	CONFORI	
1	Rob Bonta	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
2	Attorney General of California NICKLAS A. AKERS	AUG 2 2 2024
	Senior Assistant Attorney General ЮN WORM (SBN 248260)	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
ן ן	Supervising Deputy Attorney General FIMOTHY D. LUNDGREN (SBN 254596)	David W. Slayion, Executive Onicervolent of Court
I	Deputy Attorney General 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6355	
	Fax: (213) 897-2802 E-mail: timothy.lundgren@doj.ca.gov	[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEE UNDER GOV. CODE § 6103]
	Ittorneys for the People of the State of Calif	
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
		Case No. 24 STCV21332
	HE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ALIFORNIA,	Case No
	Plaintiff,	
	<b>V</b> .	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES,
S	<b>FUBHUB, INC.,</b> a corporation,	RESTITUTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF
	Defendant.	(BUS. & PROF. CODE, §§ 17200 et seq.
		17500 et seq.)

. .

1	The People of the State of California ("People"), by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the		
2	State of California, bring this action against StubHub, Inc. ("Defendant") for violating the Unfair		
3	Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.) and False Advertising Law (Bus. & Prof.		
4	Code, § 17500 et seq.), and allege the following on information and belief:		
5	DEFENDANT		
6	1. Defendant StubHub, Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in		
7	New York, New York.		
8	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
9	2. This Court has jurisdiction over the allegations and subject matter of the People's		
10	Complaint filed in this action, and the parties to this action; venue is proper in this County; and		
11	this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Judgment.		
12	3. This enforcement action is brought under Business and Professions Code section 17200 et		
13	seq. and section 17500 et seq. The violations of law alleged in this Complaint occurred in the		
14	County of Los Angeles and elsewhere in the State of California.		
15	STATUTORY BACKGROUND		
16	4. "Unfair competition" is defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200 as "any		
17	unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice," any unfair, deceptive, untrue or		
18	misleading advertising, and any act prohibited by Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 17500) of		
19	Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code.		
20	5. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, any person who engages, has		
21	engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition shall be liable for a civil penalty not to		
22	exceed \$2,500 for each violation.		
23	6. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, any person who engages, has		
24	engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition may be enjoined in any court of competent		
25	jurisdiction and the court may make such orders or judgments to prevent the use of any practice		
26	which constitutes unfair competition, or as may be necessary to restore to any person in interest		
27	any money or property which may have been acquired by means of such unfair competition.		
28	7. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17205, the remedies or penalties 1		
	Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, Restitution, and Other Equitable Relief		

1

provided for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq. are cumulative to each other and to the remedies or penalties available under all other laws of the state. 2

1

Business and Professions Code section 17500 makes it unlawful for any person, firm, 3 8. corporation, or association, or any employee thereof, with intent directly or indirectly to dispose 4 5 of real or personal property or to perform services, to disseminate any statement, concerning that real or personal property or those services, which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or 6 which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading. 7

8 Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17535, any person who violates or 9. proposes to violate Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq. may be enjoined in any 9 court of competent jurisdiction and the court may make such orders or judgments to prevent the 10 use of any practices which constitute a violation of Business and Professions Code section 17500 11 et seq., or as may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property which 12 13 may have been acquired by means of such violation.

10. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17536, any person who violates 14 Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq. shall be liable for a civil penalty not to 15 16 exceed \$2,500 for each violation.

11. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17534.5, the remedies or penalties 17 provided for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq. are cumulative to 18 19 each other and to the remedies or penalties available under all other laws of the state.

20 12. Business and Professions Code sections 22500 through 22511 (California's Ticket Seller 21 Statute) imposes various obligations related to ticket sales for live events, including certain refund obligations for ticket sellers (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 22507). California Business and Professions 22 Code section 22503 defines "ticket seller" for purposes of the Ticket Seller Statute as "any person 23 who for compensation, commission, or otherwise sells admission tickets to sporting, musical, 24 25 theatre, or any other entertainment event."

26 13. Civil Code section 1750 et seq. (California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act) imposes various obligations on sellers of goods and services to consumers. Civil Code section 1770, 27 subdivision (a), defines as unlawful certain "unfair methods of competition and unfair or 28

2

Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, Restitution, and Other Equitable Relief

deceptive acts or practices" that are "undertaken by any person in a transaction intended to result 1 or that results in the sale or lease of goods or services to any consumer," and prohibits, among 2 other conduct, "[a]dvertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised" (Civ. 3 Code, § 1770, subd. (a)(9)), "[r]epresenting that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, 4 or obligations that it does not have or involve" (id. at subd. (a)(14)), or "[r]epresenting that the 5 subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it 6 7 has not" (*id.* at subd. (a)(16)). 8 FACTUAL BACKGROUND 9 StubHub offers and sells tickets to live events - such as musical concerts, sports, theater 14.

performances, comedy shows, conventions, exhibitions, and other events – on its secondary
 marketplace online at <u>www.stubhub.com</u> and on its mobile apps.

12 15. StubHub operates and manages its secondary marketplace to effectuate the sale from
13 individuals or entities of secondary or resold tickets to consumers.

14 16. StubHub manages many aspects of the transaction, takes payment from the consumer,15 ensures that tickets are delivered to the consumer, and remits funds to the original ticketholder.

16 17. When a live event is canceled, the event organizer typically refunds money to the
purchaser of the ticket. This presents potential uncertainty for resold tickets because the event
organizer typically does not issue refunds directly to the holder of a resold ticket.

18. Because StubHub operates a secondary market, consumers who consider purchasing a
ticket on StubHub's marketplace may have questions and uncertainty as to whether they will
receive a refund if a ticket purchased on the StubHub marketplace is for an event that is
subsequently canceled. Consumers therefore may be reluctant to purchase tickets on a secondary
market such as StubHub in the absence of a refund guarantee.

19. For many years, StubHub prominently advertised that ticket sales on its secondary
marketplace were backed by its "FanProtect" guarantee, which promised that StubHub would
provide a full refund for the amount a consumer paid, inclusive of all fees and charges, if an event
was canceled and not rescheduled. StubHub made its "FanProtect" guarantee a central part of its
marketing, including in the period of time leading up to the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020.

20. As of March 5, 2020, StubHub, through its advertising and other public statements,
 assured consumers that they would receive refunds for the purchase of secondary tickets on
 StubHub's marketplace place if an event was canceled.

4 21. In March 2020, the outbreak of COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic by the World
5 Health Organization. Various public health measures, including stay-at-home orders and bans of
6 large gatherings, resulted in cancellation of live events, including events for which StubHub
7 consumers had purchased tickets.

8 22. On or about March 6, 2020, StubHub sent emails to its consumers who had tickets to
9 events that had been canceled as a result of COVID-19. In these emails, StubHub stated that the
10 consumers were protected by the "FanProtect" guarantee and would receive a full refund for any
11 events canceled as a result of COVID-19 unless the consumers preferred the credit option of 120
12 percent of the original order. StubHub sent similar emails on or about March 20, 2020.

23. On or about March 25, 2020, StubHub, contrary to its prior representations and to the 13 terms imposed on consumers when purchasing tickets on StubHub, revised its "FanProtect" 14 guarantee and informed consumers that it would no longer provide refunds for canceled events, 15 16 including consumers who had previously purchased tickets on StubHub's marketplace under terms that included the "FanProtect" guarantee. StubHub refused to pay refunds to hundreds of 17 18 thousands of consumers nationwide, including many thousands of California consumers, who had 19 previously purchased tickets on StubHub's marketplace under terms that included the "FanProtect" guarantee. 20

21 24. StubHub failed to inform consumer who purchased tickets under terms that included its
22 "FanProtect" guarantee that it would not pay refunds under certain circumstances, such as during
23 the COVID-19 pandemic when many consumers desired refunds.

24 25. StubHub did not provide refunds to consumers for many months during the COVID-19
25 pandemic. By failing to honor its guarantee to consumers to provide refunds for canceled events,
26 StubHub misled consumers who reasonably relied on the advertised refund policy when
27 purchasing tickets from StubHub's platform.

28

Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, Restitution, and Other Equitable Relief

4

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17500		
3	(False Advertising Law)		
4	26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the paragraphs above as though		
5	fully set forth in this cause of action.		
6	27. Defendant violated Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq. in connection		
7	with statements made related to its refund policy, including statements related to its "FanProtect"		
8	guarantee, by making or disseminating, or causing to be made or disseminated, false or		
9	misleading statements with the intent to induce members of the public to purchase tickets on		
10	StubHub's platform, when Defendant knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have		
11	known, that the statements were false or misleading.		
12	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
13	VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200		
14	(Unfair Competition Law)		
15	28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the paragraphs above as though		
16	fully set forth in this cause of action.		
17	29. Defendant engaged in unfair competition as defined in California Business and		
18	Professions Code section 17200 by engaging in unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business acts or		
19	practices, and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising, in connection with statements -		
20	made related to its refund policy, including statements related to its "FanProtect" guarantee, and		
21	its failure to provide timely refunds.		
22	30. Defendant's acts and practices of unfair competition include the following:		
23	a. Defendant violated Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq., as		
24	alleged above in the First Cause of Action.		
25	b. Defendant violated Business and Professions Code section 22500 through 22511		
26	(California's Ticket Seller Statute) by, among other things, failing to refund tickets as required		
27	by Business and Professions Code section 22507.		
28			
	5		
	Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, Restitution, and Other Equitable Relief		

.

"

(

1	c. Defendant violated Civil Code section 1750 et seq. (California's Consumers Legal			
2	Remedies Act) by, among other things, the following:			
3	(i.) Advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised (Civ. Code,			
4	§ 1770, subd. (a)(9));			
5	(ii.) Representing that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations			
6	that it does not have or involve (Civ. Code, § 1770, subd. (a)(14)); and			
7	(iii.) Representing that the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with			
8	a previous representation when it has not (Civ. Code, § 1770, subd. (a)(16)).			
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:			
11	1. That under Business and Professions Code section 17535, Defendant, its successors,			
12	agents, representatives, employees, and all persons who act in concert with Defendant, be			
13	permanently enjoined from making any false or misleading statements in violation of Business			
14	and Professions Code section 17500 as alleged in this complaint;			
15	2. That under Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant, its successors,			
16	agents, representatives, employees, and all persons who act in concert with Defendant, be			
17	permanently enjoined from committing any acts of unfair competition in violation of Business			
18	and Professions Code section 17200 as alleged in this complaint;			
19	3. That under Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, the Court make			
20	such orders or judgments as may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or			
21	property that may have been acquired by means of Defendant's unlawful conduct;			
22	4. That under Business and Professions Code section 17536, the Court assess a civil penalty			
23	of \$2,500 for each violation of Business and Professions Code section 17500, as proved at trial;			
24	5. That under Business and Professions Code section 17206, the Court assess a civil penalty			
25	of \$2,500 for each violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200, as proved at trial;			
26	6. That Plaintiff recover its costs of suit, including costs of investigation; and			
27	7. For such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.			
28				
	6			
l	Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, Restitution, and Other Equitable Relief			

(

|x| = 0

\*1

(

1		
2	Dated: August 22, 2024	Respectfully Submitted,
3		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California NICKLAS A. AKERS
4		Senior Assistant Attorney General JON WORM
5		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
6		
7		X-A Lla
8		TIMOTHY D. LUNDGREN
9		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California
10		State of Canforma
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	·	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		·
26	e Alternationale de la companya de la	
27		·
28		
	Complaint for Dominant A	7
l	Complaint for Permanent Inj	unction, Civil Penalties, Restitution, and Other Equitable Relief

22 I J