#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, on February 19, 2019, Asbestos Disease Awareness Organization, American Public Health Association, Center for Environmental Health, Environmental Working Group, Environmental Health Strategy Center, and Safer Chemicals Healthy Families ("ADAO Plaintiffs") filed an amended complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against Andrew Wheeler, as Acting Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (collectively, "EPA") for declaratory and injunctive relief captioned *Asbestos Disease Awareness Organization v. EPA*, No. 19-CV-00871;

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2019, the State of California, by and through then Attorney General Xavier Becerra, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, by and through Attorney General Maura Healey, and the States of Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, New Jersey, Oregon, Washington, and the District of Columbia (together with the ADAO Plaintiffs, "Plaintiffs") filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against EPA for declaratory and injunctive relief captioned *State of California v. EPA*, No. 19-CV-03807;

WHEREAS, the above-referenced cases were consolidated per a stipulated order ("Consolidated Cases");

WHEREAS, in the Consolidated Cases, Plaintiffs sought judicial review of EPA's decisions to deny Plaintiffs' administrative petitions brought under section 21(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA") seeking amendments to the Chemical Data Reporting ("CDR") rule requiring reporting on asbestos and asbestos-containing products;

WHEREAS, on December 22, 2020, after full briefing and oral argument, the Court issued an opinion granting summary judgment to Plaintiffs and denying summary judgment to EPA ("Summary Judgment Order");

WHEREAS, the Order directed EPA to "amend its CDR reporting rule pursuant to its authority under 15 U.S.C. § 2607(a)(1)(A) (i.e., under Section 8(a) of TSCA), to address the information-gathering deficiencies identified herein" (Order 35);

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2021, the Court entered judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 in favor of Plaintiffs ("Judgment");

WHEREAS, on January 28, 2021, Plaintiffs wrote to counsel for EPA to request that EPA commit to a schedule by which it would propose and finalize a rulemaking to amend the CDR rule;

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2021, EPA filed a Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Under Rule 59 or For Relief Under Rule 60 ("EPA's Motion," ADAO Case ECF No. 62; AGs' Case ECF No. 74);

WHEREAS, EPA's Motion asked the Court to alter or modify the Judgment or otherwise grant relief consistent with the remedy available under section 706(2) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) by, among other things, deleting a specific instruction to amend the CDR rule;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs opposed EPA's Motion (ADAO Case ECF No. 67; AGs' Case ECF No. 79) on the grounds that the remedy ordered by the Court was authorized under section 21(b)(4)(A) of TSCA and section 706(1) of the APA;

WHEREAS, the parties wish to resolve by settlement all outstanding issues in this case, including compliance with the Court's Summary Judgment Order and the issues raised in EPA's Motion;

WHEREAS, the parties, by entering into this Settlement Agreement, do not waive or limit any claim or defense, on any grounds, related to any final EPA action;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed:

- 1. Within 3 days of signing this Settlement Agreement, the parties will file the attached Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding EPA's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Under Rule 59 or For Relief Under Rule 60 ("Proposed Stipulation") in the Consolidated Cases.
- 2. Concurrently, Plaintiffs will move under Rule 15(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for leave to file amended complaints in accordance with the Proposed Stipulation.
- 3. Defendants agree not to oppose Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file amended complaints.
- 4. This Settlement Agreement is conditioned on the Court approving and signing the Proposed Stipulation without modification and granting the motion for leave to file amended complaints. If the Court does not approve and sign the Proposed Stipulation without modification and grant the motion for leave to file amended complaints within thirty days of filing, the parties

agree that this Settlement Agreement is null and void. Nothing contained in this Settlement Agreement or the settlement discussions that led to this Settlement Agreement will be offered or used in any litigation involving the parties.

- 5. Pursuant to section 8(a) of TSCA, EPA shall sign for publication in the Federal Register:
  - a. No later than nine months from the effective date of this agreement, a notice of EPA's proposed action to promulgate a rule pursuant to TSCA section 8(a), 15 U.S.C. § 2607(a), for the maintenance of records and submission to EPA of reports by manufacturers, importers and processors of asbestos and mixtures and articles containing asbestos (including as an impurity) that address the information-gathering deficiencies identified in the Court's Summary Judgment Order; and
  - b. No later than eighteen months from the effective date of agreement, a notice of EPA's final action regarding the proposed TSCA section 8(a) rule.
- 6. Within 15 business days after taking each action required in Paragraph 5, EPA shall send notice of such action to the Office of the Federal Register for review and publication.
- 7. If EPA determines that it cannot meet the deadlines in Paragraph 5 or anticipates any delay to the times specified therein, EPA shall notify Plaintiffs in writing, including the cause for delay, a description of its progress in carrying out the rulemaking proceeding, and the length of time the agency anticipates for the delay.
- 8. The parties may agree to extend any deadlines contained in this Settlement Agreement by mutual written consent.
- 9. If EPA fails to take action as set forth in Paragraph 5, Plaintiffs' sole remedy under this Settlement Agreement shall be to move for compliance with the Summary Judgment Order, as modified by the Proposed Stipulation, in the Consolidated Cases. EPA does not waive or limit any defense relating to litigating its compliance or lack of compliance with the Summary Judgment Order except that it will not challenge the Court's Summary Judgment Order as modified by the Proposed Stipulation. The parties agree that contempt of court is not an available remedy under this Settlement Agreement.
- 10. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed to limit or modify the discretion accorded EPA by TSCA, the Administrative Procedure Act, or by general principles of administrative law, including the discretion to alter, amend or revise any responses and/or

actions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement. EPA's obligation to take the actions set forth in Paragraph 5 by the times specified therein does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.

- Any obligations of the United States to expend funds under this Settlement Agreement are subject to the availability of appropriations in accordance with the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341. This Settlement Agreement shall not be construed to require the United States to obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341.
- 12. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement will be the date on which it has been executed by counsel for all Plaintiffs and EPA. The Settlement Agreement may be executed in multiple original counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to constitute one Settlement Agreement. The execution of one counterpart by any of the Plaintiffs or EPA shall have the same force and effect as if that party had signed the other counterpart.
- 13. The undersigned representatives of the parties certify that they are fully authorized by the party they represent to enter into and execute the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement.

#### SO AGREED:

FOR THE ADAO PLAINTIFFS:

Dated: June 7, 2021

Pobert Mr. Sussuar

ROBERT M. SUSSMAN Sussman & Associates 3101 Garfield Street, NW Washington, DC 20008 (202) 716-0118 bobsussman1@comcast.net

# FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

Dated: June 7, 2021

MEGAN K. HEY
ELIZABETH B. RUMSEY
Deputy Attorneys General
State of California
Office of the Attorney General
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
(213) 269-6344
Megan.Hey@doj.ca.gov

### FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS:

Dated: June 7, 2021

I. ANDREW GOLDBERG Assistant Attorney General Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02108 (617) 963-2429 andy.goldberg@mass.gov

1. Andrew Goldberg

### FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT:

Dated: June 7, 2021

Matthew A. Levine

MATTHEW I. LEVINE
Deputy Associate Attorney General
SCOTT N. KOSCHWITZ
Assistant Attorney General
State of Connecticut
Office of the Attorney General
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 808-5250
matthew.levine@ct.gov

### FOR THE STATE OF HAWAII:

Dated: June 7, 2021

Wade H. Hargrove Add WADE H. HARGROVE III Deputy Attorney General (admitted pro hac vice) Health and Human Services Division Department of the Attorney General 465 South King Street, Room 200 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808) 586-4070 wade.h.hargrove@hawaii.gov

# FOR THE STATE OF MAINE:

Dated: June 7, 2021

Katherine Tierney KATHERINE TIERNEY Assistant Attorney General (admitted pro hac vice) Office of the Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0006 (207) 626-8897

katherine.tierney@maine.gov

# FOR THE STATE OF MARYLAND:

Dated: June 7, 2021

STEVEN J. GOLDSTEIN Special Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 200 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202

(410) 576-6414

sgoldstein@oag.state.md.us

# FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

Dated: June 7, 2021

PHILIP PULITZER
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
900 Town Square Tower
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2127
(651) 757-1244

philip.pulitzer@ag.state.mn.us

### FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY:

Dated: June 7, 2021

Lisa Morelli

LISA MORELLI
Deputy Attorney General
Division of Law
Office of the Attorney General
R.J. Hughes Justice Complex
25 Market Street, P.O. Box 093
Trenton, NJ 08625
(609) 376-2708
lisa.morelli@law.njoag.gov

### FOR THE STATE OF OREGON:

Dated: June 7, 2021

Paul Garrahan

PAUL GARRAHAN
Attorney-in-Charge
STEVE NOVICK
Special Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Oregon Department of Justice
1162 Court Street, N.E.
Salem, Oregon 97301-4096
(503) 947-4342
paul.garrahan@doj.state.or.us
steve.novick@doj.state.or.us

# FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

Dated: June 7, 2021

JONATHAN C. THOMPSON Assistant Attorney General Ecology Division Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 (360) 586-6740 jonathan.thompson@atg.wa.gov

Jonathan C. Thompson

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:

Dated: June 7, 2021

ROBYN R. BENDER
Deputy Attorney General
Public Advocacy Division
CATHERINE A. JACKSON
Chief, Public Integrity Section
DAVID S. HOFFMANN
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
441 Fourth Street N.W., Suite 650 North
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 442-9889
david.hoffmann@dc.gov

FOR EPA:

Dated: June 7, 2021

DEBRA J. CARFORA BRANDON N. ADKINS United States Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section

P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044 Tel: (202) 616-9174

Email: debra.carfora@usdoj.gov