1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California CONFORMED COPY 2 MARK J. BRECKLER **OF ORIGINAL FILED** Chief Assistant Attorney General Los Angeles Superior Court 3 JON M. ICHINAGA Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAN 9 0 2012 TIMOTHY J. KOLESNIKOW 4 Deputy Attorney General 5 State Bar No. 166120 John A. Glarke, Glerk 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 6 Telephone: (213) 897-4482 7 1 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, WEST DISTRICT 11 12 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 13 Case No. SC109852 ex rel. KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General of the State of California, STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT 14 AND [Proposed] ORDER THEREON Plaintiff, 15 Judge: The Honorable John H. Reid Trial Date: Not Yet Set 16 Action Filed: October 4, 2010 17 NDR Inc., A California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa'; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a 18 California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car 19 Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. 20 SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, 21 INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, 22 INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & GO Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., 23 a California corporation dba Sponges Car 24 Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, 25 INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; DIPU HAQUE", an individual; 26 and DOES 1-100, 27 Defendants. 28 Stipulation For Settlement And [Proposed] Order Thereon (SC109852)

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among Plaintiff, the People of the State of 2 California ("People" or "the People"), ex rel Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of 3 California, by Deputy Attorney General Timothy J. Kolesnikow, and Defendants NDR Inc., A 4 California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa'; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California 5 corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba 6 "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba 7 "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; 8 ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go 9 Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba 10 Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, 11 INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; DIPU HAQUE", an individual 12 ("Defendants"), who stipulate and agree that:

Defendants generally deny the People's allegations and, more
 specifically, deny that they undertook any actions that were wrongful, unlawful, or tortious, or
 that they failed to pay wages or any other money due to their employees. The People and
 Defendants acknowledge that the execution of this Agreement is the result of compromise and
 extensive negotiations between the parties, that this Agreement is entered into in good faith by the
 parties, and that this Agreement shall never be considered at any time or for any purpose as an
 admission of liability by Defendants.

20 2. The Proposed Preliminary Injunction, a copy of which is attached hereto
 21 as Exhibit 1, can be signed by the Court and shall be entered by the Clerk forthwith. Any efforts
 22 by the People to enforce the Preliminary Injunction shall be made exclusively through contempt
 23 procedures.

The proposed Final Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction
 (hereafter "Judgment"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 2, shall be entered by the
 Clerk on August 15, 2012, unless Defendants have timely made all of the early payments set
 forth below in paragraphs 8 through 11. Judgment shall be for no less than \$1,500,000.00 less
 any credits for payments already made, as well as a permanent injunction. Any efforts by the

People to enforce the Permanent Injunction shall be made exclusively through contempt procedures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

4. The proposed Stipulated Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (hereafter "Alternative Judgment"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 3, shall be entered by the Clerk after the People notify the Court that Defendants have made all payments set forth below in paragraphs 8 through 11. Any efforts by the People to enforce the Permanent Injunction shall be made exclusively through contempt procedures.

5. The Judgment or Alternative Judgment may be signed by a judge,
commissioner or judge pro tem of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

The Judgment or Alternative Judgment resolve only the matters
 specifically described in the civil complaint filed in Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
 Number SC109852. Notwithstanding entry of judgment on the Judgment, there will be no
 resolution of claims against Doe Defendants without requests for dismissal by the People as
 provided in paragraph 13, below.

15 7. Defendants may make early payments as set forth below (totaling ONE 16 MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00) in two installments of five hundred thousand dollars 17 (\$500,000.00) each. The first installment shall be paid on or before January 15, 2012, and the 18 second installment shall be paid on or before July 15, 2012. The parties agree that the People or 19 their agents may negotiate all checks prior to entry of any Judgment in this matter. The payments shall be further divided into separate checks as described in paragraphs 8 through 11 20 21 below. In addition to the \$1,000,000.00 payment described above, Defendants shall also pay all 22 required employer-side employment taxes, estimated at \$50,000.00, in the manner set forth in 23 paragraph 6(c), below.

8. Of the total amounts in the foregoing paragraph, EIGHT HUNDRED
 THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$800,000.00) shall be designated as restitution pursuant to California
 Business and Professions Code section 17203 to those employees/former employees who are
 owed unpaid wages because of Defendants' alleged violation of Business and Professions Code
 section 17200 et. seq. as described in the Complaint. Defendants shall, if making early payments,

pay the restitution of \$800,000.00 by two certified checks, payable to a restitution administrator
designated by the People, as follows: one check for \$300,000.00 paid on or before January 15,
2012 and a second check for \$500,000.00 paid on or before July 15, 2012. The People shall
designate a restitution administrator ("Restitution Administrator") and provide notice to counsel
for Defendants of the name of the Restitution Administrator no later than 10 days after entry of
the Order on this Stipulation.

a. The People, in their discretion, may determine the eligibility of any
individual for restitution, pay restitution directly to eligible individuals in accordance with any
reasonable plan or method, including restitution to all eligible individuals, or to groups of eligible
individuals reasonably selected by the People in their discretion, on a full, pro rata, or differential
basis. After restitution has been paid, any remaining funds available from the \$800,000.00 sum
described in Paragraph 8, shall be allocated as further civil penalties in addition to the
\$100,000.00 in civil penalties as set forth in Paragraph 11.

b. Defendants shall provide the People and the Claims Administrator
with a list of the last known names, addresses and telephone numbers for the employees of all the
named entities employed within the period from October 4, 2006, to the present and further agree
to reasonably cooperate with the People in identifying claimant employees including providing
job titles for each employee.

19 Defendants shall, if making early payments, on or before January c. 20 15, 2012, pay the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) in the form of a certified 21 check made payable to the Restitution Administrator for estimated employer-share payroll taxes 22 upon the restitution (including FICA, FUTA, Medicare, California State Unemployment and 23 Employment Training Taxes). If the \$50,000.00 sum described in this paragraph is not sufficient 24 to pay the employer-share payroll taxes upon the restitution described in Paragraph 8a, 25 Defendants shall bear the costs of any remaining employer-share payroll taxes by sending an 26 additional check to the Restitution Administrator for the balance due. Payment shall be made 27 within 10 days of demand by the Restitution Administrator. After all employer-share payroll 28 taxes have been paid, any remaining funds from this amount shall be returned to Defendants.

9. Defendants shall, if making early payments, on or before January 15, 2012, pay the sum of TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) in the form of a 3 certified check made payable to the Restitution Administrator as and for the fees and costs of the 4 Restitution Administrator. If the total cost of such administration is less than \$25,000.00, the 5 remaining balance shall be paid by the Restitution Administrator to the People as fees and costs in 6 addition to the \$75,000.00 set forth in paragraph 10.

10. Defendants shall, if making early payments, on or before January 15,
2012, pay the sum of SEVENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000.00), in the form of a
certified check made payable to the California Attorney General as and for attorney fees and costs
related to the investigation and the prosecution of this matter.

11 11. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17206,
 12 Defendants shall, if making early payments, pay ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS
 13 (\$100,000.00) as civil penalties. Defendants shall pay the \$100,000.00 in penalties described in
 14 this paragraph by means of certified check made payable to the California Attorney General on or
 15 before July 15, 2012.

16 12. The checks described in paragraphs 8 through 11, above, shall be
17 delivered to the People at the following address: California Attorney General's Office, 300 South
18 Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles California 90013, Attn: Deputy Attorney General Timothy
19 J. Kolesnikow. Upon successful negotiation of all checks described in paragraphs 8 through 11,
20 above, the People shall file a declaration stating that all payments have been made as required,
21 and thereupon within ten (10) days give notice to the Court that the Alternative Judgment in the
22 form attached hereto as Exhibit "3" may be entered.

13. After all the checks described in paragraphs 8 through 11 have been
delivered and funds have finally transferred, but before entry of Alternative Judgment, the People
shall file a request for dismissal of Doe defendants. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph
10, the Parties are to bear their own fees and costs incurred in this lawsuit.

28

27

14. No later than February 1, 2012, and again August 1, 2012, the People shall file a declaration notifying the Court regarding the status of payments, if any, made pursuant to the instant stipulation.

4 The early payment schedule set forth in paragraphs 8 through 11 above is 15. subject to a 15 day grace period. If the People do not receive early payment by the 15th day after 5 6 each payment is due, or the first business day thereafter if the 15th day falls on a weekend or 7 holiday, on August 15, 2012, the People shall be entitled to file the Judgment attached as Exhibit 8 "2." The full \$1,500,000.00 amount of the Judgment, subject to crediting Defendants with any 9 prior payments made, shall be due without further notice beyond an initial 24 hour ex parte 10 motion notice for entry of Judgment. A check returned for non-sufficient funds does not qualify 11 as early payment. The People may execute on the Judgment subject to crediting the Defendants 12 with any payments made pursuant to the instant stipulation for settlement.

13 16. Unless Defendants make early payment, as described in paragraphs 8
14 though 11 above, the People may, in lieu of entry of the Judgment, continue with the action
15 including proceeding with naming as Doe Defendants those parties it deems appropriate, subject
16 to appropriate burdens of proof and any defenses.

17 17. The parties stipulate that the People are authorized to bring, and did
18 bring, this action under Business & Professions Code section 17200 et seq. and this action is a
19 bona fide law enforcement action brought pursuant to the State of California's police power in
20 order to enforce the laws of and protect the interests of the People of the State of California,
21 notwithstanding any restitution payable to employee victims under this stipulation.

18. The parties agree there is a strong public interest in distributing unpaid wages restitution to alleged employee victims ("victims") as promptly as possible. The victims are generally unskilled, low-wage, non-English speakers who are at high risk of being unemployed and without resources and thus especially vulnerable to financial hardship. The parties also agree that the longer victims remain unpaid the more difficult it will be to locate them to ensure they receive restitution. The parties agree that further harm to victims from delay in

28

1

2

3

	·		
1	compensation is difficult to quantify, and that a \$500,000 discount for early and voluntary		
2	payment without the need to seek enforcement of a judgment is reasonable.		
3	19. The parties agree that the People shall include the following language in		
4	correspondence to employees expected to receive restitution:		
5	If you are receiving all unpaid wages which you believe you are due, you will not be able to claim those wages again. Defendants deny they owe you any		
6	unpaid wages at all. They have paid this money to settle the Attorney General's lawsuit against them.		
7			
8	1000 toring the sume wages in a private rawsult. This duplicative payment for		
9	wages you may later obtain through a private lawsuit pursued on your behalf would result in a prohibited double recovery.		
10	20. This Stipulation for Settlement may be executed in counterparts with the		
11	same force and effect as if executed in one complete document by all parties.		
12	Kamala D. Harris		
13	Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER		
14	Chief Assistant Attorney General JON M. ICHINAGA		
15	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
16	$\int -\Delta I$		
17	Date: $\frac{\delta [05/12]}{L}$		
18	By: TIMOTHY J. KOLESNIKOW Deputy Attorney General		
19	Attorneys for People of the State of California		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	2012 - 20		
26			
27			
28	300 H N N N		
	Stipulation For Settlement And [Proposed] Order Thereon (SC109852)		

CARLTON DISANTE & FREUDENBERGER LLP

Date: By: DOROTHY BLACK, Attorneys for Defendants, NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa"; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp," and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual Defendants NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa"; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; and GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II" 11/14/11 DATE: By: Dipu Haque, President/Officer DATE: 11/14/11 Dipu Haque, an individual Stipulation For Settlement And [Proposed] Order Thereon (SC109852)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CAROTHERS DISANTE & FREUDENBERGER LLP 1 2 Date: 007.27,2011 Noter 7. Black 3 By: DOROTHY BLACK, Attorneys for Defendants, NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush 4 Auto Spa"; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS 5 GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown 6 Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, 7 INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a 8 California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California 9 10 corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual 11 12 Defendants NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa"; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a 13 California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba 14 "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, 15 INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills" 16 Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto 17 Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba 18 Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; and GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II" 19 20 21 DATE: By: Dipu Haque, President/Officer 22 23 24 DATE: 25 Dipu Haque, an individual 26 27 28 Stipulation For Settlement And [Proposed] Order Thereon (SC109852)

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing thereto, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a preliminary injunction in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "1" shall issue forthwith, the case is stayed, and no later than February 1, 2012, and again August 1, 2012, the People shall file a declaration notifying the Court regarding the status of any early payments made pursuant to the instant stipulation and Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, upon the People's filing a declaration stating that all early payments have been made as set forth in the above stipulation, the Alternative Judgment in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "3" shall be entered, as set forth in the above 9 stipulation.

Should Defendants fail to timely make the early payments described in paragraphs 8 11 through 11 of the stipulation, on or after August 15, 2012, the People may request, ex parte, with 12 notice to Defendants' counsel Tim Freudenberger of Carlton DiSante & Freudenberger LLP, 13 entry of the Judgment for \$1,500,000.00 (less any credits for payments already made towards the 14 \$1,000,000.00). The judgment shall be in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "2." In lieu of 15 entry of Judgment, the People may continue with the action, including proceeding to name Doe 16 Defendants. 17

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Date: (-1)-12-

LA2010503074

Hon. Judge John H. Reid, Judge of the Superior Court

Stipulation For Settlement And [Proposed] Order Thereon (SC109852)

5 6

7

8

10

1

2

3

4

# EXHIBIT 1

3		
4		
5	i sa	
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7:	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, WEST DISTRICT	2
8		
9	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Case No. SC109852	
10	ex rel. KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General of the State of California, STIPULATED [Proposed]	
11	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION Plaintiff.	
12.	Judge: The Honorable John H. Reid	<b>8</b> 2
13	V.	83
14	NDR Inc., A California corporation dba "Gold	
15	Rush Auto Spa'; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car	
16	Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M.	
17	SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California	
18	corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna INC. J. Line 76 Station", ZND ( HOLD DD) CS	
19	Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash &	
20	GO Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC.,	
21	a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California	
22	corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush	
23	Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual.	
24	Defendants.	
25	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, ex rel Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of	
25	the State of California ("People" or "Plaintiff"), by Deputy Attorney General Timothy J.	
27	Kolesnikow, and Defendants NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa';	
28	SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP	
na 24/97/28	1	

1

2

.....

Stipulated [Proposed] Preliminary Injunction (SC109852)

INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & GO Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; DIPU HAQUE", an individual ("Defendants"), through their counsel, having stipulated to resolve and avoid litigation agree to the following:

9 1. Defendants generally deny the People's allegations and, more specifically, deny that 10 they undertook any actions that were wrongful, unlawful, or tortious, or that they failed to pay 11 wages or any other money due to their employees. The People and Defendants acknowledge that 12 the execution of this Agreement is the result of compromise and extensive negotiations between 13 the parties, that this Agreement is entered into in good faith by the parties, and that this 14 Agreement shall never be considered at any time or for any purpose as an admission of liability 15 by Defendants.

ITI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

During the pendency of this action, or until further Court Order, pursuant to
 Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq., including Business and Professions Code
 section 17203, Defendants, their officers, former officers, directors, former directors, successors,
 and assigns are enjoined from committing, recommending, or counseling any person or legal
 entity to perform any of the following acts for the period commencing upon the entry of this
 Preliminary Injunction:

a. Defendants GRAS II, INC., and SIKDER, INC. shall maintain
registration as car washing & polishing employers pursuant to Labor Code section 2054 and
California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Sections 13680 through 13693, to post the \$15,000 bond
required by Labor Code section 2055(b) during the time period that they continue to operate.
b. failing to pay employees the minimum wage for all hours worked, in

violation of Labor Code sections 1194 and 1182.12 and applicable Industrial Welfare

2.

Stipulated [Proposed] Preliminary Injunction (SC109852)

Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 9, subdivision 4, and including wages owed for meal period violations;

c. failing to pay employees overtime pay in violation of Labor Code section 510 and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 9, subdivision 3;

d. failing to provide employees with a 10 minute break during each four hours worked each day. (Lab. Code, § 226.7; Wage Order 9, subd. (12)).

e. making payment of wages with checks drawn on insufficient funds, in violation of Labor Code section 212, a misdemeanor under Labor Code section 215;

f. failing to provide employees with an itemized written statement reflecting
their gross wages, the number of hours the employee worked, piece rate earnings, the applicable
piece rate, all deductions taken, net wage earned, the inclusive dates of the pay period, the name
of the employee and his or her social security number, and the hourly rate in effect during the pay
period in violation of Labor Code section 226;

g. failing to withhold and pay state income tax contributions, state
unemployment insurance contributions, and contributions to the state disability fund in violation
of Unemployment Insurance Code sections 976, 986, 987, 1110, and 13020;

h. failing to pay timely wages on the regularly scheduled pay date as
required by Labor Code section 204.

i. failing to pay all wages earned but unpaid at the time of termination of
employment as required by Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

The clerk shall enter this Preliminary Injunction forthwith.

.

3.

////

|||

111

Stipulated [Proposed] Preliminary Injunction (SC109852)

4. Any efforts by the People to enforce the Preliminary Injunction shall be made exclusively through contempt procedures.

Date: 1-10-1

Dated: 01/05/12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

: 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## ADDE 2331 H. GED

The Honorable John H. Reid, Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court

Respectfully Submitted by,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER Chief Assistant Attorney General JON M. ICHINAGA Supervising Deputy Attorney General

BY:

TIMOTHY J. KOLESNIKOW Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California

LA2010503074

Stipulated [Proposed] Preliminary Injunction (SC109852)

4

EXHIBIT 2

### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

.7

### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, WEST DISTRICT

ð		
9 10 11	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel.KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General of the State of California,	Case No. SC109852 STIPULATED [Proposed] FINAL MONEY JUDGMENT AND
.12	Plaintiff.	PERMANENT INJUNCTION
13	ν.	Judge: The Honorable John H. Reid
14		
15	NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa', SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car	
16	Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M.	
17	SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS,	
18	INČ., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS,	
19	INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & GO Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC.,	
20 21	a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California	
21	corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INĈ., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush	
23	Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual.	
. 24	Defendants.	
25	Plaintiff, the People of the State of Califo	rnia ex rel Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of
26	the State of California ("People" or "Plaintiff")	, by Deputy Attorney General Timothy J.
27	Kolesnikow, and Defendants NDR Inc., a Calif	ornia corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa";
28	SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporat	ion dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP
		1
	Stipulated [Proposed] Fi	nal Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual ("Defendants"), through their counsel, have stipulated that this Final Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction (hereafter "Judgment") may be entered. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1

2

3

.4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the allegations and subject matter of the People's Complaint filed in this action and the parties thereto; venue is proper in this County; and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Judgment.

Judgment is hereby rendered in favor of Plaintiff, the People of the State of 13 2. California, ex rel Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, jointly and 14 severally against Defendants NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa"; 15 SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car Wash"; ZMS GROUP 16 17 INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba 18 "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash 19 & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a 20 California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba  $21^{-1}$ 22 "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual for the principal sum of \$ , with interest 23 at 10% per annum in the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_, plus attorney fees of 24 25 and costs of \$ \$ The principal amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_\_stated above consists of 26 3. 27 in restitution pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 17203, 28

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

in civil penalties pursuant to Business & Professions Code section and \$ 2 17206.

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant Corporation, its officers, former officers, directors, former directors, successors, and assigns are enjoined from committing, recommending, or counseling any person or legal entity to perform any of the following acts for the period commencing upon the entry of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction:

failing to register as a car washing & polishing employer pursuant to 8 Labor Code section 2054 and California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Sections 13680 through 9 10 13693, to post the \$15,000 bond required by Labor Code section 2055(b), and nonetheless 11 continuing to conduct business in violation of Labor Code section 2060.

12 failing to pay employees the minimum wage for all hours worked, in 13 violation of Labor Code sections 1194 and 1182.12 and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 14 15 9, subdivision 4, and including wages owed for meal period violations;

16 failing to pay employees overtime pay in violation of Labor Code section 17 510 and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial 18 Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 9, subdivision 3;

19 d. failing to provide employees with a 10 minute break during each four 20 hours worked each day. (Lab. Code, § 226.7; Wage Order 9, subd. (12)).

21 making payment of wages with checks drawn on insufficient funds, in 22 violation of Labor Code section 212;

23 f. failing to provide employees with an itemized written statement reflecting 24 their gross wages, the number of hours the employee worked, piece rate earnings, the applicable 25 piece rate, all deductions taken, net wage earned, the inclusive dates of the pay period, the name 26 of the employee and his or her social security number, and the hourly rate in effect during the pay 27 period in violation of Labor Code section 226;

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

failing to withhold and pay state income tax contributions, state g. unemployment insurance contributions, and contributions to the state disability fund in violation of Unemployment Insurance Code sections 976, 986, 987, 1110, and 13020;

failing to pay timely wages on the regularly scheduled pay date as required by Labor Code section 204.

failing to pay all wages earned but unpaid at the time of termination of 1. employment as required by Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

5. The Court retains jurisdiction as the ends of justice may require for the purpose of enabling any party to this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction to apply to the Court at any time-so long as ex parte or regular notice and an opportunity to respond is provided to all other parties-for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate (a) for 12 the construction or carrying out of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction and/or the 13 Stipulation For Entry of Judgment And Order Thereon; (b) to apply at any time for enforcement of any provision of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction and/or the Stipulation For 14 15 Entry of Judgment And Order Thereon; (c) for modification of the injunctive provisions of this 16 Judgment and Permanent Injunction; and (d) for punishment of any violations of this Judgment  $17^{-1}$ and Permanent Injunction and/or the Stipulation For Entry of Judgment And Order Thereon.

б. The clerk shall enter this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction only after 19 and if Plaintiff the People request that the Court enter the judgment and inform the Court that 20 Defendants have failed to make all payments specified in the Stipulation For Settlement and 21 Order Thereon.

22 7. Any efforts by the People to enforce the Permanent Injunction shall be made exclusively through contempt procedures. 23

24 25

27

28

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

ŀ1

Date: 26

The Honorable John H. Reid, Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

Dated: 01/05/12 Respectfully Submitted by, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER Chief Assistant Attorney General 1.54 JON M. ICHINAGA Supervising Deputy Attorney General BY: TIMOTHY J. KOLESNIKOW Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California LA2010503074 11. Stipulated [Proposed] Final Money Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

# EXHIBIT 3

3	
4	
5	
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, WEST DISTRICT
8	
9	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Case No. SC109852
10	ex rel. KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General of the State of California, STIPULATED [Proposed] FINAL
11	JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT Plaintiff. INJUNCTION
12	
13	v Judge: The Honorable John H. Reid
14	NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold
15	Rush Auto Spa'; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car
1.6	Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M.
17.	SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS,
18	INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS,
19	INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & GO Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash
20	Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car
21	Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS,
22	INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an
· 23	individual aka "Dipu Sikder" and "Dipu Haque Sikder."
24	Defendants.
25	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("People" or "Plaintiff"), by Deputy Attorney
26	General Timothy J. Kolesnikow, and Defendants NDR Inc., a California corporation dba "Gold
27	Rush Auto Spa"; SIKDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Car
28	

٠,

1

2

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

.

Wash"; ZMS GROUP INC., a California corporation dba "Crown Valley Car Wash"; M. SIKDER HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Marina Car Wash"; ZMS, INC., a California corporation dba "Laguna Hills Union 76 Station"; ZNM HOLDINGS, INC., a California corporation dba "Wash & Go Hand Wash", "Wash & Go Hand Carwash Corp." and "Irvine Auto Spa"; GRAS II, INC., a California corporation dba Sponges Car Wash"; SIKDER, INC., a California corporation dba "Bonus Car Wash"; GRAS, INC., a California corporation dba "Gold Rush Auto Spa II"; and DIPU HAQUE", an individual ("Defendants"), through their counsel, have stipulated that this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (hereafter "Judgment") may be entered.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT.

This Court has jurisdiction over the allegations and subject matter of the
 People's Complaint filed in this action, and the parties thereto; venue is proper in this County;
 and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Judgment.

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant
 Corporation, its officers, former officers, directors, former directors, successors, and assigns are
 enjoined from committing, recommending, or counseling any person or legal entity to perform
 any of the following acts for the period commencing upon the entry of this Final Judgment and
 Permanent Injunction:

Defendants GRAS II, INC., and SIKDER, INC. shall maintain 19 20 registration as car washing & polishing employers pursuant to Labor Code section 2054 and 21 California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Sections 13680 through 13693, to post the \$15,000 bond 22 required by Labor Code section 2055(b) during the time period that they continue to operate; 23 failing to pay employees the minimum wage for all hours worked, in b. 24 violation of Labor Code sections 1194 and 1182.12 and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 25 26

2

9, subdivision 4, and including wages owed for meal period violations;

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

c. failing to pay employees overtime pay in violation of Labor Code section 510 and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders, including but not limited to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 9, subdivision 3;

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

d. failing to provide employees with a 10 minute break during each four hours worked each day. (Lab. Code, § 226.7; Wage Order 9, subd. (12)).

e. making payment of wages with checks drawn on insufficient funds, in violation of Labor Code section 212;

f. failing to provide employees with an itemized written statement reflecting their gross wages, the number of hours the employee worked, piece rate earnings, the applicable piece rate, all deductions taken, net wage earned, the inclusive dates of the pay period, the name of the employee and his or her social security number, and the hourly rate in effect during the pay period in violation of Labor Code section 226;

g. failing to withhold and pay state income tax contributions, state unemployment insurance contributions, and contributions to the state disability fund in violation of Unemployment Insurance Code sections 976, 986, 987, 1110, and 13020;

h. failing to pay timely wages on the regularly scheduled pay date as
required by Labor Code section 204.

18 i. failing to pay all wages earned but unpaid at the time of termination of
19 employment as required by Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

Payment having been made as set forth in the Stipulation For Entry of
 Judgment and Order Thereon, Defendant Corporation is deemed to have satisfied all requirements
 for monetary payments for any matters actually alleged in the Complaint.

4. The Court retains jurisdiction as the ends of justice may require for the purpose
of enabling any party to this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction to apply to the Court at
any time—so long as ex parte or regular notice and an opportunity to respond is provided to any
other party—for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate (a) for the
construction or carrying out of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction and/or the
Stipulation For Entry of Judgment And Order Thereon; (b) to apply at any time for enforcement

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)

of any provision of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction and/or the Stipulation For Entry of Judgment And Order Thereon; (c) for modification of the injunctive provisions of this Judgment and Permanent Injunction; and (d) for punishment of any violations of this Judgment and Permanent Injunction and/or the Stipulation For Entry of Judgment And Order Thereon.

5. The clerk shall enter this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction only after and if Plaintiff informs the Court that Defendants have made all payments specified in the Stipulation For Settlement and Order Thereon.

6. Any efforts by the People to enforce the Permanent Injunction shall be made exclusively through contempt procedures.

The Honorable John H. Reid.

Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court

Date:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Dated: 01(05/12

Respectfully Submitted by,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARK J. BRECKLER Chief Assistant Attorney General JON M. ICHINAGA Supervising Deputy Attorney General

BY: TIMOTHY J. KOLESNIKOW Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California

LA2010503074

24

4

Stipulated [Proposed] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (SC109852)