1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.	
2	Attorney General of California JAMES ROOT	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PATRICIA M. FUSCO	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197701	
5	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
	P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3035	
7	Fax: (619) 645-2489 E-mail: Patricia.Fusco@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for People of the State of California	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY C	OF ORANGE
12		
13	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No.
14	CALIFORNIA,	FELONY COMPLAINT
15	Plaintiff, v.	Action Filed: August 24, 2010
16	MAHMOUD KARKEHABADI a/k/a MIKE	,
17	KARKEH a/k/a MIKE K, TIMOTHY CHO a/k/a TIM CHO a/k/a HIN-KONG CHO,	
18	and DEANNA Ray SALAZAR,	
19	Defendants	
20	Detendants	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Felony Complaint

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA accuses Defendant MAHMOUD KARKEHABADI a/k/a MIKE KARKEH a/k/a MIKE K (hereinafter "KARKEHABADI"), TIMOTHY CHO a/k/a TIM CHO a/k/a HIN-KONG CHO (hereinafter "CHO"), and DEANNA Ray SALAZAR (hereinafter "SALAZAR"), of the following crimes, which are connected to one another in their commission:

COUNT ONE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about December 14, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment in the form of a "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to CHRISTOPHER DUDEK, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT TWO

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Count 1, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 14, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment in the form of a "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to CHRISTOPHER DUDEK, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

///

27

COUNT THREE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 and 2, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 14, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment in the form of a "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from CHRISTOPHER DUDEK, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT FOUR

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 3, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to CHRISTOPHER DUDEK, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT FIVE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 4, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to

1	(
2	1
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	j
9	(
1()	j j
11	j
12]
13	:
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18	(
19	:
20]
21	j
22	(
23]
24	
25	
26	

28

CHRISTOPHER DUDEK, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SIX

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 5, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from CHRISTOPHER DUDEK, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SEVEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 6, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about May 6, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to RONALD DUDEK, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT EIGHT

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 7, the Attorney General further

1 comple 2 Defen 3 securi 4 DUDF 5 materi 6 (b), of 7

complains and states, on or about May 6, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to RONALD DUDEK, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT NINE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 8, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about May 6, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from RONALD DUDEK, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT TEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 9, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about December 6, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to DAVID MUELLER, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT ELEVEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 10, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 6, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to DAVID MUELLER, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT TWELVE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 11, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 6, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from DAVID MUELLER, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT THIRTEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 12, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about April 6, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
l	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
ì	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	

issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to DAVID MUELLER, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT FOURTEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 13, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about April 6, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to DAVID MUELLER, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT FIFTEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 14, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about April 6, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from DAVID MUELLER, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

]

COUNT SIXTEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 15, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about October 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to JIANLING WU, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 16, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about October 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to JIANLING WU, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 17, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about October 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California,

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	()
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from JIANLING WU, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT NINETEEN

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 18, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to LY YANG FENG, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT TWENTY

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 19, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to LY YANG FENG, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT TWENTY-ONE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 20, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from LY YANG FENG, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT TWENTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 21, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about October 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to LY YANG FENG, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT TWENTY THREE

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 22, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about October 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR did willfully and unlawfully offer a

	1
,	2
	3
	4
	5
)
(5
,	7
1	8
	9
10) !
1	1
1.	2
1	3
	4
	5
	6
1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 8 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2:	3
24	4
2:	5
20	4
2	7

security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to LY YANG FENG, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT TWENTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR [GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 23, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about October 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI, CHO and SALAZAR unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from LY YANG FENG, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT TWENTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 24, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about October 18, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to VISHVAS and ULKA JOSHI, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

2

3

4 5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

KARKEHABADI and CHO

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 25, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about October 18, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to VISHVAS and ULKA JOSHI, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 26, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about October 18, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from VISHVAS and ULKA JOSHI, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 27, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about December 1, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an

- 1		
1	issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California	
2	Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to	
3	BRAD BAACK, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.	
4	COUNT TWENTY-NINE	
5	KARKEHABADI and CHO	
6	[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]	
7	For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected	
8	in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 28, the Attorney General further	
9	complains and states, on or about December 1, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California,	
10	Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale	
11	of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to BRAD BAACK, by means of a	
12	written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an	
13	omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California	
14	Corporations Code, a felony.	
15	COUNT THIRTY	
16	KARKEHABADI and CHO	
17	[GRAND THEFT]	
18	For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected	
19	in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 29, the Attorney General further	
20	complains and states, on or about December 1, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California,	
21	Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment	
22	or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400)	
23	from BRAD BAACK, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.	
24	COUNT THIRTY-ONE	
25	KARKEHABADI and CHO	
26	[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]	
27	For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its	

commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 30, the Attorney General complains

12

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

and states, on or about December 5, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to MARGARET OLSON, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 31, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 5, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to MARGARET OLSON, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-THREE

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 32, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 5, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from MARGARET OLSON, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

]()

COUNT THIRTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 33, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about December 6, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to EDWARD WILSON, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 34, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 6, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to EDWARD WILSON, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-SIX

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 35, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about December 6, 2006, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment

c f
C
a
k
V
(
7
i
C
Ι
i
V
C

24

25

26

27

28

or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from EDWARD WILSON, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 36, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to GERALD TABACK, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 37, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to GERALD TABACK, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT THIRTY-NINE

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 38, the Attorney General further

1	complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California,
2	Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to
3	Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from
4	GERALD TABACK, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.
5	COUNT FORTY
6	KARKEHABADI and CHO
7	[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]
8	For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its
8 9	For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 39, the Attorney General complains
l	
9	commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 39, the Attorney General complains
9 10	commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 39, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about February 8, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant
9 10 11	commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 39, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about February 8, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction

COUNT FORTY

ARKEHABADI and CHO

OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY

COUNT FORTY-ONE

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 40, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 8, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to RAYMOND PERRY, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

/// 26

27 III

28

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COUNT FORTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI and CHO

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 41, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 8, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from RAYMOND PERRY, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT FORTY-THREE

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 42, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT FORTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 43, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 24, 2207, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an

1	or	n
2	C	0
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	in	
8	cc)]
9	D	e
10	A	l
11	IS	3
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	co):
17	ar	1
18	D	e
19	tr	a
20	C	C
21	A	F
22		
23		
24		
25		

27

28

omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT FORTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 44, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 24, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from ARVEL ISRAELSEN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT FORTY-SIX

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 45, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about February 14, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT FORTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 46, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 14, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an

- 1	
1	investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, by means of a
2	written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an
3	omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California
4	Corporations Code, a felony.
5	COUNT FORTY-EIGHT
6	KARKEHABADI
7	[GRAND THEFT]
8	For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected
9	in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 48, the Attorney General further
10	complains and states, on or about February 14, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California
11	Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to
12	Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from ARVEL
13	ISRAELSEN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.
14	COUNT FORTY-NINE
15	KARKEHABADI
16	[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]
17	For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its
18	commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 48, the Attorney General complains
19	and states, on or about February 21, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California,
20	Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer
21	transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California
22	Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to
23	ARVEL ISRAELSEN, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.
24	COUNT FIFTY
25	KARKEHABADI
26	[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]
27	For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected
28	in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 49, the Attorney General further

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	()
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

complains and states, on or about February 21, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-ONE

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 50, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 21, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from ARVEL ISRAELSEN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 51, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

-///

27 | 7

COUNT FIFTY-THREE

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 52, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ARVEL ISRAELSEN, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 53, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from ARVEL ISRAELSEN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 54, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about February 7, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations

1		(
2		(
3	The second second	
4		
5		
6		
7		i
8		C
9		Ι
10		i
11		V
12		C
13		(
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	- Contract of the Contract of	i
19		C
20		I
21		F
22		(
23		
24		
25		

Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to DIXIE GIBBENS, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-SIX

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected n its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 55, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 7, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an nvestment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to DIXIE GIBBENS, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected n its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 56, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 7, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from DIXIE GIBBENS, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

26

27

28

COUNT FIFTY-EIGHT

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 57, the Attorney General complains

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	

and states, on or about February 21, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ANTON and JULIANA MARTIN, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT FIFTY-NINE

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 58, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 21, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ANTON and JULIANA MARTIN, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SIXTY

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 59, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about February 21, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from ANTON and JULIANA MARTIN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

G

COUNT SIXTY-ONE

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 60, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about March 1, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to JOHN ANDERSON and/or JOHN O. ANDERSON RANCHES, INC., in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 61, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 1, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to JOHN ANDERSON and/or JOHN O. ANDERSON RANCHES, INC., by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-THREE

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 62, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 1, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California,

1	Defend
2	Allianc
3	ANDE
4	Code §
5	
6	
7	
8	F
9	commi
10	and sta
11	KARK
12	which l
13	Code, t
14	MONT
15	
16	
17	
18	F
19	in its co
	I

Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from JOHN ANDERSON and/or JOHN O. ANDERSON RANCHES, INC., a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 63, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about March 5, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to VICKI and/or MONTE DAILEY, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 64, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 5, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to VICKI and/or MONTE DAILEY, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

.///

27 \ ///

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT SIXTY-SIX

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 65, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 5, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from VICKI and/or MONTE DAILEY, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 66, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about March 26, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to WILLIAM SUGG, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-EIGHT

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 67, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 26, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to WILLIAM SUGG, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an

1	_
1	0
2	(
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	il
8	c
9	Ι
0	A
1	V
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	C
17	а
18	ŀ
19	V
20	(
21	F
22	
23	

25

26

27

28

omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SIXTY-NINE

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 68, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 26, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from WILLIAM SUGG, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 69, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about May 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to BARBARA BRYAN in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-ONE

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 70, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about May 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an

1	i
2	V
3	C
4	(
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	i
10	c
11	Ι
12	F
13	E
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	c
19	а
20	k
21	V
22	(
23	E
24	
25	
26	

28

investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to BARBARA BRYAN by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 71, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about May 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from BARBARA BRYAN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-THREE

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 72, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about April 23, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to BARBARA BRYAN in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 73, the Attorney General further

	-	
1		c
2		Γ
3		iı
4		ν
5		0
6		(
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		i
12		c
13		Ι
14		Æ
15		E
16		
17		
18		
	- 11	

complains and states, on or about April 23, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to BARBARA BRYAN by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 74, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about April 23, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from BARBARA BRYAN, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-SIX

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 75, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about April 4, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to JOHN SHEA in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

///

27 ///

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT SEVENTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 76, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about April 4, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to JOHN SHEA by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-EIGHT

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 77, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about April 4, 2008, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from JOHN SHEA, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT SEVENTY-NINE

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 78, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about May 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations

1	Code
2	MUI
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	in its
8	com
9	Defe
10	inve
11	writt
12	omis
13	Corp
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	in its
19	com
20	Defe
21	Allia
22	HAF
23	
24	1

26

27

28

Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to HAROLD MULDER in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 79, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about May 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to HAROLD MULDER by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-ONE

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 80, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about May 22, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from HAROLD MULDER, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-TWO

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 81, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about January 5, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant

	I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

KARKEHABADI did willully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to LUNDA ELANIE and/or ED GREENE, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-THREE

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 82, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 5, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to LUNA ELANIE and/or ED GREENE, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-FOUR

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 83, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about January 5, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from LUNA ELANIE and/or ED GREENE, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

///

27

COUNT EIGHTY-FIVE

KARKEHABADI

[SALE OF UNQUALIFIED SECURITY]

For a separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 84, the Attorney General complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer or sell a security in an issuer transaction which had not been qualified under §§25111, 25112 or 25113 of the California Corporations Code. to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ROBERT and/or ESTHER ARMENDARIZ, in violation of California Corporations Code §25110, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-SIX

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUD IN THE OFFER OF A SECURITY]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 85, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully offer a security, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, to ROBERT and/or ESTHER ARMENDARIZ, by means of a written or oral communication which included an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact, in violation of §§25401 and 25540, subdivision (b), of the California Corporations Code, a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-SEVEN

KARKEHABADI

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 86, the Attorney General further complains and states, on or about March 9, 2007, in the County of Orange, State of California,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of an investment or "loan" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from ROBERT and/or ESTHER ARMENDARIZ, a violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-EIGHT

KARKEHABADI

[FRAUDULENT SECURITIES SCHEME]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 87, the Attorney General further complains and states, Commencing on or about August 24, 2006 and continuing to the present, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI did willfully and unlawfully engage in acts, practices and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon a person or persons in connection with the offer of a security to a person or persons, to wit: persons who purchased investments in the form of "loans" to Alliance Group Entertainment in violation of California Corporations Code §§25541 and 25540, subdivision (a), a felony.

COUNT EIGHTY-NINE

[GRAND THEFT]

For a further and separate cause of complaint, being a different offense from but connected in its commission with the charges set forth in Counts 1 through 88, the Attorney General further complains and states, on and between August 24, 2006 and continuing to the present, in the County of Orange, State of California, Defendant KARKEHABADI unlawfully took property, to wit: sale of investments in the form of "loans" to Alliance Group Entertainment, of a value in excess of four Hundred Dollars (\$400) from another, in violation of California Penal Code §487, subdivision (a), a felony.

FIRST SPECIAL ALLEGATION

[\$3,200,000 EXCESSIVE TAKING]

It is further alleged that the property taken by Defendant KARKEHABADI, in the commission of the felonies charged in Counts 1 through 89, which property

Defendant intended to take, was of a value in excess of Three Million, Two Hundred 1 Thousand Dollars (\$3,200,000) within the meaning of Penal Code section 12022.6 subdivision 2 3 (a)(3).SECOND SPECIAL ALLEGATION 4 [AGGRAVATED WHITE-COLLAR CRIME IN EXCESS OF \$500,000] 5 It is further alleged that Defendants KARKEHABADI and CHO committed two or more 6 7 related felonies to wit: Counts 1 through 42, a material element of each of which is fraud, involving a pattern of related felony conduct and the taking of more than Five Hundred Thousand 8 Q Dollars (\$500,000) within the meaning of California Penal Code §186.11, subdivision (a)(2). 10 Dated: August 23, 2010 Respectfully Submitted, 11 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 12 Attorney General of California 13 14 15 Patricia M. Fusco Deputy Attorney General 16 Attorneys for People of the State of California 17 PMF/scb 18 SA2007300031 70333230.doc 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28