

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
 Attorney General of California
 MARK BRECKLER
 Senior Assistant Attorney General
 JON M. ICHINAGA
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
 CAROLYN LA
 MAURICE R. JOURDANE
 Deputy Attorneys General
 State Bar No. 42898
 110 West A Street, Suite 1100
 San Diego, CA 92101
 P.O. Box 85266
 San Diego, CA 92186-5266

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

JAN 15 2013

JOHN A. CLARK, CLERK
 BY ANTHONY D. KELLEY

Attorneys for Plaintiff
The People of the State of California

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
 CALIFORNIA ex rel. EDMUND G.
 BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State
 of California,

Plaintiff,

v.

PACIFICA TRUCKS, L.L.C., a limited
 liability corporation and DOES 1 through
 50, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. BC428934

FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, ("Plaintiff"), appearing through California Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr., by Deputy Attorneys General Maurice R. Jourdane and Defendant Pacifica Trucks L.L.C. ("Defendant") represented by its attorney Mark Valencia having stipulated that this Final Judgment ("Judgment") may be entered, with each party waiving the right to an adjudicative trial, without the taking of evidence on any issue of fact or law, or any factual finding by the Court or any admission or denial of wrongdoing or guilt.

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

2 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties.
3 Venue as to all matters between the parties relating to this action is proper in this Court.

4 2. For purposes of the injunctive language set forth in paragraphs 3 below, the party
5 identified as Pacifica Trucks includes any agents or parties acting in concert with or in
6 participation with Pacifica Trucks.

7 INJUNCTION

8 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, Pacifica
9 Trucks is hereby enjoined permanently from misclassifying as independent contractors truck
10 drivers who operate trucks that are provided, owned, or leased by Pacifica Trucking.

11 CIVIL PENALTIES

12 4. Payment having been made in the sum of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000) as
13 set forth in the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment, Defendant is deemed to have satisfied all
14 requirements for monetary payments for any matters actually alleged in the Complaint.

15 5. The Court retains jurisdiction as the ends of justice may require for the purpose of
16 enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and
17 directions as may be necessary or appropriate for: (a) the construction or carrying out of this
18 Judgment; (b) the enforcement of any provision of this Judgment; (c) the modification of the
19 injunctive provisions of this Judgment; and (d) the punishment for any violation of this Judgment.

20 6. The action against the defendants identified as DOE 1 through 50 is dismissed.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED:

22
23 Dated: 1/5/10

24 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25
26
27
28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating to this action is proper in this Court.

2. For purposes of the injunctive language set forth in paragraphs 3 below, the party identified as Pacifica Trucks includes any agents or parties acting in concert with or in participation with Pacifica Trucks.

INJUNCTION

3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, Pacifica Trucks is hereby enjoined permanently from misclassifying as independent contractors truck drivers who operate trucks that are provided, owned, or leased by Pacifica Trucking.

CIVIL PENALTIES

4. Payment having been made in the sum of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000) as set forth in the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment, Defendant is deemed to have satisfied all requirements for monetary payments for any matters actually alleged in the Complaint.

5. The Court retains jurisdiction as the ends of justice may require for the purpose of enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for: (a) the construction or carrying out of this Judgment; (b) the enforcement of any provision of this Judgment; (c) the modification of the injunctive provisions of this Judgment; and (d) the punishment for any violation of this Judgment.

6. The action against the defendants identified as DOE 1 through 50 is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated:

1/5/2010

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT