1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California  JACQUELINE DALE Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARIA ELLINIKOS (SBN 235528) Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5500 Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Maria Ellinikos@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
11					
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex. rel., SUSIE Case No. BC483914 [Under Seal] KAPLAR,				
13	DECLARATION OF JAMES Plaintiff, ZAHRADKA				
14	v. Dept: 50				
15	Judge: The Hon. Teresa A. Beaudet Trial Date: None Set				
16	CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMY @ Action Filed: May 2, 2012  LOS ANGELES, K12 INC. D/B/A/				
17 18	DELAWARE K12 INC., and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,				
19	Defendants.				
20	I, James Zahradka, declare as follows:				
21	1. I am a Deputy Attorney General with the California Department of Justice, Office of				
22	the Attorney General. Except as otherwise stated, I have first-hand knowledge of the facts set				
23	forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.				
24	2. The complaint in this action was filed by the qui tam plaintiff on or about May 1,				
25	2012. The complaint was filed under seal as required by the California False Claims Act (Gov.				
26	Code, § 12652, subd. (c)(2)).				
27	3. On July 8, 2016, concurrently with this declaration, the Attorney General's Office is				
28	filing its Notice of Intent to Intervene for the purpose of settling this action.				
	1				

Declaration of James F. Zahradka II

- Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the executed Settlement Agreement.
- I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 2th day of July, 2016 at Los Angeles, California

JAMES ZAHRADIA Deputy Attorney General

SA2012305067

### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

### I. PARTIES

This Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement") is entered into by the People of the State of California, acting through the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General ("AGO"); Qui Tam Plaintiff Susie Kaplar ("Qui Tam Plaintiff"); and K12 Inc. ("K12") and the California Virtual Academies (hereafter collectively referred to as "CAVA" or the "CAVA Schools"), through their authorized representatives (hereafter collectively referred to as the "Parties").

### II. PREAMBLE

- A. On May 1, 2012, the Qui Tam Plaintiff filed a qui tam action under seal in Los Angeles County Superior Court, captioned State of California ex rel. Kaplar v. California Virtual Academy @ Los Angeles, K12 Inc. D/B/A Delaware K12 Inc., and Does 1 through 100, inclusive, court case number BC483914 ("Litigation"). In the under seal Litigation, the Qui Tam Plaintiff asserted causes of action under the California False Claims Act (Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12650 et seq.). The Qui Tam Plaintiff alleged that K12 and the CAVA Schools violated the False Claims Act by submitting inflated claims for attendance funding to the State and dismissing the Qui Tam Plaintiff from her employment.
- B. The AGO, which received a copy of the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's under seal complaint, investigated K12's and the CAVA Schools' attendance practices (the "Investigation").
- C. During the Investigation, the AGO reviewed, among other things, K12's and the CAVA Schools' reporting and submission of attendance and attendance-related figures to the State for purposes of obtaining State funding, and any associated tracking, recording, accounting, claiming, reporting, or training relating to attendance and attendance-related funding from the State; K12's or CAVA's preparation and submission of Funding Determination Forms to the State; and K12's and the CAVA schools' eligibility to obtain State funding based upon the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The CAVA Schools include CAVA @ Fresno, CAVA @ Jamestown, CAVA @ at Kings, CAVA @ Los Angeles, CAVA @ Maricopa, CAVA High School @ Maricopa, CAVA @ San Diego, CAVA @ San Joaquin, CAVA @ San Mateo, CAVA @ Sonoma, CAVA @ Sutter, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, and iQ Academy – Los Angeles.

submission of "Average Daily Attendance" (hereafter collectively referred to as the "Covered Conduct").<sup>2</sup>

- D. K12 and the CAVA Schools deny any and all liability and wrongdoing. K12 and the CAVA Schools believe that their conduct was at all times lawful and in compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements and in the best interest of their students and their families.
- E. This Settlement Agreement shall constitute neither an admission of liability by K12 or the CAVA Schools nor a concession by the AGO or the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff that any part of the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's Complaint or the Investigation lacks merit. This Settlement Agreement does not constitute or contain any statement or interpretation of law or finding of fact. This Settlement Agreement is not intended to be used to form the basis of any disqualification of K12 or the CAVA Schools from state or federal funding. Neither this Settlement Agreement nor any payment hereunder may be used as evidence of any liability of any sort regarding K12 or the CAVA Schools. To the extent permitted by law, all communications relating to the negotiations of this Settlement Agreement shall remain confidential. No one other than a Party to this Settlement Agreement is intended to receive any right or benefit under it or to have standing to enforce any of its provisions.

### III. TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Now, therefore, in reliance on the representations contained herein and in consideration of the mutual promises, covenants, and obligations set forth in this Settlement Agreement, and for good and valuable consideration as stated herein, the Parties agree as follows:

A. K12 agrees to pay, within thirty (30) business days after the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement (as defined in Section III.R.), a total sum of \$2.5 million (\$2,500,000) in settlement of all claims to be released by the People of the State of California and \$80,000

The effectiveness of this Settlement Agreement is contingent upon the entry of the final judgment in settlement of a matter stemming from an investigation of K12 by the California Department of Justice's Bureau of Children's Justice. If that Judgment is not entered, this Settlement Agreement is null and void.

in settlement of all additional claims to be released by the Qui Tam Plaintiff under this Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Amount").

- 1. K12 shall pay the \$2.5 million (\$2,500,000) to the AGO by electronic funds transfer pursuant to the written instructions provided in Appendix A. The AGO and the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff shall resolve the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's share of the AGO's recovery pursuant to Government Code Section 12652(g)(3) separately and outside of this Settlement Agreement. All Parties agree that the issue of the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's share shall not preclude entry of this Settlement Agreement, enforcement of it, or the mutual releases contained herein. Rather, the issue of the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's share is entirely a matter to be handled between the AGO and the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff, with motion practice before the Court if necessary.
- K12 shall pay, within thirty (30) business days after the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement, attorneys fees and costs in the amount of \$30,000 to Qui Tam Plaintiff.
- 3. K12 shall pay, within thirty (30) business days after the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement, to Qui Tam Plaintiff \$50,000 in release of her employment related claims in the Litigation.
- 4. All Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement constitutes a full and complete resolution of the Litigation and Investigation, and waive any further requests for interest, expenses, costs, or attorneys' fees among or between them arising out of the Litigation or Investigation.
- B. Subject to the exceptions in Section III.E. below, conditioned upon K12's full payment of the Settlement Amount, the People of the State of California hereby release, discharge, and covenant not to sue K12 and the CAVA Schools, together with their current and former directors, officers, employees, shareholders, parents, partners, agents, transferees, predecessors in interest, successors in interest, subsidiaries, affiliates, and assigns with respect to any and all civil claims the People of the State of California have or may have related to the Covered Conduct under any law or legal or equitable theory, including but not limited to the California False Claims Act (Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12650 et seq.), Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et

- seq.), Securities Laws, or any other statute or regulation, and including but not limited to the common law theories of payment by mistake, mistaken receipt, unjust enrichment, negligent misrepresentation, intentional misrepresentation, breach of contract, or fraud.
- C. Conditioned upon K12's full payment of the Settlement Amount and CAVA's commitments herein:
- 1. The *Qui Tam* Plaintiff and her attorney(s) hereby covenant not to sue and release K12 and the CAVA Schools, together with their current and former directors, officers, employees, shareholders, parents, partners, agents, transferees, predecessors in interest, successors in interest, subsidiaries, affiliates, and assigns from any and all claims, rights, demands, suits, matters, issues, actions or causes of action, liabilities, damages, losses, obligations, and judgments of any kind, including any related to any allegations in the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's Complaint or the Covered Conduct, from the beginning of time through the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement, whether known or unknown, contingent or absolute, suspected or unsuspected, disclosed or undisclosed, matured or unmatured, for damages, injunctive relief, or any other remedy against K12 and the CAVA Schools.
- 2. Notwithstanding any term of this Settlement Agreement, the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff does not release K12 and the CAVA Schools for any breach of this Settlement Agreement, or for any fraud in its inducement.
- D. The *Qui Tam* Plaintiff acknowledges that she has been advised by her attorney(s) of the contents and effect of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code ("Section 1542"), which reads:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

As a condition of this Settlement Agreement, with full awareness and understanding of Section 1542, the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff hereby expressly waives with respect to this Settlement Agreement

any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred on her by Section 1542 (or any analogous state law or federal law or regulation).

- E. Notwithstanding any term of this Settlement Agreement, the People of the State of California specifically do not release any person or entity from, or renounce any action or remedy for, the following claims or liabilities:
- 1. Any civil, criminal, or administrative liability arising under Title 26, U.S. Code (Internal Revenue Code), or California's Taxation and Revenue Code.
- 2. Any liability to the State of California (or its agencies) for any conduct other than that released above.
- 3. Any liability, other than related to the Covered Conduct, for express or implied warranty claims or other claims for defective or deficient products or services, including, but not limited to, quality of goods and services.
- 4. Any liability, other than related to the Covered Conduct, for failure to deliver goods or services due or to pay for goods and services.
- 5. Any liability for breach of this Settlement Agreement or fraud in its inducement.
- F. This Settlement Agreement does not release or bar any rights or causes of action arising from the Covered Conduct belonging to any state agency other than the Attorney General, whether or not in the name of the People of the State of California, including but not limited to the California State Controller's Office, the California Department of Education, or the State Board of Education.
- G. Except as expressly provided to the contrary in this Settlement Agreement, each Party shall bear its own legal and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with this matter, the Investigation, and the Litigation, including the preparation and performance of this Settlement Agreement. Without limitation, K12 and the CAVA Schools will not attempt to recoup any such costs or expenses from the AGO or the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff.

- H. The AGO and the Qui Tam Plaintiff shall, within five business days following receipt of payment of the Settlement Amount set forth in Section III.A. above, dismiss the Litigation with prejudice. The AGO and the Qui Tam Plaintiff agree to take all steps to obtain any court-needed approval of dismissal. If complete dismissal is not achieved within 60 days of the Effective Date, K12 and the CAVA schools may demand repayment of the Settlement Amount, which shall not be withheld by the AGO and The Qui Tam Plaintiff, and will have the option of terminating this agreement or obtaining an alternative resolution with the AGO and Qui Tam Plaintiff.
- I. K12 and the CAVA Schools represent that this Settlement Agreement is freely and voluntarily entered into without any degree of duress or compulsion whatsoever.
- J. The AGO and the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff represent that this Settlement Agreement is freely and voluntarily entered into without any degree of duress or compulsion whatsoever.
  - K. This Settlement Agreement is governed by the laws of the State of California.
- L. For purposes of construction, this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to have been drafted by all Parties to this Settlement Agreement and shall not, therefore, be construed against any Party for that reason in any subsequent dispute.
- M. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the complete agreement between the Parties in resolving the Litigation with prejudice. This Settlement Agreement may not be amended except by written consent of the Parties.
- N. The individuals signing this Settlement Agreement on behalf of K12 and the CAVA Schools represent and warrant that they are authorized by K12 and the CAVA Schools to execute this Settlement Agreement. The State signatories represent that they are signing this Settlement Agreement in their official capacities and that they are authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement.
- O. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which constitutes an original and all of which constitute one and the same Settlement Agreement.
- P. This Settlement Agreement is binding on K12's and the CAVA Schools' successors, transferees, heirs, and assigns.

- Q. This Settlement Agreement is binding on the *Qui Tam* Plaintiff's successors, transferees, heirs, and assigns.
- R. This Settlement Agreement is effective on the date of signature of the last signatory to this Settlement Agreement (the "Effective Date"). Facsimiles and other images of signatures, including electronically transmitted signatures provided via email, shall constitute acceptable, binding signatures for purposes of this Settlement Agreement.

[SIGNATURES ON NEXT PAGE]

# PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Kamala D, Harris Attorney General of the State of California

Dated: July 8 .2016

### **OUI TAM PLAINTIFF**

Dated: , 2016 By: Susie Kaplar	
--------------------------------	--

Approved as to Form:

Dated: 14/4 8 .2016

J. Mark Moore Law Offices of J. Mark Moore Counsel for Qui Tam Plaintiff

### PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Attorney General of the State of California

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016

Kamala D. Harris

By: J. Mark Moore

Law Offices of J. Mark Moore Counsel for *Qui Tam* Plaintiff

Dated: July 8, 2016	By: Ki2 Inc.
Approved as to Form:  Dated: 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch
	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:, 2016	By: Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
CAVA DEFENDANTS	
Dated:, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
Dated:, 2016	By:
Dated:, 2016	By:CAVA @ Kings
Dated:, 2016	By:CAVA @ Los Angeles
Dated:, 2016	By:CAVA @ Maricopa

### K12 DEFENDANTS

Dated:	, 2016	By: K12 Inc.
Approved as to Form:		
Dated:	. 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:	2016	By: Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
CAVA DEFENDANT	<u> </u>	
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
Dated:	. 2016	By:CAVA (a) Jamestown
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA (ii) Kings
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA (å) Los Angeles
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Maricopa

# K12 DEFENDANTS

Dated:	_, 2016	By:	K12 Inc.
Approved as to Form:			
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated: July 8	_, 2016		Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
CAVA DEFENDANI	<u>rs</u>		
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Fresno
Dated:	_, 2016	By:	CAVA @ Jamestown
Dated:	_, 2016	By:	CAVA @ Kings
Dated:	_, 2016	By:	CAVA @ Los Angeles
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Maricopa

Dated:	. 2016	By: K12 Inc.
Approved as to Form:		
Dated:	, 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc
Dated:	, 2016	By: Peter Wald I atham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated: <u>1-1</u>	, 2016	By: Land Woodld CAVA d Fresno
Dated:	. 2016	By: CAVA a Jamestown
Dated:	. 2016	By: CAVA W Kings
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA (a Tos Angeles
Dated:	. 2016	By: CAVA a Maricopa

Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	K12 Inc.
Approved as to Form:			
Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:,	, 2016		Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:,	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Fresno
Dated: Tuly 71,	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Jamestown
Dated:,	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Kings
Dated:,	2016	By:	CAVA @ Los Angeles
Dated:,	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Maricopa

Dated:	, 2016	By:
Approved as to	Form:	K12 Inc.
Dated:	, 2016	By:  Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:	, 2016	By: Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Jamestown
Dated:	<u>7世</u> , 2016	By: CAVA @ Kings
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Los Angeles
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Maricopa

Dated:	2016	By:K12 Inc.
4	<sup>2</sup> ount.	K12 Inc.
Approved as to l	чогт:	
Dated:	, 2016	By: Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:	. 2016	By:
Dated:	. 2016	By: CAVA @ Fresno
Dated:	. 2016	By:CAVA @ Jamestown
Dated:	. 2016	By:CAVA @ Kings
Dated: 7/7	. 2016	By: Ausa Strolf CAVA @ Los Angeles
Dated:	. 2016	By:

Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	K12 Inc.
Approved as to Form:			
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	Timothy J. Hatch Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:	, 2016		Peter Wald Latham & Watkins LLP Counsel to K12 Inc.
Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Fresno
Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Jamestown
Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Kings
Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Los Angeles
Dated: July 07	, 2016		CAVA @ Maricopa

Dated: JU	407,2016	By: All High School @ Maricopa
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ San Mateo
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Sonoma
Dated:	,2016	By: CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:	,2016	By:
Dated:	, 2016	By: Insight @ San Diego
Dated:	, 2016	By: iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as to	Form:	
Dated:	, 2016	By:  Young, Minney & Corr LLP  Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego iQ Academy – Los Angeles

Dated:	2016	Ву:	CAVA High School @ Maricopa
Dated: 7/7	_, 2016	By:	CAX A @ San Diego
Dated:	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Joaquin
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sonoma
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin
Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego
Dated:	_, 2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as to Form:			
Dated:	2016	Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles

.

Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA High School @ Maricopa
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
Dated: <u>7-7</u>	<u>7-16</u> , 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ San Mateo
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sonoma
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:	, 2016	By:lnsight @ San Joaquin
Dated:	, 2016	By:
Dated:	, 2016	By:iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as to	Form:	
Dated:	,2016	By:  Young, Minney & Corr LLP  Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles

Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA High School @ Maricopa
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
Dated: 7	<u>7/7/16</u> , 2016	By: CAVA @ San Mateo
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ Sonoma
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:	, 2016	By:
Dated:	, 2016	By: Insight @ San Diego
Dated:	, 2016	By: iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as	to Form:	
Dated:	, 2016	By:  Young, Minney & Corr LLP  Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles

Dated:	. 2016	By:CAVA High School \(\alpha\) Maricopa
Dated:	. 2016	By: CAVA (d) San Diego
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA & San Joaquin
Dated:	. 2016	By: CAVAy ( San Mateo
Dated:	<u>] -                                   </u>	By: Sonoma  By: Sonoma
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:	, 2016	By:
Dated:	. 2016	By:
Dated:	. 2016	By: iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as to	Form:	
Dated:	2016	By:  Young, Minney & Corr LLP  Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight (a) San Joaquin, Insight (a) San Diego iQ Academy – Los Angeles

•

•

]	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA High School @ Maricopa
1	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Diego
i	Dated:	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Joaquin
1	Dated:	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo
1	Dated:	2016	By:	CAVA @ Sonoma
I	Dated: <u>07/07</u>	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sulter
Ĭ	Dated:,	2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin
1	Dated:	2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego
1	Dated:,	2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Ā	Approved as to Form:			
I	Dated:,	2016	Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles

.

Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA High School @ Maricopa
Dated:	,2016	By: CAVA @ San Diego
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Joaquin
Dated:	, 2016	By: CAVA @ San Mateo
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sonoma
Dated:	, 2016	By:CAVA @ Sutter
Dated:	, 2016	By: Insight @ San Joaquin
Dated:	, 2016	By:
Dated:	_, 2016	By: iQ Academy – Los Angeles
Approved as to Form Dated \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	: , 2016	By: Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles

ŀ						
1 2	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo			
3	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sonoma			
5	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sutter			
7 8	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin			
9 10	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego			
11 12	Dated: July 8, 2016	Ву:	Julie a. Mendona			
13	Approved as to Form:					
14 15 16	Dated:, 2016	Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles			
17 18						
19 20 21	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of the State of California					
22 23						
24	Dated:, 2016	Ву:				
25						
26						
27						
28			_			
	Stimulation for Entry of Einal Judgment					
	Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment					

<b>1</b> 2	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo		
3	Dated:	, 2016	By:	CAVA @ Sonoma		
5	Dated: <u>07/07</u>	, 2016	Ву:	CAVA & Sutter		
7 8	Dated: <u>67/08</u>	, 2016	By:	Insight @ 8an Joaquin		
9 10	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego		
11 12	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	iQ Academy – Los Angeles		
13	Approved as to Form:					
14 15 16	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles		
17 18						
19 20	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  Kamala D. Harris					
21	Attorney General of the State of California					
22						
23	Dated:	, 2016	Ву:	- Art Common Strick Common Com		
24			Ť			
25						
26						
27						
28			;	5		
	Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment					

1 2	Dated:,2	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ San Mateo	
3	Dated:,2	2016	By:	CAVA @ Sonoma	
5	Dated:, 2	2016	Ву:	CAVA @ Sutter	
7	Dated:,2	2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Joaquin	
9 10	Dated: 7/8 ,2	2016	Ву:	Insight @ San Diego	
11 12	Dated:, 2	2016		iQ Academy – Los Angeles	
13	Approved as to Form:				
14 15 16 17	Dated:, ź	2016	By:	Young, Minney & Corr LLP Counsel to California Virtual Academies, Insight @ San Joaquin, Insight @ San Diego, iQ Academy – Los Angeles	
18 19 20 21	THE STATE OF CALI  Kamala D. Harris  Attorney General of the St				
22 23 24	Dated:, 2	2016	Ву:		
25 26 27					
28			5		
	Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment				