1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.
2	Attorney General of California FRANCES T. GRUNDER
2	Senior Assistant Attorney General
3	Daniel A. Olivas
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JUDITH FIORENTINI 2010 DEC 15 P 2: 22
5	State Bar No. 201747 ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN Deputy Attorney General
6	State Bar No. 46277
7	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
,	P.O. Box 85266
8	San Diego, CA 92186-5266
9	Telephone: (619) 645-2089 Fax: (619) 645-2062
10	E-mail: Albert.Shelden@doj.ca.gov TO GOVERNMENT CODE
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECTION 6103
11	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
14	
1 5	CASE NO: 37-2010-00106109-CU-MC-CTL
15	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
16	COMPLAINT FOR
17	Plaintiff INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER
,	v. EQUITABLE RELIEF
18	Assign to Master Calendar
19	
20	DIRECTV, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,
21	Defendant.
22	
23	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, by and through Edmund G. Brown Jr.,
24	Attorney General of the State of California, is informed and believes and thereupon alleges as
25	follows:
26	VENUE AND JURISDICTION
27	1. DIRECTV, Inc. (DIRECTV), a California Corporation with its principal place of
	1. Diffice 1, me. (Diffice 1,), a camorina corporation with its principal place of
28	
	1

business in El Segundo, California, at all times mentioned herein has transacted business in the County of San Diego and elsewhere within the State of California. The violations of law described herein occurred in the County of San Diego and elsewhere in California.

2. At all relevant times, DIRECTV committed the acts, caused or directed others to commit the acts, ratified the acts, or permitted others to commit the acts alleged in this Complaint.

DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS PRACTICES

3. DIRECTV engages in the business of providing television viewing services to consumers. Defendant was engaged in this business at all times relevant to this complaint. During the period from 2006 through 2009, DIRECTV was engaged in the business of selling and leasing equipment and services to California consumers, engaging in the sale, lease, or other distribution of DIRECTV services and products by and through its authorized distributors, retailers, or installers, and engaging in contractual relationships with California consumers directly and through its authorized distributors, retailers, or installers.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17500 (Untrue or Misleading Representations)

- 4. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 3 above, as though they are herein set forth in full.
- 5. Since January 2006, DIRECTV, with the intent to induce members of the public in California to purchase and lease its equipment and services, made or caused to be made untrue or misleading representations before the public in California, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17500, in the following manner:
 - a. DIRECTV included offers in advertisements without clearly disclosing the terms and conditions that applied to the advertised offer.
 - DIRECTV's advertisements often fail to clearly and conspicuously disclose the actual terms of its offers. When it does disclose such terms, the disclosures are often misleading, incomplete, or difficult to locate. In many instances they are displayed in small print, typeface, or font, in low-contrast with the body of the advertisement, on a separate page from the main advertising statement, or on the

///

screen in a television advertisement for as little time as two to three seconds.

- advertised are difficult to find. The layout of the advertisements often adds to the difficulty. For example, DIRECTV sometimes uses a 4 page flyer advertisement to promote its services and equipment. The inside of this advertisement includes a flap that opens to reveal several lines of very small print where many of the disclosures are made. The disclosures in this advertisement are not clear and conspicuous and are not in direct proximity to the term that the disclosure is intended to explain.
- d. Many DIRECTV advertisements offer packages advertised at "\$29.99/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS," or using similar words. In such advertisements, DIRECTV often fails to clearly disclose the limitations on a consumer's ability to obtain the advertised price, for example, by failing to clearly disclose the fact that a 24 month commitment to DIRECTV service is required to obtain the 12 month promotional price.
- e. DIRECTV advertisements misrepresented the availability of programming, including the availability of local channels and sports programming.
- f. DIRECTV has misrepresented that consumers will receive a certain amount of "free" programming without clearly and conspicuously disclosing that the receipt of "free" services is contingent upon the purchase of a separate programming package.
- g. DIRECTV has advertised its offered services without clearly and conspicuously disclosing: required contractual/commitment terms for DIRECTV service; the length of contractual relationships; and, all relevant fees and costs for cancelling the contract.

6. DIRECTV knew or, by the exercise of reasonable care, should have known that the statements set forth in paragraph 5 above, were untrue or misleading.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 (UNFAIR COMPETITION)

- 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 6 above, as though they are herein set forth in full.
- 8. DIRECTV has engaged in unfair competition as defined in California Business and Professions Code section 17200, in that:
 - a. DIRECTV has violated Business and Professions Code section 17500, as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 above.
 - b. DIRECTV has engaged in the practice of contracting with consumers in a manner that is unfair or deceptive, by locking consumers into long-term contracts without clearly and conspicuously disclosing the existence and consequences of the long term contract.
 - c. DIRECTV has at times, when consumers had any DIRECTV equipment installed, serviced, or repaired, obligated consumers to a long term contract, without their knowledge.
 - d. DIRECTV has upon installation of equipment, historically presented consumers with a form entitled "EQUIPMENT LEASE ADDENDUM" which states that the consumer is bound to maintain a minimum level of programming for a period of eighteen (18) to twenty-four (24) months as a result of having any DIRECTV equipment installed, repaired, or replaced. This "EQUIPMENT LEASE ADDENDUM" is sometimes placed on the back of an "Installation Checklist" which the consumer is presented after a third-party installer has completed installation of DIRECTV services, thus, the consumer is not provided with clear and conspicuous notification that the consumer is entering into a long-term contract with DIRECTV.

1	4. That Plaintiff recover its costs of suit herein, including costs of investigation.
2	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
3	Dated: December 15, 2010 Respectfully submitted,
4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California FRANCES T. GRUNDER Senior Assistant Attorney General DANIEL A. OLIVAS Supervising Deputy Attorney General JUDITH FIORENTINI Deputy Attorney General
9	
10	
11.	ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN Deputy Attorney General
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	SD2010600623
14	
15	
16 ⁻	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	