1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER	s EN	
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General NICKLAS A. AKERS		
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. KURTZ	or CLED OF TO SEA PERIODS	
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 99881	ROSSAVE TV 2014	
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	OELA VOUL	
7	Telephone: (415) 703-5562 Fax: (415) 703-5480	BY. CLERK OF THE COURT	
8	E-mail: Sarah.Kurtz@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for People of the State of California	74	
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
12	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. Case No.		
13	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. 943312	
14	CALIFORNIA,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND	
15	Plaintiff,	OTHER RELIEF	
16	V.	(BUS. & PROF. CODE, § 17200 et seq.)	
17	T-MOBILE USA, INC., a corporation,		
18	Defendant.	[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE	
19		SECTION 6103]	
20			
21	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER RELIEF		
22 .	1. Plaintiff, the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by Kamala D. Harris,		
23	Attorney General of the State of California, ("Plaintiff" or "the People") brings this action against		
24	Defendant T-MOBILE USA, INC., ("T-Mobile," "Defendant" or "Carrier") for violating the		
25	California Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.), and alleges the		
26	following on information and belief.		
27			
28			

2.1

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Defendant has transacted business within the State of California, including in the County of San Francisco, at all times relevant to this complaint. The violations of law described herein occurred in the County of San Francisco and elsewhere in the State of California.

# DEFENDANT

3. Defendant T-Mobile is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 12920 SE 38th Street, Bellevue, Washington 98006.

### **BACKGROUND**

- 4. T-Mobile is a leading provider of mobile telephone services. In addition to charging for phone services offered by T-Mobile, T-Mobile also charges many consumers for other services offered by third-party merchants. Until at least December 2013, these purported services have included monthly subscriptions for content such as ringtones, wallpaper, and text messages providing horoscopes, celebrity gossip, and similar information. T-Mobile typically has charged consumers \$9.99 per month for such subscriptions ("Third-Party Subscriptions").
- 5. In numerous instances, T-Mobile has charged consumers for Third-Party Subscriptions that the consumers did not order or authorize, a practice known as cramming.
- 6. Cramming is a national problem; many consumers are not aware that their mobile telephones can be used to make payments for such Third-Party Subscriptions and often pay for the unauthorized charges without even realizing the charges have been placed on their mobile telephone bills.
- 7. T-Mobile has continued to charge consumers for Third-Party Subscriptions even after large numbers of consumers complained about unauthorized charges. Refund rates for the subscriptions were high in some cases as high as 40%. Further, T-Mobile has continued to charge consumers for Third-Party Subscriptions even after industry auditor alerts, law enforcement and other legal actions, and news articles indicated that the third-party merchants were not obtaining valid authorization from consumers for the charges.

8. T-Mobile has retained a portion of each charge for Third-Party Subscriptions paid by consumers, in some cases as high as a third or more of the amount paid. T-Mobile's practices have caused consumers millions of dollars of injury.

# T-MOBILE'S UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE ACTS AND PRACTICES

- 9. T-Mobile participated in deceptive and unfair acts or practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, by including unauthorized charges on the telephone bills of its mobile phone customers.
- 10. T-Mobile markets its telephone and data services to consumers. T-Mobile's sales representatives often discuss these services only, and not purported third-party services, with consumers. T-Mobile's contracts make clear and prominent representations about the services it provides, whereas information about third-party services is buried in lengthy terms and conditions of its service contract.
- 11. T-Mobile has not obtained authorization from consumers before charging them for Third-Party Subscriptions. Instead, the third-party merchants or billing intermediaries purportedly have obtained authorization. In many cases, however, these third parties have failed to obtain authorization from consumers.
- 12. T-Mobile's bills include charges for its own services and third-party services, and T-Mobile has not conspicuously disclosed the third-party charges to consumers.
- 13. The third-party charges are not broken out separately in the bill summary, but have been lumped together under a generic descriptor, which may include both third-party charges and other charges, such as for texting, with the total transferred to the total amount due in full by a specific date. Many consumers believe they are obligated to pay T-Mobile for all charges appearing on their phone bills.
- 14. Bills have not provided detailed information to the consumer about the nature of recurring Third-Party Subscriptions that the consumer purportedly authorized. In some cases, even in detailed sections of the bill, the third-party subscriptions have been listed under the category "Premium Services."

- 15. Some consumers do not even receive mobile phone bills. Consumers with prepaid accounts do not receive monthly bills from T-Mobile; instead, these consumers pay a certain amount of money upfront for a specific number of minutes. When an unauthorized charge for \$9.99 has been charged to these consumers' accounts, T-Mobile has deducted \$9.99 worth of minutes from their available balance. T-Mobile typically has provided no notice to the consumer of the charge.
- 16. Some consumers who become aware of unauthorized charges have complained to T-Mobile that they did not authorize the charges. Despite knowing about these complaints of unauthorized charges, T-Mobile did not take sufficient steps to determine whether consumers actually authorized the charges for Third-Party Subscriptions purportedly offered by problematic third-party merchants.
- 17. When consumers have sought refunds for unauthorized charges from T-Mobile, T-Mobile frequently has refused to provide them. In some instances, T-Mobile has told consumers that there is nothing it can do about the unauthorized charges.
- 18. In other instances, T-Mobile has instructed consumers to seek a refund directly from the third-party merchant, while failing consistently to provide accurate contact information for the third-party merchant.
- 19. T-Mobile has often asserted that consumers authorized the charge, despite the fact that T-Mobile did not have records of the purported authorization. T-Mobile has even told consumers who called to complain about unauthorized charges that the consumers had authorized the charges by not actively declining a solicitation by a third-party merchant.
- 20. Even when some refund is provided, T-Mobile has refused to grant a full refund, but has granted a partial refund.
- 21. After receiving complaints that consumers did not authorize particular subscriptions, T-Mobile continued to charge other consumers for such subscriptions, without obtaining authorization from them, notifying consumers of upcoming charges, confirming charges with consumers, or including additional information on consumers' phone bills regarding the subscriptions.

- 22. Industry auditors have monitored the online advertising of third-party merchants that purportedly offer Third-Party Subscriptions, and T-Mobile has received audits and "alerts" from these industry auditors. These auditors' alerts have provided examples of deceptive marketing by third-party merchants to obtain consumers' phone numbers and purportedly enroll them in a monthly subscription. Yet T-Mobile has continued to charge consumers for the recurring Third-Party Subscriptions offered by those merchants identified by the auditors, including subscriptions the alerts specifically identified as failing to obtain valid authorization from the consumers.
- 23. T-Mobile has also continued to charge consumers for Third-Party Subscriptions purportedly offered by third-party merchants that were the subject of law enforcement actions regarding cramming practices.

# VIOLATIONS OF LAW

#### CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

- 24. The People reallege and incorporate each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 23.
- 25. T-Mobile, in the course of providing mobile telephone services, has engaged in business acts or practices that were unlawful, unfair, deceptive, or misleading, and therefore violated section 17200 of the California Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200) by including unauthorized third-party charges on the telephone bills of its mobile phone customers. T-Mobile has represented, expressly or by implication, that the charges appearing on T-Mobile's phone bills were for T-Mobile's services authorized by the consumer, even when the charges were unauthorized charges for Third-Party Subscriptions.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the People of the State of California respectfully request that this honorable Court enter an order:

A. Issuing a permanent injunction prohibiting T-Mobile, its agents, employees, and all other persons and entities, corporate or otherwise, in active concert or participation with any of them, from engaging in unfair, deceptive or misleading conduct;

1	B. Ordering T-Mobile to disgorge all revenues, profits, and gains achieved in whole or			
2	in part though the unfair acts or practices complained of herein;			
3	C.	C. Assessing a civil penalty against defendant for each violation of Business and		
4	Profession	ons Code section 17200.		
5	D.	Ordering T-Mobile to pay Plaintiff's costs of suit, including but not limited to all		
6	costs of pr	rosecution and investigation;		
7	E.	Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and proper.		
8	Dated: De	ecember 18, 2014	Respectfully Submitted,	
9		•	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
10			Attorney General of California Mark J. Breckler Chief Assistant Attorney General	
11	1		Nicklas A. Akers Senior Assistant Attorney General	
12	20			
13			Paral E. Kurt	
14	Dr.		SARAH E. KURTZ	
15	2 (8)		Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for People of the State of	
16	ā		California	
17	· ·			
18	1 13			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			6	