1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California NICKLAS A. AKERS Senior Assistant Attorney General STACEY D. SCHESSER Supervising Deputy Attorney General RONI DINA POMERANTZ (SBN 274298) MICAH C.E. OSGOOD (SBN 255239) Deputy Attorneys General		
3			
4			
5			
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
7	Telephone: (415) 510-4400 E-mail: roni.pomerantz@doj.ca.gov	[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103] ia	
8	Attorneys for The People of the State of Californ		
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
12			
13	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No.	
14	CALIFORNIA,	Case No.	
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLANT FOR INMINISTION SINH	
16	v.	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF	
17	SEPHORA USA, INC.	(CIVIL CODE, § 1798.155 & BUSINESS	
18		AND PROFESSIONS CODE, § 17206)	
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	The People of the State of California, by and through Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the		
22	State of California, bring this action against Defendant Sephora USA, Inc. ("Sephora") for		
23	violations of the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 (the "CCPA"), Civil Code section		
24	1798.100 et seq., and the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200		
25	et seq., following an investigation into the privacy practices of Sephora for its collection, use, and		
26	sale of consumers' online activities and other personal information.		
26	sale of consumers' online activities and other per	rsonal information.	
26 27	sale of consumers' online activities and other per The People allege the following facts base		

_)

1	INTRODUCTION	
2	1. Consumers are constantly tracked when they go online. Sephora, like many online	
3	retailers, installs third-party companies' tracking software on its website and in its app so that	
4	these third parties can monitor consumers as they shop. The third parties track all types of data;	
5	in Sephora's case, third parties can track whether a consumer is using a MacBook or a Dell, the	
6	brand of eyeliner that a consumer puts in their "shopping cart," and even the precise location of	
7	the consumer. Some of these third-party companies create entire profiles of users who visit	
8	Sephora's website, which the third parties then use for Sephora's benefit. For example, the third	
9	party might provide detailed analytics information about Sephora's customers and provide that to	
10	Sephora, or offer Sephora the opportunity to purchase online ads targeting specific consumers,	
11	such as those who left eyeliner in their shopping cart after leaving Sephora's website. This data	
12	about consumers is frequently kept by companies and used for the benefit of other businesses,	
13	without the knowledge or consent of the consumer.	
14	2. The ramifications of this third-party surveillance can go beyond ordinary consumer	
15	profiling. Sephora's website allows visitors to browse and purchase products such as prenatal and	
16	menopause support vitamins-data points which can be used by third-party companies to infer	
17	conclusions about women's health conditions, like pregnancy. Moreover, when a company like	
18	Sephora utilizes third-party tracking technology without alerting consumers and giving them the	
19	opportunity to control their data, they deprive consumers of the ability to limit the proliferation of	

20 their data on the web.

3. California's landmark privacy law, the CCPA, sought to prevent this. Thanks to the 21 CCPA, Californians now have rights over their personal information, including the right to access 22 and delete personal information and the right to opt-out of the sale of personal information. The 23 right to opt-out is the hallmark of the CCPA. This right requires that companies follow certain 24 straightforward rules: if companies make consumer personal information available to third 25 parties and receive a benefit from the arrangement—such as in the form of ads targeting specific 26 consumers-they are deemed to be "selling" consumer personal information under the law. This 27 in turn triggers certain basic obligations, including that the business tell consumers that it is 28

selling their personal information and allow consumers to opt-out of those sales, such as by clicking an easy-to-find "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link.

3 4. Sephora did not do this. Sephora did not tell consumers that it sold their personal 4 information; instead, Sephora did the opposite, telling California consumers on its website that 5 "we do not sell personal information." Sephora also did not provide consumers with an easy-to-6 find "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link, either on its webpage or in its app.

7 5. To help consumers who want to easily opt-out, the CCPA requires that a business take 8 steps to ensure that any user who has "user-enabled global privacy controls" is treated the same as 9 users who have clicked the "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link. This requirement was 10 intended to spur innovation and encourage the development of technologies that would allow 11 consumers to universally opt-out of all online sales in one fell swoop, giving consumers the 12 agency and ability to stop their data from being sold over and over again. With a universal opt-13 out, consumers can broadcast a "do not sell" signal across every website they visit, without 14 having to click each time on an opt-out link. But again, Sephora failed to honor this requirement. 15 Sephora's website was not configured to detect or process any global privacy control signals, 16 such as the "Global Privacy Control" (GPC). As a result, Sephora wholly disregarded consumers 17 who communicated to the company, via a global opt-out signal, that Sephora should not sell their 18 personal information.

19

1

2

The Attorney General notified Sephora of these violations. Under the CCPA, Sephora 6. 20 had 30 days to cure. After Sephora failed to cure any of the alleged violations, the Attorney 21 General initiated an in depth investigation leading to this enforcement action.

22

PARTIES

23 7. Plaintiff is the People of the State of California. The People bring this action by and 24 through Rob Bonta, Attorney General, who is authorized by Civil Code section 1798.155 to bring 25 actions to enforce the CCPA, and authorized by Business and Professions Code section 17204 26 and 17206 to bring actions to enforce the Unfair Competition Law (UCL).

27 8. Defendant Sephora USA, Inc. is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of 28 business in San Francisco, California.

1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
2	9. Sephora has conducted and continues to conduct business within the State of	
3	California, including the City and County of San Francisco, at all times relevant to this complaint.	
4	The violations of law described herein were committed or occurred in the City and County of San	
5	Francisco and elsewhere in the State of California.	
6	DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS ACTS AND PRACTICES	
7	10. Sephora is a beauty retailer that sells products through its website, mobile application,	
8	and brick-and-mortar stores throughout California. When Sephora sells products online, it	
9	collects personal information about consumers. This information includes the products that	
10	consumers view and purchase, consumers' geolocation data, cookies and other user identifiers,	
11	and technical information about consumers' operating systems and browser types.	
12	11. Sephora also makes consumers' personal information available to third-party	
13	companies for the purpose of obtaining advertising and analytics. In its privacy policy dated June	
14	18, 2021, Sephora admitted that it shared consumers' geolocation data and "[i]nternet or other	
15	electronic network activity information" with third parties, including "advertising networks,	
16	business partners, data analytics providers," and others. Sephora made this data available to these	
17	companies by installing (or allowing the installation of) third-party trackers in the form of	
18	cookies, pixels, software development kits, and other technologies, which automatically send data	
19	about consumers' online behavior to the third-party companies.	
20	12. Sephora's decision to provide third parties including "advertising networks, business	
21	partners, [and] data analytics providers" with access to its customers' data in exchange for	
22	services from those entities was a sale of personal information as defined by the CCPA. Section	
23	1798.140, subdivision (t), broadly defines sales as the exchange of personal information for	
24	anything of value. Sephora's relationships with these third parties met that definition, because	
25	Sephora gave companies access to consumer personal information in exchange for free or	
26	discounted analytics and advertising benefits. For example, Sephora installed one widely-used	
27	analytics and advertising software package that let the analytics provider gather and keep personal	
28	information about an online shopper's activities. The analytics provider then gave Sephora data	

4

)

about what shoppers did on its website or in its app, like how many people looked at a particular
product. The analytics provider also would determine who the shopper was, using extensive data
gathered from other sources, and then present Sephora with the valuable option to serve targeted
advertisements to the same shopper on the analytics provider's advertising network. Both the
trade of personal information for analytics and the trade of personal information for an
advertising option constituted sales under the CCPA.

7 13. Sephora installed and used other widely available advertising and analytics services 8 from companies with which Sephora had the same fundamental deal: Sephora allowed the third-9 party companies access to its customers' online activities in exchange for advertising or analytic 10 services. Sephora knew that these third parties would collect personal information when Sephora 11 installed or allowed the installation of the relevant code on its website or in its app. Sephora also 12 knew that it would receive discounted or higher-quality analytics and other services derived from 13 the data about consumers' online activities, including the option to target advertisements to 14 customers that had merely browsed for products online. Sephora also did not have valid service-15 provider contracts in place with each third party, which is one exception to "sale" under the 16 CCPA. All of these transactions were sales under the law.

17

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATION

18 14. In June 2021, the Attorney General commenced an enforcement sweep of large 19 retailers to determine whether they continued to sell personal information when a consumer 20 signaled an opt-out via the GPC. In part, the testing and investigation used commercially 21 available browser extensions to monitor network traffic involving third-party advertising and 22 analytics providers, and analyzed how that traffic changed when the GPC sent its "do not sell" 23 signal. In investigating Sephora's website, the Attorney General found that activating the GPC 24 had no effect and that data continued to flow to third-party companies, including advertising and 25 analytics providers. Subsequent testing confirmed that Sephora's website took no action to block 26 the transmission of personal information even when a California consumer signaled their opt-out 27 using the GPC. In short, Sephora completely ignored the GPC.

28

)

1	15. The Attorney General also found other sale-related violations. Because Sephora sold		
2	personal information, the CCPA required Sephora to undertake several compliance obligations.		
3 4	• First, Sephora was required to notify consumers of the "the categories of personal information [Sephora] has sold or shared about consumers in the preceding 12 months." Sephora failed to make these disclosures or give consumers these material facts in its separate portion of the privacy policy titled "Information for California		
5	Residents." In that California-specific notice, Sephora merely noted that it "share[d]"		
6 7	personal information and provided consumers with a link to see what information was shared. Upon clicking that link, Sephora expressly told consumers "that we do not sell personal information."		
8	• Second, Sephora was required to post a "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link on its website and in its mobile application as well as provide another means of opting		
9	out. Sephora failed to offer any means of opting out.Third, for consumers who exercised their right to opt-out of the sale of their personal		
10 11	information, Sephora was required to refrain from selling that data. This includes consumers who exercise their right to opt-out via a user-enabled global privacy		
11	control. Instead, Sephora sold the personal information of consumers who exercised their right to opt-out via the GPC.		
12	16. On June 25, 2021, the Attorney General notified Sephora that it may be in violation of		
14	the CCPA and had 30 days to cure before it faced legal liability. Sephora did not cure any of the		
15	alleged violations. By July 26, 2021, Sephora had failed to take any of the following steps:		
16	• Sephora failed to update its privacy policy to tell consumers that Sephora sells their personal information to third parties and that consumers have the right to opt-out of		
17 18	 that sale; Sephora failed to post a "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" (DNSMPI) link on its website and homepage; 		
19	• Sephora failed respond to or process consumer opt-outs via the GPC.		
20	17. Upon Sephora's failure to cure its CCPA noncompliance, the Attorney General		
21	entered into a tolling agreement with Sephora, effective September 15, 2021, which remains in		
22	effect through the filing of this complaint.		
23	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
24	VIOLATIONS OF THE CCPA, SECTION 1798.155, SUBDIVISION (B)		
25	(Failure to Notice Sale of Consumer Personal Information, Provide "Do Not Sell My		
26	Personal Information" Link, Provide Two Or More Methods to Opt-Out of Sale, and Process Requests to Opt-Out Via User-Enabled Global Privacy Controls)		
27			
28	18. The People reallege and incorporate by reference each of the paragraphs above as		

1

though fully set forth herein.

19. Sephora's website and mobile app failed to inform consumers that it sells their
personal information and that they have the right to opt-out of this sale, failed to provide a clear
and conspicuous "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link that would enable a consumer to
opt-out of the sale of their personal information, and failed to provide two or more designated
methods for submitting requests to opt-out.

7 20. Accordingly, each time a Californian visited Sephora's website beginning on July 25,
8 2021, Sephora violated:

9 10

(a) Civil Code section 1798.130, subdivision (a)(5);

10 11

20

21

22

24

(b) Civil Code section 1798.135, subdivision (a)(1);

(c) California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 7010, 7011, 7013, and 7026.

12 21. In addition, for consumers who enabled the GPC, Sephora violated Civil Code section

13 1798.120, subdivision (a), section 1798.135, subdivision (a)(4), and California Code of

14 Regulations, title 11, section 7026, subdivision (c)(1), by failing to treat the GPC as a consumer's

15 opt-out of the sale of their personal information and continuing to sell personal information to

16 third parties despite receiving a GPC signal.

17 22. Upon the Attorney General providing notice of these violations of the CCPA, and
18 implementing regulations, Sephora failed to cure them within 30 days. Each time Sephora failed
19 to stop the sale of data to a third party, Sephora violated the law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATIONS OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE, SECTION 17206

23 (Failure to Process Requests to Opt-Out Via User-Enabled Global Privacy Controls)

23. The People reallege and incorporate by reference each of the paragraphs above as

25 though fully set forth therein.

26 24. Sephora has engaged in unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent acts or practices, which

27 constitute unfair competition within the meaning of Section 17200 of the Business and

28 Professions Code. Defendants' acts or practices include, but are not limited to, making false or

1	misleading statements of facts concerning Defendants' sale of consumers' personal information	
2	and unfairly depriving consumers of the ability to opt-out of this sale. These acts include but are	
3	not limited violations of the following:	
4	(a) Civil Code section 1798.120, subdivision (a);	
5	(b) Civil Code section 1798.135, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(4);	
6	(c) Civil Code section 1798.130, subdivision (a)(5);	
7	(d) California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 7010, 7011, 7013, and 7026.	
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
9	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:	
10	1. Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.155, subdivision (b), that the Court enter all	
11	orders necessary to prevent Defendant from engaging in any act or practice that violates CCPA,	
12	including, but not limited to, as alleged in this Complaint;	
13	2. Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.155, subdivision (b), that the Court assess a civil	
14	penalty of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500) for each violation of CCPA, and Seven	
15	Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500) for each intentional violation of CCPA, as proven at	
16	trial.	
17	3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, that the Court enter all	
18	orders necessary to prevent Defendants, as well as Defendants' successors, agents,	
19	representatives, and employees from engaging in any act or practice that constitutes unfair	
20	competition in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200.	
21	4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, that the Court enter all	
22	orders or judgments as may be necessary to restore any person in interest any money or other	
23	property that Defendants may have acquired by violations of Business and Professions Code	
24	section 17200, as proved at trial;	
25	5. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, that the Court assess a civil	
26	penalty of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500) for each violation of the Unfair	
27	Competition Law.	
28	6. That Plaintiff recover its costs of suit; and	
	8	

1	7. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
2		
3	Dated: August 23, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
4		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
5		
6		Romi Paks
7		Roni Dina Pomerantz
8		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for The People of the State of
9		California
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17 18		
18		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		9