1	Rob Bonta		
2	Attorney General of California		
3	NICKLAS A. AKERS Senior Assistant Attorney General		
4	STACEY D. SCHESSER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
	JESSICA WANG (SBN 278300)		
5	Amos E. Hartston (SBN 186471) Maneesh Sharma (SBN 280084)		
6	ROSAILDA PEREZ (SBN 284646)		
7	Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
8	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-4400	[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT	
9	Email: Jessica.Wang@doj.ca.gov	CODE SECTION 6103]	
10	Attorneys for The People of the State of California		
11			
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
15	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
16			
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No.	
18	Plaintiff,		
19	v.	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF	
20	DOORDASH, INC.,	(CIVIL CODE, § 1798.100 <i>et seq.</i> ; BUS. &	
21	DOORDADH, HVC.,	PROF., § 22575 et seq.)	
22	Defendant.		
23			
24	The People of the State of California, by and through Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the		
25	State of California (the "People"), bring this action against Defendant DoorDash, Inc.		
26	("DoorDash") for violations of the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018, Civil Code section		
27	1798.100 et seq. ("CCPA"), and the California Online Privacy Protection Act of 2003, Business		
28			
	- -	1	

1	& Professions Code section 22575 et seq. ("CalOPPA") based on DoorDash's sale of consumer		
2	personal information without providing consumers notice or an opportunity to opt-out of the sale.		
3	The People allege the following facts based on investigation, information, or belief:		
4	PARTIES		
5	1. Plaintiff is the People of the State of California. The People bring this action by		
6	and through Rob Bonta, Attorney General.		
7	2. Defendant DoorDash, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of		
8	business in San Francisco, California.		
9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
10	3. DoorDash has conducted and continues to conduct business within the State of		
11	California, including the City and County of San Francisco, at all times relevant to this complaint.		
12	The violations of law described herein were committed or occurred in the City and County of San		
13	Francisco and elsewhere in the State of California.		
14	DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS ACTS AND PRACTICES		
15	4. DoorDash operates a website and mobile application through which consumers		
16	may order food delivery. As part of its service, DoorDash collects the personal information of its		
17	customers such as name, address, and transaction history.		
18	5. As relevant here, DoorDash sold the personal information of its California		
19	customers without providing notice or an opportunity to opt-out of that sale in violation of the		
20	CCPA and CalOPPA. Beginning in 2018, DoorDash was a member of two marketing co-		
21	operatives ("marketing co-op"), where unrelated businesses contribute the personal information		
22	of their customers for the purpose of advertising their own products to customers from the other		
23	participating businesses. The marketing co-op then combines, analyzes, and uses the information		
24	to target mailed advertisements to potential new customers on behalf of participating businesses.		
25	6. DoorDash sent the personal information of its California customers to a marketing		
26	co-op in exchange for the opportunity to send mailed advertisements to customers of the other		
27	participating businesses. This is a sale of personal information under the CCPA. But DoorDash		
28	failed to comply with CCPA's requirements for businesses that sell personal information. It also		
	2		

1 violated CalOPPA by failing to state in its posted privacy policy that it disclosed personally 2 identifiable information, like a consumer's home address, to the marketing co-ops. 3 I. DoorDash Violated the CCPA Because It Sold Consumers' Personal Information Without Providing Notice or an Opportunity to Opt-Out. 4 5 7. California's landmark privacy law, the CCPA, went into effect on January 1, 2020. 6 The CCPA vests California residents with control over their personal information. The law 7 requires businesses that sell personal information to make specific disclosures and give 8 consumers the right to opt out of the sale of their personal information. (Civ. Code, § 1798.135.) 9 The CCPA defines "sale" to include disclosing consumer personal information to third parties in 10 exchange for a benefit. (§ 1798.140, subd. (ad).) 11 8. On January 21, 2020, as part of its continuing participation in a marketing co-op, 12 DoorDash transmitted the personal information of its California customers to the I-Behavior 13 marketing co-op owned by KBM Group, LLC (herein referred to as "KBMG"). Specifically, 14 DoorDash disclosed consumer names, addresses, and transaction histories to KBMG in exchange 15 for the opportunity to advertise its services directly to the customers of the other participating 16 companies. Any transaction under which a business receives a benefit for sharing consumer 17 information can be a sale for purposes of the CCPA. DoorDash contracted with KBMG's 18 marketing co-op, which combined, analyzed, and used DoorDash's customer data along with the 19 customer data it received from other participating businesses to target advertisements on behalf of 20 DoorDash and the other marketing co-op participants. DoorDash traded consumer personal 21 information in exchange for the benefit of advertising to potential new customers; its participation 22 in the marketing co-op was therefore a sale under the CCPA. 23 9. Because DoorDash sold consumer personal information, the CCPA required that it 24 both disclose in its privacy policy that it sold personal information and post an easy-to-find "Do 25 Not Sell My Personal Information" link on the website and mobile app. DoorDash did neither. 26 10. DoorDash's failure to comply with the CCPA had real consequences for 27 DoorDash's California customers. In September 2020, one of DoorDash's California customers 28

3

1 complained on social media that she had received mailed advertisements at her home that were 2 addressed to an alias that she had used solely with DoorDash when ordering its food delivery 3 services. She intentionally used an alias to protect her privacy, particularly to conceal her actual 4 home address, and had even reviewed DoorDash's privacy policy to confirm that it made no 5 mention of sharing her data with the types of businesses that were mailing her advertisements. 6 Despite her efforts, she continued to receive mailed advertisements addressed to her alias at her 7 actual address well into 2021. As a result of the Attorney General's investigation, our Office 8 learned that her data was shared many times over with a significant number of companies.

9 11. In September 2020, the Attorney General sent DoorDash a notice of alleged CCPA
10 noncompliance. At the time, the CCPA included a provision allowing businesses to cure alleged
11 violations within 30 days.¹ The CCPA did not define cure, but state courts have interpreted "cure"
12 in other statutes to mean making consumers whole by restoring them to their pre-violation
13 position.

14 12. Even though DoorDash had already stopped selling the personal information of 15 California customers to marketing co-ops and had instructed that all of its California customer 16 data be deleted, DoorDash did not cure its January 2020 sale to KBMG. DoorDash did not cure 17 because it did not make affected consumers whole by restoring them to the same position they 18 would have been in if their data had never been sold. The consumer personal information and 19 inferences about DoorDash's customers had already been sold downstream to other companies 20 and beyond the marketing co-op's members, including to a data broker that re-sold the data many 21 times over. DoorDash also could not determine which downstream companies had received its 22 data so that it could contact each company to request that it delete or stop further selling the data. 23 In fact, DoorDash's contract with KBMG did not permit DoorDash to audit who the marketing 24 co-op sold customer data to, nor sufficiently restrict KBMG to only use DoorDash's data in 25 furtherance of the marketing co-op. DoorDash also did not take more modest available steps that 26 could have mitigated the harm suffered by these consumers. For example, it could have instructed

27

¹ The cure provision, which previously appeared in Civil Code section 1798.155, subdivision (b), was eliminated as of January 1, 2023.

KBMG to not sell the personal information of affected customers to prevent further dissemination
 of their personal information. DoorDash also could have updated its privacy policy to inform
 consumers that it had sold their personal information during the preceding 12 months.
 DoorDash's uncured violations of the CCPA led to this enforcement action.

5

II. DoorDash Violated CalOPPA By Not Making Required Privacy Policy Disclosures.

13. CalOPPA pre-dates the CCPA and has been in effect since 2004. It requires any
entity that operates a website for commercial purposes and collects personally identifiable
information, such as a home address, to disclose in its privacy policy the categories of third
parties with which it shares personally identifiable information. (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 22575,
subds. (a), (b)(1), 22576.) This requirement demonstrates California's longstanding stance that if
any entity is sharing a consumer's personally identifiable information with third parties, it must
be transparent that it is doing so.

14. DoorDash was not transparent. Our investigation found that DoorDash participated
in two marketing co-ops between 2018 and 2020. DoorDash never disclosed in its privacy policy
that it shared personally identifiable information with these marketing co-ops. DoorDash's
privacy policy only indicated that DoorDash could use DoorDash's customer data to contact a
customer with advertisements; it did not explain that other businesses—like marketing co-op
members—could contact DoorDash customers with advertisements for their businesses. Thus,
DoorDash's existing disclosures failed to comply with CalOPPA.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 20 VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER PRIVACY ACT, 21 CIVIL CODE SECTION 1798.100 ET SEQ. 22 (Failure to: Disclose Sale of Consumer Personal Information, Post "Do Not Sell My 23 **Personal Information'' Link, Provide Two or More Methods to Opt-Out of Sale)** 24 15. The People reallege and incorporate by reference each of the paragraphs above as 25 though fully set forth herein. 26 16. DoorDash's website and mobile app failed to inform consumers that it sold their 27 personal information in connection with a marketing co-op and that they have the right to opt-out 28

1	of this sale, failed to provide a clear and conspicuous "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link		
2	that would enable consumers to opt-out of the sale of their personal information, and failed to		
3	provide two or more designated methods for submitting requests to opt-out.		
4	17. Accordingly, each time DoorDash sold an individual California consumer's		
5	personal information during the relevant period without notice, consent, or the opportunity to opt-		
6	out of the sale, DoorDash violated the CCPA, including, without limitation:		
7	(a) Civil Code section 1798.100, subdivision (a);		
8	(b) Civil Code section 1798.120, subdivisions (a) and (b);		
9	(c) Civil Code section 1798.130, subdivision (a)(5);		
10	(d) Civil Code section 1798.135, subdivision (a);		
11	(e) California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 7010, 7011, 7012, 7013, and		
12	7026.		
13	18. After the Attorney General provided notice of these violations of the CCPA,		
14	DoorDash failed to cure them within 30 days. The California Attorney General is therefore		
15	authorized by Civil Code section 1798.199.90 to bring this civil action to enforce the CCPA.		
16	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
17	VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA ONLINE PRIVACY PROTECTION ACT,		
18	BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 22575 ET SEQ.		
19	(Failure to Sufficiently Identify the Third-Party Entities with Which the Operator May		
20	Share Personal Information in Its Posted Privacy Policy)		
21	19. The People reallege and incorporate by reference each of the paragraphs above as		
22	though fully set forth herein.		
23	20. DoorDash's posted privacy policy failed to inform consumers that DoorDash		
24	shared their personal information with marketing co-ops, or that customers may receive		
25	unsolicited advertisements from unrelated companies using information DoorDash collected in		
26	connection with its food delivery services.		
27	21. Accordingly, DoorDash violated Business and Professions Code section 22575,		
28	subdivision (b)(1) by insufficiently identifying the categories of third-party entities with which		

1	DoorDash shared personally identifiable info	rmation collected about its California customers.	
2	Such violation was done either knowingly and willfully or negligently and materially.		
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:		
5	1. Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.199.90, that the Court enter an injunction and		
6	all orders necessary to prevent DoorDash, as well as its successors, agents, representatives, and		
7	employees, from engaging in any act or practice that violates the CCPA, including, but not		
8	limited to, as alleged in this Complaint;		
9	2. Pursuant to Civil Code section	1798.199.90, that the Court assess civil penalties of	
10	Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500) for each violation or Seven Thousand Five		
11	Hundred Dollars (\$7,500) for each intentional violation of the CCPA, as proven at trial.		
12	3. That the Court enter an injunction, civil penalties, and all orders necessary to		
13	prevent DoorDash, as well as its successors, agents, representatives, and employees, from		
14	engaging in any act or practice that violates CalOPPA, including, but not limited to, as alleged in		
15	this Complaint;		
16	4. That Plaintiff recovers its cost	of suit.	
17	5. For such other and further relie	5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
18			
19	Dated: February 21, 2024	Respectfully submitted,	
20		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
21			
22		Jessier Warg	
23		JESSICA WANG	
24		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for The People of the State of	
25		California	
26			
27			
28			

7