1		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California	
2	. N	MARK J. BRECKLER Senior Assistant Attorney General	
3	J	ON M. ICHINAGA	
: 4	. N	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MAURICE R. JOURDANE	
5	$\Gamma \parallel i$	Deputy Attorney General FIMOTHY J. KOLESNIKOW, State Bar No. 166120 Deputy Attorney General	
6		300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
7	7	Telephone: (213) 897-4482 Fax: (213) 897-2801	
8		E-mail: Timothy.Kolesnikow@doj.ca.gov	
9.		Attorneys for People of the State of California	
			No.
10		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALI	FORNIA
11	1	COUNTY OF KERN	
1.2	2		
13	3		
14	4 -	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex	Case No.
•	- .	rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of	
1:	⊃ -	the State of California,	COMPLAINT FOR
10	6	Plaintiff,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND CIVIL
1	7	v.	PENALTIES
1	8		(Business & Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.)
. 1	9	CHARLES EVLETH CONSTRUCTION, INC., a California Corporation, CHARLES W. EVLETH,	
	-	aka "Charles Evleth", "Charles Evleth III" and	
2	0:	"Charles Eveleth" an individual, KATHLEEN JANETTE EVLETH, an individual, and DOES I	
2	1	through 100, inclusive,	
. 2	22	Defendants.	
. 2	23		
	24		
	ļ		
2	25		
2	26	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, by and through Edmund G. Brown Jr., as	
2	27	Attorney General of the State of California, is informed and believes, and on such information	
	28	and belief alleges:	
		Complaint	

6 7

8 9_.

11

10

1213

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

This action is brought by Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, ex rel. Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, against Defendant Charles Evleth Construction, Inc. (hereafter Evleth), a California general contractor primarily performing drywall installation, to stop Evleth from engaging in unfair competition. Evleth has engaged in a pattern and practice of violating state law including: paying employees cash without withholding and paying state income tax, without withholding Social Security and Medicare contributions, without withholding and paying state unemployment insurance contributions, and without withholding and paying contributions to the state disability fund; failing to pay overtime pay; failing to provide all employees with an itemized written statement reflecting their gross wages, number of hours worked, piece-rate earnings, the applicable piece rate, all deductions taken, net wage earned, the inclusive dates of the pay period, the name of the employee and his or her social security number, the hourly rate in effect during the pay period, and the number of hours the employee worked; failing to provide employees with tools necessary to perform the work they were hired to perform; failing to pay employees the full amount owed; failing to provide employees with rest periods, and failing to provide all employees with workers compensation benefits for injuries occurring on the job. Through this conduct, Evleth has illegally lowered its cost of doing business, failed to pay state income and employment-related taxes and failed to provide workers' compensation insurance. Evleth's unlawful, unfair and fraudulent conduct not only harms law-abiding construction companies, but also injures its employees and the taxpayers of California. This action seeks to enjoin Evleth from engaging in unfair competition and to pay restitution and applicable penalties. The Attorney General seeks a prejudgment writ of attachment to prevent Defendant Charles Evleth Construction, Inc. from continuing to withhold the full amount of wages due by law, which Charles Evleth Construction, Inc. implicitly agreed to pay as part of its obligations as an employer.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Edmund G. Brown Jr. is the Attorney General of the State of California and is the chief law officer of the State. (Cal. Const., art. V, § 13.) The Attorney General is

empowered by the California Constitution to take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the laws of the State are uniformly and adequately enforced. He is statutorily authorized to bring actions in the name of the People of the State of California to enforce California's statutes governing unfair competition. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17204.)

- 3. Defendant Charles Evleth Construction, Inc., is, and at all times relevant herein was, a corporation doing business in the State of California, including in the counties of Kern Diego.
- 4. Defendant Charles W. Evleth is an individual residing in Kern County, State of California.
- 5. Defendant Kathleen Evleth is an individual residing in Kern County, State of California.
- 6. The true names and capacities of defendants sued in the complaint under the fictitious names of DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff who therefore sues such defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show the true names of each when the same has been ascertained. Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100 are, and at all relevant times were, engaged with Evleth in the activities and conduct complained of herein.
- 7. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of Evleth, such allegations shall mean that Evleth through its agents, employees, or representatives, performed or authorized such acts while actively engaged in the management, direction or control of the affairs of Evleth and while acting within the scope and course of their duties.
- 8. Whenever reference is made in this complaint to any act of any of the defendants, including those named herein as Doe defendants, such allegation shall mean that each defendant and/or Doe defendant acted individually and jointly with the other defendants, including the Doe defendants, named in this complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq.)

9. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 8 of this

complaint as if set fully herein.

- 10. Defendants have violated and continue to violate Business & Professions Code, section 17200, et seq. by engaging in acts of unfair competition including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. failing to pay employees overtime pay in violation of Labor Code section 510 and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 16, subdivision 3;
- b. failing to provide employees with a 10 minute break during each four hours. Each day an employer fails to provide a required break it is liable for an additional hour pay. (Labor Code, section 226.7; Wage Order 16, subd. (10).)
- c. failing to pay employees all wages earned and owing (Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203.) Evleth, through a supervisor, collected and received part of wages already owed to employees, in contravention of Labor Code, sections 221 and 223. The Legislature enacted sections 221 and 223 "to prohibit secret deductions of 'kickbacks."
- d. failing to provide tools necessary to perform a job unless the worker earns twice the minimum wage. (Industrial Welfare Comm'n order 16, subd. (8)(b).)
- e. failing to provide all employees with workers compensation coverage. (Labor Code, section 3700 et seq.)
- f. failing to provide employees with an itemized written statement reflecting their gross wages, the number of hours the employee worked, piece rate earnings, the applicable piece rate, all deductions taken, net wage earned, the inclusive dates of the pay period, the name of the employee and his or her social security number, and the hourly rate in effect during the pay period, in violation of Labor Code section 226;
- g. paying employees cash without withholding and paying state income tax contributions, state unemployment insurance contributions, and contributions to the state disability fund in violation of Unemployment Insurance Code sections 976, 986, 987, 1110, and 13020;

. 22

28

Code section 17200 as set forth in the complaint for the amount not less than \$1,000,000.00;

- 4. The Court retains jurisdiction in this matter;
- 5. Defendants be required to disclose any and all information and records needed to enforce this judgment and/or injunction;
 - 6. The People recover the costs of investigation and costs of the action; and
 - 7. Such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate and just.

DATED: 01/16/00

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of the State of California
MARK J. BRECKLER
Senior Assistant Attorney General
JON M. ICHINAGA
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
MAURICE R. JOURDANE
Deputy Attorney General

By: Timothy J. Kolesnikow,
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
The People of the State of California