1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.			
2	Attorney General of the State of California			
2	LOUIS VERDUGO, JR. Senior Assistant Attorney General			
3	RALPH LIGHTSTONE			
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
•	SATOSHI YANAI, State Bar No. 186355			
5	Deputy Attorney General			
6	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013			
	Telephone: (213) 897-0015			
7	Facsimile: (213) 897-7605 E-mail: satoshi.yanai@doj.ca.gov			
8				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	ex rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., as Attorney			
10	General of the State of California			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	COUNTY OF ORANGE			
13				
14	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	CASE NO.:		
15	ex rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR. as Attorney General of the State of California,	COMPLAINT FOR		
1.0	DI : CCC	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, CIVIL		
16	Plaintiff,	PENALTIES, RESTITUTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE		
17	v.	RELIEF		
18	PACIFISTAFF, INC., a Corporation;	(Business & Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500		
	BRUCE BOWEN, an Individual; and	et seq.)		
19	DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,			
20	Defendants.			
21				
22	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ex rel. Edmund G. Brown Jr., as the Attorney			
23	General of the State of California, is informed and believes, and on such information and belief,			
24	alleges as follows:			
25	INTRODUCTION			
26	1. This action is brought by the Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ex rel.			
27	Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, against PacifiStaff, Inc.			
28	("PacifiStaff"), its agents, and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, in order to halt the proliferation and			

- 1 implementation of an unlawful scheme marketed by PacifiStaff to employers in the State to
- 2 evade workers' compensation costs by exploiting a legal exemption intended to only exempt the
- 3 owners of small closed corporations from the costs of paying for workers' compensation
- 4 coverage for themselves. Marketing itself as the "Antidote to Workers' Compensation,"
- 5 PacifiStaff advises employers generally in the high-hazard construction industry to appoint
- 6 their entire workforce of manual workers as sham officers, and issue each of them a nominal
- 7 share in the corporation, in order to unlawfully claim the exemption for corporate officers or
- 8 directors who are also the sole shareholders of a closed corporation. The employer relying on
- 9 the exemption does not then obtain workers' compensation insurance to cover their new
- 10 officer/shareholder workers. This scheme leaves the workers without the no-fault protections of
- 11 the workers' compensation system, and makes it more difficult for legitimate employers that
- 12 fulfill their workers' compensation obligations to competitively bid for contracts against these
- 13 corporations that falsely claim the "officer" exemption.

14 <u>PARTIES</u>

- 2. Plaintiff Edmund G. Brown Jr. is the Attorney General of the State of California and is
- 16 the chief law officer of the State. (Cal. Const., art. V, § 13.) The Attorney General is
- 17 empowered by the California Constitution to take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the
- 18 laws of the State are uniformly and adequately enforced. He is statutorily authorized to bring
- 19 actions in the name of the People of the State of California to enforce California's statutes
- 20 governing unfair competition and untrue or misleading sales representations. (Bus. & Prof.
- 21 Code, §§ 17200 et seq. and 17500 et seq.)
- 22 3. Defendant PacifiStaff is now, and has been at various relevant times, a California
- 23 corporation engaged in promoting a business plan for construction and other employers to avoid
- 24 workers' compensation payments, and providing corporate organization and management
- 25 services, payroll services, and legal advice. PacifiStaff maintains an office at 2125 E. Katella
- 26 Avenue, Suite 330, in Anaheim, California in the County of Orange, and has conducted business
- 27 at all times relevant to this lawsuit in, among other places, the County of Orange in the State of

- 1 California. PacifiStaff is not registered as a lawyer referral service with the State Bar of
- 2 California.
- 4. Defendant Bruce Bowen ("Bowen") is a vice president of PacifiStaff and is now, and
- 4 has been at various relevant times, an agent, representative, and/or employee of defendant
- 5 PacifiStaff, and was acting in such capacity as an agent, representative, and/or employee with the
- 6 permission and consent of PacifiStaff in performing the unlawful acts alleged below. Bowen is
- 7 not now, and has not been at any time relevant to this complaint, a licensed attorney in the State
- 8 of California. Bowen is sued in his individual capacity.
- 9 5. Plaintiff is not aware of the true names, identities, or capacities of the defendants sued
- 10 herein as Does 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious
- 11 names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at various relevant times, said Doe defendants
- 12 participated in, or otherwise were in some manner responsible for the harm to the general public
- 13 that arose from the facts and occurrences alleged in this complaint. Plaintiff will seek leave of
- 14 the court to amend this complaint to state the true names of the fictitiously named defendants
- 15 once they are discovered.
- 6. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of the corporate defendant,
- 17 such allegation shall mean that the corporation did the acts alleged in this complaint through its
- 18 officers, directors, employees, agents and/or representatives while they were acting within the
- 19 actual or ostensible scope of their authority.
- 20 7. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of any of the
- 21 defendants, including those named herein as Doe defendants, such allegation shall mean that
- 22 each defendant and/or Doe defendant acted individually and jointly with the other defendants,
- 23 including the Doe defendants, named in this complaint.
- 8. At all relevant times, each defendant, including those named herein as Doe defendants,
- 25 knew or realized that the other defendants and/or Doe defendants were engaging in or planned to
- 26 engage in the violations of law alleged in this complaint. Knowing or realizing that other
- 27 defendants were engaging in such unlawful conduct, each defendant nevertheless facilitated the
- 28 commission of those unlawful acts. Each defendant encouraged, facilitate, or assisted in the

- 1 commission of the unlawful acts, and thereby, aided and abetted the other defendants in the
- 2 unlawful conduct.
- 9. Defendants, including those named herein as Doe defendants, have engaged in a
- 4 conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct to utilize false and/or misleading
- 5 representations, engage in the unauthorized practice of law, and make unlawful legal referrals to
- 6 facilitate a common scheme to profit by promoting the unlawful evasion of workers'
- 7 compensation obligations. The conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct
- 8 continues to the present.

11

- 9 10. The violations of law alleged in this complaint occurred in Orange County
- 10 and in other counties in California.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

- 12 11. PacifiStaff, using the trade name "Workforce Solutions," has billed itself as the
- 13 "Antidote to Workers' Compensation" and promoted a scheme to evade workers' compensation
- 14 insurance to a clientele of construction contractors and other employers with high workers'
- 15 compensation insurance rates. PacifiStaff has marketed and continues to market its services to
- 16 its prospective clients through various means, including, inter alia, appearances at trade shows,
- 17 print advertisements in the *California Contractor* industry newsletter, and over the Internet
- 18 through its web site: www.theworkforcesolutions.com.
- 19 12. PacifiStaff's marketing campaign has represented and continues to represent that
- 20 PacifiStaff can reduce or eliminate its clients' dependence on workers' compensation insurance,
- 21 and falsely advertises that its methods have been "approved" or "recognized" by the
- 22 "Department of Labor," despite the fact that PacifiStaff knew or should have known at the time
- 23 of each representation that the "Department of Labor" has not "approved" or "recognized"
- 24 PacifiStaff's business model.
- 25 13. In addition to the marketing efforts referred to above in paragraph 10, PacifiStaff and
- 26 Bowen have also conducted and continue to conduct direct sales meetings with prospective
- 27 client employers, during which Bowen represents that, for an initial fee and additional monthly
- 28 fees, PacifiStaff can save its clients tens of thousands of dollars annually by eliminating the need

- 1 to obtain workers' compensation insurance, and provide an allegedly superior package of health,
- 2 life, and disability insurance.
- 3 14. During these direct sales meetings conducted by PacifiStaff with prospective client
- 4 employers, Bowen falsely advises prospective clients that they are eligible to cease paying for
- 5 workers' compensation insurance on behalf of their construction employees by taking advantage
- 6 of a workers' compensation exemption within Labor Code section 3351, subdivision (c)
- 7 ("Section 3351(c)"). That provision allows officers or directors of a private corporation who are
- 8 also the sole shareholders thereof to exempt themselves from workers' compensation coverage.
- 9 15. During PacifiStaff's direct sales meetings, Bowen also repeats the false representation
- 10 made in PacifiStaff's print and Internet advertisements that PacifiStaff's program to reduce or
- eliminate its clients' workers' compensation costs has been "approved" or "recognized" by the
- 12 "Department of Labor" as being in compliance with the law, despite the fact that Bowen knew or
- 13 should have known at the time of each representation that his statements were untrue and
- 14 misleading.
- 15 16. The scheme promoted by PacifiStaff involves taking manual construction employees
- 16 subject to workers' compensation coverage and adorning them with officer titles and issuing
- 17 them nominal corporate shares for the sole purpose of reducing workers' compensation costs by
- 18 unlawfully claiming the Section 3351(c) exemption.
- 19 17. Thus, in the course of the direct sales meetings, PacifiStaff and Bowen advise
- 20 prospective clients to utilize the Section 3351(c) exemption by taking their entire workforce of
- 21 manual workers covered by workers' compensation, appointing them as officers in a new
- 22 corporation established apart from the original client company, and issuing the newly-appointed
- 23 officer-employees nominal shares in the new corporation. The client then ceases to pay for any
- 24 workers' compensation insurance for the new officer/shareholder employees, but still leases the
- 25 employees back to the original construction company whenever the original company requires
- 26 manual construction labor. Bowen advises prospective clients that this corporate structure was
- 27 devised for the sole purpose of exploiting the Section 3351(c) exemption.

1	18. As explained by PacifiStaff and Bowen during the direct sales meetings, the suggested	
2	arrangement eliminates any danger for the prospective client employer that their new officer-	
3	employees will exert any practical influence over the affairs of the original construction	
4	company. Bowen assures potential clients that they will be able to run their construction	
5	contracting business in precisely the same way that they always have. The new	
6	officer/shareholder employees are merely at-will employees of the leasing corporation, which	
7	will have no contractor's license, and exists solely to lease its "officers" as the manual workford	
8	for the original client company.	
9	19. As further represented by PacifiStaff and Bowen during the direct sales meetings, the	
10	shareholding arrangement with the new officer-shareholder employees also insures that the	
11	1 workers do not gain any influence through their new status. Bowen explains that employees car	
12	be sold a single share of the new leasing corporation worth as little as a dollar, and that the stock	
13	is restricted by rules that require the employees to sell their stock back to the corporation if they	
14	ever separate from the company for any reason, and prohibit the sale of the stock to anyone other	
15	5 than the corporation.	
16	20. During the direct sales meetings, PacifiStaff and Bowen also provides false assurances	
17	to prospective clients that the package of health, life, and disability insurance provided through	
18	PacifiStaff will provide the officer/shareholder employees of the clients with superior benefits	
19	when compared to workers' compensation insurance, without any additional risk of legal	
20	liability, despite the fact that PacifiStaff and Bowen knew or should have known at the time of	
21	each representation that their statements were untrue and misleading.	
22	21. PacifiStaff facilitates and controls its overall scheme of providing private health, life,	
23	and disability insurance in lieu of workers' compensation by:	
24	a) having Bowen and other non-attorney representatives provide legal advice about	
25	the applicability of the Section 3351(c) exemption and the legal consequences of	
26	incorporating and dropping workers' compensation coverage;	

offering legal services to its clients for incorporation, corporate management, or

other issues, and referring its clients to licensed attorneys who are paid or selected

27

28

b)

1	by Pacifistali;		
2	c) maintaining the new leasing corporations created under the PacifiStaff program,		
3	including, but not limited to, appointing officers, managing share transactions,		
4	providing agendas for board and shareholders' meetings, scheduling and		
5	presiding at corporate meetings, handling claims for medical services, and		
6	managing payroll services.		
7	22. PacifiStaff's marketing and direct sales meetings have resulted in a number of		
8	companies purchasing PacifiStaff's service, and PacifiStaff has facilitated and continues to		
9	facilitate its clients in claiming the exemption from workers' compensation coverage for their		
10	officer/shareholder employees.		
11	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
12	VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200		
13	(PROMOTING EVASION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION OBLIGATIONS		
14	THROUGH ABUSE OF THE CORPORATE FORM AND THE LABOR CODE		
15	SECTION 3351(c) EXEMPTION)		
16	(Against Defendants PacifiStaff, Inc., Bruce Bowen, and Does 1-50, inclusive)		
17	23. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this		
18	3 complaint.		
19	24. The People allege that PacifiStaff and Bowen have engaged and continue to engage in		
20	unfair competition, as defined in Business & Professions Code section 17200, by enticing		
21	employers to unlawfully evade their obligation to maintain workers' compensation insurance as		
22	required by Labor Code section 3700, and providing services to those employers to facilitate the		
23	evasion.		
24	25. Specifically, the People allege that PacifiStaff and Bowen induce employers to utilize		
25	sham corporate structures to unlawfully exploit the workers' compensation exemption contained		
26	within Labor Code section 3351, subdivision (c), and then furnish the means to accomplish the		
27	unlawful evasion by providing legal expertise and other services to incorporate, maintain, and		
28	manage the sham corporations.		

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTIONS 17500		
3	(UNTRUE OR MISLEADING REPRESENTATIONS)		
4	(Against Defendants PacifiStaff, Inc., Bruce Bowen, and Does 1-50, inclusive)		
5	26. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this		
6	complaint.		
7	27. The People allege that PacifiStaff and Bowen have made and continue to make untrue		
8	or misleading statements, as defined in Business & Professions Code section 17500, that		
9	PacifiStaff and Bowen knew or should have known to be untrue or misleading at the time they		
10	were made, in relation to their efforts to induce employers to purchase their services.		
11	28. Specifically, the People allege that during sales meetings with prospective clients, and		
12	in the print and Internet ads touting their services, PacifiStaff and Bowen have made and		
13	continue to make untrue or misleading statements that PacifiStaff and Bowen knew or should		
14	have known were untrue or misleading, including but not limited to: asserting that PacifiStaff's		
15	scheme is legal; representing that government authorities have approved of PacifiStaff's busine		
16	model; misrepresenting the nature of the insurance benefits provided through PacifiStaff as		
17	compared to workers' compensation benefits; flatly stating that the PacifiStaff-provided		
18	insurance coverage is "better" than workers' compensation insurance coverage; and misleading		
19	employers about the legal consequences of terminating workers' compensation coverage for its		
20	workers.		
21	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
22	VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200		
23	(UNTRUE OR MISLEADING REPRESENTATIONS)		
24	(Against Defendants PacifiStaff, Inc., Bruce Bowen, and Does 1-50, inclusive)		
25	29. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 22, 27, and 28		
26	of this complaint.		
27	30. The People allege that PacifiStaff and Bowen have engaged and continue to engage in		
28	unfair competition, as defined in Business & Professions Code section 17200, by making and		

1	continuing to make unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising, and by making and	
2	continuing to make untrue or misleading statements, as defined in Business & Professions Code	
3	section 17500, that PacifiStaff and Bowen knew or should have known to be untrue or	
4	misleading at the time they were made, in relation to their efforts to induce employers to	
5	purchase their services, as alleged in paragraphs 27 and 28, above.	
6	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
7	VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200	
8	(UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW)	
9	(Against Defendants PacifiStaff, Inc., Bruce Bowen, and Does 1-25, inclusive)	
10	31. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this	
11	complaint.	
12	32. The People allege that PacifiStaff and Bowen have engaged and continue to engage in	
13	unfair competition, as defined in Business & Professions Code section 17200, by engaging in the	
14	unauthorized practice of law in violation of Business & Professions Code section 6125.	
15	PacifiStaff and Bowen have had non-attorneys provide specific legal advice to prospective	
16	clients about – among other things – the benefits of incorporation, the state of the law regarding	
17	the exemption set forth in Labor Code section 3351, subdivision (c), and the prospective clients'	
18	eligibility for that exemption. PacifiStaff has also secured, provided, and paid for the legal	
19	services of licensed attorneys to its clients as a means of implementing and furthering its	
20	workers' compensation evasion scheme. PacifiStaff's clients receive legal advice from the	
21	attorneys who are paid by PacifiStaff.	
22	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
23	VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200	
24	(VIOLATION OF LAWYER REFERRAL STATUTE)	
25	(Against Defendant PacifiStaff, Inc. and Does 1-25, inclusive)	
26	33. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this	
27	complaint.	
28		

- 1 34. The People allege that PacifiStaff has engaged in unfair competition, as defined in
- 2 Business & Professions Code section 17200, by referring its clients to attorneys despite not
- 3 being a lawyer referral service registered with the State Bar of California, in violation of
- 4 Business & Professions Code section 6155, subdivision (a).

5 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

- WHEREFORE, the People pray for judgment as follows against defendants, jointly and severally:
- 8 1. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 17203, that defendants, their
- 9 successors, agents, representatives, employees, and all persons who act in concert with
- 10 defendants be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined from engaging in unfair
- 11 competition as defined in Business & Professions Code section 17200, including, but not limited
- 12 to, the acts and practices alleged in this complaint.
- 13 2. That defendants, their successors, agents, representatives, employees, and all persons
- 14 who act in concert with defendants be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined from
- 15 making any untrue or misleading statements in violation of Business & Professions Code section
- 16 17500, including, but not limited to, the untrue and misleading statements alleged in this
- 17 complaint.
- 18 3. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 17206, that the Court assess a civil
- 19 penalty of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) against defendants for each violation of
- 20 Business & Professions Code section 17200, as proved at trial.
- 4. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 17536, that the Court assess a civil
- 22 penalty of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) against defendants for each violation of
- 23 Business & Professions Code section 17500, as proved at trial.
- 5. That defendants be ordered to make restitution of any money or other property that
- 25 may have been acquired by their violations of Business & Professions Code sections 17200 and
- 26 17500.
- 27 6. That the People recover their costs of suit.

28

1	7. Such other and further relief that the court deems just and proper.	
2		
3	Dated: November 6, 2007	Respectfully submitted,
4		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General
5		LOUIS VERDUGO, JR.
6		Senior Assistant Attorney General RALPH LIGHTSTONE
7		Supervising Deputy Attorney General SATOSHI YANAI
8		Deputy Attorney General
9		BY:
10		SATOSHI YANAI
11		Deputy Attorney General
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff,
13		THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. EDMUND G. BROWN
14		JR., as Attorney General of the State of California
15	60252708.wpd	Cumomu
16	SA2007600274	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		