ENDORSED XAVIER BECERRA 1 FILED Attorney General of California San Francisco County Superior Court 2 NICKLAS A. AKERS Senior Assistant Attorney General APR 1 2 2017 MICHAEL E. ELISOFON 3 Supervising Deputy Attorney General CLERK OF THE COURT 4 DANIEL A. OSBORN (State Bar No. 311037) FELICIA M. GREEN Deputy Attorney General Deputy Clerk 5 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5500 6 Fax: (415) 703-5480 7 E-mail: Daniel.Osborn@doi.ca.gov Attornevs for Plaintiff 8 The People of the State of California 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 12 13 Case No. CG C-17-558132 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 14 CALIFORNIA, 15 Plaintiff. PROPOSEDI FINAL JUDGMENT AND 16 PERMANENT INJUNCTION v. 17 18 THE WESTERN UNION COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, 19 Defendant 20 21 Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("the People" or "Plaintiff"), through its 22 attorney, Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Deputy Attorney 23 General Daniel A. Osborn, and Defendant The Western Union Company ("Western Union" or 24 "Defendant"), appearing through its attorneys, Latham & Watkins LLP, by Hilary H. Mattis, 25 having stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction 26 ("Judgment") without the taking of proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law, 27 without this Judgment constituting evidence of or an admission by Defendants regarding any 28

Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction

| - 11 |   |
|------|---|
| 1    | issue of law or fact alleged in the Complaint on file, and without Defendants admitting any           |
| 2    | liability; with all parties having waived their right to appeal; and the Court having considered the  |
| 3    | matter and good cause appearing:  |
| 4    | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:  |
| 5    | I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION   |
| 6    | 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, jurisdiction over               |
| 7    | the parties to this action, and venue is proper in this Court.  |
| 8    | 2. Defendant, at all relevant times, has transacted business in the State of California,              |
| 9    | including, but not limited to, San Francisco County.  |
| 10   | 3. This Judgment is entered pursuant to and subject to California Business and                        |
| 11   | Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.   |
| 12   | II. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>  |
| 13   | 4. The following definitions apply to this Judgment:  |
| 14   | a. "Cash-to-cash money transfer" means the transfer of the value of cash from                         |
| 15   | one person in one location to a recipient (payee) in another location that is received in the form of |
| 16   | cash.   |
| 17   | b. "Cash reload money transfer" means the transfer of the value of cash from                          |
| 18   | one person in one location to a recipient (payee) in another location that is received in a form that |
| 19   | makes it possible for a person to convert the cash into an electronic form that can be used to add    |
| 20   | funds to a general-use prepaid card or an account with a payment intermediary.                        |
| 21   | c. "Consumer" means any person, worldwide, who initiates or sends a money                             |
| 22   | transfer.   |
| 23   | d. "Effective Date" means the date upon which this Judgment entered by the                            |
| 24   | Court.  |
| 25   | e. "Elevated fraud countries" means any country in which the principal                                |
| 26   | amount of money transfers that are the subject of fraud complaints, received by Defendant from        |
| 27   | any source, represents one (1) percent or more of the principal amount of fraud complaints            |
| 28   | worldwide received by Defendant, for either money transfers sent or received in that country,         |

determined on a quarterly basis, provided that once a country is determined to be one of the elevated fraud countries, it shall continue to be treated as such for purposes of this Judgment.

- f. "Elevated fraud risk agent location" means any Western Union agent location that has processed payouts of money transfers associated with:
  - i. Five (5) or more fraud complaints for such agent location, received by Defendant from any source, during the previous sixty (60) day period, based on a review of complaints on a monthly basis; and fraud complaints, received by Defendant from any source, totaling five (5) percent or more of the total payouts for such agent location in numbers or dollars in a sixty (60) day period, calculated on a monthly basis; or
  - ii. Fifteen (15) or more fraud complaints for such agent location,received by Defendant from any source, during the previous sixty(60) day period, based on a review of complaints on a monthly basis.
- g. "Executive Committee" refers to the following Attorneys Generals' offices: Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Texas and Vermont.
- h. "Fraud-induced money transfer" includes any money transfer that was induced by, initiated, or sent as a result of, unfair or deceptive acts or practices and/or deceptive or abusive telemarketing acts or practices.
- i. "Front line associate" means the employee of the Western Union agent responsible for handling a transaction at the point of sale for a consumer or a recipient (payee) of a money transfer, including by initiating, sending, or paying out the money transfer.
- j. "FTC Action" refers to the case styled Federal Trade Commission v. The Western Union Company, Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-00110-CCC, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

- k. "Money transfer" means the sending of money (in cash or any other form, unless otherwise stated) between a consumer in one location to a recipient (payee) in another location using Defendant's money transfer service, and shall include transfers initiated or sent in person, online, over the telephone, using a mobile app, or through whatever platform or means made available. The term "money transfer" does not include Defendant's bill or loan payment services, or purchases of foreign currency conversions or options contracts from Defendant.
- 1. "Person" includes a natural person, an organization or other legal entity, including a corporation, partnership, sole proprietorship, limited liability company, association, cooperative, or any other group or combination acting as an entity.
- m. "Seller" means any person who, in connection with a telemarketing transaction, provides, offers to provide, or arranges for others to provide goods or services in exchange for consideration.
- n. "Telemarketer" means any person who, in connection with telemarketing, initiates or receives telephone calls to or from a customer.
- o. "Telemarketing" means any plan, program, or campaign which is conducted to induce the purchase of goods or services by use of one or more telephones, and which involves a telephone call, whether or not covered by the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 CFR Part 310.
- p. "Western Union agent" means any network agent, master agent, representative, authorized delegate, independent agent, super-agent, national account agent, key account agent, strategic account agent, sub-representative, subagent, or any location, worldwide, authorized by Defendant to offer or provide any of its money transfer products or services.

## III. INJUNCTION

- 5. Nothing in this Judgment alters the requirements of federal or state law to the extent they offer greater protection to consumers.
- 6. Under Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, and employees, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, whether acting directly or indirectly, in

| 2  |
|----|
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
|    |

consumers in elevated fraud countries (based on money transfers sent from those countries) to initiate money transfers using Defendant's system that includes, but is not limited to:

- a. A list of the most common types of scams that utilize Defendant's money transfer system;
- b. A warning that it is illegal for any seller or telemarketer to accept payments from U.S. consumers through money transfers for goods or services offered or sold through telemarketing;
- c. A notice to consumers that the money transfer can be paid out to the recipient within a short time, and that after the money is paid out, consumers may not be able to obtain a refund from Defendant, even if the transfer was the result of fraud, except under limited circumstances; and
- d. A toll-free or local number and a website for Defendant, subject to the timing requirements set forth in Subsection III.6.C.4 of this Judgment, that consumers may call or visit to obtain assistance and file a complaint if their money transfer was procured through fraud;
- 4. Make available in all countries in which Defendant offers money transfer services a website that consumers may visit to obtain assistance and file a complaint if they claim their money transfer was procured through fraud, provided that websites that are not yet available shall be made available in accordance with the following schedule: (i) for countries determined to be elevated fraud countries, within six (6) months of entry of the Stipulated Order For Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment in the FTC Action (the "Stipulated Order"); and (ii) for all other countries, within two (2) years of entry of the Stipulated Order;
- 5. Provide consumers who initiate or send money transfers via the Internet, telephone, mobile app, or any other platform that is not in-person, with substantially the same clear, concise, conspicuous and uncontradicted fraud warning required by Subsection III.6.C.3 of this Judgment, provided that the warning may be abbreviated to accommodate the specific characteristics of the media or platform;

- 6. Provide the required warning to consumers in the language used on the send form or other media type or platform used for the money transfer, in a form appropriate for the media or platform;
- 7. Review and update the consumer warning as necessary to ensure its effectiveness in preventing fraud-induced money transfers; and
- 8. Submit modifications to the warning, if any, to the Executive Committee for review no less than ten (10) business days before any modified warning is disseminated to Western Union agents; provided that nothing herein shall prohibit Defendant from changing the nature or form of its service, send forms, or media or platform for offering money transfer services or from seeking to replace its send forms with an electronic form or entry system of some type in the future. In the event such changes are made, Defendant shall provide a consumer fraud warning substantially similar to that outlined in Subsection III.6.C.3 of this Judgment in a form appropriate to the media or platform;
- D. Failing to reimburse the principal amount of a consumer's money transfer and any associated transfer fees whenever a consumer or his or her authorized representative reasonably claims that the transfer was fraudulently induced and:
- The consumer or his or her authorized representative asks
   Defendant, the sending agent, or front line associates to reverse the transfer before the transferred funds have been picked up; or
- 2. Defendant, after reviewing information and data relating to the money transfer, determines that Defendant, its agents, or the front line associates failed to comply with any of Defendant's policies and procedures relating to detecting and preventing fraudinduced money transfers when sending or paying out the money transfer by failing to: provide the required consumer fraud warnings; comply with Defendant's interdiction or callback programs; verify the recipient's identification; or accurately record the recipient's identification(s) and other required biographical data;
- E. Failing to promptly provide information to a consumer, or his or her authorized representative, who reports being a victim of fraud to Defendant, about the name of

for fraud-related reasons, as permitted by applicable laws and regulations (including foreign laws and regulations) and with the required cooperation from other money transfer companies;

- 5. Ascertaining whether the prospective agent had previously been interdicted by Defendant for suspicious activities or had been reported to Defendant as a recipient of fraud-induced money transfers;
- 6. Conducting an individualized assessment of the particular risk factors involved with each Western Union agent application and conducting all reasonably necessary investigative steps consistent with those risks; and
- 7. Maintaining information about Defendant's due diligence, including, but not limited to, information about the identities of the owners, their government-issued identifications, and the background check(s) conducted;
- H. Failing to reject applications where Defendant becomes aware or reasonably should have become aware based upon its due diligence that the applicant, or any of the applicant's sub-representatives or subagents, presents a material risk of becoming an elevated fraud risk;
- I. Failing to ensure that the written agreements entered into with all new Western Union agents require them to comply with Subsection III.6.C.2 of this Judgment;
- J. Failing to ensure that all new Western Union agents have effective policies and procedures in place at each of the agent's locations to detect and prevent fraud-induced money transfers and other acts or practices that violate Subsections III.6.A through III.6.F of this Judgment;
- K. Failing to take reasonable steps to confirm that Western Union agents whose contracts are up for renewal are complying with the terms of their agreements with Defendant, including, but not limited to, by having effective policies and procedures in place to detect and prevent fraud- induced money transfers;
- L. Failing to require all new Western Union agents, and existing Western Union agents, to: (i) disclose and update the identities of any sub-representative or subagent; and

(ii) maintain records on the identities of any front line associates at their sub-representatives' or subagents' locations;

- M. Failing to provide appropriate and adequate ongoing education and training on consumer fraud for all Western Union agents, and other appropriate Western Union personnel, including, but not limited to, education and training on detecting, investigating, preventing, reporting, and otherwise handling suspicious transactions and fraud-induced money transfers, and ensuring that all Western Union agents and front line associates are notified of their obligations to comply with Defendant's policies and procedures and to implement and maintain policies and procedures to detect and prevent fraud-induced money transfers or other acts or practices that violate Subsections III.6.A III.6.F of this Judgment;
- N. Failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to monitor and investigate Western Union agent location activity to detect and prevent fraud-induced money transfers, including, but not limited to:
- 1. Developing, implementing, adequately staffing, and continuously operating and maintaining a system to receive and retain all complaints and data received from any source, anywhere in the world, involving alleged fraud-induced money transfers, and taking all reasonable steps to obtain, record, retain, and make easily accessible to Defendant and, upon reasonable request and to the extent the information is not accessible via FTC's Consumer Sentinel Network ("Consumer Sentinel"), the Executive Committee, all relevant information regarding all complaints related to alleged fraud-induced money transfers, including, but not limited to:
  - a. The consumer's name, address, and telephone number;
- b. The substance of the complaint, including the fraud type and fraud method, and the name of any person referenced;
- c. The reference number, or Money Transfer Control Number, for each money transfer related to the complaint;
- d. The name, agent identification number, telephone number, and address of the sending agent(s);

| 1  | e. The date of each money transfer,                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | f. The amount of each money transfer;                                 |
| 3  | g. The money transfer fee for each money transfer;                    |
| 4  | h. The date each money transfer is received;                          |
| 5  | i. The name, agent identification number, telephone number,           |
| 6  | and address of the receiving agent(s);                                |
| 7  | j. The name, address and telephone number of the recipient, as        |
| 8  | provided by the recipient, of each money transfer;                    |
| 9  | k. The identification, if any, presented by the recipient, and        |
| 10 | recorded, for each money transfer;                                    |
| 11 | l. All transactions conducted by the consumer bearing any             |
| 12 | relationship to the complaint; and                                    |
| 13 | m. To the extent there is any investigation concerning, and/or        |
| 14 | resolution of, the complaint:   |
| 15 | 1) The nature and result of any investigation conducted               |
| 16 | concerning the complaint;   |
| 17 | 2) Any response to the complaint and the date of such                 |
| 18 | response to the complaint;  |
| 19 | 3) The final resolution of the complaint, the date of                 |
| 20 | such resolution, and an explanation for the resolution; and           |
| 21 | 4) If the resolution does not include the issuance of a               |
| 22 | refund, the reason for the denial of a refund;                        |
| 23 | 2. Taking all reasonable steps to identify Western Union agents or    |
| 24 | front line associates involved or complicit in fraud;                 |
| 25 | 3. Routinely reviewing and analyzing data regarding the activities of |
| 26 | Western Union agent locations in order to identify the following:     |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |

| 1  | a. Agent locations that have processed transactions associated  |
|----|---|
| 2  | with two (2) or more complaints about alleged fraud-induced money transfers, received by              |
| 3  | Defendant from any source, during a thirty (30) day period;   |
| 4  | b. Elevated fraud risk agent locations, as defined above; and   |
| 5  | 4. For agent locations identified pursuant to Subsection III.6.N.3 of                                 |
| 6  | this Judgment, fully investigate the agent location by reviewing transaction data and conducting      |
| 7  | analyses to determine if the agent location displayed any unusual or suspicious money transfer        |
| 8  | activity that cannot reasonably be explained or justified, including, but not limited to:             |
| 9  | a. Data integrity issues, including, but not limited to, invalid,                                     |
| 10 | illegible, incomplete, missing, or conflicting biographical data for consumers or recipients of       |
| 11 | money transfers;  |
| 12 | b. Significant changes in the transaction patterns experienced  |
| 13 | at the agent location;  |
| 14 | c. Significant differences in the transaction patterns  |
| 15 | experienced at an agent location relative to the patterns experienced at other agent locations in the |
| 16 | same country;   |
| 17 | d. Unusual demographic activity;  |
| 18 | e. Irregular concentrations of send and/or pay activity between                                       |
| 19 | the agent and one or more other Western Union agent locations;  |
| 20 | f. Irregular concentrations of send and/or pay activity between                                       |
| 21 | the agent and one or more geographical areas that have been identified as high risk for fraud;        |
| 22 | g. Unusual transaction patterns by senders or recipients;   |
| 23 | h. Flipping patterns;   |
| 24 | i. Suspicious structuring or splitting of money transfers; or   |
| 25 | j. Suspicious surfing patterns;   |
| 26 | O. Failing to take the following actions to prevent further fraud-induced                             |
| 27 | money transfers, including, but not limited to, by:   |
| 28 |   |

- 1. Suspending Western Union agent locations, as follows, pending further investigation to determine whether the Western Union agent locations can continue operating consistent with this Judgment's requirements:
- a. For agent locations identified pursuant to Subsection III.6.N.3.a of this Judgment, if the investigation of the agent location required by Subsection III.6.N.4 of this Judgment is not completed within fourteen (14) days after the agent location is identified, suspending the Western Union agent location's ability to conduct further money transfers until the investigation is completed; and
- b. For elevated fraud risk agent locations, immediately suspending the Western Union agent's ability to conduct further money transfers until the review required by Subsection III.6.N.4 of this Judgment is completed, except that, for a Western Union agent that is a bank or bank branch and otherwise subject to this immediate suspension requirement by virtue of fraud complaints about money transfers that are transferred directly into its account holders' bank accounts, Western Union shall comply with Subsection III.O.1.a and also permanently block, or request that the Western Union agent block, all further money transfers to bank accounts for which Western Union has received any fraud complaint;
- 2. Upon completion of the investigation, terminating, suspending, or restricting Western Union agent locations as follows:
- a. Terminating or suspending the Western Union agent location, or restricting the agent location's ability to send and/or receive certain money transfers, if the findings indicate that the Western Union agent location is not, or has not been, complying with Defendant's Anti-Fraud Program and other policies and procedures relating to detecting and preventing fraud-induced money transfers, including, but not limited to, by failing to collect and record required and accurate biographical information about, and government-issued identifications for, the recipients of money transfers; and
- b. Terminating the Western Union agent location if the findings indicate that the Western Union agent location or any of its front line associates is, or may be, complicit in the fraud-induced money transfers, has failed to comply with Subsection

III.7, or has repeatedly failed to comply with Defendant's Anti-Fraud and other policies and procedures relating to detecting and preventing fraud-induced money transfers;

- 3. On at least a monthly basis, providing notice to all Western Union agents in elevated fraud countries the substance of any complaints Defendant received involving transactions processed by the agents' locations; and
- 4. Ensuring that all Western Union agents are enforcing effective policies and procedures to detect and prevent fraud-induced money transfers, or other acts or practices that violate Subsections III.6.A through III.6.F of this Judgment; and
- P. Failing to establish adequate controls to ensure that, prior to paying out money transfers, Western Union agent locations are recording all required information about the recipients of money transfers, including, but not limited to, the recipients' names, addresses, telephone numbers, and identifications, and are taking reasonable steps to verify the identification presented by the recipients or, for money transfers that are directed to bank accounts, the identities of the account holders.
- 7. Under Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, and employees, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with promoting, offering for sale, or providing money transfer services, shall require and ensure that all elevated fraud risk agent locations that are still operating do the following for one (1) year from the date that Defendant identifies the agent as an elevated fraud risk agent location under the terms of this Judgment:
- A. For money transfers that are not transferred directly into a recipient's bank account, photocopy or scan the identification documents or biometric information presented by the recipient and retain the photocopies or images, along with the receive forms, for a period of five (5) years; and
- B. Demonstrate during compliance reviews or mystery shops, which

  Defendant shall conduct on at least a quarterly basis, that the agent location is complying with the requirements in Subsection III.7 of this Judgment.

Provided, however, that if Defendant reasonably believes that complying with Subsection III.7.A of this Judgment for money transfers received by an elevated fraud agent location in a particular foreign jurisdiction would violate that jurisdiction's laws, Defendant may instead, upon notice to FTC staff, block all money transfers from the United States to that elevated fraud risk agent location or, with the agreement of FTC staff, take other appropriate action at that location to protect consumers from fraud.

- 8. Under Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, and employees, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, whether acting directly or indirectly, shall, in addition to, or as a modification of, any other policy or practice that the Defendant may have, including Defendant's ongoing submission of information to the FTC for inclusion in Consumer Sentinel:
- A. Provide notice to the consumer, or his or her authorized representative, at the time the Defendant is contacted with a complaint about alleged fraudulent activity associated with a money transfer, that (i) Defendant's practice is to share information regarding the consumer's money transfer and complaint with a database used by law enforcement authorities in the United States and other countries; and (ii) if the consumer does not want his or her name, address, and identification shared with law enforcement, Defendant will honor that request unless applicable law permits or requires Defendant to provide that information; and
- B. Regularly, but no more than every thirty (30) days, submit electronically to the FTC, or its designated agent, for inclusion in Consumer Sentinel, all relevant information Defendant possesses regarding complaints received from consumers, their authorized representatives, or any other source, anywhere worldwide, about alleged fraud-induced money transfers and regarding the underlying transfer itself, including, but not limited to, the information set forth in Subsections III.6.N.1.a through III.6.N.1.l of this Judgment. *Provided*, *however*, if Defendant receives a request from a consumer or the consumer's authorized representative, which is documented by Defendant, stating that the consumer does not want the information shared with the database, or if Defendant received the complaint from a source other than the consumer or the

consumer's authorized representative, Defendant shall submit to the FTC an anonymized complaint with the consumer's name, address, and telephone number redacted. *Provided further*, that Defendant shall cooperate with the FTC in order to facilitate compliance with this Section.

## IV. MONETARY PROVISIONS

- 9. Defendant shall pay a total of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) to State Attorneys General to resolve the allegations raised in this action (the "Settlement Fund"), as memorialized in an Assurance of Voluntary Compliance executed on January 26, 2017. Within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date of this Judgment, Defendant shall direct the appropriate representative from the Vermont Attorney General's Office to make payment to the California Attorney General from the Settlement Fund in the amount agreed to by the participating states. Said payment shall be used by the California Attorney General for attorneys' fees and other costs of investigation and litigation; used to defray costs of the inquiry leading to this Final Judgment; or used for the California Attorney General's enforcement of California's consumer protection laws, at the sole discretion of the California Attorney General.
- 10. The California Attorney General and Defendant recognize that, in addition to the payment provided under Subsection IV.9, Defendant has agreed that redress for consumers shall be made available through the Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment entered in Federal Trade Commission v. The Western Union Company, Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-00110-CCC, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, which requires that Defendant pay Five Hundred Eighty-Six Million Dollars (\$586,000,000) and that such funds be deposited into a fund to be used to compensate fraud victims as detailed in Section VII of the Stipulated Order.

## V. RELEASE

11. Effective upon full payment of the amount due under Subsection IV.9, the California Attorney General releases and discharges Western Union, its parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, employees, officers, and directors (collectively, the "Released Parties"), from the following: any and all civil and administrative actions, claims, and causes of action that were or could have been asserted against the Released Parties by the California Attorney General under

California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq., or any amendments thereto, resulting from the conduct complained of in the complaint filed in this action and/or the matters addressed in this Judgment, up to and including the effective date of this Judgment (collectively, the "Released Claims").

- 12. Nothing in this Judgment or in this release shall be construed to alter, waive, or limit any private right of action specifically provided by state law.
- 13. Notwithstanding any term of this Judgment, any and all of the following forms of liability are specifically reserved and excluded from the Released Claims:
- A. Any criminal liability that any person or entity, including Western Union, has or may have in California;
- B. Any civil or administrative liability that any person or entity, including Western Union, has or may have to California under any statute, regulation or rule not expressly covered by the release in this Section, including but not limited to, any money laundering claims and any and all of the following claims:
  - i. state or federal antitrust violations,
  - ii. state or federal securities violations, and
  - iii. state or federal tax claims.

## VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 14. As ordered in *Federal Trade Commission v. The Western Union Company*, Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-00110-CCC, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania (FTC Judgment), an independent compliance auditor shall be appointed to further ensure compliance with Sections I through V of the Stipulated Order.
- 15. As ordered in the FTC Action, Defendant is required to submit compliance reports to the FTC, as detailed in Section IX of the Stipulated Order.
- 16. As ordered in the FTC Action, Defendant is required to monitor its compliance with the Stipulated Order and may be required to submit additional compliance reports or requested information to the FTC, as detailed in Section XI of the Stipulated Order.

- 17. The settlement negotiations resulting in this Judgment have been undertaken by the parties in good faith and for settlement purposes only, and the parties agree that no evidence of negotiations or communications underlying this Judgment shall be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding for any purpose.
- 18. No modification of the terms of this Judgment shall be valid or binding unless made in writing, signed by the parties, and approved by the Court, and then only to the extent specifically set forth in the Court's Order. The Parties may agree in writing, through counsel, to an extension of any time period in this Judgment without a court order.
- 19. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as relieving Defendant of its obligation to comply with all state and federal laws, regulations or rules, or as granting permission to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by such law, regulation or rule.
- 20. This Judgment does not constitute an approval by the California Attorney General of any of Defendant's past, present or future business acts and practices.
- 21. If any portion of this Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms of this Judgment shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.
- 22. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to waive, limit, or expand any claim of sovereign immunity the California Attorney General may have in any action or proceeding.
- 23. This Judgment may be enforced only by the Parties hereto. Nothing in this Judgment shall provide any rights or permit any person or entity not a party hereto to enforce any provision of this Judgment.
- 24. Plaintiff will provide Defendant with written notice if it believes that Defendant is in violation of any of its obligations under this Judgment ("Notice"). Defendant shall have 30 business days after the date of receipt of the Notice to demonstrate to the Plaintiff's satisfaction that:
- A. Defendant is in compliance with the obligations of this Judgment cited by Plaintiff as being violated;

| 1  | B. the violation has been addressed, including, but not limited to, by                           |
|----|--|
| 2  | remedial actions having been taken against an employee for actions inconsistent with this        |
| 3  | Judgment; or   |
| 4  | C. the alleged violation cannot be addressed within the 30 business day                          |
| 5  | period, but that: (a) Defendant has begun to take action to address the violation; (b)           |
| 6  | Defendant is pursuing such action with due diligence; and (c) Defendant has provided a           |
| 7  | reasonable timetable for addressing the violation.   |
| 8  | 25. Nothing shall prevent Plaintiff from agreeing in writing to provide                          |
| 9  | Defendant with additional time beyond the 30 business days to respond to the notice.             |
| 10 | 26. No person, entity or official not a signatory hereto is a third-party beneficiary of         |
| 11 | this Judgment. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to affect, limit, alter or assist any |
| 12 | private right of action that a consumer may hold against Defendant, nor shall anything in this   |
| 13 | Judgment confer upon any consumer standing to pursue any private right of action against         |
| 14 | Defendant.   |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | THE CLERK IS ORDERED TO ENTER THIS JUDGMENT FORTHWITH.   |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | APR 12 2017  |
| 21 | DATED:   |
| 22 | TANOLD KAIN  |
| 23 | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |