1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
2	DAVID PAI Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	THOMAS KINZINGER Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 323889 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230 Telephone: (213) 269-6230	
6	E-mail: Thomas.Kinzinger@doj.ca.gov	
7 8	Attorneys for Petitioners People of California ex rel. Rob Bonta, and the California Department Housing and Community Development	at of
9		
10		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES
12		I
13	THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL.	Case No.
14	ROB BONTA, AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT,	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT
15	Petitioners and Plaintiffs,	
16	v.	
17		
18	CITY OF ARTESIA,	
19	Respondents and Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
40		

Petitioners PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through ROB BONTA, Attorney General of the State of California, and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (HCD) (collectively, the "State"), and Respondents CITY OF ARTESIA (the "City"), hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Upon the Court's approval, the proposed Stipulated Final Judgment and Order ("Judgment"), a true and correct copy of which is attached to this Stipulation for Entry of Judgment ("Stipulation"), may be entered in this matter.
 - 2. The terms of this Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 3. All parties in this matter agree that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof, and over the parties themselves, and that venue is proper before this Court.
- 4. The parties have agreed to resolve the allegations contained in the Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief (the "Petition") initiating this action by entering into this Stipulation. Although the City has consented to judgment, it does not necessarily agree to each and every allegation in the Petition.
- 5. The parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of the Judgment without the taking of proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law herein, without the Judgment constituting evidence, a finding, or an adjudication of any facts, claims, or issues of law as alleged in the Petition on file herein, and without the City admitting any liability regarding allegations of violations that allegedly occurred prior to the entry of Judgment.
- 6. The parties may seek approval and signature, based on this Stipulation, during the court's ex parte calendar or on any other ex parte basis, with notice to counsel for the City.
- 7. The parties hereby waive their right to appeal from the Judgment, except insofar as permitted under the Judgment, and that the parties agree that the court shall retain jurisdiction for the purposes of enforcing the terms of the Judgment.
- 8. To the extent there is any conflict between the terms of this Stipulation and the terms of the Judgment, the Judgment shall control.

1	9. The City will accept notice of entry of judgment entered in this action by delivery of	
2	such notice to its counsel of record, and agree that service of notice of entry of judgment will be	
3	deemed personal service upon it for all purposes regardless of the manner in which it is delivered.	
4	10. The individuals signing this Stipulation represent that they have been authorized by	
5	the parties they represent to sign this Stipulation.	
6	11. The Stipulation and Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and a digital	
7	signature in pdf format shall be deemed to be, and shall have the same force and effect as, an	
8	original signature.	
9		
10	Dated: September 9, 2025 Respectfully submitted,	
11	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
12	DAVID PAI Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
13	Supervising Deputy retorney General	
14	Thomas	
15	THOMAS P. KINZINGER	
16	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
17	Attorneys for Petitioners People of California ex rel. Rob Bonta, and the	
18 19	California Department of Housing and Community Development	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2		CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
3		
4		
5	Dated: August 28, 2025	DAVID ZISSER
6		Assistant Deputy Director California Department of Housing and Community Development
7		Community Development
8	Dated: August 2,72025	CITY OF ARTESIA
9	Dated. August	OIT OF ARTESIA
10		
11		Mrl
12		ABEL AVALOS
13		City Manager
14		
15	Dated: August <u>27,</u> 2025	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
16	24000. 130gust <u>21,</u> 2020	
17		Col
18		MA
19		HongDao Nouyen City Attorney, City of Artesia
20		City Attorney, City of Artesia Attorney for Respondent City of Artesia
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28		

Attachment 1

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California DAVID PAI Supervising Deputy Attorney Consul		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General THOMAS KINZINGER		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 323889		
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6230 E-mail: Thomas.Kinzinger@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Petitioners People of California		
8	ex rel. Rob Bonta, and the California Department of Housing and Community Development		
9	SUDEDIOD COUDT OF THE STATE OF CAUGODNIA		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11	COUNTION	LOS ANGELES	
12			
13	THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL.	Case No.	
14	ROB BONTA, AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER	
15			
	Pelilloners and Plainillis		
16	Petitioners and Plaintiffs,		
16 17	v.		
17	v.		
17 18	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20 21	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20 21 22	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	v. CITY OF ARTESIA,		

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

1

Petitioners PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through ROB BONTA, Attorney General of the State of California, and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (HCD) (collectively, the "State"), and Respondents CITY OF ARTESIA (the "City"; the City and State are sometimes individually referred to as "Party" or collectively as "Parties"), having stipulated to the entry of this Final Judgment and Order ("Judgment") without the taking of proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law herein, and with all Parties having waived the right to appeal; and the Court having considered the pleadings and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

DESCRIPTION OF ACTION

- 1. The Housing Element Law required the City to adopt a housing element to the City's General Plan that substantially complied with the Housing Element Law's terms for the 2021-2029 Planning Period (also known as the Sixth Cycle). (Gov. Code, § 65580 et seq.)¹
- 2. The City failed to meet the deadline to adopt a Sixth Cycle Housing Element that substantially complied with state law. To date, the City has not adopted a compliant Housing Element.
- 3. Any housing element adopted by the City must substantially comply with specific statutory requirements, including ensuring that the City's planning, programs, and ordinances do not unduly constrain or impede its obligation to meet its Regional Housing Needs Allocation ("RHNA").
- 4. This Judgment is entered to fully resolve the claims and allegations brought by the State. The State alleges that the City violated the Housing Element Law by failing to perform its statutory duty to timely adopt a legally compliant housing element to its General Plan, in substantial compliance with California laws governing a local jurisdiction's obligations to do so, for the 2021-2029 planning period. This Judgment is entered to fully resolve the claims and allegations made by the State.

¹ All statutory references are to the California Government Code unless otherwise specified.

- 5. The Parties agree, solely for purposes of entry of this Judgment, that this Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and over the Parties, venue is proper in this County, and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Judgment.
- 6. This Judgment is made without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law. The Parties voluntarily enter into this Judgment in order to avoid the time, expense, and uncertainty of litigation.
- 7. The Parties agree to resolve all claims and allegations pertaining to this action through this Judgment
- 8. The Court declares that the City has not timely adopted a sixth cycle housing element update and its housing element is therefore not currently in substantial compliance with state law.

APPLICABILITY

- 9. All provisions of this Judgment shall be binding upon, and apply to the Parties, including their agents acting within the scope of their agency as well as its successors and assigns with respect to the conduct described in this Judgment.
- 10. The City has and will maintain the full power and authority to undertake the duties and obligations set forth in this Judgment.
- 11. The City shall use reasonable efforts to notify its City Councilmembers, elected officials, officers, directors, employees, and agents responsible for carrying out and effecting the terms of this Judgment and the requirements therein.
- 12. If a separate entity is established or reorganized so that its functions include overseeing or otherwise reviewing the operations of the City or any aspect thereof, the City agrees to ensure these functions and entities are consistent with the terms of this Judgment and will incorporate the terms of this Judgment into the oversight or review functions of the entity as necessary to ensure consistency.

DEFINITIONS

The following definition shall be used in construing the Judgment:

13. "Effective Date" means the date on which a copy of the Judgment, duly executed by the Parties, is approved by and becomes a Judgment/Order of the Court. Should certain terms

below precede the Effective Date, the parties agree to be bound by those terms as conditions prior to entry of this Judgment.

THE CITY'S OBLIGATIONS

- 14. Adoption of a Housing Element Revision Found to be Substantially Compliant by HCD. In order to achieve substantial compliance with the Housing Element Law, the City shall adopt a revised housing element ("Housing Element") no later than February 2, 2026, for the Sixth Cycle 2021-2029 planning period that substantially complies with state housing element law, as determined in good faith by HCD in accordance with Section 65585. The City shall submit its adopted Housing Element to HCD for HCD's certification as set forth in Paragraph 15, below. The Parties acknowledge that HCD certification will, consistent with Government Code section 65588(e)(4)(C) (which prohibits any finding of substantial compliance with Housing Element Law until the City completes all required rezoning), not be final until after the City adopts its Housing Element and zoning ordinance amendments; the City transmits the adopted documents to HCD; and HCD finds the adopted Housing Element substantially compliant.
- 15. <u>Milestones and/or Conditions to Ensure Adoption of a Compliant Housing Element</u>
 <u>by February 2, 2026.</u> The Parties agree that the City shall take the following required actions to
 complete and adopt its Sixth Cycle Housing Element:
 - a. On or about May 13, 2025, the City will publish its revised Draft Housing Element on the City's website for public review and comment.
 - b. On or about May 20, 2025, the City will conduct public outreach to publicize the draft housing element soliciting written and verbal feedback from members of the public, and holding "Housing Element Office Hours" at City Hall and via Zoom, or other video conferencing software.
 - c. On or about June 9, 2025, the City Council will hold a duly noticed public meeting to consider the revised Draft Housing Element and provide direction on any changes to incorporate prior to submitting the revised Draft Housing Element to HCD.

- n. No later than February 18, 2026, the City will submit the adopted Housing
 Element and adopted Zoning Ordinance Amendments and Zoning Changes to
 HCD.
- o. HCD shall return its formal written findings to the City no later than April 6, 2026.
- p. No later than April 21, 2026, and consistent with Government Code section 65588(e)(4)(C)(iii), the City shall submit to HCD its adopted rezoning ordinance.
- q. Each deadline in Paragraph 14 and this Paragraph 15 shall be extended pursuant to the terms of Paragraph 17 &18 below.
- 16. Sixth Cycle Housing Element Revision and the City Public Participation

 Requirement. The City shall comply with all statutory requirements with regard to its public participation efforts including provisions to make a diligent effort to reach all economic segments of the community pursuant to Government Code section 65583(c)(9) and public noticing pursuant to Government Code section 65585(b)(1). The Parties agree that the public participation efforts described in Paragraph 15 satisfy the City's statutory requirements regarding public participation.

 Nothing in this provision precludes HCD from receiving and/or considering public comments as required pursuant to Government Code section 65585(c).

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 17. <u>Technical Assistance from HCD.</u> HCD shall provide the City with technical assistance with its Sixth Cycle Housing Element Revision as follows:
 - a. HCD staff will commit to at least 2.5 hours per month in technical assistance telephone calls with the City, with additional time provided as capacity permits. In connection with these technical assistance telephone calls, the City agrees to make good faith, reasonable progress on the housing element revision process between these calls.
 - b. In order to ensure efficiency in the technical assistance provided by HCD during the housing element review process, the City agrees to make all revisions and edits to its housing element in track changes, or to devise some other method of

27

- highlighting all revisions and edits to the housing element, such that HCD can quickly identify and review the City's revisions and edits.
- c. In order to allow the City to make timely and efficient revisions to its housing element in response to HCD comments, HCD agrees to provide its formal written findings in response to the City's revised and second revised draft Housing Element by August 1, 2025, and November 21, 2025, respectively. Each formal written findings letter will consist of a single set of consolidated comments that identify specific deficiencies in the City's draft housing element and that explains why these sections are deficient and what specific analysis or text HCD expects the City to provide to remedy the deficiency. HCD will provide the City with informal technical assistance, including sample language from other certified housing elements, as applicable, under separate cover from its formal written findings letters. Moreover, HCD agrees that each written findings letter shall be exhaustive at the time of their submission, meaning that it will not identify comments for the first time in subsequent reviews that it could have raised in earlier reviews but did not identify, for whatever reason. This provision does not preclude HCD from identifying new comments on subsequent reviews based on information not previously available to HCD in its prior review. This information includes, but is not limited to, third party comments, revisions to the housing element, and information from the City itself.
- d. To the extent that HCD is unable to meet its specific deadlines set forth in Paragraphs 15 or 17, the deadlines for the City's performance in Paragraphs 14 and 15 shall be extended to account for delays attributable to HCD's failure to meet a specific deadline.
- 18. Agreed Upon Extension to Housing Element Timeline. In the event that HCD's formal findings as called for by Paragraph 15, subdivision (i) request that the City make further changes to the housing element before it can be certified by HCD and if the City has complied with all deadlines in Paragraph 15 prior to the deadline in subdivision (i), then the Parties may

execute an amendment to this judgment extending the deadlines in Paragraph 15 subdivisions (k) through (o) by 60 days.

- 19. <u>Compliance with No Net Loss</u>. The City shall maintain sites sufficient to accommodate Regional Housing Needs Allocation throughout the Sixth Cycle planning period consistent with Government Code section 65863.
- 20. <u>Compliance with Duty to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing</u>. The City shall administer its programs and activities relating to housing and community development in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing, and to take no action that is materially inconsistent with its obligation to affirmatively further fair housing, consistent with Government Code section 8899.50.
- 21. Consistent with Government Code section 65759, the City shall be exempt from compliance with CEQA in connection with all of the actions it is required to undertake pursuant to the preceding paragraphs, if it complies with the requirements of Section 65759, including an environmental assessment.
- 22. Because the City has not had an adopted sixth cycle housing element starting on October 15, 2021, the City shall not use the provisions in subdivision (d)(1) or (d)(5) of Government Code Section 65589.5 to disapprove a housing development project that qualifies for approval under those provisions—that is, a housing development project under section 65589.5, subdivision (h)(2) with housing for lower income households, mixed-income households, or moderate-income households under section 65589.5, subdivision (h)(3)—or to condition the approval of such a project in a manner that renders it infeasible for development for the use of very low, low-, or moderate-income households, or an emergency shelter, including through the use of design review standards.

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT AND REMEDIES

23. Opportunity to Cure. Prior to enforcing this Judgment, the State shall provide written notice identifying the terms with which the City has failed to comply. The State shall allow a reasonable time to cure of at least 15 business days after the City's receipt of written notice before seeking enforcement of the Judgment. To the extent the City must call a special session of its

governing bodies (including the City Council) to timely cure any failure to comply with the Judgment, the City shall call such special session.

- 24. Statutory Penalty Pursuant to Government Code section 65009.1. The civil penalties set forth in Government Code section 65009.1, subdivision (a) shall be assessed on the City beginning from January 1, 2025. These penalties shall be assessed at the statutory minimum of \$10,000 per month for all months through and including February 2026, that is, the month the City attains the final deadline set forth in Paragraph 14. These civil penalties shall be held in abeyance unless and until the City fails to attain any of the deadlines set forth in Paragraph 15 and fails to cure any missed deadline after the cure period in Paragraph 23 expires. For each successive month after February 2026 in which the City fails to comply with the terms of this Judgment, the Court shall modify this judgment pursuant to Government Code section 65009.1, subdivision (d)(2). If the City successfully satisfies the terms of the Judgment, the City will not be liable for fines under section 65009.1.
- 25. Remedies Upon Violation. Immediately upon the City's failure to comply with any of the terms of the Judgment, following notice and an opportunity to cure as provided in Paragraph 23, the State may file an order to show cause on an ex parte basis with the Court, in compliance with applicable court rules and procedures, including proper notice to the City. After a hearing on the matter and upon a finding by the Court that the City has substantially failed to comply with any of the terms in the Judgment, the Court shall elect one or more of the provision(s) set forth under Government Code section 65755, subdivision (a), as remedies for the City's violation(s), until the City has substantially complied. The Court's elected remedy may modify the remedies in Government Code section 65755 so as to ensure compliance with housing element law and to maximize the City's ability to meet its RHNA for the current cycle, with a particular emphasis on meeting its low- and very low-income RHNA.
- 26. Additional Remedies Upon Wrongful Denial or Imposition of Conditions on Housing Development Projects. In the event the City is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to have wrongfully denied or imposed improper conditions on any housing development projects as defined under section 65589.5, subdivision (h)(2) before satisfying the Judgment, the Court shall

immediately impose the fine set forth under Government Code section 65589.5, subdivision (k)(1)(B), and shall escalate those penalties pursuant to subdivisions (k)(1)(C) and (l), until the City has complied with the terms of the Judgment.

- 27. Statutory Penalty Pursuant to Government Code section 65585. In the event that the City does not adopt a Sixth Cycle Housing Element that HCD or the Court determines substantially complies with the law within 12 months of entry of this Judgment and after the Court conducts the required status conference and makes the findings required, the statutory penalties under Government Code section 65585, subdivision (l)(1), shall apply. The parties agree that the terms of Government Code section 65585(m) shall apply here. The City agrees that nothing that has occurred before the entry into force of this Judgment shall constitute the sole basis for any claim for mitigation under subdivision (m) of Section 65585. Continued failure by the City to bring its Sixth Cycle Housing Element into substantial compliance with the Housing Element Law will entitle the State to pursue further penalties in accordance with a subdivision (l) of Section 65585.
- 28. In the event the City disagrees with HCD's findings on a draft housing element, the City may adopt the draft housing element so long as it makes the findings, which would be subject to judicial review in any action brought by HCD (including an action to enforce this Judgment), required under Government Code section 65585(f)(2). Additionally, nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to preclude the City from seeking a judicial determination on whether the City's housing element substantially complies with the Housing Element Law in the event that HCD, pursuant to Government Code section 65585(h), does not find the adopted housing element substantially compliant with the Housing Element Law. If the City seeks a judicial determination on whether the City's housing element substantially complies with the Housing Element Law, the City may file a motion staying enforcement of this judgment. The State reserves the right to oppose such a request on any basis.

SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT AND RELEASE

29. Execution of this Judgment shall constitute full and final resolution of all disputes related to this action, as to all parties and all claims that could have been brought herein.

- 30. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to limit the authority or ability of the Attorney General to assert its right to protect the interests of the State of California or the people of the State of California. This Judgment shall not bar the Attorney General or HCD from investigating and enforcing laws, regulations, or rules against the City for conduct not covered by this Judgment. Further, nothing in this Judgment precludes or affects the Attorney General's right to determine and ensure compliance with this Judgment.
- 31. This Judgment shall be deemed satisfied once all obligations under the provisions of this Judgment are fulfilled. Once the City has adopted its certified housing element as well as any required zoning amendments, and once the housing element and any zoning amendments are certified by HCD, then this stipulated judgment will expire effective the date that HCD finds that the housing element is in substantial compliance with state law.
- 32. With the exception of the provisions on enforcement and remedies set forth in this Judgment, this Judgment releases and forever discharges any civil claim for damages, costs, attorneys' fees, or penalties of any kind against the City by HCD and the People related to the City's failure to timely adopt its updated housing element for the Sixth Cycle 2021-2029 Planning Period.
- 33. The Attorney General representing both the People and HCD in this action executes this release in his, her, or their official capacity and releases only claims belonging to the Attorney General and HCD.
- 34. The State shall bear their own fees and costs, and the City shall bear its own fees and costs with respect to any claims against the State.
 - 35. This Judgment may be enforced only by the Parties hereto.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 36. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as relieving the City of the obligation to comply with all local, state, and federal laws, regulations, or rules.
- 37. If any portion of this Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms of this Judgment shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.
 - 38. The terms of this Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

- 39. This Judgment contains the complete agreement entered into by the Attorney General, HCD, and the City related to the conduct at issue in the action. No promises, representations, or warranties other than those set forth in this Judgment have been made by the Attorney General, HCD, or by the City. This Judgment supersedes all prior communications, discussions, or understandings regarding the City's alleged conduct.
- 40. The Judgment may be modified by a stipulation of the Parties as approved by the Court, or by court proceedings resulting in a modified judgment of the Court. Any failure by any party to this Judgment to insist upon the strict performance by any other party of any of the provisions of this Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver of any of the provisions of this Judgment, and such party, notwithstanding such failure, shall have the right thereafter to insist upon the specific performance of any and all of the provisions of this Judgment.
- 41. The use of headings in this Judgment is only for ease of reference, and the headings have no legal effect and are not to be considered part of this Judgment.
- 42. Jurisdiction is retained by the Court, under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, for the purpose of enabling any party to the Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment for enforcement of compliance herewith, and for the punishment of violations hereof, if any.
- 43. The Parties agree and represent that any persons signing a stipulation for entry of this Judgment are authorized by proper authorities to execute such stipulation on their behalf.
- 44. This Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and a facsimile or digital signature in pdf format shall be deemed to be, and shall have the same force and effect as, an original signature.
- 45. All notices shall be provided in writing to the following via email and overnight mail. For the City:

Abel Avalos, City Manager City of Artesia 18747 Clarkdale Ave. Artesia, CA 90701

1 2 3	HongDao Nguyen Alexander M. Brand Best Best & Krieger LLP 300 South Grand Ave., 25th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90071	
4 5 6 7 8	For the State: David Pai California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, Fl. 20 Oakland, CA 94612 Any Party may update its designee or address by sending written notice to the other Party	
9	informing them of the change.	
10	46. The Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith.	
11		
12		
13		
14	APPROVAL BY COURT	
15		
16		
17	2025.	
18		
19		
20	Hon	
21	Judge of the Superior Court	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
~~		
27 28		