1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MORGESTER Senior Assistant Attorney General RANDY MAILMAN Deputy Attorney General MAGGY KRELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 226675 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 327-1995 Fax: (916) 322-2368 Attorneys for the People of the State of California	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SACRAMENTO
12		
13 14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. 16 FE 0 24013
15	Plaintiff,	·
16	v.	
17	1. CARL FERRER (DOB: (Xref # 30-100))	FELONY CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
18	2. MICHAEL LACEY	Date: January 11, 2017 Time: 10:30 a.m.
19	(DOB: (Xref #	Department: 8
20	3. JAMES LARKIN (DOB: (Xref #	
21		
22	Defendants.	
23	l, the undersigned, say on information and	belief, that in the County of Sacramento, State of
24	California:	
25	111	
26	///	
27	///	
28		
		1 CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
	II	CKIMINAL COMIT LAINT

#### COUNT ONE

(Penal Code sections 182/186.10	, MONEY LAUNDERING (	CONSPIRACY)
---------------------------------	----------------------	-------------

On or between January 1, 2013 and September 1, 2016, in the County of Sacramento and throughout the State of California, **DEFENDANTS FERRER**, **LACEY**, and **LARKIN** did unlawfully commit the crime of CONSPIRACY in violation of section 182(a)(1) of the Penal Code in that said Defendants did unlawfully conspire together with each other and with others whose identities are known and unknown, to commit the crime of money laundering, in violation of section 186.10 of the Penal Code, a felony; and that pursuant to and for the purposes of carrying out the objectives of the aforesaid conspiracy, the said Defendants committed the following overt acts, throughout the alleged time period:

#### Overt Act 1

Defendants owned and operated multiple websites, including Backpage.com.

#### Overt Act 2

On August 27, 2013, Defendant Ferrer notified Backpage personnel that customers' payment attempts were being denied and credit processors were refusing to process Backpage transactions because of overtly sexual content and questionable practices.

#### Overt Act 3

Defendants created the company, Classified Solutions, LTD.

#### **Overt Act 4**

Defendants created the company, Website Technologies, LLC.

#### Overt Act 5

Defendants created the company Postfaster LLC and made Nathan Kopecky, Backpage's Chief Financial Officer, the President.

#### Overt Act 6

Defendants applied for merchant accounts for said companies.

#### Overt Act 7

Defendants created multiple classified sites including Ymas, Postfastr, and Truckrjobs.

#### Overt Act 8 1 Defendant Ferrer applied for a merchant account with the payment processor Stripe for the 2 classified site Postfastr.com. He omitted any reference to Backpage.com, but planned to use 3 the account to process Backpage transactions. 4 Overt Act 9 5 After Stripe notified Defendant Ferrer that it was closing the account, Defendant Ferrer 6 directed Kopecky to tell Stripe that Postfaster LLC had no connection to Backpage and 7 was a site that hosted ads for truck drivers and other jobs. 8 Overt Act 10 9 In early 2015, Defendant Ferrer received notice from American Express that the company 10 would not process Backpage transactions after May 1, 2015. Defendant Ferrer directed 11 Backpage personnel to "bury" a message notifying users that American Express would not 12 be accepted, but to process any American Express payments that Backpage users attempted. 13 14 Overt Act 11 Defendant Ferrer also directed Backpage personnel to contact American Express users and 15 guide them through a process to use American Express to purchase "credits" on 16 Postfastr.com which could then be used on Backpage.com. 17 18 Overt Act 12 In May of 2015, Defendants conducted \$48,288.85 in American Express transactions from 19 Backpage's female Escort section throughout California, including Sacramento County. 20 21 Overt Act 13 In May of 2015, Defendants conducted \$7,904.00 in credits purchased via American 22 23 Express on Postfastr.com. Overt Act 14 24 In June of 2015, Defendants conducted \$31,786.25 in American Express transactions from 25 Backpage's female Escort section throughout California, including Sacramento County. 26 27 III111 28

1	Overt Act 15
2	In June of 2015, Defendants conducted \$16,152.32 in credits purchased via American
3	Express on Postfastr.com.
4	Overt Act 16
5	In July of 2015, defendants conducted \$11,105.78 in American Express transactions from
6	Backpage's female Escort section users throughout California, including Sacramento
7	county.
8	Overt Act 17
9	From July 1 through 7, 2015, Defendants conducted \$8,771.90 in credits purchased via
ιο	American Express on Postfastr.com.
11	Overt Act 18
12	Defendant Ferrer directed Backpage personnel to alter billing descriptors on Backpage.com
13	transactions to eliminate references to Backpage.
14	Overt Act 19
15	Between August 1, 2013 and October 31, 2016, Defendants received approximately
16	\$45,202,288.49 from transactions initially purchased through Backpage.com's Escort
17	categories throughout California, including Sacramento County.
18	COUNT TWO
19	(Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)
20	On or about and between July 1, 2014 and July 31, 2014, throughout California, including
21	Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section
22	186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by <b>Defendants</b> , who did willfully and unlawfully conduct
23	transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit:
24	\$1,082,934.67; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of,
25	or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.
26	111
27	///
28	///

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

#### 

## **1**

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

# 

#### **COUNT THREE**

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between August 1, 2014 and August 31, 2014, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,063,128.70; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT FOUR

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between September 1, 2014 and September 30, 2014, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,086,152.04; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT FIVE**

## (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between October 1, 2014 and October 31, 2014, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,212,972,21; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT SIX**

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between November 1, 2014 and November 30, 2014, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of money MONEY LAUNDERING, in

1	
2:	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,148,391.52; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT SEVEN**

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between December 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,252,053.16; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT EIGHT**

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between January 1, 2015 and January 31, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,338,789.97; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT NINE

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between February 1, 2015 and February 28, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit:

 \$2,185,513.27; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TEN

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between March 1, 2015 and March 31, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,556,274.11; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT ELEVEN**

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between April 1, 2015 and April 30, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$2,620,805.68; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TWELVE

## (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between May 1, 2015 and May 31, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants LARKIN AND FERRER**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$48,288.85; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

### 

### 

#### **COUNT THIRTEEN**

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between June 1, 2015 and June 30, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants LARKIN AND FERRER**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$31,786.25; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT FOURTEEN**

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between July 1, 2015 and July 30, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$598,695.98; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT FIFTEEN

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between August 1, 2015 and August 31, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$383,801.33; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

/	/	1
/	Ī	/

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

### 

### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

#### **COUNT SIXTEEN**

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between September 1, 2015 and September 30 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$347,802.26; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT SEVENTEEN

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between October 1, 2015 and October 31, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$711,060.93; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT EIGHTEEN**

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between November 1, 2015 and November 30, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$790,762.69; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT NINETEEN

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between December 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of

Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,169,547.13; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT TWENTY**

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between January 1, 2016 and January 31, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186,10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,273,683.55; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT TWENTY ONE**

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between February 1, 2016 and February 28, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,203,777.10; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### **COUNT TWENTY TWO**

## (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between March 1, 2016 and March 31, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,283,050.72; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### 2

## 3 4

## 5

## 6

## 7 8

## 9

## 10

## 11

## 12

## 13

## 14

## 15

## 16

### 17

## 18

## 19

## 20

## 21

## 22

## 23 24

## 25

### 26

## 27 28

## (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

**COUNT TWENTY THREE** 

On or about and between April 1, 2016 and April 30, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by Defendants, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,387,266.85; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TWENTY FOUR

### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between May 1, 2016 and May 31, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by Defendants, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,477,020.43; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TWENTY FIVE

## (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between June 1, 2016 and June 30, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,469,458.14; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TWENTY SIX

## (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between July 1, 2016 and July 31, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section

1	
2	
3	İ
	I
4	I
5	I
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,534,210.45; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TWENTY SEVEN

#### (Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), MONEY LAUNDERING)

On or about and between August 1, 2016 and August 31, 2016, throughout California, including Sacramento County, the crime of MONEY LAUNDERING, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a)(2), a felony, was committed by **Defendants**, who did willfully and unlawfully conduct transactions involving monetary instruments of a total value exceeding \$25,000, to wit: \$1,564,182.72; knowing that such monetary instrument or instruments represent the proceeds of, or is derived directly or indirectly from the proceeds of criminal activity.

#### COUNT TWENTY EIGHT

### (Penal Code sections 182/266h, PIMPING CONSPIRACY)

On or between January 1, 2010 and September 28, 2016, in the County of Sacramento and throughout the State of California, **Defendants** did unlawfully commit the crime of CONSPIRACY in violation of section 182(a)(1) of the Penal Code in that said Defendants did unlawfully conspire together with each other and with others whose identities are known and unknown, to commit the crime of pimping, in violation of section 266h of the Penal Code, a felony; and that pursuant to and for the purposes of carrying out the objectives of the aforesaid conspiracy, the said Defendants committed the following overt acts, throughout the alleged time period:

#### Overt Act 1

On or about September 10, 2014, Defendants received prostitution earnings from A.C., a minor, in Sacramento County.

#### Overt Act 2

On or about August 19, 2014, Defendants received prostitution earnings from E.V., a minor, in Los Angeles County.

1	Overt Act 3
2	On or about February 8, 2015, Defendants received prostitution earnings from L.F., a
3	minor, in Santa Clara County.
4	Overt Act 4
5	On or about February 1, 2015, Defendants received prostitution earnings from Z.G., a
6	minor, in Los Angeles County.
7	Overt Act 5
8	On or about October 7, 2012, Defendants received prostitution earnings from A.H. in
9	Sacramento County.
10	Overt Act 6
11	On or about July 30, 2014, Defendants received prostitution earnings from S.C. in
12	Sacramento County.
13	Overt Act 7
14	On or about August 19, 2014, Defendants received prostitution earnings from L.B. in Los
15	Angeles County.
16	Overt Act 8
17	On or about April 4, 2015, Defendants received prostitution earnings from K.A. in
18	Sacramento County.
19	Overt Act 9
20	On or about November 6, 2015, Defendants received prostitution earnings from C.U., a
21	minor, in Sacramento County.
22	Overt Act 10
23	On or about August 12, 2016, Defendants received prostitution earnings from A.B., a
24	minor, in Fresno County.
25	Overt Act 11
26	On or about November 22, 2015, Defendants received prostitution earnings from A.F. in
27	Sacramento County.
28	///

- 11	
1	Overt Act 12
2	Between December 6, 2015 to December 28, 2015, Defendants received prostitution
3	earnings from S.D., a minor, in Santa Clara County.
4	Overt Act 13
5	Defendants owned and operated multiple websites, including Backpage.com.
6	Overt Act 14
7	Defendants used Backpage.com to collect the earnings of prostitutes and their pimps.
8	Overt Act 15
9	Defendants designed and used other websites to increase web traffic to Backpage.com and
10	thereby increase its own revenue from the illegal sex trade.
11	Overt Act 16
12	Defendants designed two of their websites, BigCity and EvilEmpire, using content
13	developed by Defendants and their employees with the purpose of promoting Backpage's
14	prostitution business and monopolizing the market.
15	Overt Act 17
16	Defendants created profiles for thousands of victims, including minors, on BigCity and
17	EvilEmpire.
18	Overt Act 18
19	Defendants created profiles for the victims named in counts 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37-40
20	without their knowledge.
21	Overt Act 19
22	Victims could not remove or edit the pictures or information the defendant's placed on
23	EvilEmpire and when one victim contacted Backpage, staff replied that Backpage was not
24	affiliated with EvilEmpire and could not remove her picture.
25	Overt Act 20
26	Defendants created other websites that were unrelated to prostitution. Defendants used
27	these websites to process prostitution-related transactions when financial institutions were
28	unwilling to conduct business with Defendants.

#### 

# 

## 

## 

## 

# 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

# 

## 

## 

### 

#### COUNT TWENTY NINE

### (Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), PIMPING A MINOR UNDER 16 YEARS OF AGE)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between September 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014, in the County of Sacramento, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR, in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), in that said Defendant, knowing A.C., a minor under 16 years of age, to engage in prostitution, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### COUNT THIRTY

## (Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), PIMPING A MINOR UNDER 16 YEARS OF AGE)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between August 1, 2014 through January 1, 2015, in the County of Los Angeles, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), in that said Defendant, knowing E.V., a minor under 16, to be a prostitute, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### COUNT THIRTY ONE

## (Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), PIMPING A MINOR UNDER 16 YEARS OF AGE)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between January 1, 2015 through February 28, 2015, in the County of Santa Clara, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), in that said Defendant, knowing L.F., a minor under 16 years, to engage in prostitution, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

/	1	/
1	/	1

## ///

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

### 

#### 

## 

## 

# 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### **COUNT THIRTY TWO**

#### (Penal Code section 266h(b)(1), PIMPING A MINOR)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between June 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015, in the County of Los Angeles, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(1), in that said Defendant, knowing Z.G., a minor, to engage in prostitution, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### **COUNT THIRTY THREE**

### (Penal Code section 266h(a), PIMPING)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between April 28, 2014 through March 6, 2015, in the County of Sacramento, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING in violation of Penal Code section 266h(a), in that said Defendant, knowing A.H. to be a prostitute, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### **COUNT THIRTY FOUR**

## (Penal Code section 266h(a), PIMPING)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between July 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015, in the County of Sacramento, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING in violation of Penal Code section 266h(a), in that said Defendant, knowing S.C. to be a prostitute, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### COUNT THIRTY FIVE

## (Penal Code section 266h(a), PIMPING)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between August 1, 2014 and

August 31, 2014, in the County of Los Angeles, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING in violation of Penal Code section 266h(a), in that said Defendant, knowing L.B. to be a prostitute, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### **COUNT THIRTY SIX**

#### (Penal Code section 266h(a), PIMPING)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between January 1, 2016 to June 1, 2016 in the County of Sacramento, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING in violation of Penal Code section 266h(a), in that said Defendant, knowing K.A. to be a prostitute, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### COUNT THIRTY SEVEN

## (Penal Code section 266h(b)(1), PIMPING A MINOR 16 YEARS OF AGE)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between November 1, 2014 through November 6, 2015, in the County of Sacramento, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(1), in that said Defendant, knowing C.U., a minor over 16 years, to engage in prostitution, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### **COUNT THIRTY EIGHT**

## (Penal Code section 266h(b)(1), PIMPING A MINOR 16 YEARS OF AGE)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about August 12, 2016 in the County of Fresno, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(1), in that said Defendant, knowing A.B., a minor over

16 years, to engage in prostitution, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### **COUNT THIRTY NINE**

#### (Penal Code section 266h(a), PIMPING)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between November 22, 2015 and December 5, 2015, in the County of Sacramento, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING in violation of Penal Code section 266h(a), in that said Defendant, knowing A.F. to be a prostitute, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

#### **COUNT FORTY**

## (Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), PIMPING A MINOR UNDER 16 YEARS OF AGE)

For a further and separate cause of action, being a different offense from, but connected in its commission with, the charge set forth above, on or about and between December 6, 2015 to December 28, 2015 in the County of Santa Clara, **Defendant FERRER** did unlawfully commit the crime of PIMPING OF A MINOR in violation of Penal Code section 266h(b)(2), in that said Defendant, knowing S.D., a minor under 16 years, to engage in prostitution, did live and derive support and maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings and proceeds of said prostitution.

### PENAL CODE SECTION 186.10 ENHANCEMENT ALLEGATION

Pursuant to Penal Code section 186.10(c)(1)(B), it is further alleged as to counts 14-18 that the value of the individually alleged transactions exceeds one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) but is less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000), so that the court, in addition to and consecutive to the felony punishment otherwise imposed pursuant to this section, shall impose an additional term of imprisonment of two years.

## PENAL CODE SECTION 186.10 ENHANCEMENT ALLEGATION

Pursuant to Penal Code section 186.10(c)(1)(C), it is further alleged as to counts 2-9, 19-26, that the value of the individually alleged transactions exceeds one million dollars (\$1,000,000), but is less than two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000), so that the court, in

addition to and consecutive to the felony punishment otherwise imposed pursuant to this section, shall impose an additional term of imprisonment of three years.

## PENAL CODE SECTION 186.10 ENHANCEMENT ALLEGATION

Pursuant to Penal Code section 186.10(c)(1)(D), it is further alleged as to counts 10-11, that the value of the individually alleged transactions exceeds two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000), so that the court, in addition to and consecutive to the felony punishment otherwise prescribed by this section, shall impose an additional term of imprisonment of four years.

**NOTICE**: Conviction of the offenses charged in counts 28-32, 37-38, 40 will require you to register pursuant to Penal Code section 290. Willful failure to register is a crime.

**NOTICE**: Per Penal Code sections 11166 and 11168, a Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated in counts 28-32, 37-38, 40. Penal Code sections 11167 and 11167.5 limit access to a SCAR. A court-issued protective order is needed to obtain a copy of the report.

**NOTICE**: Penal Code section 1203.065(a) prohibits a grant of probation for the offenses charged in counts 28-40.

**NOTICE**: Per Penal Code section 1054.5(b), the People hereby informally request that defense counsel provide the People with discovery as required by Penal Code section 1054.3.

#### DECLARATION

I declare under penalty of perjury, under Penal Code section 806, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 23, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California

miggy Hill

MAGGY KRELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for the People

SA2013311583 32562042

27