1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. BELTON Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURA FAER (SBN 233846) GARRETT LINDSEY (SBN 293456) VIRGINIA CORRIGAN (SBN 292035) Deputy Attorneys General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-3304 E-mail: Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for The People of the State of California  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF BUTTE
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. 20CV01681
14	CALIFORNIA, EX. REL. XAVIER BECERRA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
15	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RELIEF
16	Plaintiff,
17	V.
18	OROVILLE CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
19	Defendant.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Į. I
	A

The People of the State of California, by and through Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, allege on information and belief as follows:

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

 This Court has jurisdiction over the allegations and subject matter of the People's Complaint filed in this action and the parties to this action; venue is proper in this County; and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Judgment.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff Xavier Becerra is the Attorney General of the State of California. The Attorney General is the chief law officer of the state and has the duty to see that the State's laws are uniformly and adequately enforced for the protection of public rights and interests. (Cal. Const., art. V, § 13.)
- 3. Defendant Oroville City Elementary School District (Defendant or the District) receives state funds, is a public school district organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is responsible for providing public education to District students.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 4. The right to education is a fundamental right, and students have the right to equal protection with respect to its provision. (*Serrano v. Priest* (1971) 5 Cal. 3d 584, 608-09, 616-17.) The Attorney General has the authority, in his or her sole discretion, to bring claims against a school district for violation of the California Constitution, Article 1, section 7, or where the district has failed to ensure that all students, regardless of race, color, national origin, ethnicity, or disability, are treated equally in all aspects of education. (Educ. Code, §§ 220, 262.3, & 262.4.)
- 5. The Attorney General has the authority, in his or her sole discretion, to bring claims against a school district for violation of Government Code section 11135, where the district is unlawfully denying students full and equal access to the benefits of, or unlawfully subjecting students to discrimination under, its programs and activities on the basis of, *inter alia*, race, color, ancestry, national origin, ethnic group identification, mental disability, physical disability, or medical condition. (Gov. Code, § 11135(a).) With respect to discrimination based on disability,

the District is subject to the protections and prohibitions contained in state law. Gov. Code, § 11135(b).)

# A. Disproportionate and Exclusionary School Discipline are Associated with Negative Outcomes.

- 6. Exclusionary school discipline is ineffective in addressing student behavior, is harmful to students, and often has a disproportionate impact on students of color and students with disabilities. Greater use of exclusionary school punishments does not help to improve student behavior either among the students being punished or among the general school population.<sup>1</sup> Instead, evidence shows that being suspended predicts greater rates of criminal offending among youth years later, even when the initial student behaviors are accounted for.<sup>2</sup>
- 7. Suspension and expulsion put students at greater risk of a host of negative outcomes, including school failure, grade retention, future unemployment, and future justice system involvement.<sup>3</sup> When students miss instructional time for misbehavior, they fall behind academically, and become less engaged in their school and their education.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Mowen et al., *The Effect of School Discipline on Offending Across Time* (July 12, 2019) Justice Quarterly.

<sup>4</sup> Arcia, *Achievement and Enrollment Status of Suspended Students: Outcomes in a Large Multicultural School District* (May 1, 2006) 38 Educ. & Urb. Soc'y 359 (identifying a correlation between suspension and school avoidance, diminished educational engagement and decreased academic achievement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Kupchik, The Real School Safety Problem: The long-term consequences of harsh school punishment (2016) pp. 23-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rosenbaum, Educational and Criminal Justice Outcomes 12 Years After School Suspension (Jan. 17, 2018) Youth & Soc'y (finding that suspended youth were less likely to have graduated from college or high school, and were more likely to have been arrested and on probation); Morris & Brea, The Punishment Gap: School Suspension and Racial Disparities in Achievement (Feb. 1, 2016) 63(1) J. Soc. Probs. 1 (in longitudinal study, finding that school suspensions account for approximately one-fifth of black-white differences in school performance, and stating that findings suggest that exclusionary school punishment hinders academic growth and contributes to racial disparities in achievement); Perry & Morris, Suspending Progress: Collateral Consequences of Exclusionary Punishment in Public Schools (Nov. 5, 2014) 79 Am. Soc. Rev. 1067 (finding that high levels of exclusionary discipline within schools threaten the academic success of all students, including those who have never been suspended); The Council on State Gov't & Pub. Policy Research Inst. at Tex. A&M Univ., Breaking Schools' Rules: A Statewide Study on How School Discipline Relates to Students' Success and Juvenile Justice Involvement (July 2011), (comprehensive longitudinal study in Texas showing that even one out of school suspension made it five times as likely for a student to drop out and three times as likely for the student to enter the juvenile justice system within one year, when compared to similar students).

- 8. The harms of overusing exclusionary school punishment extend beyond the individual students who are punished. Empirical research shows that schools with relatively high rates of suspensions tend to have worse academic scores for other students, even when statistically controlling for other predictors of student achievement.<sup>5</sup>
- 9. Studies consistently find that youth of color, particularly African-American youth, are disproportionately reported for disciplinary incidents and subjected to exclusionary punishments, even when controlling for student misbehavior. The most substantial racial disparities in school punishment tend to be for more subjectively-defined infractions, such as defiance of authority, disruption, or disorderly conduct, rather than more serious and objectively-defined infractions such as fighting.<sup>7</sup>
- 10. Studies have also shown that students with learning and behavioral disabilities are at greater risk than others of being reported for school discipline.<sup>8</sup>
- 11. Schools throughout California have begun to incorporate positive behavior intervention and supports, restorative justice practices, and other strategies laid out in the State's Education Code to focus on addressing the root causes of student misconduct to keep students in schools and learning and to minimize school removals and involvement with the juvenile justice system. Schools in California have focused on addressing disparities in discipline to ensure that certain groups of students are not subjected to disproportionate disciplinary consequences or treated more harshly as compared to their similarly situated peers.

// //

22

26

27

28

<sup>5</sup> Perry & Morris, *supra* n.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> U.S. Dep't of Ed. Office for Civil Rights, Civil Rights Data Collection: Data Snapshot (School Discipline), Issue Brief No. 1 (2014); General Accounting Office (2018) K-12 Education: Discipline disparities for black students, boys, and students with disabilities (GAO-18-258); Rocque & Paternoster, Understanding the Antecedents of the 'School-to-Jail' Link: The relationship between race and school discipline (2011) 101 The J. of Crim. L. & Criminology 633, 653-54.

Skiba et al., Parsing Disciplinary disproportionality: Contributions of infraction, student, and school characteristic to out-of-school suspension and expulsion (2014) 51 Am. Ed. R. J. 640.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Krezmien et al., Suspension, Race, and Disability: Analysis of statewide practices and reporting (2006) 14 J. of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders 217.

# B. District Discipline Policies and Practices Result in Different Treatment and Disproportionate Impact.

- 12. In May 2019, the Attorney General's office began an investigation to determine whether the District's policies, procedures, and practices with respect to discipline violated any California laws, specifically those laws protecting students from discrimination based on a protected characteristic and other laws that provide for a state constitutional right to education, other means of correction prior to school removal, and due process protections for students.
- 13. After a comprehensive investigation of the District's policies, procedures, and practices focusing on the 2016-2017 school year through the first semester of the 2019-2020 school year, the Attorney General's office found in March 2020 that the District's policies, procedures, and practices with respect to discipline over-rely on punitive, exclusionary out-of-school discipline and discriminate against African-American students and students with disabilities. The investigation also raised significant concerns that the District's discipline policies, procedures, and practices may be subjecting Alaskan Native/Native American students to discrimination.
- 14. In addition, the Attorney General's office concluded that: (a) aspects of the District's discipline policies are inconsistent with state law requiring alternative means of correction prior to issuing suspensions and notice of the same to students; (b) the District has failed to regularly provide a prompt and adequate response consistent with state law requirements for reports and complaints of harassment and discrimination on the basis of race and disability in the District, and some students may have been subjected to a hostile environment as a result; and (c) the District is not consistently and timely identifying and providing sufficient services and positive behavior interventions and supports for some students with disabilities with behavioral issues.
- 15. The Attorney General's investigation also found that the District has already publicly identified the need to focus on disproportionality in discipline for African-American students and has been proactively improving its partnership with local groups focused on bias and cultural competency, obtaining support from the County Office of Education for implementation of

positive alternatives to address student misbehavior, focusing on reducing punitive, out-of-school discipline, and improving its ability to continuously review data and make adjustments.

- among other things: (1) a five-year term: (2) changes to school discipline policies and practices to bring them into compliance with state law and to address discrimination and disproportionality in discipline; (3) ongoing analysis of school discipline and achievement data to address root causes of discrimination in discipline; (4) consistent implementation of positive other means of correction and development of individualized behavior support plans; (4) implementation of a system of culturally responsive, multi-tiered supports and interventions; (5) training for staff on manifestation determination meetings, positive behavior intervention plan creation and implementation, and reasonable accommodations; (6) provision of support, training, and assistance to parents of students with disabilities during special education and Section 504 processes; and (7) revisions to policies and practices for responding to discrimination and harassment complaints to comply with state law requirements. The District has begun to take positive steps to revise policies and eliminate punitive discipline practices at it school-sites and to work with organizations focused on addressing bias and discrimination in all of its forms.
- 17. The Attorney General's investigation included a review of the District's disciplinary data from the 2016-2017 to 2018-2019 school years—data collected and administered by the District. The Attorney General's review of the data demonstrated that under the District's discipline policies and practices, African-American students in the District are subjected to exclusionary discipline that is at least 18 times the statewide average. African-American students are significantly and substantially more likely than White students with similar disciplinary histories to be reported for an incident, to be punished in school, and to receive more days of punishment for similar offenses. For example, African-American students in the middle school reported for threaten/cause injury faced a 50 percent greater rate of days punished than their White peers reported for the same incident type. Moreover, more than half of African-American middle school students with disabilities were suspended out of school each school year, compared to about one-quarter among other students with disabilities.

- 18. In addition, the Attorney General's review of the District's own data demonstrated that African-American students and Alaskan Native/Native American students were substantially more likely to be reported for a discipline incident than similarly situated students.
- 19. Consistent with prior research showing that racial disproportionality in behavioral referrals tends to be greatest among the most subjectively-defined offenses, an analysis of the District's data also shows that African-American students in the District are overrepresented among subjective offenses. For example, African-American students were at a much higher risk of being reported for subjectively-perceived incidents such as defiance or obscenity.
- 20. An analysis of the District's data found that even when controlling for other demographic characteristics and type of incident, African-American students were punished for more days than similarly situated White students. Among elementary school students reported for obscenity/disruption incidents, the rate of days punished is 27.3 percent higher for African-American elementary students than for similarly situated White students. For middle schools, African-American students reported for threatening or causing injury receive a statistically significant 49.6 percent greater rate of days punished than similarly situated White students.
- 21. During the investigation, witnesses reported to the Department of Justice that certain staff members at one of the District's middle schools had targeted or subjected African-American students to harsher discipline consequences than similarly situated White students for similar conduct.
- 22. In addition, witnesses identified use of the N-word and other racial and derogatory slurs as a problem in the District in the schools visited, and troubling incidents involving the use of racial and derogatory slurs were evident in District incident data reviewed. Witnesses stated that students subjected to racial and derogatory slurs were subjected to punishment for defending themselves when the District's efforts to stop harassing conduct was perceived as ineffective.
- 23. School punishments in the District result in a significant loss of instructional time. In California, on average, about 12 days of instruction are lost to suspension per 100 students enrolled. In contrast, the District suspends the average student at two to three times that rate in

elementary school, nearly ten times that rate in middle school, and nearly fifteen times that rate for African-American middle school students.

- 24. In the District that harm is borne disproportionately by African-American students, but other students in the District also suffer. For example, White elementary school students lost 34.6 days to suspension in 2018-2019, compared to African-American elementary school students who lost 53.6 days in 2018-2019. Similarly, White middle school students lost 110.6 days in 2018-2019, while African-American students lost 143.4 in 2018-2019.
- 25. School punishments in the District have a quantifiable adverse education impact beyond the loss of instructional time. On average, the state standardized test score performance of a District student receiving an out-of-school suspension in any given school year decreased significantly in the following year. Specifically, while controlling for individual student characteristics and school attended, elementary school students who are suspended in any given year score 33.6 points lower on their math assessment and 22.5 points lower on their English Language Arts (ELA) assessment, on average. Middle school students who are suspended tend to score 28.4 points lower on math and 15.7 points lower on ELA assessment, on average.
- 26. The District's publicly stated education goals include creating a safe school climate and culture and reducing the significant suspension gap for students of color and students with disabilities.
- 27. The Attorney General's investigation found that with respect to creating a safe school climate and culture and reducing the significant suspension gap, the excessive use of school removals is ineffective.
- 28. The District's 2018-2019 California Healthy Kids Survey provides that 61% of 5th graders reported being hit or pushed, and 29% of 7th graders reported being in one or more physical fights in the past year.
- 29. The District's data reviewed for the 2016-2017 through 2018-2019 school years also show that the District's elementary schools reported very high levels of incidences of attempted or caused physical injury, which raised concerns, either that less serious offenses are being incorrectly coded as a higher level offense than disruption or defiance, which would be

impermissible under state law prohibiting suspensions in K-3 for these offenses (Ed. Code, § 48900, subd. (k).), or that the District has not taken sufficient steps to create a safe campus, or both.

- 30. The District has not implemented sufficient safety procedures to provide safe campus environments, and this failure has resulted in violence on campus. At one elementary school and one middle school, multiple witnesses reported known areas where fights would occur, with students being generally unsupervised. Because certain areas on the campus are not safe but could be safe with adequate staffing plans, prevention, and student and staff support, the District has issued otherwise preventable out-of-school suspensions.
- 31. There are comparable effective alternatives that would meet the District's educational goals with less burden on African-American and Alaskan Native/Native American students and students with disabilities, which are included in the proposed Stipulated Judgment attached hereto, and include incorporating social-emotional learning practices into the middle school curriculum, establishing site- and District-led processes to consistently review the District's disaggregated discipline data to address the root causes of discrimination in discipline, implementing with fidelity culturally-responsive positive behavior interventions and supports, and developing programs for students and adults that proactively and directly counter bias and racism.
- 32. Despite the significant disparities described above and the negative effects thereof, District administrators do not regularly review disciplinary data to identify and ameliorate disparities. Nor are all staff effectively trained on disciplinary policies and procedures or on alternative positive behavioral strategies set forth in state law, resulting in inconsistent implementation of these policies and inadequate tools to address unequal treatment in discipline and improve school climate and cultures.
- C. The District Did Not Adequately Respond to Allegations of Harassment on the Basis of Race and Disability.
- 33. The Attorney General's investigation identified that the District's failure to adequately address the use of racial slurs and other bigoted and derogatory insults from students,

and sometimes staff, has compromised the safety of the District's learning environment, contributing to a physically and emotionally unsafe learning environment for some students. Witnesses at one middle school reported that racial slurs were an everyday occurrence, and that adequate intervention from school-site administrators to stop the harassment, prevent recurrence, and address the effects was not provided.

- 34. District data reviewed revealed that racial slurs were the cause for suspension in at least 16 incidents in 2016, 9 incidents in 2017, and 12 incidents in 2018, with students making comments like: "Go back to Africa," "Go back to India," "black porch monkey," "it's none of your business Mexican," and "I hate white people."
- 35. The State's Uniform Complaint Procedures require an independent investigation, an opportunity for the complainant and respondent to present and respond to evidence, a written decision of finding, and a right to appeal to the California Department of Education. (Ed. Code, § 33315; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, §§ 4610, et seq.) However, several witnesses reported that the District does not promptly and adequately respond to complaints of bullying, discrimination, and harassment, including on the basis of disability and race, and fails to initiate and provide a state-law compliant Uniform Complaint Procedures response upon receiving notice of potential discrimination, harassment, or retaliation.
- 36. For several cases reviewed, the investigation did not identify evidence that the District had investigated or adequately responded to the racial harassment to prevent, stop, or eliminate further harassment or had utilized the required state law Uniform Complaint Procedures process, which includes a written report of findings and the right to appeal.

# D. Important Aspects of the District's Discipline Policies and Practices Fail to Comply with State Law.

37. The Attorney General's investigation also found that important aspects of District's disciplinary policies are inconsistent with state law, which may be contributing to high rates of exclusionary punishment. While the District's school discipline administrative regulation refers to the need to provide other means of correction prior to discipline in response to certain offenses, it fails to specify the other means of correction to utilize and include the requirement to hold a

conference prior to suspension where the student is informed of the other means attempted prior to suspension. (Ed. Code, § 48911, subd. (b); Ed. Code, § 48900.5.) The elementary schools' disciplinary flow chart permits school administrators to suspend on a first offense for several offenses, such as taking a picture without consent, for which state law requires exhaustion of alternative means of correction prior to suspension.

- 38. The District's disciplinary policies, procedures, and practices also have a significant disproportionate impact on students with disabilities. The Attorney General's review of disciplinary data demonstrated disproportionate rates of school suspensions and other discipline for District students with disabilities.
- 39. District data showed that students with disabilities were substantially more likely to be reported for a discipline incident and at a greater risk of being suspended out of school than similarly situated students without disabilities. Elementary students with disabilities were nearly twice as likely to be reported for threatening or causing injury as similarly situated elementary school students without a disability, and students with disabilities in middle school were punished for nearly twice as many days as similarly situated students without a disability.
- 40. Disability policy that does not comply with state law and evidence that some students with disabilities are not receiving appropriate supports and interventions to address disability-related misbehavior contribute to this disproportionality. The District's written policy for students with disabilities violates state law because it permits ten days of consecutive suspension for a single incident of misconduct, even though the state law maximum is five days.
- 41. The District's policies, practices, and processes for providing equal access to necessary services for students with disabilities and ensuring that students with disabilities are not denied equal access to education are inadequate. Specifically, student files reviewed revealed that several students, including students who had been suspended, were denied full and equal access to education because of their suspected disabilities or disabilities, including failure to promptly identify, provide procedural protections, reasonable accommodations, and modifications.

//

## E. Proposed Resolution by the Parties.

- 42. Since April 2020, the Parties have negotiated in good faith on numerous policy and procedure changes and have come to an agreement to address the findings of the investigation. The District has already begun to make changes to its policies, procedures, and practices and is in the process of implementing several of the terms agreed upon by the parties.
- 43. The District has also begun to make changes to its policies, procedures, and practices to comply with the California Department of Education's oversight monitoring requirements to address significant disproportionality for students with disabilities.
- 44. Plaintiff now seeks an order requiring the District to implement the agreed-upon reforms and respectfully requests that the Court enter Judgment as set forth in the proposed Stipulated Judgment, concurrently filed with this Complaint.

### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of Education Code section 200 et seq. and 33315)

- 45. Plaintiff realleges all paragraphs set forth above and incorporates them by reference as though they were fully set forth in this cause of action.
- 46. Education Code section 220 prohibits discrimination based on race, color, national origin, ethnicity, and disability in state-funded programs and activities.
- 47. When a school district receives notice of an allegation of potential discrimination, harassment, bullying, or retaliation on the basis of a protected characteristic, such as race or disability, Education Code section 33315 requires the District to investigate and provide a timely and effective response to end the discrimination, harassment, or retaliation, prevent its recurrence, and remedy the effects using the Uniform Complaint Procedures.
- 48. The Uniform Complaint Procedures require an independent investigation, an opportunity for the complainant and respondent to present and respond to evidence, a written decision of finding, and a right to appeal to the California Department of Education. (Ed. Code, § 33315; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, §§ 4610, et seq.)

- 49. Defendant has violated Education Code section 200 et seq. by subjecting African-American students to discrimination with respect to disproportionate disciplinary punishments and with respect to the length of such punishments, which has resulted in adverse impacts on such students. Alaskan Native/Native American students also experienced disproportionate disciplinary punishment and may have been subjected to discrimination under the District's discipline policies and practices, which resulted in adverse impacts on such students.
- 50. Defendant has violated Education Code section 200 et seq. by subjecting similarly situated African-American students to higher numbers of punishments and harsher punishments than similarly situated students of other races for similar offenses.
- 51. Defendant has violated Education Code sections 200 et seq. and 33315 by failing to provide a prompt, adequate, and procedurally compliance response to notice of harassment and discrimination on the basis of race for some African-American students and students with disabilities.
- 52. Due to Defendant's violations of Education Code sections 200 et seq. and 33315, and their implementing regulations, injunctive relief is an appropriate remedy.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Violation of California Constitution, Article 1, section 7)

- 53. Plaintiff realleges all paragraphs set forth above and incorporates them by reference as though they were fully set forth in this cause of action.
- 54. Following an investigation carried out pursuant to his discretionary authority as the state's chief law officer, the Attorney General has determined that Defendant has violated the California Constitution, Article 1, section 7 by not satisfying its affirmative obligation to implement programs that avoid discriminatory results through its knowingly subjecting African-American students in the District to discrimination with respect to disproportionate disciplinary punishments and the length of such punishments, without implementing feasible remedies when it should have been aware of and addressed these results. These disproportionate punishments result in changes in classroom settings and substantial denial of classroom access and instructional time, impacting the amount or quality of instruction received by these students and

resulting in other cognizable education harms. Such disproportionate punishments are not necessary to meet an important education goal and other options exist with less of an adverse impact on African-American students.

- 55. Following an investigation carried out pursuant to his discretionary authority as the state's chief law officer, the Attorney General has determined that Defendant has violated the California Constitution, Article 1, section 7 by not satisfying its affirmative obligation to implement programs that avoid discriminatory results through its knowingly subjecting similarly situated African-American students to higher numbers of punishments and harsher punishments than similarly situated students of other races or ethnicities for similar offenses, and without implementing feasible remedies when it should have been aware of and addressed these results. These disproportionate punishments result in changes in classroom settings and substantial denial of classroom access and instructional time, impacting the amount or quality of instruction received by these students and resulting in other cognizable education harms.
- 56. Due to Defendant's violations of the California Constitution, injunctive relief is an appropriate remedy.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Suspensions in Violation of Education Code section 48900 et seq.)

- 57. Plaintiff realleges all paragraphs set forth above and incorporates them by reference as though they were fully set forth in this cause of action.
- 58. Education Code section 48900.5 requires that other means of correction be attempted prior to suspension of a student and prohibits suspension upon a first offense, except for certain specifically defined offenses or where a student's presence causes a danger to persons.
- 59. Education Code section 48911, subdivision (b) requires the District to hold a conference prior to suspension where the student is informed of the other means of correction attempted prior to suspension.
- 60. Defendant has violated Education Code section 48900 by establishing policies and procedures that permit suspensions upon the first offense for offenses for which suspension upon a first offense is not permitted.

- 61. Defendant has violated Education Code section 48911, subdivision (b), by establishing policies that do not require the conference held prior to suspension to include information about other means of correction attempted prior to suspension.
- 62. Due to Defendant's violations of the aforementioned California Education Code sections and implementing regulations, injunctive relief is an appropriate remedy.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Abrogation of the Rights of Students with Disabilities in Violation of Government Code section 11135 and Education Code sections 220 and 48911)

- 63. Plaintiff realleges all paragraphs set forth above and incorporates them by reference as though they were fully set forth in this cause of action.
- 64. Government Code section 11135 prohibits discrimination based on disability in state-funded programs and activities, including prohibiting unlawful denial of full and equal access to the benefits of and unlawful discrimination under any such program or activity receiving funding or financial assistance from the state. Government Code section 11135 incorporates requirements that agencies that receive state funding to provide students with disabilities and suspected disabilities with procedural protections, reasonable accommodations, and modifications.
- 65. Government Code section 11135 prohibits schools from punishing students based on disability.
- 66. Education Code section 220 contains similar requirements to ensure nondiscrimination with respect to students with disabilities.
- 67. Education Code section 48911 prohibits suspensions by a principal of longer than five days based on a single incident.
- 68. Defendant is responsible for providing public education to District students, including students with disabilities.
- 69. Defendant has violated Education Code section 48911 by establishing policies and procedures permitting suspensions of students with disabilities for longer than five days for a single incident of misconduct.
  - 70. Defendant has violated Government Code section 11135 and Education Code section

1	Dated: August 25, 2020	Respectfully Submitted,
2 3		XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN
4		Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. BELTON
5		Supervising Deputy Attorney General GARRETT LINDSEY
6		VIRGINIA CORRIGAN Deputy Attorneys General
7		Laurataon
8		Laura Faer
9		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for The People of the State of California
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		