F	I	L	E	D
	Superior	Court of San	f Californ Francisco	ia
	JUN	115	2009	ga=

GORDON PARK-LI,

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

CGC-07-460778

JUDGMENT

Plaintiff.

Defendant.

AND

PERMANENT INJUNCTION

JTH TAX, INC. (D/B/A LIBERTY TAX SERVICE),

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This equitable action pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500 et seq. was tried to the bench before the Honorable Curtis E.A. Karnow in Department 608 of the this Court on October 1-3, 6-10, and 14, 2008. Post-trial briefing was complete May 26, 2009 and the matter was then submitted.

Plaintiff People of the State of California (People) appeared and were represented by Paul Stein, Sheldon H. Jaffe, Amy C. Teng, Margaret Reiter, and Zuzana Ikels. Defendant JTH Tax, Inc. d/b/a Liberty Tax Service (Liberty) appeared and was represented by William L. Stern, Brian J. Martinez, and, appearing pro hac vice, Carl T. Khalil.

Judgment (CGC-07-460778)

1	This Court considered the evidence, proposed statements of decision and objections to
2	those, briefing from the parties, and argument. The Court then issued its statement of decision
3	and found that Liberty violated the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code
4	section 17200 et seq., and the False Advertising Law, Business and Professions Code section
5	17500 et seq.
6	
7	THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:
8	Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff People of the State of California;
9	<ol> <li>Liberty violated Business and Professions Code sections 17200 and 17500 as set forth</li> </ol>
10	in the Statement of Decision;
11	<ol> <li>Liberty shall pay, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17206 and</li> </ol>
12	17536, civil penalties to the Attorney General of California totaling \$1,161,699.
13	4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17535, Liberty shall pay
14	restitution in the sum of \$135,886.
15	
16	PERMANENT INJUNCTION
17	
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:
19	A. The injunctive provisions of this Judgment apply to the California activities of
20	Defendant JTH Tax Inc. d/b/a Liberty Tax Service, its predecessors, agents,
21	employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and all
22	persons acting in concert or participating with any of them, all of whom are
23	referred to collectively as "Defendants" or "Liberty."
24	B. All injunctive relief under this Judgment is ordered pursuant to the Court's
25	equitable powers, including those remedial powers authorized by Business and
26	Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17535.
27	C. The term "Advertisement" refers to advertising in any medium, including but
28	not limited to television and radio; newspapers, magazines, and other
	Judgment (CGC-07-460778)

periodicals; the Internet; computer software; electronic mail; facsimile; wireline and wireless telecommunications; direct mail; live on-street solicitation; billboards and outdoor signs; outside-facing window displays; and off-site flyers and placards; and advertising within Liberty offices or other location of direct interaction with consumers, including but not limited to wall posters, brochures, desk signs, flyers, charts, and computer screens.

D. Defendants are immediately and permanently enjoined and restrained from doing any of the following:

## Advertising and Marketing

- Disseminating or causing to be disseminated any Advertisement that
  directly or indirectly represents a refund anticipation loan as a client's
  actual refund. This includes, but is not limited to, describing a refund
  anticipation loan as "refund money" or "your money," or promising
  "most refunds in one day."
- 2. In any Advertisement that mentions refund anticipation loans, failing to state conspicuously that (1) the product being offered is a loan, (2) the name of the lending institution, and (3) that a fee or interest will be charged by the lending institution.
- 3. Failing to adopt and comply with policies and procedures that require Liberty to (1) review any and all franchisee Advertisements prior to their being disseminated in California, and (2) ensure such Advertisements comply with the terms of Paragraphs D.1. and D.2. this Judgment.
- 4. Failing to Discipline Liberty employees and franchisees who violate the policies and procedures cited in D.3 of this Judgment. "Discipline" in this paragraph with respect to (i) employees means a written warning of possible termination and other sanctions for the first violation, suspension without pay for a period of three weeks for a second violation, and termination for a third violation; (ii) franchisees means a

written warning of possible fines and termination for a first violation, a fine payable to the Attorney General of California of \$15,000 for a second violation, and termination as a franchisee for a third violation. Nothing in this Paragraph bars, inhibits, or diminishes any punishment this Court may impose on any person or entity for violation of this Injunction.

- 5. Failing to audit at least 10 California franchise owners each year to determine their compliance with Liberty's advertising approval policies and procedures and the terms of this Judgment and, as part of these audits, to require the franchise owner to provide copies of any Advertisements run or to be run during the current tax season (January 1-April 15), and to independently verify whether such Advertisements comply with Liberty's advertising approval policies and procedures and with the terms of Paragraphs D.1. and D.2. of this Judgment.
- 6. Failing, on a monthly basis during the tax season (January 1-April 15), to obtain from the *Pennysaver* copies of any Advertisement which was published in any California edition of the *Pennysaver* in the name of Liberty Tax and/or Liberty Tax Service, and to determine whether such Advertisements comply with Liberty's advertising approval policies and procedures and with the terms of Paragraphs D.1. and D.2. of this Judgment.
- 7. Failing, on a bi-weekly (every 14 days) basis during the tax season (January 1-April 15), to inspect the *Pennysaver*'s website and check for any Advertisement expressly or apparently directed to California consumers in the name of Liberty Tax and/or Liberty Tax Service, and to determine whether such Advertisements comply with Liberty's advertising approval policies and procedures and with the terms of Paragraphs D.1. and D.2. of this Judgment.

- 8. Failing, on a monthly basis during the tax season (January 1-April 15), to monitor any advertising outlet, other than the *Pennysaver*, that Liberty knows is being used by, or has within the last twelve months been used by, California franchisees to check for any Advertisement in the name of Liberty Tax and/or Liberty Tax Service, and to determine whether such Advertisements comply with Liberty's advertising approval policies and procedures and the terms of Paragraphs D.1. and D.2. of this Judgment.
- Failing, on a monthly basis during tax season (January 1-April 15), to send an e-mail or other bulletin to all of its California franchisees reminding them of Liberty's advertising approval policies and procedures and of the potential Discipline stated in Paragraph D.4.
- 10. Failing, upon discovering any Advertisement by a California franchisee that fails to comply with the terms of Paragraphs D.1. and D.2. of this judgment, to notify the California Attorney General's Office, Consumer Law Section, within one week of discovering the Advertisement.
- 11. Imposing on consumers, directly or indirectly, any fee incident to an extension of credit in connection with the sale of tax preparation services without first disclosing in writing that (1) the fee is a finance charge, and (2) the cost of the fee stated as an annual percentage rate, and in the manner and form required by 15 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq. and its implementing regulations.

#### Debt Collection

- 12. Participating in or facilitating any program to collect refund anticipation loan debts that involves any of the following practices:
  - a. Failing to inform alleged debtors, before the alleged debtors take any step that would commit them to having the amount of the debt deducted or withheld, even temporarily, from their refund, that they

1	
2	
3	
4	
•	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-

purportedly owe a debt,	and the name	of the creditor to w	hom the
alleged debt is owed; or			

Attempting to obtain or obtaining a customer's authorization to collect stale debts - that is, debts as to which the limitations period has expired – as part of the process of offering refund anticipation loans or electronic refund checks, unless the customer revives the debt in the manner required by law.

## Distribution of Injunctive Terms

13. Failing to provide to the managers of all California corporate-owned Liberty offices, all California Liberty franchisees (with instructions to provide the materials to the manager of each office), and all of Liberty's lending partner banks a copy of (1) the first page, (2) the "INJUNCTION" portion, and (3) the signature page of this Judgment.

## Conduct Inconsistent With the Judgment

14. Providing information, materials or training that is inconsistent with the terms of this Judgment to any Liberty corporate-owned or franchised offices in California or their personnel; or permitting conduct that is inconsistent with the terms of this Judgment by any Liberty corporate-owned or franchised offices in California or their personnel.

#### Retention of Jurisdiction

E. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for purposes, among others, of enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time, after serving notice on the other party, for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment, for modification or termination of any injunctive provision of this Judgment, and for punishment for any violation of this Judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Liberty shall take nothing from the People;

1	IT	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the People, as the			
2	prevailin	revailing party, shall recover from Liberty, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1032 et			
3	seq., its c	seq., its costs of suit from Liberty; and			
4	IT	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that any filing fee or other			
5	fee for an	e for any official service rendered by the clerk of the court that was not paid by the People as a			
6	result of	Government Section 6103 shall be pa	id by Liberty as provided for in Government		
7	Section 6	103.5 in the amount of \$	[to be entered by clerk of the court].		
8		* W g 5	6		
9	DATED:	June 15, 2009			
10		8	Curtis E. A. Karnow Judge Of The Superior Court		
11					
12					
13					
14		ε			
15		9			
16					
17					
18		Ē			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24		X36			
25					
26			, 30		
27		9			
28					

Judgment (CGC-07-460778)

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA County of San Francisco

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case Number: CGC - 07 - 460778

PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(CCP 1013a (4))

VS.

JTH TAX, INC. (DBA LIBERTY TAX SERVICE) et al

DEFENDANT

I, Dennis D. Vegas, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On 6-15-09 I served the attached Judgment And Permanent Injunction by placing a copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:

PAUL STEIN
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANCISCO. CA 94102-7004

SHELDON H. JAFFE.
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7004

WILLIAM LEWIS STERN 425 MARKET ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482

BRIAN J. MARTINEZ 425 MARKET ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482

and, I then placed the sealed envelopes in the outgoing mail at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA. 94102 on the date indicated above for collection, attachment of required prepaid postage, and mailing on that date following standard court practices.

Dated: 6/15/09

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

Dennis D. Vegas, Deputy Clerk