties in the digital world. Today I speak on behalf of more 1,500 Californians who joined us in opposing Goal 8 of the strategic plan, which would share DMV photos nationwide and allow law enforcement to deploy facial recognition.

In 1977, the California Legislature passed the Information Practices Act, reaffirming that the right to privacy is a personal and fundamental right protected by the California Constitution. To quote from the legislature's statement of intent codified into law:

"The increasing use of computers and other sophisticated information technology has greatly magnified the potential risk to individual privacy that can occur from the maintenance of personal information... In order to protect the privacy of individuals, it is necessary that the maintenance and dissemination of personal information be subject to strict limits."

The CLETS Advisory Committee's Standing Strategic Planning Subcommittee has repeatedly disregarded warnings from the California Department of Motor Vehicles that connecting Cal-Photo to NLETS runs counter to both the intent and the letter of the law. Facial recognition would run into even more legal roadblocks. Nevertheless, these committees have moved forward, despite their responsibility to consider the privacy of Californians. Nothing on the record indicates that civil liberties have played a role in discussion over this goal.

Californians have the power to hold state and local law enforcement accountable, but we have little ability to control policy and oversight outside of the state's boundaries. We certainly have no say over law enforcement policies outside of the U.S.—and I'll remind you that Mexico and Canada are also partners in NLETS.

But let's also look at the issue of transparency. NLETS is a private entity and not subject to the Freedom of Information Act, the California Public Records Act, or open meeting laws. If Cal-Photo is connected to NLETS, the public will have no opportunity to inspect or influence policy changes at NLETS. Handing over our photos to an entity with no public accountability measures is unacceptable.

As the DMV stated, under this plan, there would be little we could do to track or prevent random accessing of our DMV photos or trace data breaches.

Goal 8 must be removed from the strategic plan, but it is not the only problematic goal that CLETS Advisory Committee and its subcommittee have approved. In the coming weeks and months, we intend to dig deeper into issues of biometrics, finger and thumb printing and GPS tracking.

We're putting the spotlight on this committee and 1,500 emails is only the beginning.

From: [engagedcitizen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [susan.davis@mail.house.gov](#)
Subject: (No Subject)
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:33:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

As an addendum, I must personally say that I am completely disgusted that this would even be considered in a state such as this. As a resident who has moved from another state, specifically due to laws found unjust and unconstitutional, to come to what was once a beacon of forward thinking democracy, this frightening consequence of post 9/11 America is just another grave step in a terrible direction. You have allowed the war hawks and corporations, especially in the military-industrial complex, to conjure up the threat of "terrorism" as a pretense to send our country into a dark, USSR-era surveillance state. We are not what our country has digressed into. Be the people we elected and stand up for this "democracy" we live in. Don't allow backroom deals and bias corporate interest to influence your decisions.

Thank you,

Engaged Citizen

From: [Robert Brode](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org; "[Lisa Marie Bartley](#) "
Subject: CLETS foray into citizen privacy and questionable tactics
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:16:38 PM

Ms. Harris and Ms. Cranston,

Please accept this email as a formal protest against CLETS Advisory Committee's recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology AND the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

I personally oppose this effort on several grounds:

1. As a former police officer with over 27 years of active service I understand the thinking that propelled this thinking. There is no doubt that law enforcement managers believe this will make their jobs easier. However, it also allows an unprecedented amount of what I believe is an unjustified and unconstitutional invasion of privacy. As citizens of the State of California the photographs held by DMV are required for drivers licensing requirements. Law Enforcement already has the use of DMV photos for photographic lineups. Now they would like to use facial recognition software to make their job "easier". This concept is also called "fishing". The photographs for DMV were never intended to be used in this manner. I believe that Law Enforcement does not have enough legal oversight to handle this kind of power and authority.
2. As a practicing attorney for the past 15 years, who also teaches criminal law full time, this is one of the very issues I warn my students to be wary of. This kind of assumption of authority is part of the "slippery slope" we all talk about and we should be very careful about taking this direction in law enforcement.
3. I also believe that this kind of major shift in law enforcement should require a vote of the people effected, i.e. the people of the state of California. The vast majority of citizens are decent and honorable people and have a right to know what the authorities are trying to do and what they will be subjected to by their government. I question why this activity to promote such a change has been shrouded in secret meetings and against the advice of the DMV. It makes me question who is behind this move and why. An open and free society lets the people decide on such actions. A totalitarian form government doesn't.

I recognize that the California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed their opinion that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, according to EFF the CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been quietly meeting with law enforcement associations to develop this questionable approach to law enforcement. The committee has

also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. Who has authorized these efforts?

The Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to stop these proposals immediately. They state, "Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups". I wholeheartedly agree. I wouldn't have a problem with facial recognition of mugshots of convicted persons. But to go after the entire photographic database of the DMV is far to close to being a state in which I wouldn't want to live in.

Respectfully,

Robert Brode

Professor Robert E. Brode

Attorney at Law

SGT., BPD (Ret.)

Robert.Brode@canyons.edu

Law: 661-288-2969

bobthelawyer@dslextreme.com



This email is free from viruses and malware because [avast! Antivirus](#) protection is active.

From: [Karen Tracy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: CLETS Strategic Plan has come to my attention
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:15:27 PM

The CLETS Advisory Committee (CAC) proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of my digital photo with the national law enforcement community is clearly against the law.

CLETS is operating outside its mandate from CA DMV. Current law does not authorize such an expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Warmly,
Karen

<http://karentracy.net>

From: [Dayle Diamond](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: CLETS Strategic Plan seems designed to invite false accusations
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:00:52 PM

I am a Southern California resident. I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

To me, the proposal is an invitation to false accusations. When we compile this information with police records, we run the risk of accusing innocent people with algorithmically similar faces. That is a massive misuse of resources and a terrible imposition on the accused.

From: [Jonathan](#) on behalf of [J Foote](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: jfoote@ieee.org
Subject: CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:01:05 AM

As a California taxpayer and resident, I oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The law does not authorize such an expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please do your job and represent the citizens of this state: reject this illegal and privacy-shredding action.

Regards,

Jonathan Foote Ph.D.

From: [Michael Kelly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: Comment regarding CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:44:58 PM

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org

To who it may concern,

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Just because it can be done doesn't mean it should be done. Especially without public involvement!

Thank you for your consideration and thank you for your work.

Sincerely,
Michael Kelly
San Francisco, CA

From: [Tom Georger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Dear big brother: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:06:18 PM

Let's apply this technology only to the police and sheriff departments first. Let's call it a field test to weed out any security concerns. Then you can ask us, the people, if we think it's ok.

My privacy trumps your security!

Will there be a welcome letter from the police state ?

Below is the boiler plate letter:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Craig Downing](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: DMV photos should be protected/
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:13:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: debbie.notkin@gmail.com on behalf of [Debbie Notkin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Do not implement Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:43 PM

I live in California. I actively oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) proposals to share facial recognition technology. The Cal-Photo database should not be shared with the national law enforcement community in any way.

I stand with the California Department of Motor Vehicles, which has been vocal about the security of Goal 8. The DMV has also pointed out that this kind of expansion is not authorized by law. No committee of the State of California should be authorizing staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork, when the legality is in serious question by a major California department.

I also stand with the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling brakes to be put on these proposals immediately. Involvement of my elected representatives is only a first step; something this big also calls for community meetings and public discussions.

Thank you for doing the right thing.

From: david@mcbootcamp.org
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Don't mess with my DMV photo against state law
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:29:59 PM

Hi friends,

As a California resident, I have just come to understand that the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) is developing a proposal that certainly infringes on CA residents' privacy rights and may be illegal under binding state law. This proposal I understand involves facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

I am told that the DMV has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC reportedly refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee seems to have also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals *****immediately*****. Decisions of this magnitude absolutely must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. It is unacceptable to make these decisions without due process of law. Such actions expose the departments involved to significant legal liability from citizens whose rights are at risk of violation.

As a CA voter, I will be continuing to monitor this situation closely and expect your support in upholding the laws of the state of California. Voting and performance on these subjects in elected office will be evaluated as I vote in future CA elections.

David Beihl, MD, MBA

From: [Elwin E M Hennis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: former elected Member, Solano County Republican Central Committee: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 10:09:48 PM

Sunday, March 22, 2015.

From Elwin E. M. Hennis:

I was a former elected Member, Solano County Republican Central Committee, 2nd Supervisorial District by write-in vote a few years ago.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Let's keep private California Driver's License information in our own Sovereign State and out of Federal hands. Big Brother has gotten too big brother in recent decades. The People do not want Orwellian methods expanded.

Thank you so much,

Elwin E. M. Hennis
5112 Ramsgate Court
Fairfield, CA 94534-6857

Levnaut@gmail.com
707-344-7363

From: [Chris Weltzien](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: FW: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:10:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

Chris Weltzien

From: [Jim Dawson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan - OPPOSE
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:34:29 PM

As California residents, we are writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

We join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

James R. Dawson & Wayne P. Flottman

2721 W 182 St
Torrance, CA 90504-5228

cc: Senator David Hadley
Assemblymember Isadore Hall

From: [Jim Winkler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan is not acceptable
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:00:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

James Winkler

10696 Brookhollow Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126
858.693.3054

From: [Jim Winkler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan is not acceptable
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:58:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

James Winkler

10696 Brookhollow Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126
858.693.3054

From: [Alan Barclay](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS strategic plan is unlawful and violates my privacy
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:59:56 PM

Alan Barclay
7158 Freedom Blvd.
Aptos, CA 95003

Hello Ms. Cranston, Hon. Ms. Harris: -

As a California resident and driver, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that many CA statutes make such an expansion illegal.

Contrary to this, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering closed-door meetings with law enforcement associations to implement this, and has been seeking grants to finance the technical underpinnings.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to immediately cease work on these proposals.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This plan would unilaterally change the dissemination of photos which were guaranteed by law to be private except in special circumstances, with an careful chain of custody for any disclosures. It should certainly not proceed without adequate auditing capabilities, and I would prefer it not proceed at all.

When combined with the widespread deployment of license plate reader technology, this lays the foundation for a surveillance state that would make Ceausescu's Romania pale by comparison.

Very truly yours,
Alan Barclay

From: [Richard Bradus](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan Must be Publicly Vetted
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:44:06 PM

I am writing today as a concerned California voter to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please take into consideration the rights and privacy concerns of California citizens. Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Bradus

From: miked@miked.net
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan Opposed
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 9:19:55 AM

As a Southern California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ryan Murray](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:39:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [dave thomas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:43:34 PM

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Matt Snope](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Goal 8
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Matthew Snope
823 55th St.
Oakland, CA 94608

From: [Sam Neff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: HEY! I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:56:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Sam

From: [John Wilson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I also oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:53:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John Wilson

From: [Rodney Gooding](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I as a Californian, oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Work for the people and not against us!

From: [Victoria Ashley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan -- not authorized by state law
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:27:06 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Victoria Ashley
Vallejo, CA

From: [Colin Gallagher](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan - Stop it in its tracks!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:28:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Colin Gallagher
167 Pebble Place
Marina CA 93933

From: [Arvix - Craigslist](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan !!!!!!!!!!!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:11:25 PM

To whom it may concern...

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an

expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time!

From: [Chris](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan !
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 3:56:25 PM

Police Need Restrictions

As a California resident and a citizen of these United States, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

As an alternative to Goal 8, I propose a reduction in weapons that can be maintained in police departments and limiting access to the officers who behave as if all citizens are criminals.

To protect to public and reduce police fatalities, Stop Police From Reckless Driving. More police officers die, or are injured, in automobile accidents every year. They are a danger to themselves and, moreover, to the public.

Please promote a free democratic country by ceasing all actions of surveillance and monitoring citizens but the government, police included.

Sincerely,
Chris Thomas

From: [Margret Bell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:03:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration. This is scary stuff.

Margret Bell, 20 Sunset Ave, Venice, CA 90291



From: [David wolfgang-kimball](#) on behalf of [David Wolfgang-Kimball](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:09:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Karl von Kries](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:10:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paul Hidy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:11:37 PM

Hi --

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Paul Hidy

Paul Hidy
415-990-8529
paulhidy@gmail.com

From: [Beau Haugh](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I appreciate your continued commitment to balancing effective law enforcement with our rights as Californians to privacy and security.

—Beau Haugh

From: [Stefan Magdalinski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:20 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

/* Stefan Magdalinski; @smagdali */

From: [Matt Bogosian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:34 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Matt Bogosian

From: [Randy Parker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Randy Parker

Encino, CA

From: [James Mancini](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:50 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

James Mancini, CTO



Voice **949.769.5705**
www.netreo.com

Follow us:

[Facebook](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

GPG: EA75 08A4 BAAF 03CA A00E 69D5 12A5 DD94 EF90 5945

From: [Darby Thomas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Greg Olszewski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dmitry Semiannikov](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dmitry Semiannikov

From: [Dr. Justin P. Rohrer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Dr. Justin P. Rohrer

From: [Bob Grant](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Bob Grant

From: [Rick Perry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Richard Perry

7307 W 87th St
Los Angeles, CA 90045

—
512 656 0737 rick.perry@gmail.com
[@richardhperry](http://richardhperry.com) <http://richardhperry.com>

From: [Jeremy Stone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:16:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mario Murphy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
- Mario Murphy

From: [Tim Schwartz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gmail](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Judy Borcz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Judith Borcz
Redwood City, CA

From: [Glenn Rogers](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Glenn Rogers

From: [Dirk van Nouhuys](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dirk van Nouhuys
813 San Diego Road
Berkeley, CA 94707
www.wandd.com

From: [Window Snyder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Window

Mwende Window Snyder

From: [Jeff West](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

Jeff West

From: [Mike Monson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Paul MacLachlan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:17 PM
Attachments: [Email Icon.png](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

PAUL MACLACHLAN - N SLASH A

WWW.NSLASHA.NET



From: [Andrew Cameron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time,

Andrew Cameron

From: [Liane Chan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Liane

From: I
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joe Maille](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Joe Maille
6596 Catamaran St.
San Jose, CA 95119

From: [Dan Wierenga](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Stan Krome](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Stan Krome
1843 El Camino de la Luz
Santa Barbara, CA 93109

From: [Alexander Brown](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for taking my comment into consideration.

From: [Richard Stack](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael McShane](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
Michael McShane
6201 Barbados Ave.
Cypress, Ca 90630
714-803-7125

From: [Sabrina](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Tim Hawkins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Lawrence](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:31 PM

Dear Sir/Madam:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Robert Scott Lawrence, Esq.
CALLAHAN & BLAINE
3 Hutton Centre Drive, Ninth Floor
Santa Ana, California 92707
Tel 714.241.4444
Fax 714.241.4445
www.callahan-law.com
www.premier-litigation.com

Privacy Notice: This message is intended only for the use of the individual to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable Federal or State law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for the delivery of the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return email and delete the original email and any copies thereof. Thank you.

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: [Garrison Clarke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Garrison Clarke

Altadena, CA 91001

From: [Cedric Wiesner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Thanks. -cw

From: [Linda Hegenbarth](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Keeley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Brian L. Keeley,
Professor of Philosophy
Pitzer College,
Claremont, CA

From: [David Glass](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

David Glass
Gray Matter Computing

From: [Forest Wilkinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

Forest Wilkinson
Berkeley, California

From: [Jerry Lentz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Pettitt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone (even more typos than usual)

From: [Rose Goldthwait](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Rose Goldthwait

From: [Emily Taylor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [TMay](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Tina May
111 Fairland Way
Santa Cruz, CA 95065

Sent from my iPad

From: [Warren Petersen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:11 PM

Good day,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Warren Petersen

From: [Susan Lynn Sanocki](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Mark Nockleby](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: ["Mark Nockleby"](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:30:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mark Nockleby

109 Pendegast Ave

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: derekj@fastmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:32:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Janet Maker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:32:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Goff, Frances](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:33:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Frances Goff
Mary S. Easton Center for
Alzheimer's Disease at UCLA
10911 Weyburn Ave., #200
Los Angeles, CA 90095-7226
(310)794-3665
Fax (310)794-3148
Campus: 722607
fgoff@mednet.ucla.edu

IMPORTANT WARNING: This email (and any attachments) is only intended for the use of the person or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. You, the recipient, are obligated to maintain it in a safe, secure and confidential manner. Unauthorized redisclosure or failure to maintain confidentiality may subject you to federal and state penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify us by return email, and delete this message from your computer.

From: [Christopher Mann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:35:08 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely yours,
Christopher Mann

From: [Ben Keller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:35:40 PM

To whom it may concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Ben Keller

From: [Dave](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:38:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Martin Pitts](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:38:57 PM

Dear Ones,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Martin Pitts

From: [Brian Pearce](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:24 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

I live in California and want to go on record to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) intentions regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The DMV itself says that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC is going ahead anyway.

Stop this process immediately. This is a matter for the legislature to address and decide, not a committee.

Sincerely,

Brian Pearce
2665 Medlow Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90065
818 590 9680

From: [Ben Reneker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; [Representative Sam Farr](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ben Reneker
Carmel Valley, CA 93924

From: [Gautam Gopinadhan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Gautam

From: [Mark Parisi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rob Bradshaw](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:41:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--Rob Bradshaw
cell: 925.216.7716

From: [Roger Bohn](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:42:10 PM

Avoid Big Brother!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Roger Bohn
Professor of Technology Management

University of California San Diego

From: [JON KIP](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:43:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ishmael Riles](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:07 PM

This has to stop!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Laura Overmann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Laura Overmann
508 El Camino Real #4
Burlingame, CA 94010-5141

From: [Robert Belknap](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:47:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Robert Belknap

From: [Bob and Heidi Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:48:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

If you continue to believe this is a "good" idea for California residents, then 1. open all of your meetings and records on this subject to the general public; submit your proposal to the state legislature; and hold public hearings (or meetings) each of the Assembly districts after public education campaigns.

Sincerely,

Robert R. Smith
5031 Campo Way
Soquel, CA 95073

From: [Mitchell McAleer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:48:47 PM

California is experiencing a mass exodus of revenue and business as a result of expansive and unwarranted bureaucratic nightmare. As a retired business creator, I see your efforts as a waste and perversion of public service principles. California public servants need to be reducing regulatory burden and making sense, not continuing to expand and give away common law rights, like privacy.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [seychelle cannes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Drew Phillips](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:50:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

Drew Phillips

--

Sent from my Android device with K-9 Mail. Please excuse my brevity.

From: [Matt Hays](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Cynthia](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Cynthia McGarvie

Salinas, CA

From: [James Strowe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jack Breese](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Jack Breese
(703) 717 - 1286

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Rick Highness](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Roger B. Krost](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:53:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely;

Roger B Krost
677-A S. Lake Avenue
Pasadena, CA. 91106
626-796-8413

Sent from Roger's iPhone

--

See our website: <http://www.conspec.com>

Or call us: 626-796-8413

From: [Irene Gillooly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:54:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This is another undemocratic invasion of personal privacy taken in the dark and w/o public comment.

Cordially -

Irene Gillooly
707-328-2385 | beanmhor@msn.com

Irene Gillooly, Partner
Not Yer Momma's™
707.321.1495 | granola@notyermommas.com
www.notyermommas.com

From: [Bay Butler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:54:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Bayford Butler

From: [Marc @ Aworkof...](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Parcells, Barbara](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:54 PM

Gentlepeople:

I am not a criminal and I don't appreciate the idea of having my driver's license photo added to a nationwide criminal database. Kindly stop this sharing idea and keep my photo out of this database.

I also don't like that you are (apparently) trying to sneak this past us citizens. Such a proposal should be put up for public comment at least, if not a statewide ballot initiative.

- -

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Barb Parcells

From: [Nora Lucero](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:57:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeff Rodman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mayco Castillo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:00:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This email is intended for the designated recipient(s) only, and may be confidential, non-public, proprietary, protected by the attorney/client or other privilege. Unauthorized reading, distribution, copying or other use of this communication is prohibited and may be unlawful. Receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) should not be deemed a waiver of any privilege or protection. If you are not the intended recipient or if you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies from your computer system without reading, saving, or using it in any manner. Although it has been checked for viruses and other malicious software ("malware"), we do not warrant, represent or guarantee in any way that this communication is free of malware or potentially damaging defects. All liability for any actual or alleged loss, damage, or injury arising out of or resulting in any way from the receipt, opening or using this email is expressly disclaimed.

From: [Bill Richter \(brichter\)](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:00:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Thanks,
Bill Richter
Cisco Systems, Inc
(408) 902-3046

From: [Bob McCombs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Bob McCombs
95518

From: [Alyss Dorese](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Alyss Dorese

From: [Chris Terrell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:02:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alexander Seymour](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:04:41 PM

Maria,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

-Alexander Seymour

From: [Anne-Louise Ewen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:04:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Anne-Louise Ewen

From: [Christopher Musgrave](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:07:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Chris

From: [The Krikourians](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:08:03 PM

Dear Ms. Cranston & Hon. Atty. Genl. Harris,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Robert Krikourian

From: [Eric Hicks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:09:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Eric Hicks
ehicks@pobox.com

From: [Mark Slater](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:10:22 PM

Maria Cranston et al.

I was dismayed today to learn about yet another proposed invasion of my privacy and erosion of my rights.

As a resident of Los Angeles, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

My family and I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to halt these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

For Californians, there appear to be only downsides to this proposal. Is that why the work is being done covertly -- you're reluctant to be exposed to the scrutiny of the media and the public? And how many of our tax dollars are you planning to spend while you rob us of our privacy and data security -- or is that secret as well?

As California citizens, voters and taxpayers, we expect answers ASAP.

Sincerely,

Mark Slater and family
6280 Crestwood Way
Los Angeles, CA 90042
323-580-4366

From: [Geoff Kuenning](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:14:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Geoff Kuenning geoff@cs.hmc.edu <http://www.cs.hmc.edu/~geoff/>

An Internet that is not Open represents a potentially grave risk to freedoms of many sorts -- freedom of speech and other civil liberties, freedom of commerce, and more -- and that openness is what we must so diligently work to both preserve and expand.

-- Lauren Weinstein

From: [Robert Lai](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:15:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Luchini](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:12 PM

Mrs. Cranston and Attorney General Harris,

My name is Michael Luchini, and am writing what is (I'm ashamed to say) my first letter to any public official. As fitting for any citizens first outreach to their government, I wish it was under better circumstance. As a proud, law abiding and honest California resident I would be remiss if I didn't express to you the level of disappointment the public you serve may feel in you if you continue course. I am writing you two today regarding the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals involving facial recognition technology and the unauthorized sharing of the publics Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through something called NLETS.

Already, and alarmingly, the California DMV has communicated their deep concerns about the security of these proposals, specifically "Goal 8". They have rightly indicated that the neither State nor Federal law authorizes such an expansion. Puzzlingly, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement interest groups and associations to plan out this scheme. I question why the committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion, when the very constitutionality of its premise is in question. I admire both of you, and have a deep respect for the positions you hold, and as a Lifelong California resident I have only to benefit from having safer communities and a law enforcement arm that is equipped with the information they need to keep them so. But this is folly, and when you lay your head to pillow at night, when there is no one but you and your thoughts, I think you know it is to.

I would like to see the advisory committee slow down, there should be no hurry. What I'm asking for is to simply stop these proposals immediately, but not indefinitely. Decisions of this magnitude should be made in the open, the proposals can only benefit from a robust, thoughtful public engagement and with the full involvement of the legislature. As two shining examples of our law enforcement and Justice system, you two are better than the obscure advisory and planning committee meetings, the closed-door sessions with lobby groups and rushed politics that marked this proposal to date.

Thank you, for your public service, your consideration, and for enduring the length of my letter.

Most sincerely,

Michael Luchini
(559) 417-7903
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ross Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Ross Smith

From: [Gordon Gochenaur](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:17:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James Jordan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:18:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jake McGinty](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:20:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Suraz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:20:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [WMS](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:21:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Wendy Stroud

From: sunbear@hotmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:21:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

From: andalusi@alumni.stanford.edu
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:24:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

John M. Morgan

From: [Dan Bostonweeks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:25:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,
Dan Bostonweeks

From: [Chad Austin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:26:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
Chad Austin
San Francisco, CA

From: [Matthew Nelson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mark Duncan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:30:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mark Duncan
Menlo Park, CA

From: [David Lowenfels](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:31:52 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-David Lowenfels
Fairfax, CA

From: [Shan Ricciardi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:32:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Shan Ricciardi

From: [Sher](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:34:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joanne Johnson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); "dm@eff.org"
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:34:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Joanne Johnson
Legal Assistant

1055 West Seventh Street
Twenty-Fourth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Direct: 213.417.5360
Main: 213.891.9100
Fax: 213.488.1178

JJohnson@mpplaw.com



Morris Polich & Purdy LLP

Los Angeles - San Francisco - San Diego - Las Vegas - Irvine
www.mpplaw.com

Please think twice before printing this email

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The information contained in this document is intended solely for use by the persons or entities identified above.

This electronically transmitted document contains privileged and confidential information including information which may be protected by the attorney-client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of the contents of this transmission is prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (213-891-9100) and permanently delete this message without making a copy.

From: [Craig Lloyd](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:34:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Craig Lloyd
Mobile: 415-568-6606
Home: 415-440-2423

From: Hobbs, Kevin @ SSG - ISS - IOS
To: Maria Cranston; AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:37:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This message and any attachments are solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, use or distribution of the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete this message and any attachments. In the event this document(s) contains technical data within the definition of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, it is subject to the export control laws of the U.S. Government. Transfer of this data by any means to a foreign person, whether in the United States or abroad, without an export license or other approval from the U.S. Department of State or Commerce, is prohibited.

From: [Kelsey Aloise](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:39:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [B.G](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:39:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-William Gray

From: [Gaye Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:40:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Gaye Smith

1712 Rotary Drive

Los Angeles, CA 90026

From: [Onny.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:43:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ed Barnett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:45:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Edmund S. Barnett, jr.
C0318693

From: sid_shapiro
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria_Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Cc: sshapiro@fastmail.fm
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:46:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Sid Shapiro
(510) 224-4343

--

<http://www.fastmail.com> - Access all of your messages and folders wherever you are

From: [Kevin Atkinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:50:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kevin Atkinson
831-239-3983
Kevinatkinson1986@yahoo.com

From: [Ron Mason](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:51:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ron Mason
captron1934@gmail.com

From: [Red Hat](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:54:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I have always believed the California Driver's License has one purpose. To prove a motor vehicle operator has met all requirements necessary to operate a vehicle safely. It IS NOT a national ID card. If it was, it would be called a National ID card. National Facial Recognition is an unacceptable use of a DRIVER'S License.

I fully support law enforcement efforts in keeping us safe while respecting the rights of innocent people. I am opposed to dishonest, lazy efforts to harvest DMV records and personal information for a building a national database about lawful citizens. This database could be used for all kinds of government mischief, wrongful personal attacks and profiling.

From: [Dudley Campbell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:56:27 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident, we are writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

We join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Dudley and Candace Campbell

From: [Phillip Hanks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:00:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [rob](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [Matt Dababneh](#); [senator.pavley@sen.ca.gov](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:02:05 PM

To whom it may concern and my state and local representatives:

As a California resident, I am writing to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Rob Nomura
91306

From: [Mike Rudolph](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:04:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mike Rudolph
Walnut Creek, CA

From: [James Downs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:04:34 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
James Downs

From: bphipps.services@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:05:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Benjamin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:05:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Benjamin K

From: [David Negvesky](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:07:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Let me add that this type of behavior on the part of CA officials and CA police departments incenses me and many I know. My hard-earned taxes are NOT to be used cavalierly, in obscurity by officials who think they can ignore laws and public opinion, as long as they can get away with it. This now seems to be the prevailing attitude among police departments; that they can do anything they want until someone prevents them. I, for one, am tired of this arrogant behavior, and I demand you exercise a little integrity and some intelligence in making decisions concerning mine and others' privacy rights.

Sincerely,

David Negvesky

From: [Louis Bone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:07:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

LWB

Retired & Retarded or Retarded & Retired
Either way, more time than I know what to do with.
<https://onebiteless.com/>

From: [Bergen Moore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:11:44 PM

To whom it may concern -

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Bergen Moore

Bergen Moore
b@bergenmoore.com
<http://www.bergenmoore.com>

From: [Wesley Miaw](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:12:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Wesley Miaw
wesley@wesman.net

From: [Thomas Tisch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:14:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Tom Tisch
15040 Encina Ct
Saratoga CA 95070

From: [Joshua Kaufman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:19:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for listening!
Josh

From: [Dan N Retter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:24:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joseph Spiezio](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:28:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

The law does not authorize this action, and as far as I'm concerned it's illegal, and needs to be shut down now.

From: [Tuan Tran](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:30:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dylan Ryall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:31:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [WASABI CANNON](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:33:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: vfr4@cox.net
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:36:28 PM

Get out of my life!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you

From: [Nick Gaetano](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:37:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

thanks
NIck Gaetano

From: [Kevin Geidel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:38:45 PM

Ms, Cranston & the AG office:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Kevin Geidel
Science Teacher, Marin County California

From: [Tom Camara](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:40:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zareh Gorjian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:49:15 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Zareh Gorjian

From: [Robert Macartney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:49:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Macartney

From: [Robert Johnson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:51:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jay Bryon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:52:32 PM

While this is a form letter, I assure you that I completely agree with the content.

I'd like to know who on this panel has a vested interest in pushing this ill advised proposal.

Enough is enough. We already have far too little privacy and civic dignity as it is, sacrificed pointlessly on the fictional concept of "security".

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone,
Please excuse the typos and/or brevity.

From: [Harris Lee Cohen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:54:56 PM

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO UPHOLD THE LAWS, NOT DIMINISH THEM.

From: [Mark R. Edwards](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:54:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Martin Wilson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:00:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Martin: Iamgoingtobe@cox.net

From: [Mark E. Pothier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:01:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ball](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:01:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank You,

Michael Ball
Elk Grove, CA

From: [Les Van Exel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:05:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time,

Leslie Van Exel, Jr.

From: jzgonz@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:06:04 PM

Dear Ms. Cranston:

I am writing with deep concern about the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I hope that you will abide by the law and respect the privacy of Californians.

Sincerely,
Shanthi Gonzales
7427 Holly St
Oakland CA 94621

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

From: [My Email](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:06:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: waldo368@netr.us
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:07:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This looks like yet another example of corporate greed...which is the reason I quit working for them altogether. Business dishonesty has no limits. I think someone needs to deeply investigate the CAC staff.

From: [Daniel Long](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:19:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

DANIEL LONG
955 ELIZABETH STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114
(415) 282-1524 Home • (415) 613-3254 Cell
sfambitious@gmail.com

From: rntheo@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:19:51 PM

As a long time California resident, I am writing today to express my STRONG opposition to the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Ignoring the law, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. This activity is UNACCEPTABLY anti-american in nature, since it is ignoring constitutional protections guaranteed under the 4th amendment which protects citizens from un-lawful unreasonable search and seizure.

I STRONGLY SUPPORT the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to stop all work and consideration of these proposals immediately, if not sooner. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement, openness and the involvement of the legislature, and ALL California citizens, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with lobby groups.

Regards,

Richard Oehlberg
rntheo@gmail.com

From: [Alan Myerson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:22:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sunil Mujumdar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:24:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Sunil Mujumdar

From: [Elizabeth](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:25:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jim Tierney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:27:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

This is a violation of our privacy and completely unacceptable.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
James Tierney
226 Tulare St.
Brisbane, CA 94005
415-287-6069

From: [R Stuehler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:37:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for taking note of this issue.
RA Stuehler

From: ["John Cole" Theodore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:38:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

"John Cole" Theodore

Cell: 310-496-6569 Skype: jcole75062
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/johncole>

From: [Bill Yeager](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:42:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

It would be nice if facial recognition was as accurate as DNA. It isn't. Too many false positives. This behavior is a gross violation of the privacy of innocent citizens and smacks of a dictatorial, police state. Your behavior is absolutely sickening, and makes one recall the brown shirts of nazi Germany, the worst of the Stalinist KGB, etc.

William Yeager

_____哈哈_____

好好保重,玩得开心,
放松一下,享受生活!
发自我的MacBook Pro

From: [Stephanie Jennings](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:45:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Jennings
2244 29th St.
San Diego, CA 92104

From: [J](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:47:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Janina Konopka
9514 MacArthur Blvd apt B
Oakland, CA 94605

From: [Dave Nathanson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:54:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,

David A. Nathanson
2713 W 155th Street
Gardena, CA 90249

From: [D. Constant](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:57:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Susi Ze](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:00:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Although I have copied/pasted this letter, I am fully aware of what it says and the meaning and ramifications of the proposed facial recognition technology. This country is beginning to resemble the "Big Brother is Watching" state and this type of proposal reeks of the fear mongering that leads to more and more restrictions and invasions of privacy. Please do not continue with this scheme to expand the facial recognition technology.

Susan Ze
Livermore, CA 94550

From: ernanddederoiz@cox.net
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:06:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alan T.Z. Chang, L.Ac](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:07:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Phil Corpus](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:08:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Phil Corpus

Sent from my iPhone, typos and all

From: [Diana Greer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:18:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Eric Nabel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:19:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

Eric Nabel

From: [David Epstein](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); David.Hadley@asm.ca.gov
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:20:53 PM

Honorable Elected Officials for my District and State.

As a Redondo Beach and California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please let me know how you can help protect our privacy and join with us in this important issue.

David Epstein
Redondo Beach, CA

From: craig@excel4x.com on behalf of reg.eff@excel4x.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:22:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Craig Chatterton
P.O. Box 73
Soquel CA 95073

From: [Rod Hanson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:28:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Rod Hanson
707 632-5931

From: [Ninon Aprea](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:33:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Richard Johnson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:36:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

This is a sneaky, backdoor way of building a national ID database, which none of us CITIZENS want!!

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: Willowashlynn@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:37:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

Furthermore, I absolutely oppose & object to the dissemination of any of my personal information to third parties, specifically including, but not limited to, the distribution or sharing of my name and likeness, my face, or fingerprints with NLETS or any other non-California DMV agency. I did not grant national or international license to the use or dissemination of my likeness.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Murray Pender](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:40:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

The more these fallible high-tech intrusive programs and stalking policies adopted by DOJ and other governmental departments start intruding into our life and privacy, then we are in danger. As you know many governmental surveillance and monitoring are already going on under the nose of Congress without any oversight. Edward Snowden has made this very clear. People are already secretly being denied employment, airline travel, and rental qualification, etc. without any advance notice. It appears surveillance is already out of control to the average U.S. citizen. They have no idea why they have been rejected nor have any access to the information because it is classified. You are completely left with no recourse to refute or qualify this secret denial. If these photographic Id systems have flaws, errors or inaccuracies in the technology, you will never know why things can start happening in your life. We are not ready for this.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Murray Pender
2225 23rd St., #204
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [David guzzetta](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:48:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
David Guzzetta
San Jose, California

Sent from my iPhone

From: [hope.roberts](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:50:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Murray Pender](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:52:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John McCready](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:54:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Anne Tara Szostek](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:54:31 PM

To whom it may concern.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

~Anne Tara Szostek

From: activist@wasted-education.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:08:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Timothy Chapman
Sacramento, CA 95833

From: [Kevin George](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:09:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Kevin George
San Jose, CA

From: [Bay Butler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:15:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Bay Butler

From: [Scott Nelson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:31:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Cheers

Scott Nelson

Danville CA

From: [John Goodman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:39:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Rick Stotelmeyer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:41:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [rjmarti](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:46:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
R. Marti
Pasadena, ca

From: [Ethan Danstrom](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:50:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Ethan

From: [Eric Chaves](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:00:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ernest Ortiz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:02:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [R. Zierikzee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:04:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
R. Zierikzee

From: [rw](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:10:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Robert Weitkamp

2041 Sequoia Ave.

Simi Valley, CA 93063-2701

From: [Bruce Bjerke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:11:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jaywaterbury](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:12:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Two things are infinite:

the universe and human stupidity;

and I'm not sure about the universe.

Albert Einstein

From: [Dan Marvin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:24:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
Dan Marvin

From: danpalen@juno.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:24:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committees (CAC) recent recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California DMV has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Never the less CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings, or in closed door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Daniel Palen
Alpine, CA

From: [Glen Ihrig](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:25:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sunny](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:25:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Taylor, Jonathan S.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); "dm@eff.org"
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:30:24 PM

This is an unwarranted and obtrusive invasion of privacy. I request that you not pass this law.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jonathan Taylor

Fullerton, CA

From: [mari](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:13:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mari Eliza

From: [David Broockman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:02:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: cheerimynos@aol.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:57:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Stephen Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:54:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [AugustPersonage](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:43:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Robert W. Pann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:42:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to **oppose** the CLETS Advisory Committee,Äôs (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kevin Timms](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:21:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Warren](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:20:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Adrian Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [Dave Maass | EFF Activism Team](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:16:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I know that sometimes media privacy is needed in order for members of the government to act honestly instead of playing to the cameras, but I sincerely believe that this is a case where more public oversight is needed. Also, if this past year has shown anything, it is that the national government does not need more surveillance tools.

Thank You,
Adrian Smith
adriansmith.com

From: [Richard Casey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:14:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Signed,
Richard Casey

From: [Paul](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:07:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Tas Dienes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:04:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: ups60kpt@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:45:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: [LEE MICHAEL MALIN](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:34:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Lee Michael Malin
1300 Southampton Rd. Apt. #82
Benicia, CA 94510

Sent from my iPad

From: [JM Kho](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:09:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jan-Michael Kho

From: [Sheila Moore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:06:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sheila Moore

From: [Lucas Sweany](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:55:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Lucas

From: [Orlando Perez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:52:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Orlando Perez

From Orlando's iPhone

From: [Jeff Odell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:47:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Jeff Odell
4305 Sandburg Way
Irvine, CA 92612

From: [Nina G Wouk](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:41:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Nina G. Wouk
Bookkeeping and Taxes
1259 El Camino Real
#215
Menlo Park CA 94025
nwouk@ix.netcom.com
650-329-9083
650-906-1779 (cell)
415-276-2087 (fax)

From: [Edina](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:36:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jeff

From: [Dustin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:33:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-- Dustin --

From: [Joel Goguen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:30:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to join the Electronic Frontier Foundation to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this folly. The committee has also somehow found it acceptable to authorize staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to halt anything resembling these proposals immediately. Decisions involving the data of any person must be made with full public engagement of all persons affected and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Careful consideration must also be given to the security and privacy of all persons, with very strict controls and careful monitoring of any and all access to data in any form. The fact that it is considered acceptable to even consider doing any less is, quite frankly, horrifying.

--

Joel Goguen
Email: jgoguen@jgoguen.ca
Web: <http://www.jgoguen.ca/>

Computer Science is no more about computers than Astronomy is about telescopes.
-E. Dijkstra

From: [Christopher Toussaint](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:27:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Christopher Toussaint
c2saint@gmail.com

From: [kevin_hughes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:15:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hughes

From: [Douglas A. Walter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:55:57 PM

As a California resident, and a driver, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. We've seen compromises to privacy that undermine specific legal protections and the general spirit of the California Constitution -- don't let this Plan become another such degradation of our rights.

Thank you.

Doug Walter, home edition

dawalter@dcn.org

Wag more (bark less)

From: [Lana Touchstone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:54:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Lana Touchstone

From: [R.S. Walker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:50:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please disregard my iPhone in-corrections.

From: [Lisa Spencer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:49:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Lisa

From: [gary](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:34:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Gary Richardson
San Diego, CA

From: [Daniel Dobkin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:31:45 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

Dear folks:

It's not clear whether anyone has much expectation of privacy any more — but it is also important that if everything is public it should be symmetrically so. A government that holds all the information on its people but exposes none is a police state. It would be nice to think we are not going that way. EFF is right that any decision on these issues should be made by the legislature. The text below is from EFF, and I agree.

<<

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. >>

Daniel Dobkin
408-314-2769
dan@enigmatic-consulting.com
www.enigmatic-consulting.com
skype: enigmatic-consulting

From: [Steve Pelletier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:27:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Afoot En Route](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:25:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

LuRetta Fairman

5008 M St.

Sacramento, CA 95819

From: [Gregory Sandell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:24:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robin Bailey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:08:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Robin Bailey

Sent from my iPad

From: [Chuck](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:08:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chuck](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:05:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Doug Salzmann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:45:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

/s/ Doug Salzmann
PO Box 378
Tiburon, CA 94920

From: [gayle noble](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:45:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: marcuslegal77@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:42:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from

From: [John Callas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:38:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
John Callas
883 Magnolia Ave., Unit 12
Pasadena, CA 91106

From: [das](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:34:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

in warm noise milk
das

From: [Justin Fraser](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:46:46 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I appreciate that the EFF has brought this to my attention. I value my privacy.

Justin Fraser
San Francisco

From: [Ted Brown](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:47:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Ted Brown
949 N. Hill Ave.
Pasadena, CA 91104

From: [Ron Goldman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:12:14 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

thanks for your consideration,
Ron

From: [Sheila Goldner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:34:44 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Lucrecia Merida](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:53:05 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Norman R. Thallheimer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:24:50 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Norman R. Thallheimer

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:46:19 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Bjorn Solberg](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:52:29 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

Bjorn.

From: [Charles Krugman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:38:15 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Charles L. Krugman
1237 P Street
Fresno ca 93721
559-266-9237

From: [Stuart Miller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: [Stuart Miller](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:29:26 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kathy Z.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:15:58 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kathy Zelaya, M.A., Sp.Ed., O&M Spec.
818.209.1077

And Mom to an amazing Rotty...

From: [AKM](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:14:17 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-AKM-

From: [Sprocket](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:08:07 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: hsiangjohn@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:59:40 AM

Greetings,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
John Hsiang
Resident of Dana Point, CA

Sent from my mobile

From: [Paul Moody](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:57:17 AM

As an American citizen and California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Paul Moody
Mountain View, CA

Sent from my iPad5

From: [Paul Callahan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:56:46 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carolyn Lilly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:37:54 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Work for a better country for all our people and our future. Please, it is your duty.

From: [Carolyn Lilly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:36:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Work for a better country for all our people and our future. Please, it is your duty.

From: [Carolyn Lilly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:35:30 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Work for a better country for all our people and our future. Please, it is your duty.

From: [Mike](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:23:42 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Michael Rufo
Piedmont, CA

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Chris Daft](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:12:36 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Chris Daft

From: [Jeremy Avnet](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:05:30 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Avnet

From: [Jeff Byers](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:32:06 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

~ Jeff Byers ~

From: [Laura Snow](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:00:33 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Laura Snow

From: [JOE L](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:48:11 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rob McMillin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:22:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Rob & Helen McMillin

Dramatis personae: ONB dogs: Libby, Maddy; and Romeo, our Saluki/J. Random Dog

Location: Rossmoor, CA

From: [Jay Leininger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:29:56 AM
Importance: High

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee™s (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jay Leininger

jayleininger@sonic.net

From: [Nina](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:32:22 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [nick_halfinger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:41:22 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Daniel Fishman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:53:04 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. My understanding is that CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I am concerned about both the legality of this proposal and the potential for unauthorized use by other agencies.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Daniel Fishman
Sierra Madre, California

From: [Ezra](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:57:04 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Denise](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:57:53 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mark Weisler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:03:37 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration.

--

Mark Weisler

PGP Key ID 68E462B6

PGP Key fingerprint 87D5 A77B FC47 3CC3 DFF0 586D 23FF F8B4 68E4 62B6

From: [Ron G](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:11:20 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ronald John Lugge III](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:14:00 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ron Lugge

From: [John Turvill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:45:29 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John Turvill

From: [Gail Eva Young](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:46:25 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [E. Farrell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:50:03 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Samuel Cooper](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:54:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Samuel Cooper

From: [John C. Wiesner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:58:08 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
-- John Wiesner

Dr. John C. Wiesner
Consulting Services
P.O. Box 20159
Castro Valley, CA 94546

Tel: 510-581-3615
email: jcwiesner@ieee.org

From: [edlevin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:15:21 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Donna Ferguson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:24:16 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeremy Wallace](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:54:50 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: jbachman@famsf.org
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:07:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best
jorge bachman

From: [Carlosstro01](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:17:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sailorgri88](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:18:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kimberley Nielsen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:45:11 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Kimberley Nielsen
Los Angeles, CA

From: [Edward Bauman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:48:32 PM

As a long-time California resident, I strongly oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed extremely serious concerns regarding the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and clearly indicated that state law does not authorize such an expansion. Despite this, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been organizing meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme, and has also authorized staff to apply for grants to expand the technological groundwork for this expansion.

The CAC must discard these proposals immediately. The lack of full public involvement and participation of the legislature is inexcusable. It is not acceptable that an obscure advisory and planning committee has meetings or engages in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups when it comes to such serious proposals that appear ill-advised and poorly conceived.

Regards

Edward Bauman

From: [Kevin Paul Herbert](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:55:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Timothy Sanders](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:11:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brother Ken](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:12:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Helene Kimmel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:32:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Anthony K](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:44:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mieke Barrows](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:48:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Nathalie Douge](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:49:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Tim Gillihan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:50:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from [Type Mail](#)

From: [tanya baker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:02:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

T. Baker
Santa Cruz, CA

From: scotpeden@cruzio.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:15:09 PM

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Frank L. Hill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:03:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zac Parsons](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:27:28 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Zac

From: [Chuck Fry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:29:51 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-- Chuck Fry
807 San Ramon Ave.
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

From: [Bruce Schechter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:07:49 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Bruce Schechter
bruce@schechter.com
Los Gatos, CA

From: [Phillip Cripps](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:35:54 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I'm sure you will do the right thing.

Phillip Cripps
Cathedral City, CA 92234
pcripps@roadrunner.com

From: [Dave Thibodeau](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 11:14:25 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Daniel Guevara](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:23:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Lugo, Jose \(RSC\)](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 1:16:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This message and any attached documents contain information from the professional services firm of RGP, or its subsidiaries, that may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, copy, distribute, or use this information. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message.

From: [Ian Dudley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:07:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Ian Dudley
San Jose, CA

From: [Aaron Plunkett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:00:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Aaron Plunkett
www.world-beats.com

From: d.rez123@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 1:36:01 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Satya Das](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 9:57:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

www.avast.com

From: [Cory Hall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 9:29:29 PM

Dear Attorney General and Department of Justice,

As a California resident,

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion.

Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately!

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups!

Sincerely,

Cory Hall

A Concerned Citizen of California

From: [JP Massar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 7:18:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
<http://www.avast.com>

From: [Gerald Sanders](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 3:02:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason S Nou](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 2:19:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Colin Madere](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 1:01:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Colin Madere
San Mateo, CA

From: [Erik](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 12:09:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Skip Press](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 10:21:30 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

All the Best,

Skip Press
www.skippress.com

From: [Bill Ward](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 8:47:39 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

[Bill Ward](#)

From: [David](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 9:50:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Colin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 9:30:08 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: nikzorich@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 12:36:46 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Nik Zorich
San Jose, CA

From: [Eric Sigler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 8:34:42 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Erik Pfeiffer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 11:22:57 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.



Silver Ace Consulting

PO Box 231942
Encinitas, CA 92023
760-612-7030
Ace@SilverAce.Net
www.silverace.net

Erik Pfeiffer
Computer Consultant

From: [Erica Wolfe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 9:27:50 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Susan Ocean](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 8:51:39 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Barbara Kiernan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 3:34:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Are you people serious? Why don't you just tattoo all of us so you always know where we are and what we are doing? So much for America being Land of the Free and Home of the Brave not to mention the CONSTITUTION!!!!

Stick it,

Barbara Kiernan

From: [Ron Adkins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 2:09:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 1:39:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ron Méndez
PO box 27407
Oakland ca
94578

Enviado del cielo

From: sorrelsand@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 9:43:26 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [John Gilmore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 12:59:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I personally know someone who has declined to get a California ID card or driver's license because of their fear that their pictures, fingerprints and information will be included in a "national ID" database, despite California law. Many people would have called such an attitude paranoid or fanciful -- but here we have an official California committee attempting to do exactly that! Only by repudiating the whole idea can the California government retain and deserve the trust of its citizens.

John Gilmore
PO Box 170608
San Francisco, California, USA 94117
gnu@cllets-nationalid.toad.com

From: [Eric Putz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 11:05:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Warren Parks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 9:43:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dave LaDuke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 4:07:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,

David LaDuke
Mill Valley, CA 94941

From: [Jeff Fezell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 12:22:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jeff Fezell
Creative Professional
me@jefffezell.com
<http://jefffezell.com>

From: [Brian Zuzga](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:29:43 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Brian Zuzga

From: [Andrew McGaffic](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:03:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Andrew McGaffic

From: [Suzanne Gorenfeld](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan : DISRESPECT FOR LAWS AND PRIVACY
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:57:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that **the law does not authorize such an expansion.** Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to **put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.**

Suzanne Gorenfeld
633 Tamalpais Avenue
Novato, CA 94947

From: [Judy Gion](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan As a California resident
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:21:00 PM

I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Judy Gion

From: [Greg Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan As a California resident
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:56:10 PM

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [E E](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan As a California resident
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:22:51 PM

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Eric Egel
1107 Lund Ter.
Sunnyvale CA 94089

From: [Justin Giugno](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan!!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time

From: [Margarita Nikolayevna](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:59:20 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [JeffRas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:00:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Anne Orchier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:02:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ean Vizzi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:03:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Simon Wiles](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:04:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Simon Wiles

From: [gary hoyt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:05:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Adam Katz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:05:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Adam Katz
San Francisco

From: [Shawn Krisman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:07:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Fiid Williams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:08:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

Fiid.

From: [H. Allen Adams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:08:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Louie Guijarro](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:08:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zaki Manian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:09:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: philodygmn@gmail.com on behalf of [n/a](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:09:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to abandon these proposals immediately and abort all preparatory activity pertinent thereto. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Nathan A.
Santa Cruz, California

From: [Andy Merrill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:09:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Andy Merrill
1753 Crystal Ridge Way
Vista, CA 92081

From: [Joseph Schmitt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:09:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Joseph Schmitt
818.434.1988
JSchmitt984@gmail.com

From: [Stephen Lester](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:10:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Stephen Lester
423-444-5012
San Diego, CA

From: [Chris Olds](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:11:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Ross](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-- Robert Ross

From: [Sean O'Brien](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sean O'Brien

From: [Brad Yasar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mayona Engdahl](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Mayona Engdahl

From: [Gabriel Vega](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Vega

From: [Brendan McElligott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Cameron Bateman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Cameron Bateman
Alameda, CA

From: [Ian Kerman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Ian Kerman

From: [Adil Minocherhomjee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Matt Milne](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Matt Milne

From: [Clyde Jones](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:13:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [mckemper_](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Absolutely not without my expressed permission.

From: [Steve](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Matt Montgomery](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Hans Haas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dan Amelang](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:14:32 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time,

Dan Amelang

From: [John O'Connor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
John O'Connor

From: [Harry Gobrecht](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Peter Kral](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Peter Kral
Encinitas, CA

From: [Morgan Sheeran](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Morgan Sheeran

From: [john frink](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Scalise](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

regards,
Michael Scalise

From: [dylan.fairbanks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
-Dylan Fairbanks

From: [Appi Dhillon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:15:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Apperjit Dhillon

From: [Sergey Kats](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:16:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ray Gillenwater](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:16:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Ray Gillenwater

Co-founder - SpeakUp
@GetSpeakUp
GetSpeakUp.com

View my availability and book a meeting here: meetme.so/speakup

From: [David Dobrowski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:16:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Colleen Beach](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Colleen Beach

From: [Shmuel Link](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,

Shmuel Link

From: [Charles Chen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Charles Chen

From: [Mark Sobell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jim](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Mabey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

john mabey

From: [Eric M. Trautmann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Eric Trautmann

From: [Moritz Steiner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best
Moritz

From: [Mike Higgins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Burke Wardle](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
-Burke Wardle

From: kbiglione@gmail.com on behalf of [Kirk Biglione](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:17:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [C. E. Brush](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Gene Brush
3516 Shearer Ave
Cayucos, CA 93430

From: guayaki.mateosluder@gmail.com on behalf of [Mateo Sluder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Matthew Sluder

From: t0dk0n@gmail.com on behalf of [Ian Sheiring](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Randall Leeds](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Randall Leeds

From: [Joe Weis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Pang Yang](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Concerned Californian,

Pang Yang

From: [Pratik Savla](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Janat Schmidt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Lopez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:39 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Slick](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kris Herzog

From: [rick golobic](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Regards,

rex golobic
Scotts Valley, Ca 95066

From: [Haarm-Pieter Duiker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:18:38 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Haarm-Pieter Duiker

From: [M. Alvarado](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [smaster](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: cuyjetpost@gmail.com on behalf of [Scott Cuyjet](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joe Conway](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:10 PM

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- - -

Joe Conway

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1

```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=tyIl
```

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

From: [Sterling T. Pearson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [William Deegan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John William Gordon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-John Gordon
881 Erie St
Oakland CA 94610

From: [Alex Bakaev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dimitri Reynaga](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Shelley L. Shostak](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Martin Emde](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Martin

From: [andre lovett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Sall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Michael A. Sall

From: [Holmes Iv](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Adam Selene
3476 Gary Ct
Simi Valley CA 93063

From: [Jeffrey Wescott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James Lassus](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bryce Verdier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Warm regards,
Bryce Verdier

From: [Chris Behnke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:19:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Kinsella](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bob Edwards](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Robert (Bob) Jason Edwards

From: daswani.neil@gmail.com on behalf of [Neil Daswani](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Andrew HunzekerHesed](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Andrew HunzekerHesed
ahunzekerhesed@gmail.com
415-577-7247

—

Andrew HunzekerHesed

From: mrwacky42@gmail.com on behalf of [Sharif Nassar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sunshine is the best antiseptic.

Sincerely,

Sharif Nassar

From: [chris cornwell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Chris Cornwell

From: [Edward Piou](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:37 PM

I do not want my California driver's license photo shared with national law enforcement.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

ep

--

-- --- ---- -----
Edward T. Piou | piou@eppi.com | <http://www.piou.org/> | AIM: etpiou
"Even our worst enemies... they deserve music, music." - Spearhead

From: [Blake Barnett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

—

Blake Barnett

From: [Neal Tomblin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Eric Boyd](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:20:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gerry Gras](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Gerry

From: [Dan Girellini](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dan Girellini
1995 Oak St., #1
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [Kim Mattis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Kim Mattis
1110 Holly Avenue
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

From: [Mike Herbst](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Mike Herbst
San Diego, CA 92129

From: [Jamie Danek](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:36 PM

Ladies and Gentlemen:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. **Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.**

Sincerely yours,

J.Danek

From: [Eugeniu Plamadeala](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Eugeniu Plamadeala

From: [Michael D. Garcia](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: zcochrane@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Michael Garcia
California Resident (ZIP 95126)

From: [Robyn Perry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joe Feise](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Andy Hartman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: teething@gmail.com on behalf of [Sean W](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Do NOT allow this to happen.

From: [Adam Krause](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:57 PM

As a California resident and consistent voter, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Adam Krause

From: [Justin Stockman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Justin Stockman
Stockman.Justin@gmail.com
(650)275-2485

From: [Chris Wilczewski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:50 PM

Stop this madness, don't cowtow to lobbyists

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ansel Taft](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [garry_star](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:18 PM

THIS IS IMPORTANT!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: amybjenkins@gmail.com on behalf of [Amy Jenkins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: elizabeth.gregg
To: Maria.Cranston; AttorneyGeneral
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:30 PM

As a proud, but privacy-minded California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please keep California on the right side of this issue.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Gregg
Oakland, CA

From: [Ron Ginochio](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Ronald Ginochio
6401 Cana Hwy
Chico, CA 95973

From: slaine17@gmail.com on behalf of [Mark Harwood](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Casey Knoblock](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Adam Carasso](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Adam Carasso

From: ponderosa@countermail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jon

From: [Michael Hazen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Michael Hazen

From: [Sweet Bear Cub](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:22:52 PM

As a California resident and a duly licensed driver in this state, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Fred Windberg](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Theodore Reed](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Karen Lai](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [A B](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration,
A.

From: [Toby Rane](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Toby Rane

From: gabriel.schofield
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Gabriel Schofield

Sent from [ProtonMail](#), encrypted email based in Switzerland.

From: [Brewster Kahle](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:12 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-brewster

From: [Mark McCormick](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Candace Karena Friedman Erickson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Candace Erickson

From: [Emmanuel Espinoza](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

All the best,
Manny Espinoza

Sent from my phone, (please excuse the typos).

From: [Vladimir Solovyev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
Vladimir Solovyev, PhD

From: [Kim Wood](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jon Hart](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alex Liu](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Lopez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alex Chee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: heathermichele@gmail.com on behalf of [heather.lee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Heather Lee

From: [Marco Walther](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-- Marco Walther

From: [C. Lerma](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:18 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Chris Lerma

From: [Oded Rotem](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: rujudan@gmail.com on behalf of [Daniel Nemirovsky](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [WebRanch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:26:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Enough of the police state!

Ari Altieri
California Resident

From: [Spike](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:26:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

thank you

Steve Spiker
Executive Director
OpenOakland

From: [Mike](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:26:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Samantha Matthews](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:26:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Garth Walker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:26:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Allen Myers](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:26:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [L. Doug](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Doug Laakso
doug@loxo.net

From: [Kurtis MacFerrin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Barry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Barry Fitzgerald
15245 Upper Ellen Rd.
Los Gatos, Ca. 95033

From: [Zandr Milewski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Zandr Milewski
zandr@milewski.org

From: [Jay S.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David Nagel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

David Nagel

6 Wildwheat

Irvine, CA 92614

From: [Bob Birss](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

BB

bobbirss@icloud.com
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lothar Werzinger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Lothar Werzinger

-

Sent from a mobile device

From: [Michael Van Veen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:27:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mike Higgins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ari Rieganu](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

A.

From: [jhanev1](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent on the new Sprint Network from my Samsung Galaxy S®4.

From: [Barry Nordby](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Barry Nordby

From: [Michael Lipinski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for reading my email,

Michael Lipinski

From: [Atheous](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

J.M. Yockachonis

From: [Oshen Turman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brent Royal-Gordon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [Dave Maass | EFF Activism Team](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

—

Brent Royal-Gordon
Sent from [Mailbox](#)

From: [James E. Pace](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

James E. Pace
Gilroy, CA

From: [Steven Hess](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Steven L. Hess
Taft Ca 93268

From: [Nelson Der](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:28:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Clint Bradford](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

S. Clint Bradford
5085 Trail Canyon Dr
Jurupa Valley CA 91752
909-241-7666

From: [Kevin Estes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bobbie Butler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Concerned California Resident,

Bobbie Butler

From: charlie.shadow@gmail.com on behalf of [Charlie Byrd](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: avb.wkyhu@gmail.com on behalf of [AJ B](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
AJ

From: dolyaie@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:30:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Donesh Olyaie
Los Angeles, CA

From: [Keith Steinbeck](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:30:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Quis custodiet ipsos custodes? Who watches the watchmen, indeed.

Keith Steinbeck

From: [Alex Emelianov](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

As a member of Electronic Frontier Foundation I am calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-- Alexandre

From: [eff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:29:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Nerthuschild.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:30:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Cori](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:31:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gillian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:31:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Henry Albert Sebastopol Queen Victoria Crun](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:32:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kees Briggs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:32:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please protect my privacy and the privacy of citizens across California. Stop this madness.

-Kees Briggs
415.226.8349

From: [Ryan Johnson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:33:15 PM

To whom it may concern,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

Ryan Johnson

From: [Neva Cooper](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:33:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paul E Coad](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:33:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion.

Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Paul E Coad

From: [Margaret Swink](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:33:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Margaret Swink
Resident, San Francisco 94131

From: [Mike LeRoy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:33:52 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mike LeRoy

From: [Paul Volk](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Pamela Hall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: yubanet@yubanet.com; [The Union](#); [Jeanette Paganetti](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Pamela Hall
Grass Valley, CA

From: [Chris Widger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Allan Wright](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Allan Wright

From: [Abraham Liao](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
-Abraham Liao

From: [Adrian Salas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Craig Rubens](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration.

Craig Rubens

From: [Rick Craven](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Rick Craven

From: [Hector M. Ramirez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: ianabanuelos@gmail.com on behalf of [Ian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Snail](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:35:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bruce Hahne](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:35:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Bruce Hahne
hahne@prismnet.com

From: bl3ndlabs@gmail.com on behalf of [George Burnett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:35:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dane Mutters](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:35:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sean Farrell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:36:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

sean farrell

From: [Scott Castelli](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:36:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Scott Castelli
21 Truett St.
San Francisco, CA 94108

From: [Jose H. Espinosa](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:36:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Vince Gonzales](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:36:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Vincent Gonzales

From: [Chris Shaw](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:37:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jonathan Weisblatt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:37:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

Jonathan Weisblatt
San Francisco, CA

From: [Molly Knappen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:37:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gordon Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:38:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely, Gordon Anderson
1560 Peninsula Dr.
Arcata, CA. 95521

From: [Marcus](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:38:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Chapman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:38:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-John

From: [sotoole](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [sotoole](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: jld.tablet@gmail.com on behalf of [Joe Davison](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael nCalifornia](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Josh Salmans](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:39:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Joshua Salmans

From: [Scott Kurowski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Scott Kurowski
800 Via Bahia
San Marcos CA 92069

From: [LuckyBucky](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Frank T. Cote

Sent from my iPad

From: [Martin Voigt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,
Martin Voigt

From: [Michael Allan Slaughter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:53 PM

A long-time California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to 86 these proposals--immediately. Stat!

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Armen Abrahamian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:41:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Allan Slaughter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:41:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Daniel Drake](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:41:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Daniel L. Drake
Berkeley

From: weareschizo@gmail.com on behalf of [Elliot Godzich](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:41:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Elliot Godzich

From: [Sam Scott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:42:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zachery Coston](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:42:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lloyd Taylor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:43:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--Lloyd Taylor

From: [Martin Stone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:42:55 PM

Keep your mitts off my picture!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Martin Stone
Factotum Extraordinaire!
650.380.3305

From: [Tom Cez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:43:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Debora Winn](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Stephen Scott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [pat.flaherty](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

EFF's message above. What I'd say is: there's a balance between safety and privacy and this violates that balance.

Patrick Flaherty - Lafayette, CA

From: [Joseph Holder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:30 PM

I am a 64 year old American citizen and California resident. I am greatly opposed to the continued expansion of law enforcement's tools. They habitually abuse the use of what tools they have, and are not held accountable when members of law enforcement themselves break the law. Since the DMV says going forward with this proposal is against the law, and yet it is still pursued, is a good example of the arrogant and law-less attitude of law enforcement. They think they are above the law and that must stop.

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. I am sure that the NSA has full access to NLETS, which means that the NSA would then add the database to their already massive and unconstitutional databases.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I would strongly support a very much needed proposal: An independent statewide citizens' review board that examines and makes findings in officer involved shootings, alleged violence, and abuse of authority. That such finds become part of a permanent state database open to the public.

Joseph Holder
Manteca, CA 95336

From: [Steve Selak](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: buixote@gmail.com on behalf of [Bill Michel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Yours truly,

Bill Michel
Redwood City, ca

From: jduprat@gmail.com on behalf of [Jean-Luc Duprat](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jean-Luc Duprat

From: [Jacob Slater](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:44:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Such an invasion of privacy opens the door to serious security compromises. No system on the internet is perfectly secure; unless you take it off the internet and build your own network, it poses a security threat to US citizens. What would happen if China got the database?

From: [Vahan Galachyan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank You,
Vahan Galachyan
Security Professional and Privacy Advocate

From: [Larry Russell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Ferrin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

Brian Ferrin
Santa Cruz, CA
95060

From: [Sean Davis, LAc](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Sean Davis, MS, L.Ac, C.SMA

From: [Jonathan Balsam](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mark L. Williams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: governor@governor.ca.gov
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation, all privacy-protecting citizens in California, in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Full disclosure needs to be made to California citizens, and non-public meetings aren't the way to do it.

For a less Orwellian California,

Mark L. Williams

PO Box 4381
N. Hollywood, CA 91617

From: [laureenmitchell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Laureen Mitchell

From: [Jeff Shippen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. **Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.**

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Shippen

From: [Michael D Adams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kenny Schachat](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:45:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: meyerw@gmail.com on behalf of [Bill Meyer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:46:09 PM

Greetings,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Bill

From: [Colin Samuels](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:46:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sergio Flores](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:46:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ed Elliott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:46:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ed Elliott
250 Main Street, Unit 145
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

From: [Thomas Britt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:46:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for taking the time to hear me.

Sincerely,
Thomas Britt

From: [Mark Hogenson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:46:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Adam Brent](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:47:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Maybe you got the good idea pile and bad idea pile confused, because this is definitely a terrible idea.

Love,
Adam

From: [Greg M. Schwartz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:48:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Shean Hoxie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Greg Schwartz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Greg Schwartz

From: [Craig Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

This is yet another example of lawless government ignoring strictures designed to protect United States citizens.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to immediately halt these proposals. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement and transparency of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Citizen,
Craig W. Anderson

From: [Diana Slattery](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This is an egregious break of my privacy, what's little that's left of it.

Sincerely,

Diana Slattery

From: rfree@sonic.net
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

The advisory committee should put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the Legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Richard Freeman

From: [Cassandra Kildow](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Cassandra Kildow
Stanford Law School 2016

From: [John Hanson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:49:59 PM

As a lifetime California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
John Hanson
25275 18th St
San Bernardino, CA 92404

From: [Daniel Essin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:50:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Daniel Essin
379 N. Encinitas Ave.
Monrovia, CA 91016

From: [Scott Robinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

There is little enough privacy left in the USA; I don't want California to join in a rush to the bottom of this heap.

Regards,

Scott Robinson
94965

From: [James Buatti](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Damien Somerset](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brad Knight](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Richard Jyles](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time.

Richard Jyles

From: [Kennon Keoseyan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:51:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Kim](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dan Melzer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for your attention to this matter.
Dan Melzer

From: [Nobie Redmon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jean/Shelly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Leland Whitlock](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Leland Whitlock

From: [Bob Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

A concerned Californian
Bob Anderson
2821 Future St
Los Angeles, CA 90065

From: [Ryan Abbott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sabrinna Tan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:52:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason Cooksey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:53:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Tim Dietrich](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:53:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Nevin Ditzler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:54:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for listening

Nevin Ditzler

From: [Chrissy Killian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:54:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Stop breaking the laws.

Thank you

Chris Killian
968 Sierra St #120
Kingsburg CA 93631
kryssik@gmail.com

From: [Earl Barron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Moya](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Moya Damberger

Fremont, CA

From: [Dave Rahardja](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dave Rahardja
drahardja@pobox.com

From: [Brooks Bray](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Hunkeler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely yours,
Chris Hunkeler

510-621-3391

From: [Huned Botee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [foggy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:56:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [foggy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:56:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Marsha Lin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:56:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Marsha Lin

From: patrick@patrickodoherty.com on behalf of [Patrick O'Doherty](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:57:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I await your response with great anticipation,

Patrick O'Doherty

From: [Zach Copley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:57:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Zach Copley
314 Behrens Street
El Cerrito, CA 94530

From: [Ted M. Young \[@jitterted\]](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:57:45 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to vehemently oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed **grave concerns** about the security of these proposals (Goal 8), and indicated that the law DOES NOT AUTHORIZE such an expansion. However, it seems that the CAC is refusing to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,
Ted M. Young
Foster City, CA

From: [Patrick Osborne](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:58:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,

Patrick Osborne

From: [Ryan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:58:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rob Lower](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brett Goldstock](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James Rocca](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: sweetleonster@gmail.com on behalf of [Lenny Turetsky](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--
--

Snet form a tiny keybord

From: [Don Thompson](#)
To: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:42 PM

To whom it may concern,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Don Thompson
1820 Scott Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90026

From: [Bruce Wallace](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Matthew McCabe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:00:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Matthew McCabe

From: [Richard Dawson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:00:18 PM

As a California resident, I adamantly oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Richard Dawson
1974 Avon Street
Los Angeles, CA 90026-1832

From: mitch.hendrickson@gmail.com on behalf of [Mitch Hendrickson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:00:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

-Mitch Hendrickson

From: [Nathan Plant](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Josh Hoobler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:10 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best regards,

Josh Hoobler

From: [August West](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Don't be evil!

-August

From: [Bruce Kunkel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Aimee Kvasir](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:01:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jacob Hoffman-Andrews](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [Dave Maass](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:02:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jim McCaffree](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:02:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ralph Kurtzman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:02:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ted/BAGeL Radio](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:03:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ted Leibowitz

From: [Marcellus Z](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:03:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [lovely coconuts](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:03:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Reed Livingston](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:03:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: organicpower@gmail.com on behalf of [Kenneth Kron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:04:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely

Kenneth Kron
San Francisco Resident

From: [K.T](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:04:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jimmy McColery](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:04:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mike Kramer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:05:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Mike Kramer

From: [Jeff Zerger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:05:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

thank you for your consideration and respect for public privacy,
jeff zerger

From: [D. Coopriider](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:05:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Al Irvine](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:06:02 PM

CLETS does NOT get to decide how the data, including my photo, that I am required to provide **to get a drivers license**, can be shared with anyone!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

C, A. Irvine

From: [Adam](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:06:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Shawn X](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:06:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks you,
Shawn Ledet

From: [Les Earnest](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:06:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason Cline](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:06:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Seriously getting kinda tired of the total disregard for privacy our current government has. I fear this pervasive behavior will eventually lead itself to a compromise so bad that everyone's identity will become meaningless.

From: [Jann Van Hamersveld](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:07:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Christin Evans](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:07:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Wes Gray](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:08:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Wes Gray
Santa Cruz, CA

From: ecbjohnson@gmail.com on behalf of [Nefertiti Tiberius](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:08:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Concerned California Resident

From: [Cory Wilkinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:08:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Larry Matter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:09:04 PM

As a Voting California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention to this issue.

Larry Matter

From: [titan98tchr](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:09:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

From: [Nadia K](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:10:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

Considering the serious privacy concerns that residents across California have expressed about any sort of law enforcement adoption of new technology, this is unacceptable. As you should know, residents in Los Angeles County, the City of Los Angeles, San Francisco, Oakland, San Jose, Alameda County, San Diego, Marin County and more have all fought recent adoptions of new technology. What's more, NSA spying is completely out of control. And yet you are quietly expanding the connections between California and federal law enforcement's facial recognition.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Nadia Kayyali

From: [Emanuel Evans](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:09:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Emanuel Evans
(914) 582-9417
emanuel.evans@gmail.com

From: [Kevin L. Hill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:10:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kevin L. Hill
3725 Lime Ave
Long Beach, CA 90807-4137

From: [Nicole Puller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:10:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Nicole

From: [David Looney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:10:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

As the number of photos grows by leaps and bounds, even very sensitive and specific facial recognition will nonetheless produce primarily mistaken identifications, threatening privacy. This technology is best applied to looking for particular suspects.

David Looney
Encinitas, California

From: [Pamila Payne](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:11:12 PM

Dear Ms. Cranston,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Pamila Payne

From: central-control@spiralgate.net on behalf of [Ryan M. Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
To:
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:11:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ben Somers](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:11:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Ben Somers

From: [Michael A. Moran](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:10:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Dr. Michael A. Moran

From: [Anthony Grasso](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:11:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPad

From: sidd.raiput@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:11:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Scott Stanton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:12:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Tristan Donofrio](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:12:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Darian Drake](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:12:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zonker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:13:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

[I do not believe that any good that might come from this integration could outweigh the privacy intrusion. Once integrated to a national system, neither California DMV nor CAC would have any control over the information they had released. Once released, changing you mind would not allow you to delete those records from other agencies computers.](#)

Respectfully,

-Z-

From: gamer226@gmail.com on behalf of [Gabriel Adauto](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:13:34 PM

Hello Maria,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for taking action on this front.

Gabriel

From: [Jonathan Jacobson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:13:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Arthur Weller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:13:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Art Weller
Oakland, CA

From: kacieelise@gmail.com on behalf of [Kacie Shelton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:14:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Kacie Shelton

From: [dan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:14:16 PM

To whom it may concern,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best Regards,

Dan Stynchula

From: [Joe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:14:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: claire.herriot@gmail.com on behalf of bioeng17@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:14:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Claire Herriot

From: [Alan Swithenbank](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:15:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Cindy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:15:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Danny Westfall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:15:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Maira Sutton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,
Maira

--

Maira Sutton
Global Policy Analyst
Electronic Frontier Foundation - www.eff.org
maira@eff.org
Tel: 415.436.9333 x175

:: Defending Your Rights in the Digital World ::

Public key: https://www.eff.org/files/2014/02/10/mairakey_0.txt
Fingerprint: 2F7D A3AE 708F 9560 C651 9FE6 3806 1A6A 2F37 ACED

Learn how to encrypt your email with the Email Self Defense guide:
<https://emailselfdefense.fsf.org/en>

From: [Calvin Tam](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Calvin Tam

From: [Karen Loro](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: sugardust6@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brandon Schlenker](#)
To: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:41 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Brandon Schlenker
Oakland, CA
510.863.1441

From: [David Chilcott](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:16:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

David Chilcott
Outformations, Inc.

510-655-7122 | drc@outformations.com | www.outformations.com

Keep Breathing. Tell the Truth. Be Fearless. Choose Love. Embrace the Mystery.

[Schedule a meeting](#) | [Feeling URGENT?](#)

[Outformations Agile Enterprise JumpStart](#)

[LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Google+](#) | [Twitter](#) | Skype: DavidChilcott

From: [Kim Knight](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:17:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Buckley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:17:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

In short, this seems ill advised.

Sincerely,
Chris Buckley

From: getsources@gmail.com on behalf of [Mike Schroder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:17:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: jim_siderits
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:18:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jim Siderits lunabound@hotmail.com I would rather have a mind Opened by Wonder, than one Closed by Belief.

From: [Keefe Nghe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:18:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mark Whisler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:19:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Carol Jacques](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:20:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Aaron Harpole](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:20:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason Culp](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:20:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Jason Culp

From: [Swirlnotch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:21:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Brad Donahue
90066

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

From: [Anthony Loro](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:21:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

It's not like the NSA in on [the.gov](#) agencies are trying to get us that you have to join in

Tony Loro

From: [Jillian Northrup](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:22:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jillian Northrup
Design Director, Co-Founder

Because great people deserve great design

[BecauseWeCan](#)
2500 Kirkham St. Oakland CA 94607
(p)510-922-8846 (f)510-550-7856

From: [grumpfish](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:23:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John Ramirez
Pasadena, CA

From: [Krisanne Combs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:23:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Krisanne Combs
Oakland

From: [Penney Nile](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:23:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Penney J Nile

From: [Nick Adams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:24:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Nick Adams

From: [Ken Rose](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:24:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alex Wankier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:25:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Alex Wankier

From: [Dena Higgins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:25:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely yours,
Dena Higgins

From: [Greg Kline](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:26:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [hemetrider](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:27:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

From: [Roman Chertov](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:27:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Roman Chertov
San Jose CA 95117

From: [jefurii](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:27:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jon Hermansen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Eamon Doyle](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully submitted,
Eamon Doyle

From: [Rene Suarez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:01 PM

I am a resident of California and I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. I am writing you let you know, I have grave concerns about this scheme and how it apparently is planned to be initiated outside of law. I understand that CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in strongly calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rene Suarez
6464 San Marcos Way
Buena Park, CA 90620-3008

From: [Robert Crockett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
Robert Crockett
Resident of Huntington Beach, 92646
714-465-2835

From: [Jason McDonald](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jason McDonald

From: [Matthew Gayton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:28:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Data security is a serious issue as the recent hack of Blue Cross information, in addition to myriad other similiar data security breeches, has shown. CLETS needs to be discussed in public and analyzed by those whose interest and expertise is non-partial and openly disclosed. Also, with the militarization of public police forces coupled with systemic racial stereotyping CLETS sets a dangerous precedent.

Thank you.

-Matthew R. Gayton

From: [Dan Aronson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:29:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--dan aronson

From: [Kelly Gerhold](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:29:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time.

Kelly Gerhold

From: [Ron "El Rey"](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:29:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ron Rattner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:30:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gil Rutherford](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:30:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Thom Williams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:31:14 PM

As a part-time California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. As a creature of the California Department of Justice the CLETS Advisory Committee appears to be engaged in extra-legal attempts to circumvent the Constitutional privacy rights of U.S. citizens.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Larry D. Goodale](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:31:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dav Yaginuma](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:31:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Xxx Xxxx](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:32:20 PM

As a California resident and taxpayer, I am writing today to strongly oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

~ Tom Perez

From: [Trevin Pinto](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:32:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Trevin Pinto

From: [mzinformed](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:33:04 PM

“The man who trades freedom for security does not deserve nor will he ever receive either” – Benjamin Franklin

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee’s (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely yours,

Christine Tobey

From: abraham.polishchuk
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:34:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Linda Rhoades](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:34:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Linda S. Rhoades
Redlands, CA

From: [David García Quintas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:35:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [BLAKE LEMMONS](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:35:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Blake Lemmons

From: [Mike Dina](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:35:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alan Coleman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:35:50 PM

As a longtime California resident and registered voter, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please prevent this possibly unlawful and certainly privacy-invasive initiative from proceeding.

Thanks in advance,

Alan Coleman
3348 Victoria Ave
Lafayette CA 94549

From: [Jeremy Lawrence](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:36:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank You,
Jeremy Lawrence

From: melissa.m
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:37:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Melissa J. Miksch
San Francisco, CA

From: [Evgeny Krevets](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:37:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank You,
Evgeny Krevets

From: [Stuart Sands](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:38:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stuart Sands

From: [Ethan Fry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:39:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best Regards,

Ethan Fry

From: [William Ga.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:39:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
William Gaieck
1521 Masterson Lane
San Diego, CA 92154
william.gaieck@gmail.com

From: [David Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:40:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

It's just wrong to assume you can use every picture you can find to search for people you simply don't like.
Or even to search for criminals.
It is never harmless.
Please don't go there.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

David Anderson

From: j@jondb.net on behalf of [Jon Debonis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:40:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: desitter.gravity@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:40:54 PM

Dear Reader,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best Wishes,

Alex

From: [Ricardo Janežič Pregitzer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:41:14 PM

Hello.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best regards,

Ricardo
Campbell, CA

From: [t_downey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:41:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kathleen Sullivan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:41:03 PM

Um, you can't do this kind of thing in secret. As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Kate

From: [Paul J. Martinez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:41:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.'

Sincerely,
Paul J. Martinez

From: [P E Teaford](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:42:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention.

Patricia Teaford

From: [Chris Gaeden](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:42:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
~Chris Gaeden

From: [Steven Lybeck](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:43:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Josh Monson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:44:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rayn Drahps](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:44:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: bassclarinet2@gmail.com on behalf of [Will Heid](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:44:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Will Heid

From: [Steve Meiers](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:45:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jack Hamm](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:45:23 PM

To whom it may concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
John "Jack" Hamm
Berkeley, Ca.

From: [Ross Siegel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:46:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Ross Siegel

From: [jonah.cooperman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:46:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best regards
Jonah Cooperman
542 Wisconsin Street
San Francisco, CA

From: [Craig Reynolds](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:46:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Craig Reynolds

From: [Zachary Lara](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:47:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: mark.gibbs@gmail.com on behalf of [Mark Gibbs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:48:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Mark Gibbs.

[Mark Gibbs](#) | [Gibbs Universal Industries](#) | 393 Contra Costa Avenue, Ventura, CA 93004
805-754-2661 | Skype: [quistuipater](#) | [gibbs.com](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [App.net](#) | [Facebook](#)
[Network World Gearhead](#) | [RSS](#)

From: mindsunwound@gmail.com on behalf of [C. Heath Ratliff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:49:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

C. Heath Ratliff
1019 Dornajo Way, Unit 226
Sacramento, CA 95825

From: [Luc Schober](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:49:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Gerth](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:49:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

/John Gerth
2094 Touraine Lane
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

From: [Chris Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:49:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. I am very concerned with the security of such data, its usage by law enforcement, and the fact that there are no plans for correction or deletion.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Chris Anderson
Elk Grove, CA 95757
anderson.christian@gmail.com

From: [Eric Embleton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:49:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Patrick Heim](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:51:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Patrick Heim

From: matt.the.ogre@gmail.com on behalf of [Matt Manuel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:51:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Burton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:52:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: onlydoug@gmail.com on behalf of [Doug Cutrell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:52:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

William Douglas Cutrell
465 10th St. Apt 207
San Francisco, CA 94103

From: [Britt Crawford](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:52:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Charleen KUBOTA](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:53:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Koester](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:54:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
John Koester

From: [sarah.lennon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:54:09 PM

As a former California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration of the importance of (all of) our privacy.
sarah.lennon

From: [Tom Hicks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:54:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mitchell Limas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:54:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Mitchell Limas

From: [G Mattel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:55:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards

Gopi Mattel

From: [A Silverio](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:55:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Timothy Collins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:55:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Tim Collins

From: [Bill Dunn](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:56:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
<http://www.avast.com>

From: [Christian Straight](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:56:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

respectfully,
Christian L. Straight

From: paul.eykamp@gmail.com on behalf of [Paul Eykamp](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:56:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme.

The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Paul Eykamp, PhD

Dr. Paul W. Eykamp
paul@eykamp.net

"Eschew Obfuscation" *Cedunt Horae, Opera Manent*

"In theory, there's no difference between theory and practice. In practice, there is."
Yogi Berra

"Economics is fundamentally about balancing trade-offs among competing objectives (e.g., better schools vs. lower taxes), whereas [politics] is largely about telling voters that there are no trade-offs..." *David Autor*

From: [bill fitler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:57:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Franz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:57:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Michael Franz
San Diego

From: [Chace Paddack](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:57:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Don Bliss](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:57:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dan Richardson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:59:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for taking the time to read this and for your service to our state.

Sincerely,
Dan Richardson

From: [KBdigital](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:59:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chason Chaffin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:00:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: alexia@armory.com on behalf of [Sandra Cannady](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:01:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Sandra (Cannady) Lubkin

From: [Benjamin Christy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:01:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robin Springer, Esq.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:01:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dinko Tontchev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:02:38 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks you,
Dinko tontchev

From: [Giovanni](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:04:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Phil Randall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:04:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Barry D Nilsen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:04:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ray Macdougall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:05:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joseph Burczynski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:05:45 PM

As a US resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jamy Klein](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:06:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Citizen privacy is more important than special interest. I will be watching to see which legislators allow this proposal to go forward.

Jamy Klein

From: [Hristo Gyoshev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:06:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dennis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:07:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dennis Paull
229 Correas St
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

650-712-0498

From: [Carlos](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John R Deegan
136 Fairfield Cir
Ventura, CA 93003-3887

From: [pat_wilson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Pat Wilson
San Diego, CA

From: [Tasha Barney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gabriel Millos](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Gabriel A. Millos

gamillos@gmail.com

From: sheikh.asif@gmail.com on behalf of [Asif Sheikh](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steven Spies](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bryan Clark](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Bryan Clark

From: [Burntswamp](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:08:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Pete S](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:10:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Absolutely not!

Concerned Citizen

From: [Peggy Quigley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:10:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Don Hall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:12:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Emmett Keyser](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:13:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kris L](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:15:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paul Meyer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:16:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Paul Meyer
344 Viewpark Cir
San Jose 95136

From: [Michael Kim](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:16:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Otto Hunt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:16:37 PM

I am concerned about what little is left of privacy. For that reason, I oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Otto J Hunt
1685 Avenida Oceano
Oceanside, CA 92056

From: [Earl A. Killian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:16:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David T. Macknet](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:17:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Dr. David T. Macknet
MCP, MCSD, BA, MSc, MLitt, PhD

email: dmacknet@sonic.net
Flickr: <http://www.flickr.com/photos/wishiwerebaking/>
Blog: <http://davimack.members.sonic.net/blog/>
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/davidmacknet>
Stack Overflow: <http://stackoverflow.com/users/6850/david-t-macknet>

From: [J.W. Wassenaar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:19:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Cheers,

Will Wassenaar
will@fixitwill.com
(805) 570-Will

PS. With sneaky tactics like this, do you have any wonder why we, the public, don't trust our elected officials in government?

From: renee@02films.com on behalf of [Renée George](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:20:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Christian Sasso](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:23:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention,
Christian Sasso

From: magicpeacelove@gmail.com on behalf of [Jonathan Steigman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:24:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Steigman
Mountain View, CA

From: [blitzer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:24:35 PM

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v2

```
iQIcBAEBAgAGBQJVCJuMAAoJEM6eqxUPIDeMXDsQAIvPpEDwT89jEliPU6LySWNJ  
ZdL4sBtkpeaFbyk3zbRImHX1M57WI6Z766KoXBL2mQGduoJg/3G/Zr7nk1uxG2r7  
csJyj2RR6IYRtvSUQYT8kqC6qms8IOjcZlmMZmVIdI9DM+j2ki8zY4EChlvT0Jio  
H3RnInNNcUZMXN0CwnJdjwh2xb40DwOJESYYcv/nKszgkuHyce3EI4Win9uCwEZu  
RDt3YyhX5PTMr4+HUSfP8sGB8ZMD79Qciu56+/E3oC01FAU0GkCuSP/tfXKKbbo  
6VDpFyPJdIndCCJYnEJavZXKYkjjOtmHSr5ZFA5SkyxWGUhdNbIJsHWC5Ho0ooDn  
GX0yr4XQn4NI2cMc9cTS3iavXRRKKdbKDldLI6iHIVws/zjmyJkkUqvwizWnDjuF  
dzGyGN28IG+483LRYfb4tw1pcRzWunsNz6szxZfnnqfjvoRhOQ2iB/I5UyLWr4R  
by6esUa43EkJv8IK7jrmIILhfgH0ehNdE6Q9dRzcYZwdCilaGm5QxnasRg5ZyzYY  
oSGwrdVeQbd5ps/oJs5ZR27/OYDmzfZ/x7OCUvDYN0Q9qeALx248X+ALC9gxdQ3Y  
U0YBBLFJ9vphI0UW3OQqmEJR14c0Be4UMKG2mEPgqekW5Wr6Ersfr5LZAI1IehC8  
T/iiesw7nqPMq1aE0qmx  
=5qA0
```

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

From: [Robert Bogdon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:25:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Schultz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:26:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jesse Oster](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:27:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Jesse Oster

From: [Christoph Weber](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:29:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Christoph Weber
5957 Erlanger St.
San Diego CA 92122

From: [Indigodruid](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:29:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Rigo Dillon
California Citizen

From: [Paul Rubio](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:29:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Paul Rubio

From: [Dell Anderson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:31:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Lydell Anderson, MD

From: [Bob Meola](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:31:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Note® II

From: [Bob Meola](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:31:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Note® II

From: [FrankenPC.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:32:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zac Harmon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:33:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joseph Ishak](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:33:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Joseph Ishak

From: [Eric Dierks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:35:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mark Wood-Patrick](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:36:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [The Real Bev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:39:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Cheers, Bev

"Qui custodiet ipsos custodes?" --Juvenal

From: ian.bruce@gmail.com on behalf of [Ian Bruce](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:39:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sina Hamedian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:39:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Al Magary](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:41:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Al Magary
San Francisco

From: [hanzaki](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:42:00 PM

As a California drivers' license holder, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Christopher Lincoln
424 Tennent Ave.
Pinole, CA 94564

From: [FRANCISCO GALDAMEZ](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:42:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [mack_h](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:43:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: pdp11hacker@gmail.com on behalf of [Steven Alexander](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:44:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Steven Alexander
Merced, CA

From: [Slava Imeshev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:44:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

Vyacheslav (Slava) Imeshev

From: [Marat BN](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:44:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Morlan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:44:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Sean J Mahoney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:47:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time.

Sean Mahoney

From: [Ajay Martin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:48:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [masekela_polee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:48:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Fox](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:49:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ian Esten](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:49:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Yours sincerely,
Ian Esten

From: [Kat. Kerr.Rowe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:49:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kathleen Kerr Rowe
zip code 94609

From: [Lauren Marshall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:50:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Lauren Gene Marshall
[Makeup Artist - Hair Stylist - Men's Groomer](#)
www.LaurenGeneMarshall.com
951-544-3977
LaurenGene8@gmail.com

From: [Steve Taffee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:51:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Steve Taffee

[m:415-613-6684](tel:415-613-6684) | [e:steve.taffee@gmail.com](mailto:steve.taffee@gmail.com)
| [a: 600 Willow Rd Unit 10 Menlo Park, CA 94025-2676](tel:600-Willow-Rd-Unit-10-Menlo-Park-CA-94025-2676)



Don't print this, Ok?



From: [Jose Luis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:52:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Greg Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:52:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ricardo Rosales](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:54:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Ricardo David Rosales Zuñiga

From: mindspillage@gmail.com on behalf of [Kat Walsh](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:54:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kat Walsh
Mountain View, CA

From: [Craig Marshall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:54:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing to express absolute opposition the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Craig Marshall

Long Beach, CA

From: [Steve Florkey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:55:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. We don't need more surveillance of normal people going about their normal business.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. In this country people are presumed to be innocent until proven guilty; let's keep it that way.

Thank you for your prompt, thoughtful consideration;

Steve Florkey
El Toro, CA 92630

From: [Ofurhe Igbinedion](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:55:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [justin.kruger](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:56:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Patty O'Reilly](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:57:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Patty O'Reilly

From: [Jon Spitz](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:57:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Maryam Ilkhani](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:58:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Philip Giacalone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:59:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Philip Giacalone
Salinas, CA

From: [Nancy Lizza](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:01:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Nancy Lizza

From: [Ravi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:02:20 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Ravi

From: [Kerry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:05:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,
Kerry Vineberg

From: [Dennis Brand](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:05:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Dennis Brand
Santa Cruz, CA

From: [Alli Blakely](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:06:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Camacho](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:06:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mike Lemos](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:08:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Mike Lemos
California Resident

From: frank.harper@gmail.com on behalf of [Frank Harper](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:10:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best regards,
Frank Harper

From: [DawnB](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:11:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Wesley Spikes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:12:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [phil michael](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:12:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Philip Michael
San Francisco, CA

From: [Matthew Thompson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:13:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Herbst, Matthew](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:13:51 PM

To whom it may concern,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Matthew Herbst

From: [t](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:14:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Eric Dynamic](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:14:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Miroslav Klivansky](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:14:44 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Miroslav Klivansky

From: [Fred Hauer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: [Rita Hauer](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:15:15 PM

As a California resident, I write today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and has further indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. One would think the DMV's views would be sufficient to bring CAC's actions to a halt, but I understand that CAC continues to plod (or should I say "plot"?) along with its proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to further this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Fred Hauer

From: [Donald Dorroh](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:15:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ivan Delgado](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:17:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dave Wyandt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:17:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Royal](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:17:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Kalafut](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:19:36 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best Regards,
Steve

From: [Lawrence Feinberg](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); Cattorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov; Cdm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:19:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Lawrence Feinberg

From: [barbara lyon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:19:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Russ 2](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:22:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Austin Dworaczyk Wiltshire](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:27:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Richard Thomson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:29:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ray Ransom](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:30:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ronald Peterson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:31:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [william](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:31:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brown Miller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:32:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David L Dill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:34:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Henry Kielarowski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:34:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Henry E Kielarowski
1496 La Playa Street
San Francisco, CA 94122

apollohelios@sonic.net

From: [Barbara Mahon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:35:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David Meyer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:39:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration,

David Meyer

From: [JB BLESSED](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:39:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Seymour Beck](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:40:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Seymour Beck
Lincoln, CA

From: [Ronald Rucker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:42:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kristyn Sotelo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:44:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Plume](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:45:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Brian Plume

From: [Thea Merrill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:46:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Culley Harrelson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:46:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Aren Olson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:46:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Aren Olson

From: [Jeanene Moenckmeier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:47:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jeanene Moenckmeier, Los Angeles

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S® 5, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

From: [T.K](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:48:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Anthony A. Karian, Esq.

From: [Mark A. Craig](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:56:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Most Sincerely,

Mark A. Craig
60 Creeks Edge Way
Sacramento, CA 95823

From: [Thom Orsi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:59:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Philip James](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:01:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

PJJ
<http://philipjohnjames.com>

From: [b r o o k e Laranang](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:02:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Scott Harrison](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:03:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Scott Harrison
Santa Cruz

From: [Eugene Portnoy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:03:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

As an IT security practitioner, I understand the grave consequences of a rushed solution that involves sensitive data.

As a person born and raised behind the iron curtain, I know first hand what happens when the people of the land fail to keep the law enforcement accountable at all times. This is a serious matter and we should reject any loophole, regardless of whether it makes their job of catching criminals easier or not.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Eugene Portnoy
Hillsborough, CA

From: [Matt Linton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:03:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Carol Ann Kilgore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:04:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [<Aaron.Prohaska/>](mailto:Prohaska@eff.org)
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:maria@eff.org); [AttorneyGeneral](mailto:AttorneyGeneral@eff.org); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:05:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Aaron Prohaska
510-418-9567

From: [Darlene Wallach](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [Dave Moss](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:05:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

signed,

Darlene Wallach
408.294.5781

--

equal justice under law

From: [Albert Kao](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:06:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Albert Kao

From: [Jeffrey Findeis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:06:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey S. Findeis
200 E Anaheim St. Apt 529
Long Beach Ca 90813

From: [Kaan Divringi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:08:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kimberly Hewitt-stevens](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:09:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jacob H. Haven](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:17:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jacob H. Haven

From: [illuminata b](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:20:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I don't wish to live in a Stalinist police state. I don't think that you can keep this information secure. As a Californian, I have a right to privacy and I don't want my data shared with some national agency that might lose my data or sell it.

From: [John Kohler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:22:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: dzm@dzm.com on behalf of [Joe McCabe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:22:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: one.eric.johnson@gmail.com on behalf of [Eric Johnson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:24:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Also, as a long-term software engineer with some expertise in security, centralizing this data just makes it more likely that it will be compromised. We should not be increasing the chances that organized crime will get an handle on this data by sharing it in more places.

Eric Johnson.

From: [Anthony Williams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:24:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [MC Hagerty](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:24:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

MC Hagerty

From: [Cynthia Quinonez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:28:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Cynthia Quinonez
cdfquinonez@gmail.com

From: [Kresimir Vinkovic](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:31:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kris Vinkovic

From: [John F. Collins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: [Maass, Dave | EFF Activism Team](#); [Chiu, David - Assemblymember, 17th District](#); [Leno, Mark - California State Senator District 11](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:32:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

John F. Collins

San Francisco, CA 94114-2257

From: [Bruce Clagett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:32:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bob Vaughan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:33:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: bedros.hanounik
To: Maria.Cranston; AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:33:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Bedros Hanounik

From: [Brian Harvey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:34:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

Perhaps this feels like a no-brainer to you: Help the police fight crime, who could oppose that? But of course this is why the Bill of Rights is in the Constitution, to protect against warrantless dragnets even at the cost of making it harder to fight crime. Mostly, criminals only do small local damage; it takes a government to hurt all its citizens at once.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James Lovette-Black](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:37:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

James Lovette-Black PhD

From: [Ned Moore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:37:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to express my strong opposition to the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Ned R. Moore
Registered voter in California

From: jackateni@gmail.com on behalf of [Jack Hurwitz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:37:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Herschell Larrick](#)
To: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:41:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Herschell Larrick

From: [Griz Baer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:41:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,

Philip Centman

San Diego California resident

From: [John Kephart](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:41:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rhona Mahony](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:42:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Rhona Mahony
Teaching privacy: wildbee.org/cryptoparty.html
Stickers, etc: redbubble.com/people/mishki
Blog: wildbee.org
Key: wildbee.org/pgp-key

From: [Jeff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:42:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ed Hannigan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:42:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Edward Hannigan

From: [Linda Ackerman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:43:02 PM

As a California resident and driver, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

Enough already. I am not a criminal, so stop treating me like one.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Linda Ackerman

From: richard.c.weil@gmail.com on behalf of [Richard Weil](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:44:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

Richard Weil
Los Angeles, CA

From: [aaron quantz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:45:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: ruthiechan@gmail.com on behalf of [Ruth R. Davidson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:46:28 PM

To Whom It May Concern

This form letter was written really well, so please read it.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for reading!

Enjoy today,
Ruth :)

From: [Michael P. Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:46:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Michael Smith

Sent from my iPad

From: [Chris Vogt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:47:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [CJ Winslow](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:49:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Hear our VOICE!

From: [Brendon Macaraeg](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:49:22 PM

Hello

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion.

Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
-Brendon Macaraeg
Culver City, CA

From: [Francis Roberts](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:50:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely
Mr. Francis James Roberts

From: [Panda Porkchop](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:52:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [YaYa A Thome](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:52:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to **put the brakes on these proposals immediately**. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: spirit729@aol.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:52:08 PM

To Those Addressed:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your serious attention in this important matter.

Sincerely,
K. J. Koerner
Miranda, CA 95553

From: [Bill Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:52:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Concerned citizen and California Native

From: b4syth@gmail.com on behalf of [BF](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:55:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Watson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:55:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Govinda-Terry!](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:58:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Nomad of Norad \(David C Hall\)](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:59:43 PM

While I am not a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

If one state pulls off shenanigans like this, other states, such as Florida, where I am a resident, might be encouraged to try it too, which would be just as irresponsible an action. There is already too much state surveillance as it is, the last thing we need is **more** of it, particularly ones with **more** detail collected.

From: [T. Doyle](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:02:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jon Cox](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:03:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Jon Cox

From: [Alain Henon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:06:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Glen Eichenblatt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:07:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Michael Frost](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:11:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Michael R. Frost
Fremont, CA

From: [Jason Fried](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:12:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Kirkham](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:12:27 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Steve Kirkham

From: [Mutticus Mulekiss](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:15:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Larry D Parker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:18:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Roger Owen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:19:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Thai Tran](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:21:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Michael Hutchinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:25:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Soli Alpert](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:26:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Peter Hansen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:29:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Yours truly,

Peter Hansen

From: [Byron Swain](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:32:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James Stevens](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:32:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [D.Mccloskey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:33:03 PM

I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan. As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Deborah J. McCloskey

From: steven.grubb@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:33:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Carl Clark](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:34:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Carl Clark
310-963-4788

From: [kaffee beaner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:37:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [J Taylor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:40:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: bhenderson@pobox.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:42:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

It is totally unacceptable that these people plan to allow totally unsupervised and untraceable access to this data base of personal information without even a need to show cause. The potential for abuse is astronomical.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Beverley Henderson
767 Lockhaven Drive
Pacifica, CA 94044

From: [Jonathan Cervantes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:44:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Jonathan Cervantes

From: l33t_enterprize@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:45:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Joshua Hoskins

From: [Ed Maguire](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:45:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeff Carlson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:45:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks, Jeff (with help from EFF)

From: [Cecilia Guerrero](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:47:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jon Kessler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:48:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Jon Kessler

From: jzarbock@gmail.com on behalf of [James Zarbock](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:48:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Adam Moore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:49:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Adam Moore

From: [Gene Hightower](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:52:34 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dan Matthews](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:52:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dan Matthews

From: [Eric Schwagerus](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:54:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you, Eric

From: raymondcolebaugh
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:58:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Raymond Colebaugh

From: 273below0@gmail.com on behalf of [Kevin Logue](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:00:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Kevin Logue

From: [John Busco](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:03:34 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully yours,

John Busco
San Jose, CA

From: [Raymond](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:05:45 PM
Attachments: [0x0E08CD9D.asc](#)
[signature.asc](#)

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best Regards,
-r

From: [protik](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:05:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Roadrunner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:09:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Softail](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:10:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

A man in chains knows he should have acted sooner...

Julian Assange

From: Harlan8@aol.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:17:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Harlan Levinson
Los Angeles, CA

From: [Eric Robi](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:18:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Eric Robi

From: [Richard Capps](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:19:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please do not violate our rights!

From: [Blake Bobit](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:20:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Blake Bobit

From: [Jesse C](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:21:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please do us all a favor and quit undermining my privacy. You probably wouldn't like some of your secrets shared with the world, give me the same consideration.

Best,

From: [Sam Durkin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:24:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Matt Ridenour](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:26:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Matt Ridenour

mattridenour@gmail.com

1341 58th Ave STE 9
Oakland, CA 94621

From: [jojiv](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:28:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from Samsung tablet

From: [jojiv](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:28:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from Samsung tablet

From: [Christopher Hoover](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:43:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

This is an outrageous affront to citizens' privacy.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Lee Druxman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:37:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Meineke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:37:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups .

Thank you,
Robert S Meineke
708 Carpentaria Rd
Aromas, CA 95004

From: [Steve Jerome](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:31:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Steve Jerome

From: [Steve Wendt](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:30:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Spencer Quong](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:30:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Spencer Quong
squong@yahoo.com

From: ivan.kissiov
To: Maria.Cranston; AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:22:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ivan M Kissiov
Palo Alto, CA

From: [Michael Aaron Safyan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:22:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James M DeLuca](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:16:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Eric Dietrich](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:16:20 PM

To whom it may concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Eric Dietrich

From: [DL](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:13:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Wopschall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:09:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Additionally I worked in public safety for 20 years in Fire/EMS and Law Enforcement. With that background, I do not agree with this use of DMV Photos.

Sincerely,

Steve Wopschall
Redding, CA

From: [John Wilmes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:06:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John Wilmes

From: [Eli](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:06:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

From: [Alex Utter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:06:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Roger Luedecke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:04:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mark Bult](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:02:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I vote in every election,

Mark Bult

From: [_dave_](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:58:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

[_dave_](#)
<http://about.me/daveyuriar>

From: [Zac Sand](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:56:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Roman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:53:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kelly Painter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:48:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Kelly Painter
Lompoc, CA

From: [Perly Baker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:47:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Perly

From: [Yarlan Zey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:47:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Yarlan

From: [Jack Straw](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:47:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Jack

From: [JJ](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:46:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Colin Prothero](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:45:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Colin Prothero

From: [Brooke Dawson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:44:23 PM

I am a California resident and an American dedicated to upholding the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights. I am concerned about this new encroachment upon privacy rights, which adds to the excesses of mass electronic surveillance by the NSA, threatening representative democracy and freedom of speech.

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Tameez Sunderji](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:42:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best regards,
Tameez Sunderji
Walnut Creek, CA

From: [Josh Cohen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:37:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joshua Rivera](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:36:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

From: [Cornel Ruston](#)
To: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:31:47 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion.

Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Cornel Ruston

From: [Antoine Alary](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:31:33 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Antoine Alary

From: [Abhishek Shroff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:28:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joseph Rowland](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:18:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Joseph Rowland

From: [Jamie Dillon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:17:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for your attention.
Jamie Dillon
Salinas, CA

From: [Rick Rynders](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:15:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Nancy Black](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:13:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Nancy Black
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
nancy@mercurypress.com

From: [shesaknitter](#) .
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:12:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. These actions and proposals are utterly unacceptable and the way this is being conducted is also underhanded.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for giving the protest against this proposal, of which this letter is a part, your full consideration.

Deborah A. Fleming
Escondido, California

From: [Robert Martin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:10:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Dougherty](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:10:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John Dougherty
Concerned Resident
Santa Barbara CA

From: nina.rawkstah
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:05:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [P Hennessey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:02:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Patrick Hennessey
El Cerrito, CA

From: ian.emmons@gmail.com on behalf of [Ian Emmons](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:01:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: leslieyoung@infinite-monkeys.org
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:01:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Leslie L. Young

From: [Robert Lyons](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:57:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [soltero brown](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:54:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely

John Martinez

From: [Celso Frazao](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:50:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Celso Frazao
Palo Alto, CA

From: [Shari Silverman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:47:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [savium388](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:46:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Pete Barth](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:46:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion.

Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme.

The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James Pannacciulli](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:45:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
James Pannacciulli

From: [Delia Mendoza](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:40:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ian Storm](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:37:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [81 Supporter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:36:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [William Mathieson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:32:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Roger Blair](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:32:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Roger Blair

From: [Henry Reed](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:31:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

With all due respect,
Grachya A. Dilanyan, unofficially known as Henry Reed.

From: [Robin Bates-Pualuan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:28:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:27:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

David Johnson

From: [Michael Condie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:27:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please give this petition due consideration. I think the Attorney General should strongly consider the opposition of the California DMV, especially considering the absence of legal authorization.

Michael W. Condie, MD

From: [Eric von Foerster](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:24:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Eric von Foerster

From: [Ben Gadbois](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:21:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John O.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:18:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you
John Otto
93561

From: [Justin Thomason](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:17:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Herwood](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:11:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Brian Herwood

From: [Joel Norvell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:09:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Yours in digital justice,
Joel Norvell

From: [Steve Redlich](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:08:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Californians value their privacy. Residents should not be tracked by the Federal government as they travel the nation's highways and byways. Please allow our legislature to do there job.

Thanks,
Steve Redlich

Manhattan Beach, CA

From: [Linda Salzmann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:04:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

From: [Michael Crump](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [Assemblymember.Low@asm.ca.gov](#);
[senator.wieckowski@senate.ca.gov](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:04:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Michael Crump
990 Elm St. #21
San Jose, CA 95126

From: [Saad Mir](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:03:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gregory Hilker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:03:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Carlo Milono](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 9:01:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my mobile

From: [Alan Liechty](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:59:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [erica_chahal](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:53:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Abraham Vandiver](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:52:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Abraham Vandiver

From: [Natalie Price](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:51:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Lars Zornes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:49:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Susan Frisbie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:48:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Susan Frisbie
Penn Valley, CA 95946-9363

From: [Maciek Pinno](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:47:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Lee "Scratch" Perry](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:45:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: nina.davis@gmail.com on behalf of [Nina Davis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:45:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Womack](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:43:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration.

John C. Womack
Placentia, CA

From: [Tony](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:42:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Anthony T. Fincher
Poway CA

Sent from my mobile phone

From: [Jay Cobb](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:41:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely yours,
Jay Cobb
San Francisco, CA

From: [Jon Gorrone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:37:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gene Hertel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:34:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

R. Gene Hertel
Fremont, CA

From: [Caryn](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:33:06 PM

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
Caryn Landau

From: [froodood](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:32:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dave Briccetti](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:27:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Dave Briccetti

From: [Corey Gin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:24:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Corey Gin

From: [bob](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:21:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [bob](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:21:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [John Lamb](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:17:49 PM

To whom it may concern

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

John R. Lamb
12674 Carmel Country Rd, #39, San Diego, CA 92130

From: [Michael Glowinski](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:17:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dallas Lopes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:14:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Concerned California Resident,

Dallas Lopes

From: [Justin Williams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:11:57 PM

Hello. I'm A California resident and I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for listening to my concerns.

Respectfully,

Justin Williams
Corona Del Mar, CA

--

Justin Williams
BambooDev.com
949.682.9321

From: [Jared Laiti](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:11:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jared Laiti
5110 N. Wishon Ave. #201
Fresno, CA 93704

From: stonemit@gmail.com on behalf of [Dan Stone](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:10:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Daniel Stone, San Francisco

From: [Timothy Steele](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:08:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Conrad M](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); assemblymember.bealljr@assembly.ca.gov
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:07:28 PM

Can you do anything about the CLETS Advisory Committee?

I oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) positions regarding facial recognition technology and sharing the Cal-Photo database nationally through NLETS.

The DMV expressed grave concerns about the security of the proposals and says the law does not authorize such an expansion.

But CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to halt these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure committee meetings and closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Conrad M.

From: [Derek B. Noonburg](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: [Derek B. Noonburg](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:01:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ed Lamaster](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:00:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Timothy Meadows](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:58:51 PM

As a born, raised & VOTING California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. I along with the California Department of Motor Vehicles are expressing grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) DMV indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

In the name of the people, we DEMAND this stop now & release full disclosure and publishing of the records for every meeting imminently. Back room deals with lobbyists are not transparent and the people DEMAND & DESERVE transparency

Timothy Meadows
Crestline, CA 92325

From: [Greg Briggs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:58:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steven Huggins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:57:41 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Steven Huggins

From: [Kathie Richardson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:56:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James D. Murray](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:56:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

James D. Murray
Huntington Beach, CA USA
Verizon, IT Security Operations
Stanbridge College, Adjunct Professor of Information Security
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/jamesdmurray/>

From: mediaskate648 .
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:maria@eff.org); [AttorneyGeneral](mailto:attorneygeneral@eff.org); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:55:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: jamesb@mobezeinc.com on behalf of [J. Beze](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:54:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: therollingstones137@gmail.com on behalf of [Matthew Dickson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:51:07 PM

To whom it may concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Matthew Dickson

From: [Milton Bosch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:50:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Sincerely, Milton Bosch, MD 3432 Crestview Way Napa, CA. 94558-5328

From: [Jeffrey Watters](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:49:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Francesca Reitano](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:47:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Francesca Reitano
Sacramento, California

From: [Marc Vermut](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:45:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Shaun Pond](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:40:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brant](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:39:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme.

The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,
Brant Cooper
Encinitas, CA 92024

From: [Eugene Morley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:35:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Aeron Danann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:34:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Yours,
Aeron G. Danann

From: [Everardo Ayala](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:29:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Eddie Villegas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:26:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joe Parker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:24:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Joe Parker

From: [Jeffrey Phanith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:24:29 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jeffrey

From: [Ken Davidson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:16:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Alan Smith](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:15:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Alan Smith
Wrightwood, Ca.

From: [Philip James](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:13:21 PM

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

James Phelps
P.O. Box 2247
Winnetka, CA 91396-2247

From: [Sam jobrani](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:03:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: eff@james.schwinabart.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:02:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve May](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:01:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [zillion909](#)
To: [AttorneyGeneral](#); [Maria Cranston](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:57:34 PM

To:

Attorney General Kamala D. Harris
Maria Cranston
CAC Chair Tom Bruce
All Members of the CAC and SSPS
All Members of the California Peace Officers Association

The essence of Government is power; and power, lodged as it must be in human hands, will ever be liable to abuse.

- James Madison, 4th President of the United States (1809-1817)

The CAC immediately must put the brakes on its short-sighted, secretive, wanton, and egregious efforts to undermine citizens' privacy protections with the CAC's recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

I join with the Electronic Frontier Foundation and California citizens in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. In my opinion, such actions in essence amount to abuse of power and demonstrate a lack of wisdom. Furthermore, I think such sharing of the Cal-Photo database with NLETS would be a clear violation of California statute.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and has indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering private meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee also has authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Maybe anyone in the California DOJ, CAC, SSPS, or CPOA who is enthusiastic about such actions and proposals that would undermine citizens' rights and the rule of law might be comfortable working for the government or police department of Ferguson, Missouri, which presently has some job openings, where abuse of power and policies that undermine the rights of citizens are entrenched traditions in the out-of-touch and elite ranks of government and law enforcement.

Eric H. Phinney
US Citizen
Registered Voter
Veteran
California resident

From: [Todd Gage](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:56:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Todd Gage

From: [Autumn Tyr-Salvia](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:55:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. I am a certified privacy professional; this is my area of expertise, and I am gravely concerned.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Autumn Tyr-Salvia
tyrsalvia@gmail.com
2530 Highland Avenue
Oakland, CA 94606

From: [Jasper Sauve](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:50:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Jasper Sauvé

From: springmann@gmail.com on behalf of [Alessondra Springmann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:49:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Alessondra Springmann

From: [Niko Klein](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:47:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Shereen Hawkins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:46:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Shereen Hawkins

From: [Leonard Potash](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:46:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Todd Snyder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:45:09 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Regina De Leon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:44:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regina De León

From: [Bill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:35:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time,
Bill Lindner

From: [Scott Lehman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:45:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Scott Lehman

From: [Max Hunter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:48:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [George Yunaev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); dm@eff.org; [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:49:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Maïa Cybelle Carpenter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:50:55 PM

Greetings.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,
Maïa C. Carpenter
San Francisco 94103

From: [Manuel Irigoyen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:54:23 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Keunwoo Lee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:01:39 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Keunwoo Lee
San Francisco, CA

From: [jackie Siegfried](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:03:12 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Scott Bentley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:07:50 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time and take care,

Mr. Scott M Bentley

From: [Vectrexer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:08:12 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeffrey Howerton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:09:57 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dan Stafford](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:15:26 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Stafford
Temecula, CA

From: [Curtis Owens](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:18:49 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This is a disgustingly abusive power play that will not be tolerated. We the people should have the right to vote on this issue at the very least. This pushes a police state that already goes too far and isn't accountable to any civilian group or voter say. We the people should always have the right to privacy unless we are accused of a crime. And only at that point should information specifically related to the investigation be available to access. Our nation is headed in a dangerous direction where the people are turned into slaves of the system and the system unaccountable to the people. We the people who believe in a more stable union cannot allow this tyranny to continue. It goes against the principles of the constitution and what would have been acceptable to our fore fathers. Our Nations founders looked at the history of prior governments and saw the dangers and why they failed to produce a sustainable honorable government. And totalitarian abuses like this cause nations to fail or become corrupt.

There is no reasonable value in having this information that can't be had legally if so requested upon probable cause. It is more dangerous to the nation to allow this than to deny it.

Please block this proposal at every step and make laws against such a practice.

Thank you,
Curtis Owens

From: [Cheriel Jensen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:20:44 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

The First Article, First Section of the California Constitution promises "privacy" to all people of this state. Privacy was added in 1972 and again in 1974 to correct a comma, both times by 80% vote of the people. This proposal flagrantly violates the California Constitution and MUST BE STOPPED.

From: [Robert Mayo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:21:51 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--Bob

From: olaudio@gmail.com on behalf of [Owen L](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:25:52 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Stiles](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:28:37 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Chris Stiles
818.753.7988 hm.
323.578.3809 cl.
thestiles@roadrunner.com

From: [Justin Detmar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:44:22 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Hudson Sances](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:46:39 AM

Hello respective government representatives,

I am writing to you all today as a gravely concerned citizen. I have recently been informed of a proposal being acted upon that will take this state of monitoring to a whole new level. This committee is making moves in effort to grossly enlarge the degree to which american citizens can be monitored and tracked. Their intention to install facial recognition technology into the camera arrays currently in use on our roads. With technology such as this suggested as becoming a norm, a new state of constant monitoring may come to be when everyone is being watched most of the time by third person, unrelated parties. I understand the intention to use it to locate criminals or citizens with warrants, but the capacity to which a statewide program this vast could be eventually accessed by other parties or abused for a large variety of purposes is profound.

Furthermore, studies show that people behave differently when they are feeling like they are being watched. Its the feeling you get when someone is reading over your shoulder, no matter the material you may, be reading, it is disturbing. In addition, being actively tracked or watched carries the implication, of an accusation concerning wrong doing. One would be left to wonder what level these monitoring systems would be taken, a simple act of walking across the street without using a crosswalk could carry an instant fine of X amount of dollars. Funding deficits may lead to crackdowns on a certain law in some communities. With that in mind, other more important programs such as our already underfunded education system may be impacted. This added stress is hardly what California needs, especially when considering our outstanding debt and high costs of living.

Lastly, as a citizen, I highly value privacy. Having grown up on acreage, I know how important it is to be able to feel like you entirely alone and no one's watching you to truly relax and reflect on one's day. Driving is also a time when you can feel private and reflect, being constantly on the move and therefore free to think unburdened by a fixed place. There have been many laws since 2001 that have encouraged a trend of gradual normalcy enforcing our being monitored and essentially spied on. From the NSA staff's constant scrutinizing or claiming of our information to unwarranted wire tapping, it has been hard to feel that I am living in the freest country on earth. This plan, if carried through, would be a large leap towards a state of constant monitoring, both of one's digitally manifested written expressions or photographed memories, and now, as the proposed NLETS software would have it, one's physical presence and actions would also be under the microscope.

Please, do what you can to fight this attack on our privacy. Its positive attributes are far outweighed by its potential abuse and high cost both fiscally, and mentally. Please make me believe that our country can change for the better.

Sincerely,
Hudson Sances

P.S.:

The Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) notified me of this development, below is their prepared statement:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Meyer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:51:17 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jen Lampton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:56:00 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Pugmire](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:05:31 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- Robert Pugmire

From: [Jean Lindgren](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:07:31 AM

As a California resident, I very strongly oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately! Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for opposing this ridiculous plan.

Jean Lindgren
San Francisco, CA
email: lindgren.b8@gmail.com

From: [Tal Allweil](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:19:08 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Tal Allweil

From: [Matt Pritchett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:51:17 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Matthew Pritchett
Sacramento, CA

From: [Jennifer Rodriguez-Mueller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:59:23 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion.

Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme.

The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeff Condit](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:59:25 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: nongrata@gmail.com on behalf of [Gilad Wolff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:03:19 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Gilad Wolff
56 Harper Steet
San Francisco, CA 94131

From: [Chung Chang](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:07:45 AM

Dear CLETS Advisory Committee,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Chung K Chang
San Jose, CA

From: scottconnors01@charter.net
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:12:35 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Scott Connors

From: [Dale](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:14:05 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

)ale |_ewis
11002 Promesa Drive
San Diego, CA 92124
matrix@spamcop.net

From: [Robert J. Feldman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:19:02 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Christian Shay](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:31:48 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Christian Shay
3199 Clay St #7
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Austin Walne](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:59:38 AM

Good Morning,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best,

Austin

From: [Larry Mayfield](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:55:47 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [H. Jessica Armstrong](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:55:18 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

HJ Armstrong
San Diego, CA

From: [Larry Dieterich](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:51:41 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for your time and attention.

From: [Riven Homewood](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:44:59 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jean Hewlett
105 Garden St
Santa Rosa, CA

From: [Kathy Whitlock](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:44:49 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

KL Whitlock
Concord, CA

From: [Rob Means](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:42:13 AM

I read the book "1984". And I know that the NSA has gone rogue in collecting data on my electronic communications. If CAC members are not worried about the trends toward more Big Brother activities in this country, then I can offer only two explanations: 1) they don't know what is going on or 2) they have been captured by the rich and powerful (as have so many federal agencies).

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Rob Means, 1421 Yellowstone Ave., Milpitas, CA 95035-6913
408-262-0420h, 408-262-8975w, rob.means@electric-bikes.com

From: [Frank Altomonte](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:39:30 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Frank Altomonte

From: [Steven Rojo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:38:25 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Steven Rojo
1326 Sandia Ave
Sunnyvale, CA
94089

From: [hij2](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:36:55 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joshua Wagner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:27:58 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks.

Josh and Lori Wagner

From: thepccat@juno.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: thepccat@juno.com
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:27:42 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Theo Tanalski

From: [PJ Manney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:27:33 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Patricia Manney

From: [Sean](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:24:15 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sean Auth

From: [Leonore Proctor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:22:15 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David McNally](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:20:34 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gerard Gendron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:18:03 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Gerard Gendron

From: [Erik Morgan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:17:15 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Christopher Warner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:16:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Chris Warner

chrisawarner@me.com

From: [Sean Nicholas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:15:00 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Sean Ainsworth
Poway,CA

From: [Matthew Burns](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:03:48 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Richard Langan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:57:03 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I oppose the expansion of the police state. It is far too intrusive as it is and this proposal just furthers the division of the state and the people it serves.

Sincerely,

R T Langan

From: [Jason Gaiser](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:46:16 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Jason Gaiser
San Diego, CA

From: [Melissa Fowler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:43:41 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
--Melissa A Fowler

From: [Chris Marshall](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:40:28 AM

To: maria.cranston@doj.ca.gov, attorneygeneral@doj.ca.gov, dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Christopher Edward Marshall
916-428-3898

From: [George Nace](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:39:32 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

George R. Nace
Berkeley, CA 94702

From: [Joseph Macaulay](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:38:05 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Zer Alon](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:35:15 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Zer AlonW

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Cotton Coslett](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:32:25 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [sandysurf61.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:13:30 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups

From: [Craig Wilson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:04:59 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Robert Reyes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:44:23 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I know a few crooked politicians in the State of California just want to make pass this into law so the State can freely sell this information under the cloud of false crimes to institutions like Banks as well as the Feds. That way facial recognition software data bases could developed & used at Airports or other facilities being the State DMV updates are finger prints & photos every few years! Stop this bullshit! Do NOT- make California a Police State for the agenda of the East Coast's cons nor for the profiteers in this State who would sell out our freedoms!

Robert
SO Cal Resident USA

From: [Duckbreath](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:43:12 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeanette Lewicki](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:41:33 AM

CLETS -- Let's Not!

Dear Maria et al,

I'm writing to share my grave concern about the CAC's apparent support for CLETS.

In an era when citizen privacy is severely compromised by internet insecurity, I believe that you & I, as California residents & American citizens, will deeply regret any further surrender of fundamental privacies.

In my own home city, Oakland, the police department has been in court-mandated receivership for many years because police abused & distorted the data about private citizens they were meant to protect. Though I have never been arrested, or even ticketed for a driving violation in California, I do not want to give the state any more of my private data. I just don't trust that it (or I) will be protected!

As a California native, I oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

If you want to gain my trust as a citizen please act openly, encourage public debate, & listen to the opinions of the citizens whom you are mandated to represent. I believe that you will then withdraw support for CLETS.

Sincerely,
Jeanette Lewicki

From: [jyosha](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:40:20 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jamie Yosha
Fairfax, CA

From: [faith attaguile](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:27:26 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: hcriswell@gmail.com on behalf of [Harry Criswell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:09:34 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Harry Criswell
harryc@technologist.com

From: [Christopher Jones](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:51:10 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [La Lisa Derrick](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:50:33 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [SJ Orzel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:29:38 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

From: [Dennis Ledden](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:18:47 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-- Dennis Ledden

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
<http://www.avast.com>

From: [Thom OShaughnessy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:09:34 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thomas Patrick O'Shaughnessy

From: [Robert Field](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:06:21 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Robert W. Field

From: [Nate Barrows](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:01:49 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [James](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:49:38 AM

Good Morning,

My name is James Hancock.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Respectfully,
James Hancock

From: [Alex Aumann](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:38:13 AM

Ever read about someone's photos getting stolen, and used for nefarious purposes? Or some police-officer-gone-rogue who misuses official databases? Or someone charged with a crime they didn't commit, because their clothes was too similar to the perp's?

Giving access for all of the photos of Californians to national law enforcement almost sounds like the opening line to an article on the latest mega-hack. The downsides to sharing the Cal-Photo database far outweigh the possible upsides.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank You,
Alex Aumann

From: yakimabelle@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:16:22 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I also am very suspicious as to which firm lobbied to encourage this scheme; in other words, what information technology firm has suggested that they can build the technical groundwork for this scheme?

Nadja Adolf

From: [Theo Deligiannis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:49:14 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Worsfold](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:05:49 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Steve Worsfold

From: [Charles Macquarie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:05:43 AM

Dear All,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Charles Macquarie

From: andy@andyneil.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:09:39 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Andy Neil
Novato CA

From: [Cindy B](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:11:13 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: thatguyasher@gmail.com on behalf of [Asher Bond](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:12:46 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jim Hefner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:17:40 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
James P. Hefner

From: [Charles Prael](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:34:51 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Edward Sullivan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:42:47 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Edward Sullivan
782 25th Avenue, Apt 1
San Francisco, CA 94121

From: [afuller](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:43:32 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Ann Fuller

From: [Wayne Runcie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:43:58 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joe Weyers](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:44:14 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Joseph Weyers
Ventura, CA

From: [David Williams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:14:03 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Further, I urge you to stop trampling on the rights of California citizens merely because you have the means to do so. Common sense and your respect for the basic rights of California citizens should be respected. Californian's are watching your actions with great concern.

DAVID WILLIAMS
San Dimas, CA

From: mark.itzkowitz
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:22:07 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mark Itzkowitz

From: [Mark Kupke](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:25:12 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [damian Baker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:27:10 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Damian Baker
Los Angeles Ca.
818-822-7549

From: [Arena Reed](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:30:45 AM

To Whom It May Concern,

As a citizen and resident of California, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2015/03/obscure-california-law-enforcement-committee-threatening-dmv-photo-privacy>) in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Arena

Arena Reed
San Francisco, CA

From: [Kathryn Leland-Wilber](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:35:57 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Les Howell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:36:34 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Howard L. Howell
ETC USN (Ret.)

From: [Robert Malka](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:43:59 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Matthew Nitti](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:47:22 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ted Ellis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:52:21 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. Trying to "sneak" this thru while no one was looking confirms that this was not thought out and could be illegal.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Joel Cusimano](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:54:15 AM

To whom this may concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Joel Cusimano

From: [tim331p](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:57:53 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Timothy Prindle

GT247's i317

From: [Robert Harvey-Kinsey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:00:20 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby group.

Robert Harvey-Kinsey
165 Brandon PL
Benicia, CA 94510

From: [Robert Sorensen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:02:14 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ian Turner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:02:45 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Ian Turner

From: [Thaisan Tonthat](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:08:54 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Stephanie Young Gonzaga](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:13:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

S.YoungGonzaga

From: [Tom Perrine](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:14:39 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

As an information security professional for over 30 years, I am appalled at this idea. In addition to being a terrible invasion of privacy, it increases risk to citizens for the abuse of this information, and for its eventual loss. Based on government's (in)ability to protect information, this information will be exposed.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David DuBose](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:18:16 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups

Seriously? End this tyranny on privacy.

Sincerely,

David DuBose

From: [David Brace](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:42:10 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kindest regards,

~David C. Brace
California resident
583 Tahos Road
Orinda, CA 94563

From: [Sherry Stoll](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:54:04 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Sherry Stoll
Alameda, CA

From: [Brian Goudie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:57:23 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeff Snyder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:00:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jeff Snyder
San Luis Obispo, CA

From: [Alex Millr](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:17:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kind Regards,
Alex Miller - San Mateo, CA

From: [Seren](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:17:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Seren Thompson

From: adamorris2@gmail.com on behalf of [Adam Morris](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:17:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [cara marie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:21:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

cara marie
sent from a tiny device

From: [Eli Combs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:23:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ron VanAbrahams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:25:22 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

R. VanAbrahams

From: [Ron VanAbrahams](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:25:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

R, VanAbrahams

From: [Lor_Coo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:06:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

From: [Frank Cordova](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:23:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Oscar Rivera](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:27:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Oscar Rivera

From: [Tao Tracy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:30:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ka-Hing Cheung](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:34:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David Dieni](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:37:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Thomas Gillespie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:37:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Chris Zimmerman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:37:16 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Chris

From: [Mickey Lyle](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:51:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Mickey Lyle

From: [Gary Tittle](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:59:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Gary Tittle
Manteca, CA.

From: [Steve Stansbery](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:18:48 PM

The DOJ should already know better but now you've told by the DMV that this plan is neither authorized nor secure. Stop trying to sneak it through.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Vawter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:23:45 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Treating data as owned by the state when it relates to private citizens not convicted or even charged with any crime should be, itself, criminal.

From: [Kate Potluru](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:26:54 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Peter Baker](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:26:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Stephen Kolupaev](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:36:48 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose recent actions of the CLETS advisory committee and its proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles expresses grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicates that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop this proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to shelve these proposals immediately. A decision of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature.

From: [Michael Barnes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:48:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Michael Barnes
PO Box 473
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

From: [Kim Reece](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 2:55:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

To extent the use of these photographs in a manner not consistent with the circumstances under which they were taken is unacceptable. In the current situation, drivers license photographs exist to identify a face as belonging to a person /only/ in the context of stopping that person and asking to see their ID. When not driving, refusing to show ID is legal in California regardless of refusing to demonstrate identity verbally not being so. I cannot refuse to show my ID if it is automatically pulled up by a database, nor is my consent involved, nor am I necessarily aware of its being accessed. This places new and worrisome impositions on the rights of the individual which need to be fully and publicly debated before any such measures are enacted.

From: [Philip Bowlen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:03:50 PM

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Philip Bowlen

From: [e](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:06:42 PM

Hello,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

e Williger

From: [George Shkan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:17:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rahul Mehrotra](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:18:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [steve nash](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:24:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Steve Nash

Professional Education Services, LP
4208 Douglas Blvd. Suite 50
Granite Bay, CA 95746
(916) 791-9334
(916) 791-7845 (FAX)
stevenash999@hotmail.com

From: [AJ Scoular in San Francisco](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:25:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Ajay in SF

From: [Andre Willey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:28:31 PM

To whom this May concern,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your understanding,

Andre Willey

From: [Jack Rovai](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:32:56 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time,
john Rovai

From: sodapopjerks@gmail.com on behalf of [Jason Fracaro](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:47:52 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. I hope you will consider my email in your upcoming decision.

Sincerely,

Jason Fracaro

From: [Erica P](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:04:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Erica Pratt

From: [andree.lagerstedt](#)
To: [Maria.Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:23:59 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [JNGII](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: [JNGII](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:51:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jeremy Shald](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:59:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jeremy Shald

From: [Mathilde Mouw](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:08:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Mathilde Mouw

From: [Daniel Dulitz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:18:25 PM

As a resident of Palo Alto, California, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The false-positive rate of the NLETS-supported systems, and the consequences of false positives, have not been appropriately studied, certainly not by CAC. If every person in the USA had their photo in such a database, and the false positive rate were 1 in a BILLION, and 1000 people were queried against the database every day, there would be numerous false positives every day! False positive rates on real photo ID systems are vastly higher than that.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Daniel

From: [Jon Neff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:23:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Adriana M Galvez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:41:23 PM

This is unbelievable, don't allow these groups to sneak through the National ID travesty by sneaking into our private data and using our PRIVATE license photos to build up their facial recognition software without a vote or informing the people and setting up the correct protocols.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Adriana Galvez

From: [D.](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:42:38 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
David Allen
Encino, CA
323-301-2281

From: [Colette W](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:51:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [gabino](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 5:56:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Greg Justice](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:43:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paul Westbrook](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:41:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Paul Westbrook

From: [Reuben Graham](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:30:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Reuben J. Graham
functionc@hotmail.com

From: [Joe Eastwood](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 11:14:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Titan, Hari](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:40:10 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Hari Titan
125 St James Drive
Piedmont, CA 94611

From: [June Alice M Brown](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:27:58 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kind regards,
June Alice M Brown
San Jose, CA

From: [Andrew Clark](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:21:26 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to STOP THESE PROPOSALS NOW.

Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the Legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I have two additional points to make:

1) Biometric data, once compromised, is gone forever. There is no going back once the photos have been transferred. California is out of the loop.

2) California police officers, retirees and confidential informants -- and their families - - will be among those whose data is released by this foolish proposal. Their personal information will be accessible to a larger universe of persons who are NOT vetted by California DOJ and could include Federal "contractors" such as the infamous Snowden, possibly from all fifty states. This alone makes such an expansion very unwise.

Data breaches involving complex criminal organizations, deep cover agents, and confidential informants can contribute directly to future loss of human life.

-- Andrew Clark
Boulder Creek, CA

From: [Florian Nierhaus](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 10:10:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Daniel Rivera](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:50:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bruce White](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:46:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Bruce White

From: [Armin Wright](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:38:11 PM

I am shocked and dismayed to discover that there is a stealth effort under way by the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) to couple facial recognition technology with the Cal-Photo database via the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

Even the DMV has commented about the security threats inherent in these proposals and indicated that the proposed coupling would be an unauthorized expansion of the use of the Cal_Photo database.

I oppose strongly meetings in the shadows with law enforcement groups and the requests for grants to further the stealth effort. Any such undertakings MUST be done in full daylight with the public and their representatives in the legislature fully informed and engaged in the process.

Frankly, I am becoming totally disgusted with government by stealth and secrecy at both the state and the national level. Such approaches to "public policy" decisions are the antithesis of democracy. Please stop.

Armin Wright
aiaw@earthlink.net
6152 Harwood Avenue
Oakland, CA 94618

From: [Mike Baldwin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:28:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Al Ortiz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:26:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: circa86@gmail.com on behalf of [Andrew Hake](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:59:13 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Tim Neunzig](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:52:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Tim Neunzig

From: [Sandi McEachron McEachron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:47:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Norman B. McEachron

From: [Mike Merchant](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:45:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mike Merchant

From: [James Haskin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; jan.caldwell@sdsheriff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:39:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: ellen.liebman
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:maria.cranston); [AttorneyGeneral](mailto:attorneygeneral); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:19:08 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

*** yes, this is a message created by EFF. But, in fact, they express my thoughts as well as I can. I don't see any reason to recast in my own words

From: [Bharath Bhushan Lohray](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:05:28 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Bharath Bhushan Lohray

<http://bharath.lohray.com/cv/>

From: [Trey Moore](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:59:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

James Moore
Sunnyvale, CA

From: [Tim Ryan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:28:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: quashedquotato@gmail.com on behalf of [Sergio Pastor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:00:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California DMV has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

Please put the brakes on these proposals immediately-- Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time,
Sergio Pastor

From: [Pancho Villa](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:55:20 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Moises Lara

From: [Paul Pliska](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:52:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason Cerundolo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:03:09 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Jason Cerundolo

From: [Jacqueline Lasahn](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:11:17 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Jacqueline Lasahn

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
<http://www.avast.com>

From: [Carol Jacques](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:24:30 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [David Bonday](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:55:27 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Forrest Thiessen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 7:09:42 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--Forrest Thiessen

From: [Warren Hiramatsu](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:18:32 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Warren Hiramatsu

From: [Mason Seymour](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:37:01 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Timothy Hayes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:58:00 AM

Hi Folks.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

This is truly an invasion of privacy issue...and cannot be tolerated.

Tim Hayes
So CA resident

From: [Caryn Cowin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:17:41 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Caryn L. Cowin
caryn_cowin@yahoo.com



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: [benjamin carpenter](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:45:43 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: bob877@gmail.com on behalf of [Robert Lawson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:46:50 AM

As a new California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. The government has ample tools with which to fight crime already, and this represents a needless encroachment on the right to privacy.

-Robert Lawson

From: [Corey Quin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:53:46 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Corey Quin

From: [Mark Elliot](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 11:06:04 AM

To the Department of Justice:

I oppose the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System Advisory Committee's recent proposal to share California DMV photos with any law enforcement database outside of the state. As a California resident I value my privacy, and I value the integrity of state data collected for purposes OTHER than law enforcement.

If the advisory committee won't protect my privacy, I now join the Electronic Frontier Foundation to call a halt to this sharing of private data immediately. It's hard even to say, but I trust my state legislature to act in my stead more than I trust an obscure advisory committee - especially on matters involving privacy.

EFF tells me that the California DMV has expressed "grave concerns" about these proposals. That should be enough to put a stop to goal # 8 in advance of any explicit authorization under state law. I hope that the state Department of Justice will hear our concerns and force the committee to drop this proposal. And to cease any activities related to sharing of my information including my likeness - for which I have given no permission to share.

Mark Elliot
212 S. Reeves Dr. #8
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

From: [Katy Levinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 11:16:12 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,
Katy

From: [Greg Wilson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:15:53 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Craig Schwartz](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 12:20:20 PM

To Whom it may concern:

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

In addition to the text, provided above by the EFF, I am personally particularly concerned about the part where I'm told that

"the law does not authorize such an expansion. "

If you, in government, do not recognize and follow the law then you have abrogated the contract implied by that body of law which grants you existence and legitimacy.

Sincerely,

Craig Schwartz

From: [stephen swanson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 1:11:01 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Bruce Olsen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 1:50:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mike Templeton](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 2:07:46 PM

Hello.

As an American citizen, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards, Mike Templeton

From: [Jim Winkler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 2:32:12 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

James Winkler
10696 Brookhollow Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126
858 693 3054

From: [Tim Burns](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 2:44:23 PM

I am a California resident and voter. I am also a member of the Electronic Frontier Foundation, and I also support epic.org (The Electronic Privacy Information Center).

I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Burns
Irvine, California

From: [Tom Lipkis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 2:55:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thomas Lipkis
Palo Alto, CA

From: [Rachelle Campos](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 3:07:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [don_pasch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 3:43:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please give this prompt attention and stop the madness.

Don Gandolph

From: velosol@gmail.com on behalf of [Daniel Carr](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 3:48:32 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

V/r,

--

Daniel Carr

From: [John Cleveland](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 4:24:50 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Strand](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 4:25:10 PM

As a California resident and an information technology professional, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Brian Strand

From: ill.technique@gmail.com on behalf of quantumcipher@gmail.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:55:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Alan Haggard
San Diego, CA

From: [Tao Baron](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 6:01:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I as most all Californians are not criminals and to be forced yet again to give the government uncontrol access to my DMV private information to not acceptable.

Steven B. Chism

P.O.Box # 902

Apple Valley, CA. 92307

From: levi@maaia.com on behalf of [Levi Maaia](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 11:27:39 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Levi C. Maaia
Santa Barbara, California

From: [June Kuwatani](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 11:23:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason Priest](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:56:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: madzoy@gmail.com on behalf of [Hervé Mazoyer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:44:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks,

Herve

From: [John Thomson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:27:01 PM

As a California resident (Sunnyvale - 94086), I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paula Turpo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:40:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time and consideration regarding this matter,

Paula Turpo

From: [Mark Mikelonis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 7:17:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Mark Mikelonis

From: [Rhonda F](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 7:14:24 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Rhonda M. Fingerett

From: [Ray Vanderborgh](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 7:01:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ray Lee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 6:47:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Raymond Lee
San Luis Obispo, CA

From: [Dan Cony](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 11:20:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Dan Cony

From: [George Goffe](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 9:22:05 PM

Dear Sir,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns, WHICH SHARE, about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I URGE you in the strongest of terms to put an end to this ONCE AND FOR ALL.

Thank you,

George R. Goffe

From: [Jackie Gamble](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 8:24:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Phil](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 6:00:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Philippe Troin
318 Farley Street
Mountain View, CA 94043

From: [Justin Tracey](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 3:54:31 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rob Lemaster](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 2:32:19 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Starchy](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 1:04:40 PM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
David Grant
Oakland, CA

From: [Mark Palatucci](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 12:15:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
-Mark Palatucci

From: [Kelly Hallman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 11:48:06 AM

This is a violation of my constitutional rights—STRONGLY OPPOSE.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paul Dagnelie](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 11:25:02 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brian Golbère](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 9:29:08 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [don_pasch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 8:45:07 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [DER3K](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 6:27:32 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [anna](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 12:17:11 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [odestan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 3:40:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Micaiah Filkins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 1:24:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kris Juarez](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 1:20:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kris Juarez

From: [Chris Sojourner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 12:40:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,

Chris Sojo

From: [Chulki Lee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 11:18:21 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.³

From: [John](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 11:09:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Further, it appears to me that this practice will end up constituting what amounts to a warrantless search. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

John Dunlap
12300 5th St. #52
Yucaipa, CA 92399

From: [Jason Mahakian](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 10:16:48 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

As the US Government builds a surveillance & control grid that would make Stalin and Hitler envious, you need to step back and recognize the gross violation of the Constitution that, if left unchecked will render the United States the most authoritarian police state the world has ever seen. A world that you and your family will have to live in as well. Time to recognize derailed personal ideologies and self serving agendas are destroying this once great republic.

Most Sincerely,
Jason Mahakian

From: aaroncgrow
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2015 8:00:26 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Aaron Grow
5949 E. Fedora Ave.
Freno, CA 93727
559-292-2563

From: [Chris Lish](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 8:30:45 PM

Sunday, March 22, 2015

Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan

Dear Attorney General Harris and Executive Secretary Cranston,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (NLETS).

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

"Those who would give up essential Liberty, to purchase a little temporary Safety, deserve neither Liberty nor Safety."
-- Benjamin Franklin

Thank you for your consideration of my comments. Please do NOT add my name to your mailing list. I will learn about future developments on this issue from other sources.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lish
Olema, CA

From: [Jim Napier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 8:13:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Lex Lugo](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 6:46:05 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jason Padilla](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 6:18:00 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jason Padilla

From: [J. Jensen](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 4:49:11 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Jonathan

From: [James Mulherin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 4:36:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [vypor](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 1:31:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jean Bucket](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 11:01:55 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Anita Dehghani](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Cc: [Anita gmail Dehghani](#); [Anita Capron Dehghani](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 10:39:44 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely
Anita Dehghani
Chico, CA 95926

From: [Ken Geer](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 2:16:01 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Absent Now](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 1:44:42 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Richard Combs](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 6:49:29 PM

Please do not let the CLETS Advisory Committee (CAC) do this. Are we to surrender ourselves and our personal liberty (such as it is) completely to our government? If the legislature passes a law or the courts rule this to be done, so be it. But not by a committee of non-elected faceless people, not in our democracy. The rest of this document is boiler plate from the EFF, but I pretty much agree with them.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Scott Combs

From: [Kyle Sigler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 5:20:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Mike Corder](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 3:54:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Regards,

Mike

mike.corder@sbcglobal.net
831-239-0915

From: [John Roadrunner](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 3:50:15 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Gendos-Hamski VZ Net](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 2:12:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

If law enforcement has probable cause to obtain DMV information about an individual, it can obtain such information through existing legal channels by demonstrating such before a judge in the courts. Automatic assumption of probable cause IS NOT part of the Constitution of the United States or the State of California. Stop eroding my privacy and constitutional rights by backdoor unlawful chicanery.

Bernie Gendos
Diamond Bar, CA

From: [Mitch Lewis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 2:10:17 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Mitch Lewis

From: [PAUL HARRIS](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 11:29:38 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Ryan Maloney](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 10:24:33 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

M. Ryan Maloney

From: [Thomas](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 10:19:27 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jordan Erickson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 9:31:55 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Jordan Erickson (PGP: 0x78DD41CB)
Logical Networking Solutions, 707-636-5678

From: [Sean Seymour](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 7:03:21 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sean Seymour

From: [Jason Woldseth](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 8:57:55 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,

Jason Woldseth

From: [Robert J. Feldman](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 3:43:57 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

So please, once again, please oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. This is extremely important!

Thank you.

Robert J. Feldman

From: [Lisa Jervis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 9:41:31 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Lisa Jervis

--

From: [David Nebenzahl](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 11:21:37 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

David Nebenzahl
Oakland, CA

--

The sort of leaders we need now are not those who promise ultimate victory over Nature through perseverance in living as we do right now, but those with the courage and intelligence to present to the world what appears to be Nature's stern but reasonable surrender terms:

1. Reduce and stabilize your population.
2. Stop poisoning the air, the water, and the topsoil.
3. Stop preparing for war and start dealing with your real problems.
4. Teach your kids, and yourselves, too, while you're at it, how to inhabit a small planet without helping to kill it.
5. Stop thinking science can fix anything if you give it a trillion dollars.
6. Stop thinking your grandchildren will be OK no matter how wasteful or destructive you may be, since they can go to a nice new planet on a spaceship. That is **really** mean and stupid.
7. And so on. Or else.

- Fates Worse Than Death, Kurt Vonnegut, 1991

\$4.95/mo. National Dialup, Anti-Spam, Anti-Virus, 5mb personal web space. 5x faster dialup for only \$9.95/mo. No contracts, No fees, No Kidding! See <http://www.All2Easy.net> for more details!

From: [Walter Edwards](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 11:40:36 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [barbara blake](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 2:56:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Kira](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 3:09:42 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your time.

From: [Janet Lynch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 5:50:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Steve Wilkinson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 8:11:37 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank You

Steven Wilkinson
P O Box 77401
Corona, CA 92877

From: [Wilbur](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 5:37:03 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Blake Swopes](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 4:48:02 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: davidcong@congkeringpath.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 4:47:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Very Respectfully,

David Cong

From: [Kerri Fernsworth Feazell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:55:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude *must be made with full public engagement* and the involvement of the legislature, *not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions* with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you and sincerely,

Kerri Feazell

From: [brendan](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:18:36 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: px5150@gmail.com on behalf of px@ns1.net
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; px-workcomp@lists.ns1.net
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:10:14 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Thomas Via](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 2:23:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Elina Rubuliak](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 1:55:39 PM

Dear Attorney General Kamala Harris and Maria Cranston,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Elina Rubuliak

.....
Elina Rubuliak

From: [Aaron Borden](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 9:43:04 AM
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Aaron Borden
Human and Hacker

From: [Chris Cut](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan, this is not cool.
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:48:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

regards,
Chris

From: [Eric Grejda](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan.
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 9:32:24 AM

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA512

As a tax paying and voting resident of the state of California, I write to you today to express my opposition of the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California DMV has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion of power. Regardless, CAC refuses to allow the proposal to drop and has been brokering meetings with various law enforcement associations through secondary channels to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put a stop to these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobbyist groups which have vested (and lucrative) financial interests in the outcome of such back channel scheming.

- - -

Eric Grejda (KB3YFS)
PGP: 3651F89F / D04B D4D0 E5E2 5746 7CB7 05CA 1C92 4610 3651 F89F

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

iEYEAREKAAYFAIUJqGYACgkQHJJGEDZR+J+/iACdGqOiaYjasDu/UyyECELDjVmr
znkAnidaKtLwbrSeNUkfomN5iLzAJ6P5

=pMGG

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

From: [Parker Higgins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 11:47:02 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Parker Higgins
Director of Copyright Activism
Electronic Frontier Foundation
<https://eff.org>

815 Eddy Street
San Francisco, CA 94109-7701

I prefer to use encrypted email.

Public key: <https://www.eff.org/files/2014/11/03/gphkey.txt>
Fingerprint: 4FF3 AA1B D29E 1638 32DE C765 9433 5F88 9A36 7709

Learn how to encrypt your email with the Email Self Defense guide:
<https://emailselfdefense.fsf.org/en/>

From: [Mark BC](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 on the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 7:31:17 PM

To: Department of Justice,
State of California

RE: Actions by The California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System
Advisory Committee (CAC)

As a long-time California resident and homeowner, and as a military veteran, I am writing today to strongly oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

This is even more alarming considering that the California Department of Motor Vehicles already has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8, Standing Strategic Planning Subcommittee (SSPS) Meeting Minutes August 12, 2014) and has indicated that the *law does not authorize such an expansion*.

Nevertheless, it is reported that you, the CAC, refuses to drop the proposal --and even has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I strongly urge the the advisory committee to reject, or at least suspend, these ill-considered and invasive proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude cannot be proposed or forwarded with public dialogue, and the guidance and direct involvement of the legislature.

Having the advisory and planning committee meetings exclusively in closed-door sessions with law enforcement *lobby groups* is distressing and is not the way Californians expect our government -and executive agencies, to conduct our business.

Sincerely,

Colonel Mark Brice Chakwin U.S. Army (Retired)
1001 Funston Ave #11
Pacific Grove, CA 93935

References:

https://www.eff.org/files/2015/03/17/ssps-meeting-minutes-081214_0.pdf

<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2015/03/obscure-california-law-enforcement-committee-threatening-dmv-photo-privacy>

From: [Bill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose the illegal Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:35:34 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

Even the California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that *the law does not authorize* such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this *illegal* expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to *put the brakes on these proposals immediately*. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
William Bull
flashcraft@gmail.com

From: [Matthew Bergin](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I sternly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:38:25 PM

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA512

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

- - -

As someone who spends more hours than I care to disclose doing nothing but Information Security and End-User Privacy Research, I find the notion of such a proposal appalling. I have never personally authorized the distribution of my DMV photo or that of my children to any person(s), businesses, and/or agencies other than the California Department of Motor Vehicles. The State of California has not passed legislation authorizing this proposal or legal authority to execute this proposal. As a resident of California, I will be spending remainder of my week taking time each day to call and email my representatives about this blatant infringement upon the right to privacy for all Californians.

Thanks,
Matthew Bergin
mbergin.infosec@gmail.com, 661-342-2140

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG/MacGPG2 v2.0.22 (Darwin)

Comment: GPGTools - <https://gpgtools.org>
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9NITxSDXrGZD6rBuO6Gf4aa2brF4K5tJzlFMcWz9Z9ufziCf/cQpJFSpcX2yaSYG
nzeMwjya7ENCYUbCeof0jOAJXKBIAkWu9ZJssiXFN4FN6YyNnES6jlnwSb4Ugjmi
a+ENiDPGhIEywBIfswerJNOVvf3F35Eezu2FKyFFW0GjGD7paE92MEASpt/vjIkk
8TYvay66dvCp9VELS5SB
=mnB0
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

From: [Will McC](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:24:57 PM

I am greatly in favor of privacy for United States citizens. The era of Total Information Awareness must end.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Kindly take my concerns into consideration.

Sincerely,
Will McCollum

From: marcharper@gmail.com on behalf of [Marc Harper](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:34:55 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Marc Harper, PhD

From: [John Beck](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:40:57 PM

I am a law-abiding, life-long proud Californian, I am writing today to protect my wonderful state and oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

California has a reputation of being progressive and dedicate to human rights and freedom - this would be the worst step for my beloved state.

John G Beck, Ph.D.

From: [Marc Dantona](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:57:16 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. NLETS doesn't make anyone safer.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Paul Zak](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:44:12 PM

As a San Jose, California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Paul Zak

From: [Phil Nelson](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I STRONGLY oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:00:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Phil Nelson | Web developer | extrafuture.com | @philnelson

From: [Glen Doll](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Brandon Matthews](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I vehemently oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan. Are you kidding me?
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:11:18 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I know the preceding is a form letter, but it expresses exactly how I feel. Stop this. Right now.

Thank you,
Brandon Matthews
Redwood City, California

From: [Aaron Brown](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I vehemently oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan and will not stand for it!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:01:46 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

You have no right to use my photo I am forced to give on a drivers license to put me in a police database. It's an affront to everything I hold dear as an american and you are destroying the faith we place in government

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to KILL these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. And when it is in full view of the public it will die like it should, this is criminally secretive behavior. Please immediately put and end to this and come out in public that you have.

Aaron Brown

25767 Tournament Rd

Valencia, CA 91355

From: [Wren Osborn](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I vehemently oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 6:34:36 PM

I am a California resident and vehemently oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to see this plan come to fruition. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build the technological groundwork needed for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I value my privacy rights.

Sincerely,

Wren Osborn

From: [Joseph Meler](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I vehemently oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 12:55:51 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The star-chamber mentality of this group reminds me that evil human beings live among decent American citizens.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Additionally, I intend to publicize the names and political records of every single member of this terrorist committee. As Justice Louis Brandeis remarked:
""A little sunlight is the best disinfectant.""

Joseph Meler

From: [Daniel Stinebaugh](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I vehemently oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:12:27 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Daniel Stinebaugh
325 Sunnyslopes Drive
Martinez CA 94553

From: tom.jennings
To: [Maria Cranston](mailto:Maria.Cranston); AttorneyGeneral; dm@eff.org
Subject: I VEHEMENTLY oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:47:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Rick Stelow](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I very much oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:33:51 PM

As a lifelong California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

I feel this is a severe violation of the privacy of all California residents.

Best,

Rick Stelow

From: [Roger Marquis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: Issues with Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:24:29 PM

I realize that law enforcement will push for any and all surveillance it can obtain, however, it should not strive to emulate Stazi tactics.

As a California resident I would like to express my opposition to the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
Roger Marquis

From: [Starchild](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org; [Starchild](#)
Subject: Law Enforcement is Out Of Control and Cannot Be Trusted! - I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 12:29:47 AM

Do you want your children or grandchildren to live in a totalitarian police state? No? Then stop allowing people in power to move society in that direction!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with law enforcement agencies or employees through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups. Government agencies or their representatives should not even be allowed to lobby other government bodies in the first place -- it is a conflict of interest.

Love & Liberty,

(((starchild)))

"Individual Privacy - Institutional Transparency!"

From: [shar](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:21:43 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue.

Best Regards,

Sharon Arnold

From: [Aaron Barclay](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: My DMV photo
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 8:25:26 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Aaron Barclay

From: [Jonathan Knepher](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); [dm@eff.org](#); [jan.caldwell@sdsheriff.org](#)
Subject: My family and I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 6:33:35 PM

Hello Maria, Kamala, Jan,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

As an American, I value my freedom and constitutional rights and protections. We must be diligent to retain those rights. Giving up our privacy, to allow law enforcement to outsource their thinking and skills to an all-knowing computer, is just plain irrational. With the disclosures about government and law enforcement's continual over-reaching, and stretching of the rules, this plan is doomed to undermine all California residence's constitutional rights, not to mention their basic human right to privacy.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Best Regards,
Jonathan Knepher

<https://act.eff.org/action/california-stop-the-scheme-to-undermine-your-driver-license-privacy>

From: [Brian Maier](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: My Opposition to CLETS Advisory Committee's Goal 8
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:30:55 PM

As a long time California resident of Assembly District 71 and Senate District 38, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

To continue with these proposals is tantamount to California's approval of a National ID system, further erodes Citizen's civil liberties, and brings the state, nay the entire country, one step closer to a dystopian police state. I have sent my state representatives, Assemblyman Brian Jones and Senator Joel Anderson, the text of this message so that they could also be made aware of the secret plotting against the Citizens of this great state.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation and all freedom-loving Californians in calling for the advisory committee to terminate these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Brian Maier
brian@polaristec.com
9411 Galston Dr.
Santee, CA 92071

From: [Anirvan Chatterjee](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: No to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 7:43:24 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

[Anirvan Chatterjee](#)

From: [Joe Coakley](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: oppose CAC approval of facial recognition technology
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 7:41:22 PM

To Maria Cranston:

I oppose Goal 8 of the California Department of Justice's CLETS Strategic Plan. As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

My privacy is simply not for sale to governmental agencies. The Constitution's Fourth Amendment guarantees me the right to be secure against unreasonable searches - which is what this, yet another intrusion of my privacy, represents.

Please assure me that you will not allow this secretive plan to come to fruition, and that you intend to uphold the right of California citizens to be free from the fear of law enforcement over-reach and unconstitutional privacy intrusions.

Sincerely,

Joe Coakley
Dublin, CA 94568

From: [Jeff](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:46:57 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC has not dropped the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to drop these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,

Jeff

From: [Flip Clark](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:09:22 PM

Hello!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

-Phillip

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Peter Collison](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Subject: Opposed to goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan Should
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:55:49 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you for listening,

Peter

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile

From: [Jamie Eubanks](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Opposition to CLETS Strategic Plan Goal 8 (facial recognition)
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:28:40 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The vast majority of California driver's license holders are law abiding, taxpaying citizens, and should not be included in any law enforcement databases. This proposal, if enacted, would further chip away at what little privacy remains for citizens in the current climate of massive and pervasive government surveillance.

Furthermore, the California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Andrew Laurence](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Opposition to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:36:50 PM

Hello,

As a California and homeowner, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

Please stop these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Regards,
Andrew Laurence
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

From: [Warren Dodge](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Opposition to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:25:20 PM

Sorry for the form letter — I am extremely concerned about this program, but unable to take the time to compose objections myself today.
Please put the safety of citizens from their own government ahead of the endless, nebulous “security” concerns dreamed up by law enforcement officials.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee’s (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thanks for putting se

Warren Dodge

From: [Thomas R. Sauer, CPA/CITP, CISA/CRISC](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org; [Mr. Thomas R Sauer CPA](#)
Subject: Opposition to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:13:34 PM

Greetings,

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Please listen to the public.

Tom

Thomas R Sauer CPA CISA
CGMA | CITP | CGEIT | CRISC
trsmgmtconsulting@gmail.com
Technology / Resource Strategies
Venice, CA 90291 | Tracer = S1079
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/trsauer75>

From: [Art M](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Opposition to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 7:19:35 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [George W](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Opposition to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:21:44 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to stop these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

George Wisniewski

From: wayne@illumai.com on behalf of [Wayne Willis](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#)
Cc: dm@eff.org
Subject: Pictures, facial recognition and the CLETS Advisory Committee
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:02:17 PM

As a former policeman and detective, I know the value that great information about identify can have in an investigation. I once helped track down a serial killer across 5 states -- breaking through over 20 aliases as we went. Judicious use of driver's license records, and photos, was important in breaking that case.

I'm writing today because I'm concerned about proposals by the CLETS Advisory Committee as regards facial recognition technology and sharing the Cal-Photo database.

As a Californian who believes we should not live in a state of constant surveillance, I'm opposed to the development of technologies that would invade privacy wholesale, and not just when supported by probable cause. Frankly, I'm opposed to the national database of pictures being assembled with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. And I know that if California does not cooperate, then not only will Californians be more secure in their persons, but the national effort will likely fail ... or be seriously hobbled, too. And I count that as a good thing.

There are all sorts of good legal reasons to stop: The state has assembled photos for licenses for one purpose, but now, with technology, seeks to enable a significant tool for a Big Brother state. I think it goes beyond the limits of law. But, more importantly, it is bad public policy.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the CLETS Advisory Committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Wayne G. Willis
Phone: (650) 428-1395
Mobile: (650) 868-4333

e-Fax: (206) 279-4741
Skype: waynegwillis
wayne@willisdomain.com
538 N. 7th St.
San Jose, CA 95112

From: [Kelley E](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Please reconsider Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:40:07 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Thank you,
Kelley Eitzen

From: [John Markos O'Neill](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Please stop Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:23:26 PM

Greetings,

I'm a California resident, and I write today opposing the recent actions of the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC), along with proposals regarding facial recognition technology. The Cal-Photo database should not be shared with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

California's DMV has expressed serious concerns about security with these proposals (Goal 8), and the law does not authorize such an expansion, according to that agency. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop its proposal and has brokered meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out its scheme. It worries me to hear that the committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I am joining the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to stop these proposals immediately. The public must be engaged in decisions of this magnitude, and the legislature needs to be involved. If most people knew about this threat to their privacy, I expect they would not be pleased. Don't give away our privacy in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Sincerely,
John Markos O'Neill
848 Rhode Island St.
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [Tim Lynch](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Privacy and DMV Photos
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:55:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Jon Hamkins](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Say yes to privacy, no to sharing DMV database
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:15:04 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I want these proposals halted immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings.

----Jon

From: caxe13@yahoo.com
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Stop Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:01:21 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Tom Honton

From: [Nur Who](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: STOP the MADNESS with Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 2:17:06 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [AJH](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Strongly Opposed to Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:11:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

America is become something akin to the former East Germany Stasi state on steroids. This is democracy?

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Cordially,

Anthony Huljev

From: [Frank Boeheim](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:43:45 PM

Frank Boeheim
1839 S. Main St. Apt. 210
Los Angeles CA 90015-3661

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion. I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Subject:

FW: oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions

From: Robert Robinson

Sent: 3/20/15, 6:48 PM

To: LeForestier, Marc

Cc: rainey@eff.org, dm@eff.org

Subject: oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions

California Department of Justice- Sacramento

In re: Private meetings March 21, 2015

Dear Attorney General, the committee (CAC) and Brian Urias

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS. Additional ideas on how State/Federal law should be changed include:

I do not believe police vehicles should be photographing license plates in mass and collecting travel information/data on the daily habits of our law abiding citizens. This practice is illegal in 4 of the 50 states and should be illegal in California as well. This state Auto club should be enlisted to further this reform. I am one of their members.

Moreover, state law must be changed so that the DMV should no longer be allowed to collect our Federal social security numbers, while one is conducting administrative business with the DMV. All social security numbers collected under current authority needs to be discarded by the agency under this new proposed amended law. Every individual resident's right to privacy must be respected.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put aside these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Our civil rights and privacy under the Fourth Amendment must be protected in California. Additionally, the lapses/abuses of Congress and the FISA/FISC court, so well documented by E. Snowden, must be eliminated by the Federal Attorney General's office. I believe and support a potential class action lawsuit as warranted in this matter if the respective state and federal legislatures cannot be brought to take up these matters ASAP. I look forward to section 215 of the patriot act to sunset on June1, 2015. I will forward these requests to my state and federal representatives and others with a suggestion that they pursue these issues to a successful conclusion.

Bill Robinson (state resident and voter)

forward: CHP headquarters- 601 N. 7th street

West Covina, CA 91790-1346

Sacramento, CA 95811

CC Edward Hernandez' office- 22nd District

Roger Hernandez' office – 57th Assembly district and the Auto Club lobby firm.

From: [Lauralee Burell](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:41:30 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [William.B.Ellern](#)
To: [Maria.Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: This is INSANE! I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2015 9:45:09 AM

UNTIL YOU CAN GUARANTEE YOUR OWN FAMILY PHOTOS CAN'T BE STOLEN FOR USE BY BY GROUPS LIKE ISIS, YOU ARE SETTING YOURSELVES UP FOR ASSASSINATION!

IMAGINE A GUNMAN HAVING A SCOPE THAT CAN PICK YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS OUT IN A CROWD. ANYTIME! ANYWHERE!

IMAGINE ANOTHER SNOWDEN, OR CHINA'S CYBER INTELLIGENCE, STEALING PHOTOS!

WATCH TV AND IMAGINE THE BAD GUYS HAVING A WAR ROOM!

I'M NOT AT RISK. YOU ARE! YOU ARE HIGH PROFILE, NOT ME. AS ARE ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PEOPLE! AS ARE ANY MILITARY PERSONNEL! FOREVER!

ONCE DONE, YOU CAN'T UNDO IT!

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Dziadek Pawel](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: We oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 12:59:13 PM

As California residents, we DEMAND you oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

We join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Proud to be on Osama'bama-bin-lyin's 'enemies,' 'attack watch' and 'kill' lists.

Disclaimer: This message and others have been monitored for anti-government content by several agencies of the U.S. Gov't IN VIOLATION OF THE 4th AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION!!

Unrepresented by yours and the views of your big-money donors' extreme wacko radical far-leftist LIE-beral political agenda,

Hon. & Mrs. Paul Deis, Esq. III

From: [Paul Durieux](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#)
Cc: [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: We strongly oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Friday, March 20, 2015 2:42:46 PM
Importance: High

Dear Ms. Cranston:

As California residents and voting constituents, we strongly oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

We actively join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

Again, as CA residents, voters and taxpayers, we most strongly urge you to withdraw your support for the flawed and probably illegal Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan.

Cordially

P. Durieux & Family
San Jose, CA

From: [Steve Graham](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: What The Hell Are You Thinking?
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:13:28 PM

I have always been proud of my state as a leader of the nation but we shouldn't be leading the way with this intrusion of privacy.

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

--

Using Opera's revolutionary email client: <http://www.opera.com/mail/>

From: [Kirk Strauser](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: Your residents want privacy, not a police state
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 5:48:25 PM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.

From: [Hall, Jonathan \(LIB\)](#)
To: [Maria Cranston](#); [AttorneyGeneral](#); dm@eff.org
Subject: I oppose Goal 8 of the CLETS Strategic Plan
Date: Thursday, April 09, 2015 9:53:31 AM

As a California resident, I am writing today to oppose the CLETS Advisory Committee's (CAC) recent actions and proposals regarding facial recognition technology and the sharing of the Cal-Photo database with the national law enforcement community through NLETS.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles has expressed grave concerns about the security of these proposals (Goal 8) and indicated that the law does not authorize such an expansion. Nevertheless, CAC refuses to drop the proposal and has been brokering meetings with law enforcement associations to plan out this scheme. The committee has also authorized staff to apply for grants to build out the technological groundwork for this expansion.

I join the Electronic Frontier Foundation in calling for the advisory committee to put the brakes on these proposals immediately. Decisions of this magnitude must be made with full public engagement and the involvement of the legislature, not in obscure advisory and planning committee meetings or in closed-door sessions with law enforcement lobby groups.