1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	,
2	Attorney General of California ANGELA SIERRA	FILED 0
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General MICHAEL NEWMAN	Superior County of Contra
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAMES F. ZAHRADKA II (SBN 196822)	JAC N 2016
5	Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000	Sherri R. Carte Expos a Cili geriClark
6	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 622-2239	Sally Fietcher
7	Fax: (510) 622-2121 E-mail: James.Zahradka@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE C	F
	CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
12		
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. BC626392
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL
15	v.	JUDGMENT
16	K12 INC. D/B/A/ DELAWARE K12 INC.; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @	
17	SAN MATEO; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ FRESNO; CALIFORNIA	
18	VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ JAMESTOWN; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @	
19	KINGS; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ LOS ANGELES;	
20	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ MARICOPA; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL	
21	ACADEMY HIGH @ MARICOPA;	
22	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ SAN DIEGO; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL	
23	ACADEMY @ SAN JOAQUIN; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @	
24	SONOMA; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ SUTTER; INSIGHT @ SAN	
25	JOAQUIN; INSIGHT @ SAN DIEGO; IQ ACADEMY – LOS ANGELES and DOES	
26	201 through 300, INCLUSIVE,	
27	Defendants.	
28		
2.0		

l

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("People" or "Plaintiff"), through its attorney, Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Deputy Attorney General James F. Zahradka II, and Defendants K12 Inc. ("K12"), appearing through its attorneys Latham & Watkins LLP, by Peter A. Wald, as well as Howard D. Polsky, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary, K12, and the California Virtual Academies ("CAVA" or "CAVA Schools")¹, appearing through their attorneys Young, Minney & Corr LLP, by Paul C. Minney and Lisa A. Corr, (collectively, "Defendants"), stipulate as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and the parties to this Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment ("Stipulation").
- 2. The Final Judgment ("Judgment"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, may be entered by any judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, and together with this Stipulation, shall serve as the complete agreement of the parties.
- 3. The Attorney General may submit the Judgment to any judge of the superior court for approval and signature, based on this stipulation, during the court's ex parte calendar or on any other ex parte basis, without notice to or any appearance by the Defendant which notice and right to appear the Defendant hereby waives.
- 4. Plaintiff and Defendants (collectively, "the Parties") hereby waive their right to move for a new trial or otherwise seek to set aside the Judgment through any collateral attack, and further waive their right to appeal from the Judgment, except the Parties agree that this Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purposes specified in paragraph 16 of the Judgment.
- 5. The Parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of the Judgment without the taking of proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law herein, without the Judgment constituting evidence of or an admission by Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact alleged

The CAVA Schools include CAVA @ Fresno, CAVA @ Jamestown, CAVA @ at Kings, CAVA @ Los Angeles, CAVA @ Maricopa, CAVA High School @ Maricopa, CAVA @ San Diego, CAVA @ San Joaquin, CAVA @ San Mateo, CAVA @ Sonoma, and CAVA @ Sutter, Insight @ San Joaquin; Insight @ San Diego; and iQ Academy – Los Angeles.

in the Complaint on file herein, and without Defendants admitting any liability regarding allegations of violations that occurred prior to the entry of the Judgment.

- 6. The effectiveness of this Stipulation is contingent upon the execution of the Settlement Agreement between the parties to the State of California, ex rel. Susie Kaplar v. California Virtual Academy @ Los Angeles, K12 Inc. D/B/A/ Delaware K12 Inc., Case No. BC483914 action. If that Settlement Agreement is not fully executed, this Stipulation is null and void.
- 7. Defendants will accept service of any Notice of Entry of Judgment entered in this action by delivery of such notice to their counsel of record, and agree that service of the Notice of Entry of Judgment will be deemed personal service upon them for all purposes.
- 8. The individuals signing below represent that they have been authorized by the parties they represent to sign this Stipulation.
- 9. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, and the Parties agree that a facsimile signature shall be deemed to be, and shall have the full force and effect as, an original signature.

REPRESENTATIONS

- 10. K12 represents that the business practices and compliance programs of K12 and the CAVA Schools have been consistently improving, but that there are some areas in which practices and policies could be further strengthened.
- 11. The Parties jointly represent that they have worked cooperatively to address these matters and that the agreement herein represents the conclusion of this cooperative process.

DISCLAIMER OF ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

12. The Judgment is not, and is not intended to be construed as, an admission of fact or liability by any party, or a finding of fact or liability against any party. The Judgment was entered into as a result of a stipulation of the parties, without admissions or findings of fact or law or wrongdoing, misconduct, or illegal acts by K12 or the CAVA Schools, or any of the facts alleged in the Complaint. Neither the Judgment nor any payment hereunder may be used as evidence of liability regarding K12 or the CAVA Schools. To the extent permitted by law, all

9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

communications relating to the negotiations of the settlement reflected in the Judgment shall remain confidential.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

It is the intent of the parties to work collaboratively to address any potential violations of 13. this Judgment. If the Attorney General determines that K12 and/or the CAVA Schools have potentially failed to comply with any of the provisions of the Judgment, before initiating any application for injunctive or monetary relief seeking to enforce the Judgment, the Attorney General shall notify K12 and the CAVA Schools in writing as soon as practicable, providing specific facts and circumstances of the alleged failure. K12 and the CAVA Schools shall thereafter have forty-five (45) days from receipt of such written notice, or such additional time as K12 or the CAVA Schools and the Attorney General agree in writing, to provide a written response to the Attorney General's notice and proposed corrective action, after which the parties shall meet and confer before any determination by the Attorney General to seek enforcement of the Judgment. Defendants will be considered to have cured a potential violation of the Judgment and to be in compliance with this Judgment where they: (a) correct the violation; and (b) can establish that the violation was isolated and is not likely to reoccur. The Attorney General shall determine whether Defendants have satisfied the above elements of any cure, and a determination that the cure is sufficient will not be unreasonably withheld. In response to any enforcement action brought by the Attorney General to enforce this Judgment, any party may present evidence that Defendants have or have not taken corrective or remedial action to address any potential violation of this Judgment. In the event of a conflict between the Judgment and the requirements of federal, state, or local laws, such that K12 or the CAVA Schools cannot comply with the Judgment without violating these requirements under law, K12 and/or the CAVA Schools shall document such conflicts and notify the Attorney General that it intends to comply with the requirements under law to the extent necessary to eliminate the conflict. Neither this paragraph nor any terms of the parties' agreements or judgment shall be considered to preclude the ability of

1	the Attorney General to take any immediate action she deems necessary to protect the health and
2	welfare of any person in the state of California.
3	Wellare of any person in the state of Sampanian
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	·
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5
	Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment

1 2	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Mateo
3	Dated:	By: CAVA G Sonoma
5	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA (â _i Sutter
7 8	Dated:, 2016	By:
9 10	Dated: 2016	By: Insight (a) San Diego
112	Dated: 2016	By: iQ Academy – Los Angeles
13	Approved as to Form:	
14 15	Dated: 2016	By: Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy - Los Angeles
17 18 19 20	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
21	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of the State of California	
22 23	Dated: 7/8 . 2016	By: F. Z
24		
25		
26		-
27		
8		
		5
	Stipulation fo	or Entry of Final Judgment

1		
2	<u>DEFENDANTS</u>	
3		
4	Dated:, 2016	By: K12 Inc.
5	Approved as to Form:	
6	2016	D
7 8	Dated:, 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
9		Counsel to K12 inc.
0	Dated:, 2016	By: Peter Wald
11		Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
12		
3	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
15	Dated: Jelly 1 2016	By: KAVA @ Jamestown
6		TO TO THE PART OF
17	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Kings
9	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Los Angeles
20		CAVA (w) LOS Angeles
21	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Maricopa
22		Ortivit (eg. Friancopa
23	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA High School @ Maricopa
24		
25 26	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
27	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
28		
		4 Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment

1	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo
2			
3	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sonoma
4			
5	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sutter
6 7	Dated:, 2016	Bv:	_
8	Dated.		İnsight @ San Joaquin
9	Dated:, 2016	By:	Insight @ San Diego
10			Insignt @ San Diego
11	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles
12	A d to Forms		
13	Approved as to Form:		Allen
14	Dated: \[\subsetem \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Ву:	
15			Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego,
16			iQ Academy - Los Angeles [
17			
18			
19	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
20	Kamala D, Harris Attorney General of the State of California		
21	,		
22			
23	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		5	
Î	Stipulation for	or Entry	of Final Judgment

1			
2	DEFENDANTS		
3	200		ο
4	Dated:, 20	116	By: K12 Inc.
5	Approved as to Form:		
6 7	Dated:, 20) 6	Ву:
8	Dates.		By: Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
0	Dated:, 20)16	By: Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP
2			Counsel to K12 Inc.
3	Dated:, 20)16	By: CAVA @ Fresno
5	Dated:, 20)16	By: CAVA @ Jamestown
7	Dated:, 20	016	By: CAVA @ Kings
8 9 0	Dated: 7/7 20	016	By: Loa Quelf CAVA @ Los Angeles
、 	Dated:, 20)16	By: CAVA @ Maricopa
3	Dated:, 20)16	By:CAVA High School @ Maricopa
5	Dated; 20	016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
7	Dated:, 20	016	By:
		Stipulation for	4 Entry of Final Judgment

1	A Section 1.			
2	<u>DEFENDANTS</u>			
3				
4	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	K12 Inc.
5	Approved as to Form			
6	Approved as to room	•		
7	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	Timothy J. Hatch
8				Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
9				Country to tell Mic.
10	Dated:	, 2016	By:	Peter Wald
11				Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
12				
13	Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Fresno
14				
15	Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Jamestown
16				_
17	Dated: July 7th	_, 2016	B <u>y</u> :	CAVA @ Kings
18				
19	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Los Angeles
20		0016	_	
21	Dated:	_, 2016	Ву;	CAVA @ Maricopa
23	Dated	2016		
24	Dated:	_, 2016	Ву: _	CAVA High School @ Maricopa
25	Dated:	2016		
26	Dated.	_, 2016	ву:	CAVA @ San Diego
27	Dated:	2016		
28		, 2010	ву:	CAVA @ San Joaquin
			4	
				of Final Judgment

ì			
2	<u>DEFENDANTS</u>		
3	5016	n	
4	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	K12 Inc.
5	Approved as to Form:		
6 7	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	
8	Dated, 2010	Δ,	Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
9			Counsel to K12 Inc.
10	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Peter Wald
11			Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP
12			Counsel to K12 Inc.
13	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Fresno
14			CAVA @ Fresno
15	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Jamestown
16			CITY I (a) Juniostown
17	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Kings
18			
19	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Los Angeles
20	B		
21	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Maricopa
22 23	Dated. 2016		
24	Dated:, 2016	ву:	CAVA High School @ Maricopa
25	Dated:, 2016		
26	, 2010	Ву:	CAVA @ San Diego
27	Dated: 7-7-16, 2016	Ву:	//lu ///
28		-	CAVA @ San Joaquin
ĺ		4	
ſ		Stipulation for Entry	of Final Judgment

1		
2	<u>DEFENDANTS</u>	
3		
4	Dated:, 2016	By: K12 Inc.
5	Approved as to Form:	
6	Approved as to Form.	
7	Dated:, 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch
8		Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
9		_
0	Dated:, 2016	By: Peter Wald
1		Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
2		
3	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
4	Dated:, 2016	Rv.
5	Dated.	By: CAVA @ Jamestown
7	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Kings
8		CAVA @ Kings
9	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Los Angeles
0		CAVA @ Los Angeles
1	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Maricopa
.2		CAVA @ Maricopa
:3	Dated:, 2016	By:CAVA High School @ Maricopa
!4	,	
5	Dated: <u>7/7</u> , 2016	By: CANA @ San Diego
6		CAN A (a) San Diego
7	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
8		CAVA (w) San Joaquin
		4
- 1	Stipt	plation for Entry of Final Judgment

1 2	Dated:7/7/16, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo	
3	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sonoma	
5	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sutter	
7	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin	
9 10	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego	
11 12	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles	
13	Approved as to Form:			
14 15 16	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles	
18 19 20 21	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of the State of California			
22 23 24 25 26	Dated:, 2016	Ву;		
28				
		5		
I	Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment			

1	Dated: 2016	By:	CAVA @ San Mateo
3	Dated:	By:	(1) (1)
5	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	
6 7	Dated: 2016	By:	Insight @ San Joaquin
8 9			
10 11	Dated:, 2016		Insight @ San Diego
12	Dated:, 2016	By:	iQ Academy - Los Angeles
13	Approved as to Form:		
14 15 16	Dated:, 2016	By:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies. Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego.
17 18			iQ Academy - Los Angeles
19 20	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
21 22	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of the State of California		
23	Dated:, 2016	By:	
24 25			
26			
27 28			
د م ا		-	
	Stipulation fo	5 or Entry	of Final Judgment
		-	•

1	<u>DEFENDANTS</u>	
2	Dated: <u>July 8</u> , 2016	By: Huart Idell
3 4	Dated:	K12 Inc.
5	Approved as to Form:	
6	Dated: 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch
7		Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
8	2016	Ву:
9	Dated:, 2016	Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP
11		Counsel to K12 Inc.
12	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
13	2016	O
14 15	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Jamestown
16	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Kings
17		CAVA @ Kings
18	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Los Angeles
19	Dated: ,2016	B _{vv}
20 21	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Maricopa
22	Dated:, 2016	By:
23		CAVA High School @ Maricopa
24	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
25	2014	
26 27	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
28		
		4
		Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment

Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo
Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sonoma
Dated: <u>07/07</u> , 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin
Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego
Dated:, 2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as to Form:		
Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Dieg iQ Academy – Los Angeles
THE STATE OF CALIFO	RNIA	
Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of the State	of California	
Dated:, 2016	Ву:	
	Stipulation for Entry	

	Dated:	, 2016	By: K12 Inc.
	Approved as to Fo	rm:	
	Dated:	, 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
	Dated: July 7	, 2016	By: Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
	Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
	Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Jamestown
	Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Kings
	Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Los Angeles
	Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Maricopa
	Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA High School @ Maricopa
	Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
]	Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ San Joaquin

1	DEFENDANTS	
_3	Dated: , 2016	. By: K12 Inc.
4	Approved as to Form:	K12 IIIG.
5	Approved as to coun.	
6	Dated:, 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch
7		Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
8		S SAMPLE STATE STATE
9	Dated:, 2016	By: Peter Wald
10		Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
11		:.
12	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
13	2014	D.
14 15 .	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA (a); Jamestown
16	Dated:	Rec
17	17dedi.	By: CAVA @ Kings
18	Dated:, 2016	Bv:
19		By: CAVA @ Los Angeles
20	Dated:,2016	By:
21		By: CAVA (e) Maricopa
33	Dated:2016	By:
2.3		CAVA High School (æ) Maricopa
24	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA (2) San Diego
25		
26	Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
27		CVA V. (6. 9au agadun
28		
-		Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment

1	Dated: 2016	By: CAVA @ San Mateo
3 4	Dated:, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sonoma
5 6	Dated:, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sutter
7 8	Dated:, 2016	By:
9 10	Dated:, 2016	By:
11 12	Dated: July 8 , 2016	By: <u>Inlie a. Mendona</u> A Academy - Los Angeles
13	Approved as to Form:	
14 15 16	Dated:, 2016	By: Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles
18		
19	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
20 21	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of the State of California	
22		
23	Detail	
24	Dated:, 2016	By:
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		5
8	Stipulation for	or Entry of Final Judgment

1 2	Dated:, 20	16 By	CAVA @ San Mateo
· 3	Dated:, 20)	16 By	CAVA @ Sonoma
5 6	Dated: <u>07/07</u> , 201	6 Ву	CAVA @ Sutter
7 8	Dated: <u>07/08</u> , 201	6 By	Insight @ 8an Joaquin
9 10	Dated:, 201	6 Ву	Insight @ San Diego
11	Dated:, 201	б Ву	iQ Academy – Los Angeles
13	Approved as to Form:		
14 15	Dated:, 2016	б Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP
16 17			Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles
18			
19	THE STATE OF CALIFOR	RNIA	
20	Kamala D. Harris		
21	Attorney General of the State of	of California	
23			
24	Dated:, 2016	Ву;	
25			
26			
27			
28			
		5	
#	•	Stipulation for Entry	of Final Judgment

i			
2	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo
3	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sonoma
5 6	Dated:, 2016	By:	CAVA @ Sutter
7 8	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin
9	Dated: 7/8, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego
11	Dated;, 2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles
13	Approved as to Form:		
14 15 16	Dated:, 2016		Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles
17 18			
19 20	THE STATE OF CALIFORN Kamala D. Harris	<u>IA</u>	
21	Attomey General of the State of (California	
22			
23	Dated:, 2016	By:	
24		. , . ,	
25			
26 27			
28			
0		5	
41			

1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California	
2	ANGELA SIERRA Senior Assistant Attorney General	
3	Michael Newman	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAMES F. ZAHRADKA II (SBN 196822)	
	Deputy Attorney General	
5	1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000	
6	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 622-2239	
	Fax: (510) 622-2121	
7	E-mail: James.Zahradka@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE O	<u> </u>
8	CALIFORNIA	1
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
11	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
11		
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. BC 626392
13	CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff,	
1.4		[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT
14	v.	
15	K12 INC. D/B/A/ DELAWARE K12 INC.;	•
16	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ SAN MATEO; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL	
	ACADEMY @ FRESNO; CALIFORNIA	
17	VIRTUAL AČADEMY @ JAMESTOWN; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @	
18	KINGS; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL	
10	ACADEMY @ LOS ANGELES;	
19	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ MARICOPA; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL	
20	ACADEMY HIGH @ MARICOPA;	
21	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ SAN DIEGO; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL	
21	ACADEMY @ SAN JOAQUIN;	
22	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @	
23	SONOMA; CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ SUTTER; INSIGHT @ SAN	
	JOAQUIN; INSIGHT @ SAN DIEGO; IQ	
24	ACADEMY – LOS ANGELES; and DOES 201 through 300, INCLUSIVE,	
25		
26	Defendants.	
Į		
27	Plaintiff, the People of the State of Califo	rnia ("People" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its
28	attorney, Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of	the State of California ("Attorney General"), and
		Į.
Į.		<u> </u>

[Proposed] Final Judgment

by Supervising Deputy Attorney General Michael L. Newman and Deputy Attorney General James F. Zahradka II, and Defendants K12 Inc. ("K12"), appearing through its attorneys Latham & Watkins LLP, by Peter A. Wald, as well as Howard D. Polsky, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary, K12, and the California Virtual Academies ("CAVA" or "CAVA Schools")², appearing through their attorneys Young, Minney & Corr LLP, by Paul C. Minney and Lisa A. Corr, having stipulated to the entry of this Judgment (the "Judgment") by the Court without the taking of proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law, without this Judgment constituting evidence of or admission by K12 or the CAVA Schools regarding any issue of law or fact alleged in the People's Complaint on file or any of the allegations or conclusions set forth herein, and without K12 or the CAVA Schools admitting any liability, and with all parties having waived their right to appeal, and the Court having considered the matter and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- This Court has jurisdiction over the allegations and subject matter of the People's Complaint filed in this action, and the parties to this action; venue is proper in this County; and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Judgment.
- 2. This Judgment is entered pursuant to and subject to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq. (Unfair Competition Law) and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq. (False Advertising Law).

CONDUCT PROVISIONS

- 3. The Attorney General and K12 and/or the CAVA Schools may jointly stipulate to make changes, modifications, and amendments to the Stipulation and to the Judgment, which shall be effective 30 days after a joint motion is filed by the parties and granted by the Court.
- 4. Nothing in this Judgment alters the requirements of federal or state law to the extent they offer greater protection to consumers.

The CAVA Schools include CAVA @ Fresno, CAVA @ Jamestown, CAVA @ at Kings, CAVA @ Los Angeles, CAVA @ Maricopa, CAVA High School @ Maricopa, CAVA @ San Diego, CAVA @ San Joaquin, CAVA @ San Mateo, CAVA @ Sonoma, CAVA @ Sutter, Insight @ San Joaquin; Insight @ San Diego; and iQ Academy – Los Angeles.

- 5. The Conduct Provisions of this Judgment shall apply to Defendants as well as their subsidiaries; their successors and the assigns of all or substantially all of the assets of their businesses; and their directors, officers, employees, agents, independent contractors, partners, associates and representatives of each of them with respect to their activities in the State of California.
 - 6. Defendants shall engage in the following affirmative corrective actions:
 - (a) Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, as well as all other applicable laws, Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly engaging in any of the following acts or practices in California:
 - (1) Making any false or misleading statements, in any manner, expressly or by implication in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq. (Unfair Competition Law) and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq. (False Advertising Law), regarding the following:
 - a. Students' academic success or progress;
 - b. Parent satisfaction;
 - c. The approvals of Defendants' schools' coursework for graduates' eligibility for admission to California public universities;
 - d. The flexibility and individualization of K12's curriculum;
 - e. Providing students with education at no cost;
 - f. The quality of instructional materials; and
 - g. Class size.
 - (2) Failing to comply with California's nonprofit public benefit corporation laws, including, but not limited to, Corporations Code §§ 5231 and 5233.
 - (b) Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, the CAVA Schools shall formalize its policy requiring learning coaches to complete, sign and submit logs to substantiate all offline educational activity. These logs may be submitted by

either paper or electronically (separately or as part of the Online School System) at the end of the learning period (as defined in the board-approved independent study policy), depending upon the systems available. The CAVA schools shall train learning coaches on what offline activities may be logged, the use of the log, and why the information submitted on the log needs to be correct.

- (c) The log shall include the following information for each identified off-line educational activity: the date; the subject matter; a description of the off-line educational activity, including the specific assignment to which it related; and the amount of time the student spent on the activity. If a student engages in multiple off-line educational activities in one day, the learning coach must log each activity separately per course and provide the required information for each activity.
- (d) The log shall also include a confirmation or acknowledgement that the information provided is true and correct.
- (e) The CAVA Schools shall maintain copies of these logs in electronic form for at least three years.
- (f) The CAVA Schools shall distribute to learning coaches a document each semester identifying each of their responsibilities, including being present and/or available during the school day to monitor the student's progress and logging attendance daily (unless the student is an adult or emancipated minor under California law). Learning coaches will sign, date, and submit a document annually stating that they understand their responsibilities.
- (g) Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, the CAVA Schools shall adopt a policy which requires them to send an email to teachers on or about the end of every learning period, instructing them on how to generate and submit their attendance registers. These emails shall include reminders to verify that: (1) a student has a compliant Independent Study Master Agreement ("ISMA"); and (2) attendance was properly claimed. To assist teachers with the second step in this

verification process, the email shall include a summary of the daily engagement requirement and time value requirement with links to materials that explain these requirements. Absent a change in the law, the email and supporting linked materials shall explain both:

(1) The daily engagement rule requires CAVA's teachers to examine whether a student was "engaged in educational activities required of them by their charter schools, on days when school is actually taught in their charter schools." Although this regulation does not impose a threshold minimum daily time requirement, a student merely logging in to the online school system without engaging in educational activities, for example, is not sufficient.

AND

- (2) The separate time value rule requires CAVA teachers to examine the "time value" of the student's "work products" over the whole learning period. The email shall also explain that the teacher must report the lower value as between the number of days of daily engagement and the number of days of time value that the teacher has determined. The email shall also include instructions on how to "back out," or remove attendance from the system, if necessary.
- (h) Attendance registers shall contain a certification stating that the undersigned teacher assessed whether each student met the separate daily engagement and time value requirements, as described above. In doing so, the teacher will also certify that he or she has, as necessary: (1) reviewed each student's progress; (2) reviewed contemporaneous records of on line/off-line

engagement in instructional activities required by the school; (3) received and reviewed each student's weekly learning log; (4) contacted learning coaches to confirm off-line work if learning coaches did not submit weekly learning logs or submitted deficient logs; and (5) contacted subject matter teachers to determine whether students have been completing coursework.

- (i) Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, K12 and the CAVA schools shall develop a uniform script or website reference to be utilized by enrollment center staff, enrollment counselors or any other staff who speak with prospective students about the enrollment process, which provides full and accurate information about the nature of the virtual school environment and the potential advantages and challenges it entails. K12 will also develop a Frequently Asked Questions sheet addressing the same information as the aforementioned script, to be made available to prospective parents upon request.
- (j) K12 shall enhance existing training of enrollment center staff relating to the corrective measures pertaining to enrollment contained in this Judgment, both for new hires and on a periodic basis for extant staff.
- (k) Within 180 days of the entry of this Judgment, K12 shall create videos to be housed on the CAVA Schools' website, to reflect the potential advantages and challenges that parents and students might face in a virtual school environment.
- (l) Before the start of the school year beginning August 2017, the CAVA schools shall adopt a policy that provides all parents and (if age appropriate) students with the opportunity to attend one-on-one counseling with qualified, school-based staff members who are trained to understand the CAVA Schools' program, virtual learning environment, and student-teacher interaction (hereinafter "school-based staff members") before the student's school start date. At the parent's option, this meeting will be available via telephone, video conference,

web-chat embedded on the webpage that hosts prospective student information, or similar medium allowing for interactivity.

- (m) Before the start of the school year beginning August 2017, the CAVA schools shall hire, train, and assign qualified school-based staff members to hold these meetings.
 - (1) School-based staff members shall not be sales staff or have any connection to K12's enrollment, sales, or marketing departments besides receiving requests for these appointments.
 - (2) School-based staff members may, at the CAVA Schools' option, be current teaching staff or others with substantial knowledge about how virtual schools operate from the perspective of students and parents.
 - (3) The CAVA schools shall develop scripts for these school-based staff members describing in detail the expectations of the parent/LC and student, as well as challenges commonly faced by students based on the CAVA Schools' extensive experience in this area.
 - (4) The CAVA schools shall require the school-based staff members to maintain notes of these meetings in alignment with the above referenced script, which will be included in each enrollee's online file contained within the student information system and maintained throughout the student's period of enrollment or, for students who do not start school, held for three years.
- (n) Within 30 days of the entry of this Judgment, K12 shall eliminate any element of incentive compensation related in any way to the raw number, volume, or percentage of students enrolled for enrollment center staff or third party entities involved in the enrollment process. With regard to any such third party entities, K12 will seek to include this restriction in contracts with such third party entities.

- (o) Pursuant to the division of responsibilities provided in the current Educational Products and Services Agreements ("EPSA"), at the request of any enrolled student, K12 shall, at no cost to the student:
 - (1) Provide an adequate computer to support the online coursework and other software and hardware (including, but not limited to, monitors) for students to complete coursework,
 - (2) Provide supplies, including those needed to complete science lessons and any other coursework requiring supplies, except for commonly available household and home office items.
- (p) Pursuant to the division of responsibilities provided in the current EPSA, at the request of any enrolled student, the CAVA Schools shall, at no cost to the student:
 - (1) Provide a subsidy for high-speed internet service. This amount will initially be \$20 per month per household and the CAVA Schools shall review and adjust the amount annually based on prevailing rates for high-speed internet service in their service area.
 - (2) Provide all supplies required for any student to complete his or her coursework, including: additional computer peripherals, assistive technologies, and coursework supplies, as needed, except for commonly available household and home office items.
- (q) By September 15, 2016, the CAVA schools shall modify their Parent/Student Handbook and other appropriate parent-facing documents to reflect the above.

- (r) K12 and the CAVA schools shall continue to conduct training for those employees involved in the provisioning of computers and related hardware, supplies, and high-speed internet subsidy, as well as enrollment center staff, regarding these cost and reimbursement policies.
- (s) Within one year of the entry of this Judgment, K12 shall seek approval for at least two courses that satisfy the University of California's "d" (laboratory science) and "f" (visual and performing arts) requirements of the "a-g" course requirements to be eligible for admission to the University of California and California State University systems.
- (t) The CAVA Schools shall, at no cost to the student, provide all supplies, materials, or venues necessary for a student to complete the courses and obtain "ag" credits, and the opportunity for students to satisfy the requirements by obtaining satisfactory scores on SAT or AP exams shall remain available.
- (u) By September 15, 2016, the CAVA schools, the CAVA Schools and K12 shall adopt and/or publish widely a clear policy that English fluency is not a requirement for Learning Coaches.
- (v) K12 and the CAVA schools shall conduct training for CAVA School staff and K12 enrollment center staff about this policy and resources that are available for affected families.
- Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, the CAVA schools shall (w) issue a bulletin informing/reminding staff about the CAVA Schools' obligation to provide meaningful access to English Language Learner ("ELL")/ Limited English Proficient ("LEP") students based on the January 7, 2015 "Dear Colleague" letter authored by the United States Department of Justice and the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights, available at: http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-el-201501.pdf and establish policies that ensure compliance with these obligations.

- (x) By September 15, 2016, the CAVA schools shall modify the Parent/Student Handbook and other appropriate parent-facing documents to reflect the above.
- (y) K12 shall train its enrollment center staff regarding the CAVA Schools' process for making changes to a student's curriculum grade level (higher or lower), and the role of the parent therein including, specifically, the grade level placement requirements permitted by law.
- (z) K12 shall clarify in its print advertisements and on the CAVA schools' websites that the parent's ability to choose the grade level for their student is dependent upon engagement in a collaborative process with school personnel.
- (aa) Subject to the adoption of final web accessibility rules implementing Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1974 and the Americans with Disabilities Act, as provided by "Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability; Accessibility of Web Information and Services of State and Local Government Entities, 81 Fed. Reg. 28,658 (proposed May 9, 2016)," K12 shall:
 - (1) Complete its existing initiative to ensure an accessible learning environment by the end of 2018.
 - (2) Empower the existing K12 Accessibility Coordinator to take in and independently investigate and resolve accessibility-related complaints within a specified time frame.
 - (3) Agree to an independent evaluation to commence immediately following completion of the accessibility initiative by an expert selected by K12 and approved by the Attorney General's Office regarding the accessibility of K12's learning environment, including its website and all educational materials and lessons.
 - (4) Based on that evaluation, K12 shall:

- a. Adopt corrective measures to address any identified accessibility problems with a specific timetable;
- b. Adopt or modify an accessibility policy that is legally compliant; and
- c. Conduct training for all pertinent staff pertaining to online accessibility requirements.
- (bb) Within 90 days of the completion of the independent evaluation, K12 shall provide a report to the Attorney General's Office with the results of the independent evaluation and steps taken based on the evaluation.
- (cc) The CAVA Schools shall review Individualized Learning Plan ("ILP") documents of third-parties and enhance training and controls related to the development and review of individualized learning plans.
- (dd) For so long as the CAVA Schools offer ILPs, they shall ensure that all staff involved in the ILP process are properly trained regarding ILPs, including, but not limited to, the fundamental purpose of the ILP process, the CAVA Schools' procedures for crafting an ILP, and its educational value.
- (ee) Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, K12 and the CAVA Schools shall ensure that all representations in advertising or any other statement to the public, prospective parents, or investors comply with all agreements set forth herein and as required by California law.
- (ff) Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, K12 shall ensure that its public website accurately reflects K12's compliance with the law as agreed to herein.
- (gg) K12 shall include a corrected version of the Scantron results from SY13-14 in its 2016 Annual Academic Report.

- (hh) K12 shall include a statement in the first quarterly earnings call following the entry of this Judgment correcting the statement regarding Scantron results in the Fiscal Year 2015 First Quarter Earnings Call held October 30, 2014.
- (ii) K12 shall, in any future representations in advertising or any other statement to the public, prospective parents, or investors based on Scantron results, include all students within the Standard Error of Measurement ("SEM") in reflecting student academic achievement.
- (jj) K12 shall remove the 2015 Annual Academic Report from its website, include the corrected 2013-2014 Scantron data in the 2016 Annual Academic Report, and include a statement at the front of the 2016 Report highlighting the revision (to be contained in an appendix).
- (kk) K12 shall correct the "Academic Results" page on K12's website (http://www.k12.com/k12-education/academic-results.html).
- (II) Regarding the Wells Fargo Securities Report issued Nov. 3, 2014: K12 shall inform Wells Fargo if the Scantron data in this report was inaccurate and provide the new data to Wells Fargo.
- (mm) Regarding the CAVA Schools' 2015 Self-Study Visiting Committee Report for the Western Association of Schools and Colleges ("WASC") and the California Department of Education ("CDE"): the CAVA schools shall review the Scantron information to discern its accuracy and, if inaccurate, revise and resubmit.
- (nn) The CAVA schools shall investigate whether the 2013-2014 Scantron data was used as an assessment to fulfill NCLB Title I requirements and, if so, revise and resubmit.
- (00) K12 shall determine whether the results of academic PMOs were inaccurately calculated and reported, and the K12 Compensation Committee shall take up the matter and shall make its Compensation Committee report available to the Attorney General before filing it with its FY 2016 Proxy Statement.

- (pp) In all future representations in advertising or any other statement to the public, prospective parents, or investors related to parent satisfaction, K12 shall utilize the precision currently set forth in the "K12 Produces Results" page on K12's website (http://www.k12.com/k12-education/academic-results.html).
- (qq) K12 shall ensure that information related to parent satisfaction is promptly updated as new surveys are conducted.
- (rr) K12 shall train enrollment center staff to refer callers to either the K12 or school websites for information regarding parent satisfaction results.
- (ss) K12 shall train enrollment center staff assigned to California to deliver accurate information regarding class sizes and pupil-teacher ratios.
- (tt) If any external sources of information are utilized or referred to by any K12 or CAVA Schools employee, K12 and the CAVA Schools shall ensure that those sources are accurate and complete.
- (uu) Until such time as K12 obtains approval for classes in each "a-g" discipline, as stated above, in all statements related to CAVA Schools' graduates' eligibility for college admission, K12 and the CAVA schools shall include detailed "disclaimers" explaining the options available to satisfy all requirements of the University of California's "a-g" program. Said disclaimers shall include a statement that these courses are "pending approval."
- (vv) K12 shall train enrollment center staff to deliver complete and accurate information regarding the CAVA Schools' current ability to directly offer courses that satisfy all requirements of the University of California's "a-g" program.
- (ww) The CAVA Schools shall submit to annual Special Education Local Planning Area ("SELPA") reviews and K12-administered audits of their special education programs.
- (xx) Based on the SELPA and K12 audit reports, the CAVA Schools shall create and implement training and provide technical assistance to staff to ensure

effective understanding of legal requirements related to delivery of special education services.

- (yy) K12 shall increase its annual audit sampling scope of the CAVA Schools' special education programs from approximately 1-2 percent to 5 percent of relevant student files.
- The CAVA Schools shall engage an independent, third-party expert, to be approved by the Attorney General's Office, who will review the CAVA Schools' existing special education policies and recommend new and/or revised policies and procedures, as needed to comply with the law. The third-party expert will also review the above referenced K12 annual special education audit process and provide its recommendations to K12.
 - (1) This review will assist with the identification and assessment of existing enrollees' special education needs and delivery of services to those students.
 - (2) Based on this review, the CAVA Schools shall:
 - a. Adopt or modify and/or revise special education policies and procedures, as necessary to ensure that they are legally compliant; and
 - b. Conduct training for all pertinent staff pertaining to special education requirements.
 - (3) The CAVA schools shall announce new or revised policies to parents and LCs annually.
 - (4) Within 30 days of the completion of the independent evaluation, after review and input from K12 and the CAVA Schools, the expert will

prepare a report to be provided to the Attorney General's Office, summarizing the review and recommendations that were made.

- (5) All parts of this subparagraph shall be completed within 18 months of the entry of this Judgment.
- (aaa) The CAVA Schools shall comply with IEP requirements and, if appropriate, provide compensatory education services.
- (bbb) The CAVA Schools shall comply with the procedures specified in the IDEA for consulting with third parties for an Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE) [34 CFR 300.502] and will train all relevant staff regarding the same.
- (ccc) K12 shall forgive all of the CAVA Schools' accumulated annual balanced budget credits following the end of each school year; however, any monies received by a CAVA School in a subsequent school year (for example, due to payment in arrears, deferral, or delayed revenue as allowed by state or federal law) that are attributable to student attendance from the prior school year may, subject to the contract between K12 and the specific CAVA School receiving the monies, be remitted to K12 as compensation for services rendered. Stated otherwise, K12 agrees that only revenue attributable to a particular school year (whether received in the current school year or subsequent school year) may be used to pay its fees for that particular school year. For example, only funds received for school year 2015-16, regardless of when the funds are received, will be used to pay K12 fees attributable to the 2015-16 school year.
- (ddd) K12 shall remove itself from the check writing authority of the CAVA Schools' bank accounts. All accounts payable ("AP") and the manner in which AP will be handled must be approved by the CAVA Schools' Boards. K12 may, at the discretion of each CAVA School's Board and in accordance with the law, be provided bank records by the CAVA Schools.

(eee) To ensure an arms-length relationship between the not-for-profit CAVA Schools and the for-profit education management company hired by the CAVA schools, the CAVA Boards shall adopt a Conflicts of Interest Policy ("Policy") that bars any K12 directors, officers, and employees, or any of their family members, from being a Board member, agent, or employee of any CAVA School. In addition, the Policy shall preclude any director, officer or employee of any CAVA School, or any of their family members, from being a Board member, agent, or employee of K12, and no CAVA employee shall report to a K12 employee (subject to the approval of the CAVA School Board, however, the Policy would permit non-instructional staff such as file and accounting clerks and other administrative staff to support the CAVAs' contract with K12 on behalf of the CAVAs).

- (1) For purposes of this provision, a person's "family member" means any brother, sister, ancestor, descendant, spouse, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, mother-in-law, or father-in-law of such person.
- (2) The Policy will include surveying all CAVA board members annually to ensure that any family members have not become K12 employees in the prior year. If any CAVA board member has become a family member of a K12 employee in the prior year, the board member agrees to resign from the board within 90 days.
- (fff) Within 90 days of the entry of this Judgment, K12 and the CAVA Schools shall create or amend and enforce a written conflict of interest policy that sets forth the applicable federal and California state laws and regulations, and distribute such policy to all employees, board members, and executives.

(ggg) The CAVA Schools shall inform the CAVA Schools' boards and authorizing school districts of the terms of this Judgment within 30 days of its entry.

(hhh) The CAVA Schools shall conduct a training every two years for their boards regarding the Brown Act, conflicts of interest and fiduciary duties, including the material provisions in the service agreements with K12.

MONETARY PROVISIONS

- 7. K12 shall pay the California Attorney General's Office Six Million dollars (\$6,000,000) to defray the costs of this action and to fund the investigation and prosecution of enforcement cases to protect the rights of children.
- 8. Payments required to be paid pursuant to Paragraph 7 of this Judgment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days of the entry of this Judgment, payable by wire transfer to the California Attorney General's Office pursuant to instructions provided by the Attorney General's Office.

FORBEARANCE

9. K12 shall expunge all balanced budget credits incurred by any of the CAVA Schools at the end of every year. All balanced budget credits from years 2005 through 2015 that have not already been expunged (approximately \$160,000,000 in credits against amounts otherwise due under managed school contracts for up to 11 CAVA Schools) shall be expunged immediately upon entry of this Judgment. The credit for 2016 is estimated to be \$9,000,000 across all schools and if the schools' balanced budgets do not allow for payment, this credit will not be carried over, per this policy.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

10. This Judgment is not based on conduct showing moral turpitude and is not intended to form the basis of any disqualifications contained in federal or state law, including, but not limited to, K12 or the CAVA Schools' eligibility to receive federal or state funds in any way. This Judgment is made without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law. Nothing in this

26

27

28

Judgment is an admission of liability by K12 or the CAVA Schools of any allegations made in Plaintiff's Complaint, nor a concession by Plaintiff that its claims are not well-founded. Nothing herein reduces the obligations of K12 or the CAVA Schools under this Judgment or affects Plaintiff's authority to enforce any rights hereunder.

- 11. Nothing herein shall by construed as relieving K12 or the CAVA Schools of the obligation to comply with all state and federal laws, regulations or rules, nor shall any of the provisions herein be deemed to be permission to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by such laws, regulations or rules; nor shall anything herein be construed to preclude the Attorney General from exercising any of her powers or authority.
- In consideration of all of the obligations of the Defendants in this Judgment, 12. effective upon the full payment of the amount due under Paragraph 7 of this Judgment, the People of the State of California fully and finally release and forever discharge Defendants, together with their current and former directors, officers, employees, shareholders, parents, partners, agents, transferees, predecessors in interest, successors in interest, subsidiaries, affiliates and assigns (collectively the "Releases") from and of any and all liabilities, rights, claims, actions, causes of action, demands, damages, costs, attorney's fees, losses, and remedies, that the People of the State of California have or could have brought resulting from, arising out of, based upon, or related to any aspect of any Covered Conduct, to the extent that any such acts or omissions occurred before the Date of entry of this Judgment. The released liabilities, claims, and causes of action include but are not limited to civil liabilities, claims, or causes of action pursuant to consumer protection statutes, other consumer-related laws, civil fraud laws, or the common law, including, but not limited to: Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.), False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.), California's False Claims Act (Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12650 et seq.), or any other statute. This Release does not release or bar any rights or causes of action arising from the Covered Conduct belonging to any state agency other than the Attorney General, whether or not in the name of the People of the State of California,

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 including but not limited to the California State Controller's Office, the California Department of Education, or the State Board of Education

a. "Covered Conduct" means those matters that were included or could have been included in the Attorney General's complaint, including, but not limited to: (1) K12's and the CAVA Schools' accounting and reporting of attendance to the State; (2) K12's and the CAVA Schools' recruitment, admissions and enrollment practices; (3) K12's and the CAVA Schools' provision of free education, including technical and instructional materials, to students; (4) K12's and the CAVA Schools' provision of legally required accessibility to all individuals, including but not limited to students with disabilities and English language learners and their families; (5) K12's and the CAVA Schools' use of Individualized Learning Plans ("ILP") for students; (6) K12's and the CAVA Schools' advertisements, representations, and statements to enrolled families, the public, chartering and accrediting authorities, and investors; (7) K12's and the CAVA Schools' provision of special education services and related support to all eligible students; (8) K12's and the CAVA Schools' placement of students in appropriate educational settings; (9) K12's and the CAVA Schools' submissions of Funding Determination Forms to the State; (10) K12's efforts to submit courses and gain approval from the University of California of each of its 'a-g' course offerings; (11) the relationship between K12 and the CAVA Schools and K12's management of the CAVA Schools; (12) K12's and the CAVA Schools' network security policies and practices with respect to safeguarding student information; and (13) K12's debt relationship with the CAVA Schools, the CAVA School's approval of the K12 contracts, and K12's policies and practices regarding the allocation of (a) teachers' salaries and (b) staff development costs, to particular CAVA Schools.

- 13. The provisions of this Judgment do not bar, estop, or otherwise prevent Plaintiff or any other government agency from taking any action against K12 or the CAVA Schools, except as described in Paragraph 12.
- 14. In the event that K12 or the CAVA Schools conclude, based on changed circumstances, that the terms of this Judgment unfairly restrict their business practices, then K12 or the CAVA Schools may submit a written request to the Attorney General seeking to modify the terms of this Judgment including requesting that any or all of K12's or the CAVA Schools' obligations under the Conduct Provisions cease. The Attorney General shall make a good faith evaluation of K12's or the CAVA Schools' request and shall respond to the request within one hundred and twenty (120) days of receipt of such request. If the Attorney General denies K12's or the CAVA Schools' requested modifications, K12 or the CAVA Schools may in accordance with California Rules of Civil Procedure and paragraph 16 of this Judgment, petition the Court for modification of the terms and conditions of this Judgment.
- Attorney General before this Court. In any such enforcement action, the Attorney General may seek relief to enforce this Judgment, including injunctive relief, damages, penalties, and any other relief provided by law or authorized by a court of competent jurisdiction. Defendants may make a motion to terminate their obligations to engage in affirmative corrective measures as set forth in this Judgment on or after July 1, 2021, on a showing of good cause.
- 16. Jurisdiction is retained by the Court for the purpose of enabling any party to the Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or the carrying out of this Judgment, for the modification of any of the injunctive provisions hereof, for enforcement of compliance herewith, and for the punishment of violations hereof, if any.
- 17. Together with the Stipulation, this Judgment contains the complete agreement between the parties. The parties have made no promises, representations, or warranties concerning the subject matter of this Stipulation and Judgment, other than what is contained

herein and therein, and the Stipulation and Judgment supersede any prior oral or written communications, discussions, or understandings. Nothing in Paragraph 19 of this Judgment or Paragraph 2 of the Stipulation affects or alters any rights or obligations under the separate settlement agreement between the parties to the State of California, ex rel. Susie Kaplar v. California Virtual Academy @ Los Angeles, K12 Inc. D/B/A/ Delaware K12 Inc., Case No. BC483914 action.

- 18. The waiver or failure of any party to exercise any rights under this Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver of any right or any future rights. If any part of this Judgment shall for any reason be found or held invalid or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect the remainder of this Judgment, which shall survive and be construed as if such invalid or unenforceable part had not been contained herein.
- 19. This Judgment is enforceable only by the parties hereto. No person or entity is intended to be a third-party beneficiary of the provisions of this Judgment for purposes of any civil, criminal, or administrative action and, accordingly, no person or entity may assert any claim or right as a beneficiary or protected class under this Judgment.

TIME FOR PERFORMANCE

- 20. This Judgment shall take effect immediately upon entry thereof and service by mail of "Notice of Entry of Judgment" upon all parties, through their counsel of record.
- 21. Any time limits for performance imposed by the Judgment may be extended by the mutual agreement, in writing, of the Attorney General and the Defendants, and/or by order of the Court for good cause shown.

///

1//

4 ///

5 | l

7 | ///

1	22. Any agreements to modify the terms herein, excluding those solely intended to
2	extend the time limits for performance of any of the provisions of the Judgment, shall be filed with
3	the Court.
4	
5	The Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	Dated: Hon
9	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
10	
11	OK2015500967 FINAL STIP AND JUDGMENT.docx
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	22
	[Proposed] Final Judgment