1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.				
2	Attorney General of the State of California MARK BRECKLER				
-3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JON ICHINAGA				
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RALPH LIGHTSTONE				
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MAURICE R. JOURDANE, State Bar No. 42898				
6	Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 1100				
7	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266				
, 8	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2218				
9	Fax: (619) 645-2218 Fax: (619) 645-2271 E-mail: Maurice.Jourdane@doj.ca.gov				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
10					
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
14					
15	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex	CASE NO.			
	rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR			
10	the State of California,	VENIFIED COMILAINT FOR			
16 17	the State of California, Plaintiff,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND			
	, ,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF,			
17	Plaintiff, v. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC.,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND			
17 18	Plaintiff, v. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge:			
17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept:			
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, v. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date:			
17 18 19 20 21	V. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date:			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	V. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed:			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	V. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed:			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Plaintiff, v. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed: Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Plaintiff, v. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. The People of the State of California, by and through General of the State of California, allege as follows:	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed: Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	V. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. The People of the State of California, by and through General of the State of California, allege as follows: 1. Fair competition is fundamental to the free enterprise	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed: Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	V. INTERWALL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS, INC., FELTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., CINCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., ANCCA CORPORATION dba N-U ENTERPRISE, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. The People of the State of California, by and through General of the State of California, allege as follows: 1. Fair competition is fundamental to the free enterp free economic system, Business and Professions Code, sector	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES Date: Time: Dept: Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed: Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney orise economic system. To further a tion 17200 expressly prohibit Development Systems, Inc. (hereafter			

Interwall), Felts Construction Company, Inc. (hereafter Felts), Cinco Construction, Inc. (hereafter
Cinco), and ANCCA dba N-U Enterprise (hereafter N-U Enterprise) have engaged in and
continue to engage in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices enabling the
corporations to reduce costs and underbid competition on construction jobs requiring drywall
installation. Unless enjoined by the court from continuing these unlawful business practices and
ordered to make restitution and pay statutory penalties, it is anticipated that defendants will
continue to pursue the unlawful schemes.

8 2. The People of the State of California act through their duly elected Attorney General,
9 Edmund G. Brown Jr., who is the chief law officer of the State. (Cal. Const., art. 5, § 13.) In this
10 capacity he amy seek enforcement of the rights and interests of the people of California.
11 Business and Professions Code section 17204 authorizes the Attorney General to bring actions to
12 enforce the California Unfair Competition Law.

3. Defendants Interwall, Felts, Cinco, and ANCCA dba N-U Enterprise were, and at all
times relevant herein are, corporations doing business in the State of California, including in the
counties of Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside, Orange, and San Diego.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each defendant is the alter
ego of each other defendant and fraudulently uses the corporate form to further the illegal scheme
enabling it to engage in the unfair competition complained of herein. Plaintiff is informed and
believes that the defendants are integrated enterprises with an interrelation of operations,
common management, centralized control of labor relations, and common ownership.

5. The true names and capacities of defendants sued in the Complaint under the fictitious
names of DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues such
defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show the true names
of each when the same has been ascertained. Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100
are, and at all relevant times were, engaged with Interwall, Felts, Cinco, and N-U Enterprise in
the activities and conduct complained of herein.

27 6. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of any defendant, such allegations
28 shall mean that the named defendant through its agents, employees, or representatives, performed

or authorized such acts while engaged in the management, direction or control of the affairs of all
 the defendants.

- 3 7. Any reference to any act of any defendant means that each defendant engaged in the act
 4 individually, jointly, and/or in concert with all other defendants.
 - FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

8. The People are informed and believe that during performance of drywall installation, 6 defendants Interwall, Felts, Cinco, and N-U Enterprize have obtained an unfair advantage in bidding 7 8 competition for drywall construction work, to the detriment of honest and fair competitors, through 9 unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices that include the failure to pay employees overtime 10 pay, failure to provide employees with rest periods, failure to pay employees all wages owed when 11 due, failure to provide employees with pay check subs or other accurate written information on the 12 number of hours worked and sums deducted from their pay, and failure to pay employees the 13 prevailing wage while they worked on public projects as required by law.

9. Due to defendants unfair and unlawful practices defendants have obtained an unfair
advantage over its competitors and deprived employees the benefits they are entitled to under
California law.

17 10. Through Interwall, Felts, Cinco, and N-U Enterprise's unfair and unlawful practices set
18 forth in paragraph 8 Interwall, Felts, Cinco, and N-U Enterprise drywall employees during the past
19 statutory period suffered substantial monetary losses and are entitled to restitution for the losses. The
20 losses to defendants' employees include but are not limited to:

21 (a). time-and-one-half pay for overtime worked;

(b). pursuant to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 16, one-hour pay for each rest
period not provided;

(c). pursuant to Labor Code, section 203, continuation wage as penalty for the unlawful delay
in providing pay owed to employees upon their termination of employment; and

26 (d) the prevailing wage while the employees were engaged in work on public-work projects.
27 ///

3

28 ///

5

1	11. Defendants' violation of California statutes and administrative orders have caused		
2	irreparable damage to the People of the State of California. There is no adequate remedy at law that		
2	might justify denial of preliminary or permanent injunctive relief.		
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
5	WHEREFORE, the People pray for the following relief:		
6	1. Interwall Development Systems, Inc., Felts Construction Company, Inc., Cinco		
7	Construction, Inc., and ANCCA dba N-U Enterprise, their successors, agents, representatives,		
8	employees and all persons acting in concert with defendants be enjoined and restrained from		
9	engaging in unfair competition as defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200, including		
10	but not limited to the types of acts or practices alleged in the First Cause of Action;		
11	2. Defendants be permanently enjoined from engaging in business in the State of California		
12	while agents, representatives, employees and all persons acting in concert with defendant continue		
13			
14	3. Defendants pay restitution to their employees for wages lost through defendants' violations		
15	of the law as set forth herein;		
16	4. Defendants pay civil penalties up to \$2,500 for each violation of Business and Professions		
17	Code section 17200;		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26			
27			
28			
	4 VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES		
	VERIMED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES		

1	5.	The People recover the costs of investigation, expert witness fees, and costs of the action	
2	and;		
3	6.	Such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate and just.	
4		Dated: January 11, 2008	
5		Respectfully submitted,	
6		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California	
7 8		MARK BRECKLER Senior Assistant Attorney General	
8 9		JON ICHINAGA Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
10		RALPH LIGHTSTONE	
11		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
12			
13		MAURICE R. JOURDANE Deputy Attorney General	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15			
16 17			
17			
18			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	SA200770	01158	
27			
28			
		5	
VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND PENALTIES			