1	ROB BONTA	[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES	
2	Attorney General of California NICKLAS A. AKERS	UNDER GOV. CODE, § 6103]	
3	SATOSHI YANAI Senior Assistant Attorneys General	E-FILED	
4	EMILY KALANITHI (SBN 256972) DAVID LEIMBACH (SBN 265409)	7/24/2025 8:55 AM Clerk of Court	
5	Supervising Deputy Attorneys General HOLLY C. MARIELLA (SBN 315946)	Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara	
6	JAY SHIN (SBN 256082) Deputy Attorneys General	25CV471131 Reviewed By: M. Arechiga	
7	455 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102	Neviewed by. M. Alechiga	
8	Telephone: (415) 510-4443 Fax: (415) 703-5480		
9	Email: holly.mariella@doj.ca.gov		
10	Attorneys for the People of the State of California		
11	CLIDEDIOD COLUDE OF TH	VE CTATE OF CALVEONIA	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
13			
14			
15	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. 25CV471131	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	v.	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES,	
18	HCA HEALTHCARE, INC., and	RESTITUTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF	
19	HEALTHTRUST WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS, LLC,	(BUS. & PROF. CODE, § 17200 et seq.)	
20 21	Defendants.		
22	The People of the State of California ("People"), by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the		
23	State of California, bring this action against HCA Healthcare, Inc., and HealthTrust Workforce		
24	Solutions, LLC (collectively, "HCA Defendants") for violating the Unfair Competition Law (Bus.		
25	& Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.), and allege the following on information and belief:		
26	JURISDICTIO	ON AND VENUE	
2728	1. This Court has jurisdiction over t	the allegations and subject matter of the People's	
20		1	
	COMPLAINT		

Complaint filed in this action, and the parties to this action; and venue is proper in this County.

2. This enforcement action is brought under Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq.

DEFENDANTS

- 3. Defendant HCA Healthcare, Inc. ("HCA" or "HCA Healthcare") is one of the largest for-profit health care employers in the country and is headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee.
- 4. Defendant HealthTrust Workforce Solutions, LLC ("HWS"), is an indirect subsidiary of HCA Healthcare, Inc., that provides staffing and recruiting support for HCA. For most of the relevant period, HWS was the entity with primary responsibility for developing, implementing, and administering the StaRN Program (described below). HWS maintains its corporate offices in Sunrise, Florida, and does business in Nashville, Tennessee.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 5. Between approximately 2017 and April 2023, certain divisions of HCA required new-graduate and licensed registered nurses ("RNs"), who accepted employment at one of HCA's hospitals, to participate in specialty nurse training programs purported to prepare the new-graduate RNs with the additional skills and knowledge they needed to transition into their desired specialty area after nursing school.
- 6. Depending on the specialty, the programs, which consisted of didactic and preceptorship components, lasted anywhere between ten (10) and twenty-two (22) weeks. Many RNs, including RNs employed at HCA's hospitals in California, entered into training repayment agreements ("TRAs") with the HCA Defendants in connection with the training programs. Those TRAs stated that the RN would pay HCA Defendants for a pro rata portion of the stated value of the training they received if they did not stay employed at their assigned HCA hospital for a specified period of time, typically two years.
- 7. Since 2018, approximately 34,500 new-graduate RNs participated in a registered nurse training program and entered into an attendant TRA to work at an HCA hospital, including RNs that worked at HCA hospitals in California.

- 8. The HCA Defendants marketed the main training program, called the Specialty Training Apprenticeship for Registered Nurses program (the "StaRN Program"), almost exclusively to RNs who were about to graduate or had recently graduated from nursing school to prepare them for bedside specialty practice in one of various specialties—including, for example, PeriOperative/Operating Room, Labor & Delivery, and the Emergency Department—after nursing school.
- 9. While the HCA Defendants marketed non-StaRN Programs in some jurisdictions, the training program primarily marketed in California was the StaRN Program.
- 10. The TRA for the StaRN Program, with an accompanying promissory note (collectively the "StaRN TRA contract"), stated that the RNs agreed to stay employed at their HCA Hospital for at least two (2) years, or they would pay the remaining unpaid portion of the stated value of the training—i.e., the RN repaid 1/24th of the training program credit for each month short of their obligation to stay employed at the HCA Hospital.
- 11. For HCA Hospitals in California, between 2017 and approximately November 2022, most of the TRAs set forth the stated value of the StaRN training of four thousand dollars (\$4,000). Thus, under these terms, for example, an RN who sought to leave employment at an HCA hospital in California after one (1) year would pay two thousand dollars (\$2,000).
- 12. The StaRN TRAs in many cases authorized HCA to withhold any amount owed on the TRA from the RN's last paycheck after an RN's employment ended with HCA.
- 13. By their conduct, the HCA Defendants extended credit to consumers through TRAs. See 12 U.S.C. § 5481(7); Cal. Fin. Code, § 90005, subd. (g). Between approximately 2017 and 2022, HWS extended credit to RNs participating in the StaRN Program through StaRN TRA contracts and subsequently assigned those contracts to HCA through the HCA hospitals that later employed the RNs.
- 14. Between 2017 and January 2023, new-graduate StaRN participants were hired onto HWS payroll and onboarded through HWS. RNs remained employees of HWS until they started their preceptorship at an HCA hospital, at which time they were officially "hired" by their HCA hospital and transitioned to facility payroll.

- 15. HWS then assigned the StaRN TRA contracts to HCA through an HCA hospital once the RN started employment at that hospital.
- 16. The TRAs are "consumer financial services or products" under the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 ("CFPA"), 12 U.S.C. § 5531 et seq., because they are extensions of credit offered or provided to consumers primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. 12 U.S.C. § 5481(5)(A), (15)(A)(i). The TRAs are "consumer financial products or services" under the California Consumer Financial Protection Law ("CCFPL"), California Finance Code section 90000 et seq., because they are extensions of credit delivered, offered, or provided for use by consumers primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. Cal. Fin. Code, § 90005, subds. (e)(1), (k)(1).
- 17. HCA Healthcare and HWS are each therefore a "covered person" under the CFPA, 12 U.S.C. § 5481(6), and under the CCFPL, Cal. Fin. Code, § 90005, subd. (f).

HCA Defendants' use of TRAs in California

- 18. During the relevant period, HCA Defendants generally required new-graduate RNs to complete the StaRN program as a mandatory condition of employment at HCA's hospital facilities in California, specifically at Good Samaritan Hospital in San Jose, Regional Medical Center in San Jose, Los Robles Hospital & Medical Center in Thousand Oaks, West Hills Hospital & Medical Center in West Hills, and Riverside Community Hospital in Riverside (collectively, the "California HCA Facilities").
- 19. From at least January 1, 2021, to the end of 2023, each of the California HCA Facilities were owned and controlled by the HCA Defendants and were a "general acute care hospital," as defined in subdivision (a) of section 1250 of the California Health and Safety Code.
- 20. New-graduate RNs who entered the StaRN program in California were sometimes paid by one of the HCA Defendants and sometimes paid by one of the California HCA Facilities during the didactic component of the StaRN program. By the time the RNs started their preceptorships, the RNs were paid directly by one of the California HCA Facilities. Regardless of who paid the RNs, at all times from the start of StaRN to the RNs' final day of employment at one of the California HCA Facilities, the HCA Defendants were employers of the RNs because

the HCA Defendants: (a) exercised control over the wages, hours, or working conditions; (b) suffered or permitted them to work, and/or (c) engaged, thereby creating a common law employment relationship.

- 21. Each of the RNs who entered the StaRN program in California was already licensed to practice nursing by the California Board of Registered Nursing prior to his or her commencement of the StaRN program.
- 22. At the time the RNs applied for a position at one of the California HCA Facilities, they were applying for an employment position that would provide direct patient care.

 Throughout the preceptorship phase of the StaRN program and throughout the RNs' employment with any of the California HCA Facilities, the RNs occupied an employment position that provided direct patient care.

Some RNs were unaware of or did not understand the TRA or its material terms before accepting employment

- 23. The HCA Defendants' marketing materials did not, in all instances, include certain details regarding the TRA, including the amount of the StaRN Program TRA. Where these marketing materials included reference to a "work commitment," they did not, in all cases, explain what that commitment entailed, including that RNs would have to agree to repay potentially thousands of dollars if they did not stay at the HCA hospital for a stipulated period.
- 24. As a result, some RNs applied for positions requiring participation in the StaRN Program without knowing that participation in the program would require that they enter a TRA.
- 25. While recruiting practices varied by HCA division, as a general matter, in California HCA recruiters conducted screening calls and scheduled interviews with nursing students and new-graduate RNs who applied for an HCA RN position that included a registered nurse training program. Recruiters did not always disclose the existence of the work commitment and repayment obligation on these calls.
- 26. After the initial call with the HCA recruiter, the new-graduate RN participated in interviews with hospital or HCA division personnel. If, after the interview, the hospital decided to make an offer to the candidate, the HCA recruiter typically extended an offer.

- 27. While HWS provided recruiters with FAQs reflecting the work commitment and repayment obligations of the TRA intended for use during recruiting discussions, neither HWS nor HCA mandated or confirmed that the disclosure of the TRA or its terms occurred in all instances during the recruiting process.
- 28. In some instances, HCA recruiters did not tell the new-graduate RN about the imposition of the TRA, its repayment requirement, or the principal amount of the TRA, including in the initial email communications conveying the employment offer or the formal offer letter, before the applicant accepted the offer of employment at an HCA Hospital.
- 29. In certain instances, HCA recruiters also imposed deadlines on RNs to accept the offers, which limited the time that RNs had to ask questions about the offer.
- 30. Once an RN accepted an offer of employment from an HCA hospital, HWS onboarding specialists were supposed to conduct an initial call with the incoming RN to explain the onboarding process and related documents. While HWS onboarding specialists received training about the StaRN TRA and the repayment obligations, HWS did not provide a script or other written guidance requiring HWS onboarding specialists to disclose or explain the StaRN TRA contract on that call.
- 31. Additionally, HWS provided the StaRN TRAs after RNs accepted their employment offer and after RNs had potentially already received multiple communications about their impending employment from HWS, HCA recruiters, or the applicable HCA hospital.
- 32. Generally, HWS made the StaRN TRA contracts available to incoming RNs through an online portal. HWS onboarding specialists sent the StaRN TRA contracts to RNs and requested their electronic signatures via DocuSign or Adobe Sign. HWS onboarding specialists sent the StaRN TRA contracts to RNs in a read-only format, meaning RNs were not able to make any modifications to the StaRN TRA contract's terms in the document provided.
- 33. In some cases, HWS or HCA did not provide RNs with the StaRN TRA contract until shortly before the program began, or for the first time during a new-hire meeting or on the first day of employment. And generally, RNs could not make modifications to the StaRN TRA regardless of when it was provided.

graduate RNs who were employed by any of the California HCA Facilities for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties, or of their obedience to the directions of the HCA Defendants and/or one of the California HCA Facilities. Specifically, by collecting on the alleged debt owed by the RNs arising from the TRAs, the HCA Defendants failed to indemnify the RNs in violation of section 2802;

- B. Regarding any of the alleged debts owed by the new-graduate RNs, who worked for any of the California HCA Facilities, that arose out of any TRA that any of the HCA Defendants or any of the California HCA Facilities executed, attempted to collect, and/or collected on or after January 1, 2021, HCA Defendants have violated Labor Code section 2802.1 by failing to indemnify the RNs for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties, or of their obedience to the directions of the HCA Defendants and/or one of the California HCA Facilities. In violation of Labor Code section 2802.1, the TRAs were: 1) "employer-provided or employer-required educational program[s] or training for an employee providing direct patient care or an applicant for direct patient care employment"; 2) not required for the RNs to practice as a nurse in California because the RNs were already licensed to practice by the California Board of Registered Nursing; and 3) not undertaken voluntarily;
- C. Violating the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, 12 U.S.C. § 5531 et seq., by engaging in unfair or abusive acts or practices in connection with a consumer financial transaction:
 - i. In particular, HCA Defendants engaged in abusive acts or practices by materially interfering with some consumers' ability to understand the terms or conditions of the TRAs by making belated or incomplete disclosures, in violation of Sections 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (CFPA), 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531(d)(1), 5536(a)(1)(B); and
 - ii. HCA Defendants engaged in unfair acts or practices by referring some TRA payment obligations to their third-party debt collector with inaccurate information or when the TRA payment obligation was unenforceable, in

COMPLAINT

1	competition in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200 as alleged in this		
2	Complaint;		
3	42.	That the Court make suc	h orders or judgments as may be necessary to prevent the
4	use or employment by HCA Defendants of any practice that constitutes unfair competition or as		
5	may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property that may have been		
6	acquired by means of such unfair competition, under the authority of Business and Professions		
7	Code section 17203;		
8	43.	That the Court assess a c	civil penalty of \$2,500 against HCA Defendants for each
9	violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200 in an amount according to proof, under		
10	the authority of Business and Professions Code section 17206;		
11	44.	That the Court award di	sgorgement in an amount according to proof, under the
12	authority of California Government Code section 12527.6;		
13	45.	That the People recover	its costs of suit, including costs of its investigation; and
14	46.	For such other and further	er relief that the Court deems just and proper.
15			
16	Dated: July	24, 2025	Respectfully Submitted,
17 18			ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
19			Holly till
20			Holly C. Mariella
21			Deputy Attorney General
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

10 COMPLAINT