28

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR. Attorney General of the State of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General WILBERT E. BENNETT ENDORSED Supervising Deputy Attorney General FILED SHANA A. BAGLEY, State Bar No. 169423 ALAMEDA COUNTY Deputy Attorney General JUSTIN R. SURBER, State Bar No. 226937 JAN 2 2 2010 Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT P.O. Box 70550 By A. Yvonne Bazzell, Deputy Oakland, CA 94612-0550 9 NANCY E. O'MALLEY ELIZABETH A. EGAN District Attorney of the County of Alameda 10 LAWRENCE C. BLAZER, SBN 95598 District Attorney of the County of Fresno MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL (236116) Assistant District Attorney Deputy District Attorney of the SCOTT D. PATTON, SBN 148468 County of Fresno Consumer Protection Unit Deputy District Attorney 12 Consumer and Environmental Protection Division 929 L. Street 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Fresno, CA 93721 Oakland, CA 94621 14 Attorneys for: Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 16 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 17 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 18 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CASE NO. RG09460505 19 CALIFORNIA, 20 Plaintiff, STIPULATED JUDGMENT 21 V. 22 BE GLAD, INC., a California Dept.: 23 Judge: Hon. Winifred Smith Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD, INC., Trial Date: Not Assigned a California corporation, ALLGLAD, 24 INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING GLAD, individually, and as C.E.O. of BE Complaint filed June 30, 2009 GLAD, INC., M.I. GLAD, INC., SO GLAD, INC., and ALLGLAD, INC.; and 26 DOES 1 through 50, 27 Defendants.

Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Alameda County District Attorney Nancy E. O'Malley, and Fresno County District Attorney Elizabeth A. Egan ("Plaintiff" and/or "PEOPLE") and Defendants BE GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD, INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING GLAD, individually, (collectively "Defendant" and/or "Defendants") have entered into a Stipulation for Judgment wherein it is agreed that a Stipulated Judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants.

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. The Parties have entered into a Stipulation for Judgment, a copy of which is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit 1 and fully incorporated by reference herein.
  - 2. The Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and of the parties hereto.
  - 3. Venue as to all matters between the Parties relating hereto lies in this Court.

## SETTLEMENT PAYMENT, TRAINING AND EDUCATION FUND, COSTS OF LAWSUIT AND INVESTIGATION, ATTORNEYS FEES AND ADMINSTRATIVE COSTS RECOVERY

- 4. Defendants will pay to Plaintiff the sum of One Million Eight Hundred Thousand (\$1,800,000) Dollars. The sum of \$1,800,000 is allocated and payable as follows:
  - a. <u>Settlement Payment</u>. Within 10 days following entry of the Stipulated Judgment in the Civil Action, Defendants shall deliver three (3) cashiers checks to the Office of the Attorney General in the total amount of \$966,667. These Settlement Payments are made pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203, 17206, 17207, 17535, 17535.5 and 17536. Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, said Settlement Payment checks shall be payable to the prosecuting agencies bringing this Civil Action as follows:

Agency	Amount
Attorney General of California, D.O.J.	\$483,334
Alameda County District Attorney	\$241,666.50
Fresno County District Attorney	\$241,666.50

- b. Costs of Investigation, Suit and Attorneys' Fees in Civil Action. Within 10 days of the entry of the Stipulated Judgment in this Civil Action, Defendants shall pay, by cashiers check payable to the Bureau of Automotive Repair, the costs of suit, including attorneys' fees, in this civil matter in the amount of \$90,000. Within 10 days of the entry of the Stipulated Judgment in this Civil Action, Defendants shall pay, by cashiers check payable to the Alameda County District Attorney, the costs of suit, including attorneys' fees, in this civil matter in the amount of \$241,666.50. Within 10 days of the entry of the Stipulated Judgment in this Civil Action, Defendants shall pay, by cashiers check payable to the Fresno County District Attorney, the costs of suit, including attorneys' fees, in this civil matter in the amount of \$241,666.50.
- c. Costs Recovery in Administrative Action. Within 10 days of the entry of the Stipulated Judgment in this Civil Action, Defendants shall pay, by cashiers check payable to the Bureau of Automotive Repair, the costs of investigation and prosecution, including attorneys' fees, of the Administrative Action entitled: In the Matter of the Accusation Against BE GLAD, INC., dba MIDAS AUTO SERVICE CENTER, MAURICE IRVING GLAD, President; M.I. GLAD, INC., dba MIDAS AUTO SERVICE CENTER, MAURICE IRVING GLAD, President; and, SO GLAD, INC., dba MIDAS AUTO SERVICE CENTER, MAURICE IRVING GLAD, President, et al., Bureau of Automotive Repair Case No. 77/07 and Office of Administrative Hearings Case No. 2009022238, in the amount of \$260,000.
- d. <u>Payment Method</u>. All checks payable to the Alameda County District Attorney, Fresno County District Attorney and the Office of the Attorney General under the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be delivered to the Office of the Attorney General, Attention Justin Surber, 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102-7004 within 10 days of

the entry of the Stipulated Judgment in this Civil Action. All checks payable to the Bureau of Automotive Repair under the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be delivered to Rick McLarty, Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs 10220 Systems Parkway, Suite B, Sacramento, CA 95827 within 10 days of the entry of the Stipulated Judgment in this Civil Action. The money payable to the Attorney General's Office shall be deposited into the unfair competition law fund.

## INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 5. Defendants agree that they shall be permanently enjoined and restrained pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535 from applying for or holding any license or registration issued by the Bureau of Automotive Repair or any successor agency. Defendants further agree that they shall be permanently enjoined and restrained pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, directly or indirectly, from engaging in any business that requires any type of license or registration issued by Bureau of Automotive Repair or any successor agency. It is expressly understood and agreed that Defendants may lease the real property they own to licensees/registrants of the Bureau of Automotive Repair and such leasing activity shall not violate the terms of this injunctive provision, as long as Defendants are not involved in the operation of the automotive repair business.
  - a. These injunctive provisions shall become effective 90 days following entry of this Stipulated Judgment. Defendants shall be entitled to continue the operation of their Midas Shop automotive repair businesses until the effective date of these injunctive provisions.
- 6. The settlement in the Administrative Action (the "Administrative Settlement) is expressly incorporated by reference herein and made a part of this Stipulated Judgment. A copy of the Administrative Settlement is attached hereto, marked Exhibit 2 and fully incorporated by reference herein. A violation of the terms and conditions of the Administrative Settlement shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this Stipulated Judgment.

1	7. This Stipulated Judgment does not modify, alter or amend the Judgment entered
2	June 30, 1989 in the case entitled People v. M.I. Glad, Inc., et al., Superior Court of the State of
3	California, County of Alameda, Case nos. H120168-4 and H120169-3 (the "1989 Judgment and
4	Injunction"). The 1989 Judgment and Injunction shall remain in full force and effect as to
5	Defendants herein. However, any purchaser of Defendants' Midas Shops will not be bound by or
6	subject to the terms and conditions of the 1989 Judgment and Injunction, provided that any
7	prospective purchaser is an independent third-party who is not related to MAURICE IRVING
8	GLAD and that the purchase is otherwise conducted as an arms-length transaction. The 1989
9	Judgment and Injunction shall not and will not encumber the sale of Defendants' Midas Shop
10	automotive repair businesses and the new purchaser will purchase the Midas Shops free and clear
11	of the 1989 Judgment and Injunction.
12	8. Notwithstanding anything stated herein, the Superior Court of the State of
13	California, County of Alameda, shall retain jurisdiction to enforce, interpret and adjudicate any
14	breach of the Stipulation for Judgment and Stipulated Judgment.
15	
16	
17	DATED: JAN 2 2 2010  WINIFRED Y. SMITH  Judge of the Superior Court
18	///
19	///
20	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
21	EDMUND G. BROWN, ATTORNEY GENERAL,
22	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
23	
24	DATED: 12/30/09  VICTIN R SURBER Deputy Attorney General.
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	JUSTIN R. SURBER, Deputy Attorney General, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE
	JUSTIN R. SURBER, Deputy Attorney General,
25	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, DISTRICT
25 26	JUSTIN R. SURBER, Deputy Attorney General, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STIPULATED JUDGMENT

1		
1	DATED: 12/17/09	
2	DATED: 12/1/07	SCOTT PATTON, Deputy District Attorney, County
3		of Alameda, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4		
5		ELIZABETH A. EGAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	5	
8	DATED:	
9		MICHAEL BRUMMEL, Deputy District Attorney, County of Fresno, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11		McCOY, MCMAHON, KOSS, MARKOWITZ and RAINES,
12		Millios,
13	DATED:	WILLIAM CACEN ID C. D. C. J. C. J. C.
14		WILLIAM GAGEN, JR. attorney for Defendants BE GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD,
15		INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING
16		GLAD
17 18		KNOX, LEMMON, ANAPOLSKY & SCHRIMP, LLP
19		
20	DATED:	
21		LOUIS J. ANAPOLSKY, attorney for Defendants BE GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I.
22		GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD, INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a
23		California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING GLAD
24		
25		
26		
27	9	
28		
		. 5
	STIPULA	TED JUDGMENT

- 1		
1		
2	DATED:	COOTT DATTON Death District Au
3		SCOTT PATTON, Deputy District Attorney, County of Alameda, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5		ELIZABETH A. EGAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF
6		CALIFORNIA
7	10/10/00	
8	DATED: 12/17/09	MICHAEL DRIMMEL Donotty District Attornov
9		MICHAEL BRUMMEL, Deputy District Attorney, County of Fresno, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11		McCOY, MCMAHON, KOSS, MARKOWITZ and RAINES,
12	2	
13	DATED:	WILLIAM GAGEN, JR. attorney for Defendants BE
14		GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD,
15		INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING
16		GLAD
17		KNOX, LEMMON, ANAPOLSKY & SCHRIMP,
18		LLP
19	·	
20	DATED:	LOUIS J. ANAPOLSKY, attorney for Defendants
21		BE GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD,
22		INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING
23		GLAD
24		
25	*	
26		
27		
28		
		5
	STIPUL	ATED JUDGMENT

1			
2	DATED:	SCOTT PATTON, Deputy District Attorney, County	
3		of Alameda, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
4			
5		ELIZABETH A. EGAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF	
6		CALIFORNIA	
7			
8	DATED:	MICHAEL BRUMMEL, Deputy District Attorney,	
9		County of Fresno, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		McCOY, MCMAHON, KOSS, MARKOWITZ and RAINES,	
12		*	
13	DATED:	WILLIAM GAGEN, JR. attorney for Defendants BE	
14		GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD,	
15 16		INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING GLAD	
17			
18		KNOX, LEMMON, ANAPOLSKY & SCHRIMP, LLP	
19			
20	DATED:		
21		LOUIS J. ANAPOLSKY, attorney for Defendants BE GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I.	
22		GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD, INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a	
23		California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING GLAD	
24			
25			
26	~		
	*		
27			
28			
	CTIDIII A	TED HIDGMENT	
- 1	STIPULATED JUDGMENT		

1		
2	DATED:	
3		SCOTT PATTON, Deputy District Attorney, County of Alameda, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4		
5		ELIZABETH A. EGAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF
6		CALIFORNIA
7		
8	DATED:	MICHAEL BRUMMEL, Deputy District Attorney,
9 10		County of Fresno, Attorney for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
		Maccoy Monation Ross Markowitz and
11		McCOY, MCMAHON, KOSS, MARKOWITZ and RAINES,
12		
13	DATED:	WILLIAM GAGEN, JR. attorney for Defendants BE
14		GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD,
15 16		INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING GLAD
17		
18		KNOX, LEMMON, ANAPOLSKY & SCHRIMP, LLP
19	9	
20	DATED: DERWISCH 15 2009	
21	DATED. JORKING 13	LOUIS J. ANAPOLSKY, attorney for Defendants BE GLAD, INC., a California Corporation, M.I. GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD,
22		GLAD, INC., a California corporation, SO GLAD, INC., a California corporation, ALLGLAD, INC., a
		California corporation, and MAURICE IRVING
23		GLAD
24		
25		a v
26		
27		
28		
		5

STIPULATED JUDGMENT