1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN, Senior Assistant Attorney General		
2			
3	MARGARET REITER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4			
5	California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000		
6	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-1117		
7	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California		
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
12			
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No.	
14	Plaintiff,		
15		FINAL JUDGMENT AND	
	v. 1	PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
16	v. 1	PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
16 17	v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, Defendant.	PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
16 17 18	v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, Defendant.	PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
16 17 18 19	v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, Defendant.		
16 17 18 19 20	V. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, Defendant. Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney	
16 17 18 19	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California throug General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., a	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility	
16 17 18 19 20 21	V. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, Defendant. Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., a LLC, a Delaware corporation, appearing through counsel, have	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this not constituting evidence of or an	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., a LLC, a Delaware corporation, appearing through counsel, have Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment in	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this not constituting evidence of or an Court having considered the	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., a LLC, a Delaware corporation, appearing through counsel, have Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment in admission regarding any issue alleged in the Complaint; the C Stipulation for Entry of Judgment executed by the parties and	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this not constituting evidence of or an Court having considered the	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., a LLC, a Delaware corporation, appearing through counsel, have Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment in admission regarding any issue alleged in the Complaint; the C Stipulation for Entry of Judgment executed by the parties and	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this not constituting evidence of or an Court having considered the filed herewith; and good cause	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., at LLC, a Delaware corporation, appearing through counsel, have Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment in admission regarding any issue alleged in the Complaint; the C Stipulation for Entry of Judgment executed by the parties and appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEC	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this not constituting evidence of or an Court having considered the filed herewith; and good cause	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California through General and Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., a LLC, a Delaware corporation, appearing through counsel, have Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment in admission regarding any issue alleged in the Complaint; the C Stipulation for Entry of Judgment executed by the parties and appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEC	gh Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney and Defendant AT&T Mobility ving stipulated to the entry of this not constituting evidence of or an Court having considered the filed herewith; and good cause	

People v. AT&T Judgment

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating to this action is proper in this Court.

DEFINITIONS

- 2. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "subscriber" shall include a representative of a subscriber and shall mean a customer for Defendants' wireless telecommunication services, including wireless telephone service, with a California billing address or area code, and a customer shall mean a subscriber. A subscriber does not include a large business account governed by a separate contract of a type not available through either Defendants' retail stores or any of its network of independent dealers.
- 3. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "telecommunications services" and "services" shall include any voice or data transmission provided by Defendants to or from a wireless phone.
- 4. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "communication" shall include any oral, and any written, including electronic, communication.
- 5. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "phone" shall refer to any wireless device through which telecommunications services can be originated and received.
- 6. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "customer service" shall refer to Defendants' department, and its personnel, through which subscribers may communicate concerning Defendants' services and their accounts.
- 7. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "charge(s)" shall mean the amount billed to a subscriber.
- 8. For purposes of this Judgment, the term "disputed charge(s)," "charge(s) in dispute," "amount(s) in dispute," or "dispute(s) a charge" shall refer to any charge(s) which the subscriber contends the subscriber did not authorize after a phone was lost or stolen ("LOS"), including those incurred after the LOS but before it was reported to the Defendants.

INJUNCTION

9. The injunctive provisions of this Judgment apply to AT&T Mobility LLC, its officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, partners, subsidiaries, wireless affiliates,

Judgment

8

9

11

12

13

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

successors, assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participating with any of them (collectively, the "Defendants"), in connection with charges billed to a subscriber in California.

- 10. All injunctive relief under this Judgment, including all relief described in paragraph11, is ordered pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 17203.
- 11. Defendants are immediately and permanently enjoined from directly or indirectly doing any of the acts set forth in this paragraph:
- A. in connection with a disputed charge, (1) failing to act in accordance with the provisions of Public Utilities Code section 2890(d)(2)(D) and (e), or (2) expanding a subscriber's obligations or limiting a subscriber's rights beyond those provisions;
- В. failing to furnish the information described in this subparagraph 11.B. (1) through (5), below, clearly and conspicuously (i) with each subscriber agreement; (ii) in the first communication with a subscriber who initially disputes a charge in writing unless the dispute is resolved in the first response by an agreement to credit the subscriber's account or refund the disputed charge in its entirety; (iii) during the oral communication in which a subscriber initially disputes a charge unless the dispute is resolved during that communication by an agreement to credit the subscriber's account or refund the disputed charge in its entirety; (iv) during any subsequent communication about a disputed charge if crediting or refunding of the disputed charge in its entirety has not occurred; (v) at any time after the initiation of service when communicating in writing with subscribers about what they should do if their phone is lost or stolen or if the subscriber disputes a charge, unless the communication is one in which the service and the subscriber agreement are being summarized, subscribers are informed they can suspend and restart their service at any time without cost, or clear and conspicuous cross-reference to the information is also being provided; and (vi) with each subscriber's bill, if the bill does not provide both the information required under Public Utilities Code section 2890(d)(2)(B) with regard to how to resolve a disputed charge and a clear and conspicuous cross-reference to the portion of the subscriber agreement that contains the information:
 - (1) subscribers are not responsible for charges they did not authorize;

3

(2) Defendants will carry out an investigation and within 30 days either credit the subscriber's account or refund any amount paid for the disputed charge in full, or advise the subscriber that Defendants have determined the disputed charge, in whole or in part, was authorized and that a credit or refund for the full amount in dispute will not issue for that reason;

- (3) the customer may submit to Defendants any documents, statements or other information to show the charge was not authorized;
- (4) if the subscriber is dissatisfied with the results of Defendants' investigation, the subscriber may file a complaint asking the California Public Utilities Commission to investigate and may have other legal rights, provided however, that to avoid confusion after a dispute arises Defendants may wait until the investigation is completed (which may not be later than the 30 days as provided in sub-paragraph 11 (G)), before informing the customer of his complaint rights under this sub-paragraph;
- (5) while an investigation is pending the subscriber need not pay the disputed charge or any associated late charges, the disputed amount will not be sent to collection, and no adverse credit report will be made based on the disputed amount;
 - C. discouraging subscribers from having disputed charges investigated;
- D. stating or implying in any communication with subscribers that failure to report "immediately" "quickly" "as soon as possible" or in any other manner a lost or stolen phone diminishes the subscriber's right to an investigation (provided, however, that so long as the communication clearly does not so state or imply, Defendants may encourage customers in writing to report a lost or stolen phone when it comes to the subscriber's attention, by such means as, for example, highlighting the availability of cost-free service suspension and restoration at a subscriber's request);
- E. stating or implying in any communication with subscribers that a delay in reporting a lost or stolen phone is a factor in determining whether disputed charges were authorized, such as stating or implying in any communication with subscribers that failure to report a LOSS "immediately" "quickly" or "as soon as possible" will limit the customers rights; provided however, Defendants may state that an unexplained or unreasonable delay in reporting

People v. AT&T

a lost or stolen phone may be considered as evidence suggesting that the disputed charges, in whole or in part, were in fact authorized;

- F. stating or implying in any communication with subscribers that the subscriber's right to either an investigation or to a favorable outcome to an investigation about disputed charges is conditioned on the subscriber taking any of the following actions or requiring a subscriber who disputes a charge to take any of the following actions: reporting a phone stolen to a third party, submitting any particular document or corroboration, such as a police report of theft, swearing under penalty of perjury a phone was lost or stolen, disputing the charges in writing rather than through calling the ordinary customer service number available to subscribers for questions about their bill, or taking any other particular action, provided however, that Defendants may advise the subscriber that their determination of whether they find the disputed charges were authorized or not may depend on the subscriber providing information to corroborate the subscriber's contention that the phone was lost or stolen, so the subscriber should provide whatever documents or other information the subscriber believes will support his/her claim that the phone was lost or stolen;
- G. unless a disputed charge is resolved by Defendants' agreement to credit the subscriber's account or refund the disputed charge in its entirety (i) on the Defendants' own initiative after becoming aware of unauthorized charges, or (ii) during the initial oral communication about the disputed charge or, if the initial communication is in writing, in Defendants' first response (and in connection with either (i) or (ii) completing the process so that the subscriber receives the refund or credit as soon as technically feasible, but no later than the date for issuance of the second regular bill after the disputed charge is resolved), failing to do each of the following:
- (1) carry out a reasonable investigation that considers any reasonably relevant information available to show whether the charge was authorized;
- (2) within 30 days from receipt of the dispute, credit or refund any amount billed for an unauthorized charge, completing the process so that the subscriber receives the refund or

credit as soon as technically feasible but no later than the date for issuance of the second regular bill after the disputed charge is resolved;

- (3) within 30 days from receipt of the dispute, either credit or refund the disputed charge, completing the process so that the subscriber receives the refund or credit as soon as technically feasible but no later than issuance of the second regular bill after the account is credited, or advise the subscriber that Defendants have determined the disputed charge, in whole or in part, was authorized and a credit or refund would or would not be allowed;
- (4) while an investigation is pending refrain from requiring payment of any disputed charge, assessing any late charges, sending the disputed amount to collection, or making any adverse credit report based on the disputed charge;
- H. failing to ensure the provision of appropriate training in the requirements of this Judgment to all Defendant's personnel who offer, provide, assist in providing, or discuss with subscribers or potential subscribers in California, Defendants' telecommunications services or disputed charges, including specifically all customer service personnel;
- I. providing information, materials or training that is inconsistent with the terms of this Judgment to any of Defendants' employees, agents or representatives or permitting conduct that is inconsistent with the terms of this Judgment by any of Defendants' personnel;
- J. at least twice in the first six monthly bills and beginning no later than 90 days after entry of judgment, failing to call attention by clear and conspicuous notice to the contractual changes being provided about unauthorized charges and lost and stolen phones and by failing to include at least a portion of the notice which shall call attention to the contractual changes on the first page of the bill near the "amount due" field. Subscribers who begin service after entry of this Judgment but who are given contracts that fail to comply with the terms of Paragraph 11 must be provided a revised contract or a clear and conspicuous addendum to their contract that is in compliance with this Judgment no later than 90 days after entry of this Judgment. Subscribers who begin service after this Judgment is entered and receive a subscriber agreement that complies with Paragraph 11, need not be given notice under this sub-Paragraph.

- K. In respect (1) to disputed charges that Defendants do not agree to resolve by issuing a credit or refund of the disputed charge in its entirety during the oral communication in which a subscriber initially disputes a charge or in the first responsive communication after receiving the initial written communication in which a subscriber disputes a charge (so long as the response is tendered within 20 days of receipt), or (2) to disputed charges which Defendants do not in fact resolve by sending the consumer a refund as agreed in the initial communication or entering a credit on the consumer's bill as agreed in the initial communication by the date for issuance of the second regular bill after the disputed charge is resolved, failing to do the following:
 - maintain records of disputes about unauthorized charges for four years from the date Defendants are first apprized of a dispute;
 - 2. for six months, beginning three months after October 31, 2007, provide to the Attorney General every three months a report sufficient to show the date Defendant learned of the dispute, the amount in dispute, the date Defendant concluded its investigation, and the amount, if any, credited or refunded, and within 30 days of a request by the Attorney General, provide any other dispute records requested by the Attorney General regarding any or all of the disputes referenced in the report;
 - 3. for three years after the last date on which records are provided to the Attorney
 General under subparagraph 11.K.2., provide copies of the dispute records to the
 Attorney General within 30 days after a request to review the records; and
 - 4. thereafter, make dispute records available in accordance with otherwise lawful requests;

For purposes of this paragraph, "dispute records" or "records of disputes" include any evidence of communications between Defendants and the subscriber about the dispute including written communications and notes about oral communications, written information provided by the subscriber, the information on which Defendants' based their determination that the charges were authorized, and documents sufficient to show the date Defendants learned of the dispute,

2

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TIMING

12. This Judgment is effective on entry except as otherwise provided. The provisions of sub- Paragraphs 11 B-K, shall be effective as of October 31, 2007.

RESTITUTION

13. Within 120 days after entry of Judgment, Defendants shall notify, in a clear and conspicuous manner, in a form agreed to by the California Attorney General and included with AT&T Mobility's monthly bill, each of its existing customers of their right to make a claim for a credit to their account for disputed charges billed to, or via, a phone at any time from January 1, 2003, to date of notification. The notice shall include a description of their rights consistent with Paragraph 11. Having tendered the dispute at or after the time the charges were incurred or at any time before receiving notice of restitution under this Paragraph shall not be relevant or a prerequisite to determining the validity of the claim. Defendants shall respond to claims made under this Paragraph with the same standards and the same customer rights and remedies, and with the same timeliness, as detailed in Paragraph 11. Those seeking restitution may contact Defendants through its ordinary customer service process or through its website, at the consumer's option, provided however, that Defendants may engage a third-party claim resolution service approved by the Attorney General to whom subscribers seeking restitution may be referred by means of (i) a Toll-Free number printed in the Restitution Notice, and (ii) by direct transfer if the customer calls customer service. If the subscriber's records are no longer available to customer service through the Defendants' database, Defendants do not violate this Judgment by taking up to an additional 30 days to resolve the claim. If restitution is granted under this Paragraph, Defendants shall determine whether the disputed charge had ever been the basis of an adverse report to a credit bureau and if so, Defendants shall correct the record. In addition to any other rights they may have to raise such a dispute, Customers notified under this sub-paragraph shall have 90 days from notification to submit a claim under this Judgment.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14.

- Within 180 days of entry of this Judgment, if anyone whose wireless service was billed by Defendants to a California address at the time he or she incurred charges who is no longer a current customer of Defendants, contacts the Defendants to seek restitution, Defendants shall treat that person's claim to restitution in the same way and under the same standards as apply to Defendants' existing subscribers under the preceding paragraph 13, except (i) Defendants may take up to 90 days within which to resolve the claim without violating this Judgment, so long as Defendants acknowledge receiving any written claim within 30 days of receipt, and (ii) any restitution shall be provided in the form of a refund, rather than a credit. If restitution is granted under this Paragraph, Defendants shall determine whether the disputed charge had ever been the basis of an adverse report to a credit bureau and if so, Defendants shall correct the record.
- 15. Defendants shall provide to the Attorney General a periodic report every 90 days beginning December 31, 2007, and a final report covering the period up to September 1, 2008, by September 10, 2008. The periodic reports shall specify, in respect to the just completed reporting period, the number of persons who contacted Defendants and requested restitution; the number of those to whom Defendants gave a full refund or credit of the disputed charges, and the total refunds or credits; and for those to whom Defendants did not give a full refund or credit, the subscriber name, address, telephone number, the amount in dispute, the amount, if any, refunded or credited, and copies of all documents related to Defendants' investigation, including documentation of the investigation Defendants carried out and Defendants' reason for not crediting or refunding the full disputed amount. The final report shall provide a cumulative total or list for each category of information previously submitted in the periodic reports.

OTHER MONETARY PROVISIONS

16. On entry of this Judgment, Defendant AT&T Mobility LLC shall pay \$500,000 to the Office of the Attorney General of California if not previously paid according to the terms of the Stipulation for entry of this Judgment, of which \$250,000 shall be for costs of investigation and attorneys' fees, and \$250,000 of which shall be deposited by the Attorney General of California in the Unfair Competition Law Fund.

17. Defendant AT&T Mobility LLC shall pay all court costs associated with its appearance in this action, including any fee for the filing of the stipulation for entry of judgment. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party shall bear its own costs, including attorneys' fees.

18. All payments required to be made to the Attorney General, and all notices and reports required to be provided to the Attorney General, shall be delivered to Deputy Attorney General John G. Donhoff, Jr., at his address of record (or to such other person and address identified in writing by the Attorney General).

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 19. This Judgment resolves the above-captioned action, and is meant to resolve those, and only those, matters set forth in the allegations of the Complaint filed in this action and which occurred prior to entry of this Judgment.
- 20. Defendants shall not state or imply or cause to be stated or implied that the Attorney General or any state agency or officer has approved, sanctioned, or authorized any practice, act or conduct of Defendants.
- 21. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as relieving Defendants of their obligation to comply with all applicable local, state and federal laws, regulations or rules, nor shall any of the provisions of this Judgment be deemed to be permission to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by any applicable law, regulation, or rule.
- 22. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purposes of enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time, after serving notice on the other parties, for such further orders and directions as might be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment, for modification of the injunctive provisions of this Judgment, and for the People to apply at any time for enforcement of any provisions of this Judgment and for punishment for any violation of this Judgment.
 - 23. This Judgment shall take effect immediately upon entry by the clerk, and the clerk

1	is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	
4	DATED:
5	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
6	
7	SF2006601008
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2223	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11
	11

People v. AT&T

Judgment