1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LARRY G. RASKIN	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY L. SIMPTON (SBN 166862)	
5	Deputy Attorney General SYLVIA KELLER (SBN 197612)	ж.
6	Deputy Attorney General FREDERICK W. ACKER (SBN 208109)	
7	Deputy Attorney General RAYMOND ROUSE (SBN 240916) Deputy Attorney General	
8	Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street	
9	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
10	Telephone: (916) 324-7871 Fax: (916) 323-6882	
11	E-mail: <u>Jeffrey.Simpton@doj.ca.gov</u> Attorneys for People of the State of California	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF S	SACRAMENTO
15		
16		
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. 34-2008-00008457-CU-MC-GDS
18 19	CALIFORNIA <i>ex rel</i> . EDMUND G. BROWN JR.,	COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION
20	ν.	Violation of the California False Claims Act (Cal. Gov. Code, § 12651)
21	STATE STREET CORPORATION, STATE STREET CALIFORNIA INC., STATE	Unlawful Business Practices (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200)
22	STREET BANK AND TRUST COMPANY, STATE STREET GLOBAL MARKETS,	(Bus. & 1101. Code § 17200)
23	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE.	Demand for Jury Trial
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		1
	COMPLAINT	TIN INTERVENTION (34-2008-00008457-CU-MC-GDS)

3

1

2

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, by and through California Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr., allege as follows:

# INTRODUCTION

1. This action is brought against State Street Corp., State Street California Inc. 4 5 ("State Street California"), State Street Bank and Trust Company ("State Street Bank"), and State 6 Street Global Markets ("Global Markets") (collectively "State Street"). For years, State Street, 7 led by a group of its internal "risk traders," raided the custodial accounts of California's two 8 largest public pension funds, in a total amount exceeding \$56 million, by fraudulently pricing 9 foreign currency ("FX") trades State Street executed for the pension funds. State Street Bank is 10 the custodial bank and fiduciary for the California Public Employees' Retirement System 11 (CalPERS) and the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) (collectively "the 12 Pension Funds"). State Street Bank executed FX trades for the Pension Funds when notified that the Pension Funds required foreign currency to close foreign securities trades, or when the 13 14 Pension Funds requested that State Street convert foreign currency held in the Pension Funds' 15 custodial accounts into U.S. Dollars.

16 2. Beginning in 2001, State Street Bank's contracts with the Pension Funds 17 guaranteed that the Pension Funds would receive the most competitive rates available for all FX 18 transactions, regardless of size, currency, or contract type because, as State Street represented, all 19 trades would be priced based upon the Interbank Rate ("Interbank Rate") at the time the trades 20 were executed. The Interbank Rate is the price at which major banks that operate within the interbank market buy and sell currency. The Interbank Rate fluctuates throughout each day and is 21 22 tracked and published by various industry sources. However, rather than price the Pension 23 Funds' custody FX trades at the Interbank Rate, State Street consistently "marked-up" the prices 24 using rates far in excess of the Interbank Rate at the time State Street executed the trades. 25 Conversely, when State Street executed custody FX trades to convert or "repatriate" foreign 26 currency held in the Pension Funds' custodial accounts into U.S. Dollars, State Street "marked-27 down" the price the Pension Funds received to an amount far below the Interbank Rate at the time 28 State Street executed the trades.

1 3. State Street concealed its fraudulent pricing practices by entering false exchange 2 rates into its electronic trading databases, which automatically debited the Pension Funds' 3 custodial accounts, and by reporting false exchange rates in numerous documents, including FX Spot Purchase Activity Reports and account statements submitted to the Pension Funds and the 4 5 Pension Funds' outside investment managers. State Street also entered false exchange rates into 6 its on-line reporting database, MyStateStreet.com, from which the Pension Funds obtained reports 7 detailing their account activity. State Street further disguised its fraudulent scheme by pricing the 8 FX trades within the interbank high and low rate of the day and by failing to provide time stamp 9 data for the trades that would reveal when State Street actually executed the trades.

10 4. State Street's concerns about revealing its fraudulent custody FX pricing practices 11 are reflected in its internal e-mails. When discussing inquiries by the Pension Funds about 12 providing "transparency" in FX execution costs, one Senior Vice President with State Street 13 California commented to other State Street executives that, "[i]f providing execution costs will 14 give [CalPERS] any insight into how much we make off of FX transactions, I will be shocked if 15 [a State Street V.P.] or anyone would agree to reveal the information." Another State Street California executive sought help from State Street executives in formulating a strategy to deflect 16 the Pension Funds' attention away from custody FX "transparency," writing, "[a]ny help you can 17 18 offer would be appreciated. The FX question is touchy and if we can't provide any further 19 information, we have to somehow get [CalPERS] comfortable with that since our RFP response 20 indicated we could provide execution cost transparency."

5. State Street's false claims for unauthorized custody FX "mark-ups" and their
concealment of their obligation to pay the Interbank Rate for repatriation trades, which began in
2001 and persist to the present day, have resulted in damage to the Pension Funds in an amount
exceeding \$56 million.

Con April 14, 2008, Associates Against FX Insider Trading, filed this action
 pursuant to the *qui tam* provisions of the California False Claims Act. (Gov. Code § 12652, subd.
 (c).) The People have filed this Complaint in Intervention pursuant to the Attorney General's

3

Notice of Election to Intervene and proceed with the action as authorized by Government Code Section 12652, subdivision (c)(6)(A).

3

1

2

# PARTIES

Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr. is the Chief Law Officer of the State of
California. He brings this action in the name of the People of the State of California as Plaintiff
and real party in interest (hereinafter "the People").

8. Associates Against FX Insider Trading is the *qui tam* and a Delaware general
partnership.

9 9. Defendant State Street Corporation is a financial holding company, incorporated in
10 Massachusetts and headquartered in Boston. It provides custodial banking and FX services to the
11 Pension Funds through several of its subsidiaries. It touts itself and its subsidiaries as the "No. 1
12 servicer of U.S. pension plans," and as of mid-2009, had \$16.4 trillion in assets under custody and
\$1.6 trillion under management.

Defendant State Street California, a subsidiary of State Street Corp., is
 incorporated in California, is headquartered in California and has an office in the CalPERS
 headquarters in Sacramento. It provides custodial banking and FX services to the Pension Funds.
 State Street California maintains an office in Alameda, which services the Pension Funds'
 accounts for State Street Bank and Global Markets. State Street California is the entity
 responsible for the overall business relationship, including client satisfaction, service delivery,
 quality standards, business profitability and business growth with the Pension Funds.

11. Defendant State Street Bank and Trust Company, a subsidiary of State Street Corp,
is incorporated in Massachusetts, is headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts and has offices in
California. It also provides custodial banking and FX services to the Pension Funds and is the
signatory to the custodial agreements with the Pension Funds.

12. Defendant State Street Global Markets, LLC, a subsidiary of State Street Corp., is
incorporated in Delaware and is headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts. It provides specialized
investment research and trading in foreign exchange, equities, fixed income and derivatives for
the Pension Funds.

1	13. The true names and capacities, whether corporate, associate, individual,		
2	partnership or otherwise of Defendants Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to the People,		
3	which therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. The People will seek leave of		
4	court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same are		
5	ascertained.		
6	14. At all relevant times each of the Defendants, including Doe defendants, was and is		
7	the agent, employee, employer, joint venturer, representative, alter ego, subsidiary, and/or partner		
8	of one or more of the other defendants, and was, in performing the acts complained of herein,		
9	acting within the scope of such agency, employment, joint venture, or partnership authority,		
10	and/or is in some other way responsible for the acts of one or more of the other defendants.		
11	15. CalPERS is an agency of the State of California and the nation's largest public		
12	pension fund, providing benefits to more than 1.6 million public employees, retirees, and their		
13	families.		
14	16. CalSTRS is an agency of the State of California and the nation's second largest		
15	public pension fund, providing retirement related benefits to over 800,000 members and		
16	beneficiaries.		
17	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
18	17. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant State Street California maintains		
19	an office in Sacramento County, and because State Street engaged in conduct directed at the State		
20	of California and residents of the State of California.		
21	18. Furthermore, much of State Street's misconduct took place in this county, and the		
22	acts complained of, including the submission of false statements and records, occurred in and		
23	were directed at government offices and officials located within this county.		
24	STATE STREET'S FRAUDULENT SCHEME		
25	<b>Contracts with CalPERS/CalSTRS</b>		
26	19. State Street has served as master custodian over CalPERS' assets since 1992 and		
27	over CalSTRS' assets since 1986.		
28			
	5		

In 2000, CalPERS issued a Request for Proposal ("RFP") soliciting responses
 from various banking institutions interested in becoming CalPERS' master custodian. In 2001,
 CalPERS re-selected State Street as its custodial bank. The 2001 contract took effect on
 September 1, 2001, and incorporated by reference State Street's 2000 RFP response (the contract
 and RFP response are collectively referred to as "the CalPERS contract"). This contract expired
 on June 30, 2006.

Also in 2000, CalSTRS issued an RFP soliciting responses from various banking
institutions interested in becoming CalSTRS' master custodian. In 2001, CalSTRS re-selected
State Street. The 2001 contract took effect on July 1, 2001, and incorporated by reference State
Street's 2000 RFP response (the contract and RFP response are collectively referred to as "the
CalSTRS contract"). This contract is currently in effect.

12 22. Collectively, the CalPERS and CalSTRS contracts are referred to as "the Pension
13 Fund Contracts."

14 23. The Pension Fund Contracts explicitly controlled how State Street could charge 15 the Pension Funds for custody FX transactions stating that all "trades are priced based on the 16 Interbank Rates at the time the trade is executed." The Interbank Rate is tracked and published 17 by industry sources, such as Reuters.

18

### State Street's Processing of FX Orders

19 24. The Pension Funds initiated FX trades with State Street in one of two ways:20 directly or indirectly.

21 25. With direct FX trades, the Pension Funds or their outside investment managers
22 ("OIMs") communicated the trade information to a State Street salesperson who quoted a rate that
23 the Pension Fund or the OIM could choose to accept or reject. If the rate was accepted, the trade
24 was executed at the agreed upon price.

25 26. With indirect FX trades, also called custody FX trades, neither the Pension Funds
26 nor their OIMs negotiated rates with State Street and State Street did not quote rates. Instead, the
27 Pension Funds or their OIMs instructed their custody group representative within State Street to
28 execute FX transactions for the Pension Funds. When a security trade included a request to

execute the required FX to fund the transactions, or repatriate foreign currency, the FX trade
 request was routed electronically via State Street's Market Order Management System (MOMS)
 from the custody side of State Street for execution and pricing by a group of "risk traders"
 working at State Street's FX trading desk.

5 27. After receiving custody FX requests through the MOMS system, at some time 6 during the trading day State Street's risk traders executed the custody FX trades by entering the 7 trade information, including the false exchange rates into the MOMS system. The MOMS system 8 automatically fed the trading data into State Street's former accounting network called the IBS 9 system which was later upgraded to a system called Wall Street Systems ("WSS"). IBS/WSS 10 automatically released the custody FX trades to State Street's Multi-Currency Horizon system 11 which debited or credited the Pension Funds' custodial accounts utilizing the false exchange rates 12 entered into MOMS by State Street's risk traders. Sometime in 2005, State Street created a new business group that relieved State Street's risk traders of responsibility for executing and pricing 13 14 custody FX trades with the Pension Funds. However, State Street's new business group 15 continued the same scheme of executing and pricing custody FX trades with the Pension funds utilizing false exchange rates. 16

17 28. State Street derived its false exchange rates by taking the Interbank Rate at the time the trades were executed and adding or subtracting "basis points" or "pips" from the rate 18 depending upon whether the Pension Funds were acquiring or repatriating foreign currency. A 19 20 basis point, or pip, is a unit equal to 1/100th of a percentage point. For example, the smallest move the USD/CAD (U.S. Dollar/Canadian Dollar) currency pair can make is 1/100 of a penny 21 22 (\$0.0001), or one basis point. When the Pension Funds acquired currency through State Street's 23 custody FX program, State Street's false exchange rates often ranged 25 pips above or below the 24 Interbank Rate and, in some cases exceeded the Interbank Rate by 144 pips. The cumulative 25 effect of these overcharges and underpayments by State Street resulted in over \$56 million in 26 damages to the Pension Funds.

- 27
- 28

#### State Street's False Claims, Records, and Statements

2 29. As the custodian bank for the Pension Funds, State Street had direct access to the 3 Pension Funds' custodial accounts. With the Pension Funds' money on account with State Street, State Street routinely made false claims for payment from the Pension Funds' custodial accounts 4 5 by entering fictional FX exchange rates into State Street's MOMS, IBS, WSS and Multi-Currency Horizon System. 6

7

1

30. These claims were false because, despite the language in the Pension Funds 8 Contracts, State Street claimed funds using fictional exchange rates substantially outside the 9 prevailing Interbank Rates at the time State Street executed the trades.

31. State Street provided both Pension Funds with monthly "FX Spot Purchase/Sale 10 Activity Reports," detailing all custody FX transactions executed for the Pension Funds in order 11 to settle any underlying securities transactions, including repatriation. State Street also 12 downloaded custody FX trading detail onto its on-line reporting database, MyStateStreet.com. 13 These reports and database identified each FX transaction executed by State Street for the 14 15 Pension Funds. The reports and trading detail were false because the exchange rate they 16 identified and recorded was not the Interbank Rate at the time State Street executed the trades but, 17 rather, an inflated and fictional rate disguising State Street's undisclosed and unauthorized "markup" or a reduced rate disguising State Street's undisclosed and unauthorized "mark-down" 18 depending on whether the transaction was a purchase or a sale of foreign currency. 19

State Street used these false reports and fraudulent reporting systems for the 20 32. purpose of obtaining payment or approval of State Street's withdrawal of funds from the Pension 21 22 Funds' custodial accounts and for the purpose of avoiding payment of the Interbank Rate to the 23 Pension Funds when State Street repatriated the Pension Funds' foreign currency holdings into 24 U.S. Dollars.

25 33. The fraudulent requests, false reports and fraudulent reporting systems alleged herein concealed millions of dollars in State Street's overcharges and underpayments to the 26 27 Pension Funds, and were material to the Pension Funds' payment and approval of State Street's

false claims and material to State Street avoiding its obligation to pay the Pension Funds at the
 Interbank Rate when converting foreign currency to U.S. Dollars.

3

### The State Street Conspiracy

34. 4 State Street has, through their agents, subsidiaries, and/or associated companies, 5 participated in a common law conspiracy to violate Government Code Section 12651, subdivision (a)(7), and a conspiracy in violation of Government Code Section 12651, subdivision (a)(3), by, 6 7 among other things, creating, servicing, maintaining, and participating in a fraudulent custody FX 8 trading system that State Street used to: (1) generate false claims to funds held in the Pension 9 Funds' custodial accounts: (2) generate false documents to obtain payment and/or approval of debits from the Pension Funds' custodial accounts; (3) generate false documents and records to 10 11 avoid State Street's obligation to pay the Interbank Rate at the time they executed repatriation trades to convert foreign currency held in the Pension Funds' accounts into U.S. Dollars; and (4) 12 conceal State Street's use of fictitious custody FX rates when executing FX trades for the Pension 13 Funds. 14

35. State Street agreed among themselves that: (1) State Street would route all 15 16 custody FX trade requests State Street received from the Pension Funds through Global Markets for execution; (2) Global Markets would "mark-up" the custody FX exchange rate above the 17 18 Interbank Rate at the time it executed custody FX trades with the Pension Funds; (3) Global Markets would "mark-down" the custody FX exchange rates below the Interbank Rate when it 19 20 executed repatriation trades converting foreign currency held in the Pension Funds' custodial 21 accounts into U.S. Dollars; and (4) Global Markets would enter these false exchange rates into State Street Bank's MOMS system and other electronic trading platforms. 22

36. State Street further knew, intended and agreed that, in direct violation of Pension
Fund Contracts, their fraudulent pricing scheme generated false claims for funds held in the
Pension Funds' custodial accounts and improperly debited those accounts for custody FX trades
using exchange rates substantially outside the Interbank Rate at the time State Street executed the
trades. State Street further knew, intended and agreed that, in direct violation of Pension Fund
Contracts, their fraudulent pricing scheme generated false records and reports that State Street

(

submitted to the Pension Funds and used to avoid paying the Interbank Rate when State Street
 executed repatriation trades converting foreign currency held in the Pension Funds' custodial
 accounts into U.S. Dollars.

State Street further knew, understood and agreed that this fraudulent pricing 4 37. 5 scheme would have a material effect on the Pension Funds' decision to continue paying the false 6 claims alleged herein and that the purpose of the scheme was to get the Pension Funds to pay 7 and/or approve State Street's false claims. State Street thereby conspired to, intended and did, 8 defraud the Pension Funds by getting false claims allowed and paid by the Pension Funds in 9 violation of Government Code section 12651, subdivision (a)(3). State Street thereby also 10 conspired to, intended and did, create and use false statements, records and reports to conceal, avoid and decrease their obligation to pay the Pension Funds in violation of Government Code 11 12 Section 12651, subdivisions (a)(7) and (a)(3). 13 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 14 False Claims Act - Government Code § 12651, subd. (a)(1) 15 (Against All Defendants) 16 38. The People incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 17 33 of this complaint. 39. This is a claim for treble damages and penalties brought by the People under the 18 California False Claims Act, Government Code Section 12650 et seq. 19 20 40. State Street knowingly presented or caused to be presented to the Pension Funds false claims for payment of money and false claims to approve debits from the Pension Funds' 21 22 custodial accounts. 23 41. As a proximate result of the State Street's actions, the Pension Funds suffered 24 damages in a specific amount to be determined at trial. 25 26 27 28 10 COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION (34-2008-00008457-CU-MC-GDS)

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	False Claims Act - Government Code § 12651, subd. (a)(2)	
3	(Against All Defendants)	
4	42. The People incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through	
5	33 of this complaint.	
6	43. This is a claim for treble damages and penalties brought by the People under the	
7	California False Claims Act, Government Code Section 12650 et seq.	
8	44. State Street knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used false records and	
9	statements to get false claims for funds from the Pension Funds' custodial accounts paid and	
10	approved by the Pension Funds.	
11	45. As a proximate result of the State Street's actions, the Pension Funds suffered	
12	damages in a specific amount to be determined at trial.	
13	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
14	False Claims Act - Government Code § 12651, subd. (a)(7)	
15	(Against All Defendants)	
16	46. The People incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through	
17	33 of this complaint.	
18	47. This is a claim for treble damages and penalties brought by the People under the	
19	California False Claims Act, Government Code Section 12650 et seq.	
20	48. State Street knowingly made, used, and caused to be made or used false FX Spot	
21	Purchase/Sale Activity Reports, false MyStateStreet.com database entries, false statements in	
22	their electronic custody FX trading platforms and accounting databases, and other false	
23	documents and statements to conceal, avoid and decrease their obligations to pay the Interbank	
24	Rate when State Street executed repatriation trades converting foreign currency held in the	
25	Pension Funds' custodial accounts into U.S. Dollars in violation of Government Code Section	
26	12651, subdivision (a)(7).	
27	49. As a proximate result of the State Street's actions, the Pension Funds suffered	
28	damages in a specific amount to be determined at trial.	

1	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	False Claims Act Conspiracy - Government Code § 12651, subds. (a)(7) and (a)(3)	
3	(Against All Defendants)	
4	50. The People incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through	
5	5 37 of this complaint.	
6	51. This is a claim for treble damages and penalties brought by the People under the	
7 California False Claims Act, Government Code Section 12650 et seq.		
8	52. Defendants, and each of them, conspired to conceal, avoid and decrease an	
9	obligation to pay the State in violation of Government Code Section 12651, subdivisions (a)(7)	
10	and (a)(3).	
11	53. Defendants, and each of them, intended to defraud the People, and acted in	
12	furtherance of the conspiracy to defraud the People by participating in the schemes, set forth	
13	above, to falsely report the Interbank Rate at the time State Street executed custody FX	
14	transactions with the Pension Funds and to conceal State Street's fraudulent custody FX pricing	
15	scheme.	
16	54. As a proximate result of the above-described acts, the Pension Funds have been	
17	17 injured and suffered damages in a specific amount to be determined at trial.	
18	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
19	9 Violation of Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq.	
20	(Against All Defendants)	
21	55. The People incorporate herein by reference all the allegations in paragraphs 1	
22	through 37 of this complaint.	
23	56. The above described acts by State Street constitute unfair competition within the	
24	meaning of Business & Professions Code Section 17200, in that they include, but are not limited	
25	to the following fraudulent business practices:	
26	a. State Street falsely represented that custodial FX trades executed by State	
27	Street for the Pension Funds would be priced at the Interbank Rate at the time the trades were	
28	executed;	
	COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION (34-2008-00008457-CU-MC-GDS)	
1		

1 b. State Street executed tens of thousands of custody FX trades for the 2 Pension Funds at rates other than the contractually-allowed Interbank Rate at the time that the 3 transactions were executed, reaping an undisclosed profit. State Street knowingly presented or caused to be presented to the Pension 4 c. 5 Funds false claims for payment of money and false claims to approve debits from the Pension Funds' custodial accounts by falsely reporting Interbank Rates to the Pension Funds; 6 7 d. State Street knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used false 8 records and statements to get false claims for funds from the Pension Funds' custodial accounts 9 paid and approved by the Pension Funds. 10 State Street knowingly made, used, and caused to be made or used false FX e. 11 Spot Purchase/Sale Activity Reports, false MyStateStreet.com database entries, false statements 12 in their electronic custody FX trading platforms and accounting databases, and other false documents and statements to conceal, avoid and decrease their obligation to pay the Interbank 13 Rate when State Street executed repatriation trades converting foreign currency held in the 14 15 Pension Funds' custodial accounts into U.S. Dollars. 16 57. These acts and practices constitute unfair competition within the meaning of 17 Business & Professions Code section 17200 in that they violate Government Code Section 12650, 18 et seq. as more particularly described in paragraphs 1 through 37. As a proximate result of State Street's unlawful business practices, State Street 19 58. obtained and wrongfully retained monies that rightfully belonged to the Pension Funds in a 20 21 specific amount to be determined at trial. 22 PRAYER FOR RELIEF Wherefore, Plaintiff, the People pray for relief against all Defendants as follows: 23 Three times the damages which the Pension Funds sustained as a result of 24 1. 25 Defendants' false claims in an amount to be determined; Civil penalties in the amount of \$10,000 for each false claim pursuant to 2. 26 27 Government Code Section 12651, subdivision (a); 28 13 COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION (34-2008-00008457-CU-MC-GDS)

1	3. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500, pursuant to Business & Professions Code
2	Section 17206, for each act by Defendants in violation of Business & Professions Code Section
3	17200;

4 4. For a permanent injunction pursuant to Business & Profession Code Section 17203 5 restraining and enjoining Defendants, and each of them, and all those acting under, by through or 6 on behalf of them, from engaging in or performing directly or indirectly, any or all of the 7 following:

8 9

Making, or conspiring to make, any false claim as set forth in paragraphs 1 a. through 37;

10 b. Making, conspiring, using, or causing to be made or used false statements, 11 documents or records to avoid the obligation to pay the Pension Funds amounts owed for 12 repatriation of foreign currency as set froth in paragraphs 1 through 37;

13 Engaging in any acts of unfair competition described in paragraphs 1 c. 14 through 37, or any other act of unfair competition.

15 5. That Defendants be ordered to make full restitution, pursuant to Business & 16 Professions Code Section 17203, of any money that may have been acquired and/or wrongfully 17 retained by means of their violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17200;

Such further or additional relief as the Court deems proper.

6. For costs of suit incurred herein.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: October 20, 2009

7.

Respectfully Submitted,

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER Senior Assistant Attorney General LARRY G. RASKIN Supervising Deputy Attorney General

JEFFREY L. SIMPTON Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for the People of the State of California